# LIBRARY OU\_162847 AWYERSAL AWYERSAL

## OSMANIA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

Call No. 1814/165J

Accession No. 20635

Author Vidyaranya Sri.
Title Jivan mukali viveka This book should be returned on or before the date last marked below.



# THE JĪVAN-MUKTI-VIVEKA

OR

THE PATH TO LIBERATION-IN-THIS-LIFE

OF

## S'RĪ VIDYĀRAŅYA

Edited with an English Translation

BY

PANDIT S. SUBRAHMANYA S'ĀSTRĪ, F.T.S.

AND

T. R. S'RĪNIVĀSA AYYANGĀR, B.A., L.T.

Diamond Jubilee, 1875-1935

THE THEOSOPHICAL PUBLISHING HOUSE ADYAR, MADRAS, INDIA

#### FOREWORD

WHEN the Founders of The Theosophical Society came to India fifty-six years ago, they had occasion specially to note the value of the JĪVAN-MUKTI-VIVEKA of S'rī Vidyāranya to the Modern world, and this was, indeed, one of the books which they selected for translation, for the benefit alike of the East and the West. rendering of the work by Prof. Mani-lal English Dvi-vedī was published in 1897 by the Theosophical Publication Fund. Now that The Theosophical Society is celebrating its Diamond Jubilee this year, the Publication as a Commemoration volume, of the Text of the JIVAN-MUKTI-VIVEKA with a practically new English Translation by the Theosophical Publishing House, seems very appropriate; and the work carries to the world at its present crisis a message of Hope, conducive to clarity of vision of the problems of its life.

Bhagavān Buddha laid special stress on "Samyag-drsti", which means RIGHT UNDERSTANDING, and popularized, with a fervour all His own, His teachings on "Anātma-lakṣaṇa", or indications of the NON-SELF, which more or less, formed the basis of His morality with its strong appeal to the imagination of the masses, who could not comprehend His high metaphysical

teachings on the *Nidānas* and *Nirvāṇa*. S'rī S'amkarā-cārya, the celebrated founder of the monistic cult of the VEDĀNTA School of Philosophy, who came immediately after Him, proclaimed the reality of the *Ātman* (Self) as a complement to the Buddha's teachings and confirmed the unreality of *everything else*.

Nowadays, when in every branch of Science progress is made, new ideals have come to sway the minds of thinkers, new standards of value been adopted, new lines of approach devised, new hypotheses promulgated with courage and insight, nay, new visions have been raised and new dreams dreamt.

Signs are not wanting that the great thinkers the world over have taken the initiative in right earnest and are within an ace of pointing to a satisfactory solution of the problem of "Life here and hereafter".

J. Kṛṣṇa-mūrti has already blazoned forth a message of Liberation to everyone, while in this very life, if one could but set up before oneself the PURPOSE of LIFE and work at it one-pointedly towards its fulfilment. This is really a synthesis of the teachings of the Buddha and S'amkara based on the positive affirmation of "Let Understanding be the Law", and "Life the Goal".

The work of editing the Samskrt Text and revising the English rendering was undertaken by me at the request of the Theosophical Publishing House, Adyar. The Samskrt Text has been carefully edited and the English Translation thoroughly revised. Feeling that I could better attain the end in view I had to requisition the aid of my life-long help-mate Mr. T. R. Srīnivāsa Ayyangār, B.A., L.T., Retired Head-master, Kalyāṇa-sundaram High School, Tanjore, who had

collaborated with me many a time in similar work, help me in the thorough revision of the English services were rendering. and his invaluable to the usefulness of the Samskrt Text, as far as possible, references to the names of the Scriptural or other authority as well as the chapter and verse been enclosed within brackets at the end of with which the work is the quotations replete. Mr. R. Krsna-svāmi S'āstrin, B.A., Joint Sub-Registrar of Tanjore, a scholar well-versed in Vedic and S'astraic lore, rendered considerable help in the matter of locating the Samskrt quotations and reading through the Samskrt MS. prepared for the Press. The large number of quotations from the YOGA-VĀSISTHA have been carefully edited on the basis of the readings of the LAGHU-YOGA-VĀSISŢHA, Dr. Besant's inimitable rendering of the BHAGAVAD GĪTĀ has been adopted almost verbatim for the rendering of quotations from that book.

Paņpit S. Subrahmaņya S'astrī

#### INTRODUCTION

THE Jivan-mukti-viveka or "The Path to Liberationin-this-life" is a well-known book, unique in the favour it finds with the Samny is ins of India and a compilation from several Scriptural works, by the great scholar He is known to have written on almost Vidvāranva. every important branch of literature, in his time, with such grasp and finish as would surprise the most accurate writer of the present day. He lived in the fourteenth century. He was the Prime Minister of the King of Vijaya-nagara—Bukka Rāya—to whom he has dedicated his best work, the Veda-bhāsya—the elaborate scholia of the Veda. Sayana, the Minister became, in after life, Vidyāranya, the Samnyāsin. He apparently compiled this work after he renounced all concern with the world. His life, spent in the midst of varied activity at the court of Bukka Raya, had its culmination in the quiet Bliss of Spiritual exaltation. Vidyāranya is indeed Supreme an illustrious example of the true Brāhmana and his very life nobly illustrates the truth of his teaching. Verily it may be said of him that he found "Liberationin-this-life", and "The Path" he points to us in this work doubt, the surest road to eternal peace and happiness, while yet in the world.

Though in the body of the work will be found some of the richest gems of purest ray serene gathered from

several authentic works on which ancient Hindu Philosophy and culture is based, they would be simply lifeless without the living nexus supplied by the sage, Vidyaranva. The author, himself a Samnyāsin, begins and ends his book with the technical inquiry—whether Renunciation (Samnyāsa) is the sine qua non of Liberation or not? The Path to Liberation, according to Vidyaranya, is indicated in one word—Renunciation. Though this word has received a number of different shades of meaning from several writers, old and new, Vidvāranva would not understand it in any but the formal orthodox sense in which the Rsis of yore (Hindu Seers) principally employed it. Have no concern, bodily or mental, direct or indirect, with the world, live in entire isolation, so to speak, and wear the orthodox insignia of the Order—this is Samnyasa, according to Vidvāranya. He divides Renunciation into two kinds. The one he calls the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker, the other, the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened. The first is. in fact, a preliminary stage to the second. One may apply himself to the Study, Reflection, and Assimilation of the Vedanta, with or without the first kind of Renunciation. But with the dawning of the Light, Renunciation of the second kind must surely follow. The first, if at all it comes about, must be sought after in the orthodox fashion; the second is bound by no "Injunction or Prohibition".

This, in short, is the substance of his argument. The cosmopolitan nature of his inquiry may best be judged from a side-issue he raises at the beginning, as to the eligibility of women to the formal kind of Renunciation. He decides in favour of the other sex, supporting

his argument by examples from ancient Scriptures. The question, however, remains, how a life of entire Isolation and Indifference can ever be identified with Liberation; can ever, in fact, be the end and object of existence? Would it not be more adequate, in this age of humanitarian ideals, to say with Lord Kṛṣṇa "Sages have known as Renunciation the renouncing of works with desire." Apparently Vidyaranya does not understand Renunciation as signifying pure Indifference or Isolation. He does, no doubt, imply "Renouncing of desire-impelled actions", but he would have none of "action" or even the sense of duty, which "action," though it be without desire, implies, to remain after Renunciation or Liberation to which Renunciation leads. If the Liberated is ever oppressed with any the least sense of duty, "he is just so many removes away from Gnosis." "Injunctions or Prohibitions" exist not for him, he is relieved of all obligations, temporal or Spiritual. He himself is all Good, all Bliss, all Purity, all Holiness; his very being, his very breath is the efflorescence of everything good and great. His sphere of doing good is so far widened as to put him in possession of a power, which accomplishes its results without the correlation of means and ends, by which mankind is known to work. He, in fact, is a magnet, so to speak, of all that is best and sublime; he, that feels the force of his attraction, is charged with as much of his power as he can imbibe. He is, not unoften, likened to the Sun who, though he illumines all spots equally, is reflected more clearly and even intensely on a mirror than on a piece of earth. He is the Atman, the Brahman, the Soul and Substance of the Universe.

While speaking of Renunciation and Liberation, we may conveniently take occasion to correct an error into which some of the best informed minds are often mislead. Since the spiritual re-awakening of the last six decades which synchronizes with the founding of The Theosophical Society, application to ancient Scriptures has been known in many instances to create very perverted notions of true Liberation and Renunciation. Minds saturated with materialistic learning fail to appreciate the work of everything not put to them in terms of chemical combinations and mechanical foot-pounds. The idea of power, a power beyond the ken of ordinary Chemistry or Mechanics, comes almost imperceptibly to be associated with spiritual exaltation; and "hankering after (occult) powers" is. in ninety-nine cases out of hundred, the true reason for a man to sit at the feet of some holy saint or join some society for the promotion of spiritual good. That such applicants often come to grief goes without saying. Vidyāranya does not deny the possibility of "powers" such as these, but he expressly describes them as mere curiosities, for which the Liberated feel no interest. They have lost all interest in everything, and absence of interest is the true Renunciation which leads to Liberation. The good that is in Liberation is not through or in virtue of any powers whatever. Nor is possession of powers a sign of that condition. Whatever is known as the highest and best in Holiness. Purity, Charity, Sacrifice and Morals, is fully implied in the sense of Liberation. "The acquisition of powers". says Vidyāranya "helps not a jot towards nearing the highest condition of Beatitude." The Liberated is the flower of humanity, whose fragrance is wafted on by

every breeze that passes. The exhilarating but soothing power of its invisible essence imperceptibly mollifies many a heart-burning and much weariness of the flesh. Power, such as we understand it, is entirely out of the question. The Liberated works without the correlation of means and ends; he works, as it were, with the very breath of Nature, in the rain that nourishes, in the Sun that scorches, in the storm that annihilates. He is the All. Power and desire for power has to be entirely renounced before even a glimpse of the spiritual exaltation called Liberation can dawn upon one's intelligence.

Liberation means Freedom. Freedom, neither bodily nor mental, but the true freedom of the Spirit—the Spirit that is the All. That this Freedom might not be understood in the sense of that abuse of freedom, which often passes for Freedom, Vidyāraṇya has, in the Fifth Chapter of his book, laid particular stress upon Asceticism as the most essential requisite of a true Parama-hamsa (the Liberated). That this Asceticism does not consist in physical exercises or formal observances, he has definitely shown several times in the body of the work.

Vidyāraṇya variously explains the view of Liberation and Renunciation here set forth, in his characteristically lucid, analytic manner. He touches upon several minor points of importance as ways and means to the realization of this noble object. A brief analysis of his treatise will help us to understand these things much better.

The First Chapter opens with a discussion on the nature of Renunciation, and the two divisions we have already touched upon, are described and justified by scriptural texts and ancient examples. Then is explained the nature of *Jivan-mukti*, Liberation in-this-life. It is

described as Freedom from bondage. "Bondage to a living being consists in those functions of the mind which are characterized by feelings of pleasure and pain, concomitant with action and enjoyment." It is not necessary that "action" should entirely be suspended. The impressions (Vāsanā), which "action and enjoyment" create and leave behind as fruitful sources of future "action", should be neutralized in their effect. The question, whether the accomplishment of such Freedom is within human possibility, leads the author into a discussion of the nature of Necessity and Freewill. He decides in favour of the latter and points the way to this Freedom, requisitioning a dialogue between Rāma and Vasistha to bear him out. The discourse on the nature of Jivan-mukti closes with the following:

"For him, though ever moving about in the world of experience, the whole of it exists not—all-pervading, ether-like consciousness alone subsists. Such a one is called Jīvan-mukta."

Discussion as to the nature of *Jivan-mukti* leads to a description of the nature of *Videha-mukti*, Liberationafter-death. The Chapter ends with illustrative quotations from several Scriptural texts to bear out the author in his arguments on the nature of these two degrees of Liberation.

The Second Chapter deals with the means to acquire the condition of Jivan-mukti. These are three in number: Gnosis, Obliteration of Latent Desire (Vāsanā) and Dissolution of the Mind. The whole question of Liberation is easily solved, if we once acquire a true perspective of the nature of Vāsanā and clearly understand the means to destroy

it. This Chapter gives a masterly exposition of this most important question in a thoroughly practical manner, and the earnest student is sure to find here what he may elsewhere seek in vain. The aim and object of all methods of spiritual exaltation, is the Lifeof-the-Higher-Self, which is the ideal they invariably point to. Purification and enlargement of the heart is inculcated as the most important practical step towards its Realization. How this could be done is thus set forth: "The Mind, severed from all connection with sensual objects and prevented from functioning out, awakes into the light of the heart and finds the highest Condition." "Latent Desire" denies this light, often quenches it or stifles it, due to an impulse of extreme narrowness. Conquer this Latent Desire and Jivan-mukti is within easy reach. Act without being engrossed by the action and find this place of Peace beyond the pale of the disturbing influence of mind and desire. Latent Desire is described in all its various bearings; as also methods as to how one desire may be set to destroy another and thus pave the way for the light of the Self ultimately to dissolve even the last remnant of desire. The whole of this subject is best read with sufficient clearness in the original. Life, Learning, the world, all are set down as due to Vāsanā and as things one should try to extricate himself from; thus, "giving up all attachment from within", one should acquire that "limitless expansion of the heart", which is the royal road to Jivan-mukti. The minor question, "whether 'intercourse' of any kind is possible after Vasana, the active cause of all intercourse, has been suspended "-is very clearly discussed in this connection and the Chapter

closes with a brief analysis of the characteristic marks of great souls who have been remarkable *Jivan-muktas* known to ancient history.

The other path to Liberation is the Dissolution of the Mind. The Third Chapter fully deals with this subject. The Mind is made up of latent desires of various kinds. These two, Mind and desires, act and react upon each other and Obliteration of Vāsanā is never confirmed without the Dissolution of the Mind. The two methods of dissolving the Mind, the physical and the mental, are then described, preference being given to the latter. Several modes are very clearly and fully pointed out for the prevention of all mental activity. Then are discussed the obstacles in the way of Ecstatic Trance, the true condition of the Liberated-in-this-life.

All this, however, need not create the impression that Liberation is a condition of Mindlessness, akin to that of material objects. For, the word "Mind" is here used in a sense different from the one attached to it in the text. The Mind is only that function of inner activity which correlates the doer with the thing done, through the sense of egoism, and creates the illusion of meum and tuum which makes up the world. This sense of separateness being merged in the vastness of that inconceivable whole, which has no parts whatever, the Mind is said to be dissolved for ever and the condition of Sublime Bliss realized for all eternity.

The Fourth Chapter aptly opens with the question of what is the aim or use of *Jivan-mukti*. It is five-fold: (1) the Preservation of *Gnosis*, (2) the Practice of Penance, (3) Universal Love, (4) Destruction of Pain and

Misery, (5) Experience of Supreme Bliss. These are severally described and discussed in the Chapter.

The Fifth Chapter concludes the original inquiry into the nature of Renunciation, the true Path. The Renunciation described in this Chapter is, however, the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened. Renunciation, which is an optional condition preceding the Realization of Jivan-mukti, ripens into that true Renunciation which is known as the condition of the Parama-hamsa. "Parama" means Great and "Hamsa" as interpreted by the Lord Samkara, means the destroyer of all Avidyā, viz., the Ātman, the Self. Whence Parama-hamsa is the Great Self, the Great Being, the Being that is the All. The Chapter closes with a description of the condition of such a Being, and concludes the inquiry about The Path to Liberation-in-this-life.

Such sober study on the nature of Liberation and the means to realize it, is indeed refreshing, in these days of quack prescriptions of kinds for the acquisition of Spiritual Bliss. Living the Higher Life of Universal Peace and Love is a notion not within the grasp of any prescription, such as Regulation of Breath or acquiring any skill in the working of Mesmeric or Spiritual phenomena. The Higher Life is all the work of internal thinking with a view to purify the Mind and melt it away in the Great Self. The use of the word, "Self" suggests a difficulty, which appears, in certain quarters, to have created a misunderstanding as to the nature of Liberation as propounded by the Advaita School of Philosophy. It is no exaltation of individual selfishness, that is aimed at in this Philosophy; the self, in the sense of individual, is rather the thing principally aimed at for

#### xviii

entire suppression and dissolution. The Self that is the living centre or Source of Consciousness in all individuals, is the same throughout, in its transcendent character and what is inculcated as worth striving after, is that Life which, realizing this universal nature of the Self, rests in the Peace and Love which are, or ought to be, its characteristics.

## CONTENTS

CHAP	•			P	AGE
	Foreword	•			v
	Introduction .	•	•		ix
I.	Authority Bearing Testi	mony to Jīva	n-mukti		1
II.	On the Obliteration of L	atent Desire	•	•	49
III.	On the Dissolution of the	e Mind	•	•	110
IV.	The Purpose of the Atta	inment of Jī	van-Mukti	•	165
V.	Renunciation-of-the-Enl	ightened	•		188
	A Glossary of Technical	Terms	•		223

# THE JĪVAN-MUKTI-VIVEKA

OR

#### THE PATH TO LIBERATION-IN-THIS-LIFE

#### CHAPTER I

# AUTHORITY BEARING TESTIMONY TO JĪVAN-MUKTI OR LIBERATION-IN-THIS-LIFE

- I. I bow to the Supreme, Vidyā-tīrtha, whose very breath the *Vedas* are and who evolved the whole Cosmos from the *Vedas*.
- II. I describe henceforth the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker (*Vividiṣā-saṃnyāsa*) and the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened (*Vidvat-saṃnyāsa*), distinguishing the one from the other, the former being the cause of "Liberation following the Dissolution of the Body" (*Videha-mukti*), and the latter, of "Liberation-in-this-life" (*Jīvan-mukti*).
- III. The cause of Renunciation (in general) is Detachment. Even as the *S'ruti* enjoins, "One must renounce (the world), the very moment he feels complete

Detachment." The stages <sup>1</sup> and divisions of this Renunciation, are all matters dealt with by the *Purāṇas* (popular exposition of esoteric truths).

- IV-V. Detachment is of two kinds: sharp and sharper. The former leads to the Renunciation appropriate to the condition of the *Kutīcaka*, which, being ripened, develops (the *Saṃnyāsin*) into the *Bahūdaka*. Sharper Detachment makes the *Saṃnyāsin* a *Haṃsa*, and this ripens into the condition of the *Parama-haṃsa*, the real path to direct Self-Realization.
- VI. Dull Detachment is the form of disgust generated, for the time being, for the world and its goods, occasioned by the loss of child, wife or wealth.
- VII. Sharp Detachment is the firm resolve of the Intellect, not to have child, wife or wealth, any more in this life.
- VIII. Sharper Detachment is the strong disgust to the effect: "For me the whole of this world, whirling through the cycle of rebirths shall never be." In dull Detachment no Renunciation is possible.
- IX. In sharp Detachment two kinds of Renunciation are possible, according as the power of locomotion subsists in full strength or not. The former pertains to the  $Bah\bar{u}daka$  and the latter to the  $Kut\bar{\iota}caka$ . Both of them are Tri-dandins.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is said, keeping in mind the well-known four periods ( $\bar{A}s$  ramas) of life, according to which Renunciation comes fourth and last and thus obviously comes to be postponed to old age.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Four kinds of Samnyasins are known to the sacred books. The four enumerated here are defined and described in IX and X infra. The Kuṭīcaka resides in a secluded hermitage, the Bahūdaka goes from one sacred place to another, the Hamsa flies like a swan to the seventh heaven, and the Parama-hamsa enjoys Liberation in this very life.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Holding three long thin bamboo-sticks knotted together, emblematic of the triple Renunciation of every thing connected with body, mind and

X. In the sharper kind of Detachment are possible two other kinds of Renunciation, according as it leads to  $Brahma ext{-}loka$ , or to Final and Absolute Liberation. The former condition is that of the Hamsa, who knows the essence of Truth in that world, the latter that of the  $Parama ext{-}hamsa$ , who knows it in this very world.

XI. The various duties and actions of these are described by us, in the Commentary on the *Parāsarasmṛti*. We are here concerned only with the *Paramahamsa*.

XII. The Parama-hamsa is again, either the Seeker after knowledge ( $Jij\tilde{n}\bar{a}su$ ), or the Enlightened ( $J\tilde{n}\bar{a}nin$ ). The  $V\bar{a}jasaneyins$  ordain Renunciation to such Seeker, for the attainment of knowledge.<sup>2</sup>

XIII. Samnyāsins renounce (the world) desiring "such Loka" (sphere). An explanation of this is added in prose for the enlightenment of those who are not sharp-witted.<sup>3</sup>

### 1. VIVIDIŞĀ-SAMNYĀSA: THE RENUNCIATION-OF-THE-SEEKER

Loka is of two kinds: the  $\bar{A}tma$ -loka, the world of the Self and the  $An\bar{a}tma$ -loka, the world of the Notself. The first is described, in its triple nature, in the Third Chapter of the Brhad- $\bar{a}ranyaka$ : "Here then are indeed the Three Worlds—the World of men, the World speech and being therefore constantly immersed in "That", which is beyond these three.

¹ One of the seven Lokas: Bhūr-, Bhuvar-, Suvar-, Mahar-, Jana-, Tapus-, and Satya- or Brahma-loka.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This is with reference to the first division of *Parama-hamsas*, for the question is likely to suggest itself, why a Seeker should renounce.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In Verse XIII the first half is an adaptation o he Upanişadic Text.

of the Pitrs and the World of the gods; the world of men can be conquered by begetting a son and by no other act: that of the *Pitrs*, by religious rites; and that of the gods, by anosis." (5. 16.) The  $\bar{A}tma$ -loka is also mentioned there: "Whosoever passes away from here, without knowing his own world—the  $\bar{A}tma$ -loka, him such world, being unknown, does not protect;" (4, 15.) and "He should devote himself to the world which is only the  $\bar{A}tman$ ; his acts never fail him, who so devotes himself." (4, 15.) In other words, whosoever, bound up in this material body of flesh and blood, passes out of it, without knowing his own proper world—the Paramatman, i.e., without realizing his identity with the Supreme Self ("I am the Brahman"), is deprived of the benefit of that world; that is to say, that world, the Paramatman, does not ever free him from sorrow, delusion and other evils, as the knowledge of that world is held back from him by the intervening veil of Aviduā (Ignorance). Again the acts of one devoted to such world (of the  $\bar{A}tman$ ) never fail him and (unlike ordinary acts of devotion, which bring about this or that particular result), lead him to that Self-Realization, which is the sum of all that can be desired. Also in the Sixth Chapter of the same: "Why should we study? For what object should we worship? Of what avail is offspring to us, to whom this world, this  $\bar{A}tman$ , is the supreme place?" (4, 22.) Desire for offspring leads to the crematory; non-desire for such things leads to Immortality.2

Hence it appears that the words, "such Loka" (in Verse XIII) refer clearly to the  $\overline{A}tma-loka$  in quest

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The first two sentences are not found in the Bṛhad-āranyaka.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cf. Āpastamba Dharma-sūtra, Pras'na II, Khaṇḍa 22.

of which Samnuāsins renounce: for the word "such" refers to "the  $\bar{A}tman$ ," occurring as it does in a section dealing with the  $\overline{A}tman$ , opening with the words: "This Atman is unconditioned and unborn." (4, 22.) That which is seen, realized, is Loka; the  $\bar{A}tman$ . The text in question (which is a quotation from the S'ruti) plainly implies that Samnyāsins renounce the world for the purpose of Self-Realization ( $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}nubhava$ ). The Smrti too bears this out:

"The one styled a Parama-hamsa should, for the attainment of Brahma-jñāna, have in him all the requisite means, viz., peace of mind, control of body, and the like."

As this Samnuāsa comes about by the strong desire for knowledge generated in the proper manner, in consequence of the study, etc., of the Veda, either in this or in some previous incarnation, it is called the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker (Vividiṣā-saṃnyāsa). This, which is the cause of knowledge, is again of two kinds: (1) the Renunciation of desire-impelled acts and others of the kind, which lead to rebirth and (2) the going, by the renouncer, into the Order, by assuming all its emblems, such as the Danda, etc., after having been initiated into it, with the *Praisoccārana*. which "ensures to the mother and the wife of the renouncer, rebirth in the form of a male and to the renouncer, the Realization of the Brahman, an austere life and the knowledge of the Self in consequence."

<sup>1</sup> In "etc.," are included the performance of religious duties, certain austerities and the like, enjoined by the Veda.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> That is, saying the *Praisa*, which is a formula, meaning, "I renounce the Bhur-loka, the Bhuvar-loka and the Suvar-loka." In these words the renouncer declares himself free from all desires and all conditions belonging to this world or the next.

Renunciation is mentioned in the Taittiriya- and other Upanisads: "Some have attained Immortality, not by acts, nor by offspring, nor by wealth, but by Renunciation alone," (4, 12, 3.) Females also are entitled to this kind of Renunciation. The Caturdhari-tikā of the Mokṣa-dharma, by using the word "Bhikṣukī" (female beggar) with reference to the lady in question, when dealing with the controversy between Sulabhā and Janaka, indicates that females may renounce before marriage, or after the death of their husbands and may go about as religious mendicants, may learn and hear the S'āstras dealing with Moksa (viz., the Upanişads and cognate literature), may meditate upon the Atman in seclusion and assume the emblems of Tri-danda, etc. In the wake of the argument in the Devatādhikarana (the Section about Devatā) in the Fourth Chapter of the Third Book of the S'ārīrakabhāsya, wherein a discussion has been started relating to the rights of a widower (to such Renunciation), the name of lady Vācaknavī has also been mentioned. references go to strengthen the right claimed by Maitrevī, the wife of Yājňa-valkya, whom she inquires in the words: "Of what use would such (wealth) be to me, if the possession of such would not render me immortal? Tell me, whatever Your Worship knows, as to what I should do, in order to be free from mortality." (5, 4.) Even in the case of Brahma-carins, Grha-sthas, and Vāna-prasthas (students, house-holders and residents in hermitages), who are unable, for some cause or other, to go into Samnyāsa, there is nothing in the way of mental Renunciation for the purpose of attaining knowledge, even while performing the duties peculiar to their respective spheres of life. Many instances of such

knowers of Truth occur in the S'rutis, Smrtis, Purāṇas and Itihāsas, as also in the world. The condition of the Parama-hamsa, characterized by the Daṇḍa, etc., taken on for obtaining knowledge, has been variously described by former teachers and I do not think I have anything to add to what has been said by them in respect to it. So far about the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker.

# 2. VIDVAT-SAMNYĀSA: THE RENUNCIATION-OF-THE-ENLIGHTENED

Henceforth we deal with the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened (Vidvat-samnyāsa). Renunciation by those who have realized the Supreme Truth, by properly carrying out Study, Reflection and Assimilation (S'ravana, Manana and Nididhyāsana), is called the Renunciationof-the-Enlightened. This was attained by Yājña-valkya. The worshipful Yājña-valkya, the crest-jewel of the learned, having discomfited in debate Asvalayana and other Vipras, by variously demonstrating the Truth and having awakened Janaka, in a variety of ways, by disquisitions long and short, to the condition of Supreme Renunciation (Vita-rāgatva), set himself enlighten Maitreyī, his wife, into the Truth to and put to her Samnyāsa, as the thing he had in view for himself. Having thus immediately enlightened her, he became a Samnyāsin. All this is mentioned in the beginning of the Maitreyi-brah mana: "Yājña-valkya, about to enter upon another stage of life (superior to that of a house-holder), accosted her thus: "O beloved Maitreyi! I am about to retire from this stage "(5, 1, 2.) and also at the end: ... "for

verily this retirement leads to real Immortality. Saying so he made Renunciation". (5, 15.) In Kahola-brāhmaņa itself, we find this Saṃnyāsa mentioned thus: "Having realized that  $\bar{A}tman$  aforesaid,  $Br\bar{a}hmanas$  renounce all desire for offspring, wealth and the world (Putraiṣaṇā, Vittaiṣaṇā and Lokaiṣaṇā) and go about as Mendicants." (5, 1.)

It should not be supposed that this text applies to Vividisā-samnyāsa, for, the word "Viditvā" (having realized), implying the priority of such Realization to Samnyāsa, and the word "Brāhmaṇa", meaning the knower of the Brahman, stand in the way of such assumption. Nor should it be supposed that the word Brahmana refers here to the class so called; for the word Brāhmana is used with reference to that Realization of the Brahman, mentioned in the sequel of the text under discussion, which is brought about by Study, Reflection and Assimilation and described there as Learning, Childhood and Silence. If it be said that the word Brāhmana here refers to the Seeker vet devoted to learning. etc., and that this construction is supported by the text "The Brahmana, having passed the stage of Learning, must thence maintain himself in that of Childhood" (5, 1.), we say that this cannot be, for, the word Brāhmaṇa is used with reference to the future condition of the Seeker: otherwise, the use of the particle Atha in the text "Atha Brāhmaṇah." (5, 1.)—" Thence the Brāhmana"—implying the previous fulfilment of all the necessary means (of Self-Realization), would be entirely out of place.

The two kinds of Renunciation, *Vidvat*- and *Vividiṣā-saṃnyāsas*, are clearly mentioned also in the *Sʾārīra-brāhmaṇa*: "Knowing It (the Supreme Self), they

melt in silence; desirous of 'that sphere', ( $\bar{A}tmaloka$ ), they enter  $Samny\bar{a}sa$ ." (4, 22.) The "melting in silence" refers to the habit of contemplation and reflection and this is possible, only when there is nothing to distract the mind from it; whence, nothing but  $Samny\bar{a}sa$  is plainly implied in these words. This has also been rendered clear in the remaining clause (of the said text) thus: "This is the reason why knowers of yore have not wished for progeny, having as their plea—'Of what avail is progeny to us to whom this world, this  $\bar{A}tman$ , is the supreme place?'—They renounce all desire for offspring, wealth and world and betake themselves to alms." (4, 22.) The words "this world" "this  $\bar{A}tman$ " imply that the said world has been directly realized.

It may, however, be urged that "melting in silence" is merely put forth in the above text, as an inducement for entering on Vividisā-samnyāsa (the Realization-of-the-Seeker) and the supplementary clause just examined clarifies the position still further; hence no other kind of Renunciation ought to be read into the text. To this we demur on the ground that the end of the "Renunciation-of the-Seeker" is "Knowledge," and "Knowledge" and "Silence" are certainly not identical terms; for, they stand to each other as antecedent and consequent, as is evident from the text: "After knowing, one becomes silent (Muni)." Still, it may be contended that, as "Silence" is only the ultimate form assumed by matured "Knowledge," it is but the highest condition of knowledge and, as such, the result of the Renunciation of the first kind, through Knowledge. Quite so. That is exactly the reason why we differentiate this kind (Vidvat-) of

Renunciation, which is the end to be attained, from the other kind (*Vividiṣā-saṃnyāsa*), which is but the means. As the Seeker <sup>1</sup> should practise Study, etc., for attaining Realization of the Truth (*Tattva*), so also the Enlightened must attain "Dissolution of the Mind" (*Mano-nāsa*) and "Obliteration of Latent Desire" (*Vāsanā-kṣaya*), which two will be described further on.

Though these two Samnyasas are capable of such differentiation, yet they are looked upon as one, under the category of Parama-hamsatva and the Smrtis have consequently spoken of "four kinds of Mendicants." That the two Renunciations in question are included in the one word Parama-hamsatva, is explained in the Jābāla-sruti. There, Yājña-valkya, on being questioned by Janaka about the nature of Samnyāsa, explains the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker along with what would follow it, by presenting a scheme of the stages of development (of the intellect of the Seeker) and meets the objection of Atri, that one without the sacred thread cannot be called a Brāhmaṇa, by saving that  $\bar{A}tma$ - $j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$  (Self-Realization) alone is the real sacred thread. Hence it may be safely concluded that the Seeker is included in the denomination—Paramahamsa, as he should not wear the sacred thread. So also, in another Section ( $Kandik\bar{a}$ ) of the same, in a passage dealing with Vidvat-samnyāsins, which opens with the words, "the Parama-hamsa is one, etc.," are mentioned the names of many Jivan-muktas, like Samvartaka and

<sup>\*</sup> ¹ This word is used throughout to indicate the Seeker who has gone into Samnyāsa of the first kind, viz., the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker (Vividiṣā-samnyāsa). So also the word "the Enlightened," will be used in the sense of one who has gone into the second kind, the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened (Vidvat-samnyāsa).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The sacred thread is worn by a  $Br\bar{a}hmana$ , as a mark of Initiation into the particular order of the Veda to which his father belongs, which he renounces, when he enters into  $Samny\bar{a}sa$ , seeking to realize the All.

others, and they are described as "bearing no marks, no forms, and behaving like mad men. bound to not mad." Further, the though procedure adopted bv the Tri-dandin, for entering on Vividisā-samnyāsa with Eka-danda, is prescribed therein thus: "That he should throw off in water, after reciting the words,—" Bhūh svāhā" (Be this offered to the Bhūr-loka),—the three Dandas, the water pot, the bag for holding alms, the cup, the filter-cloth, the tuft of hair on the head, the sacred thread and all, and then seek his own Self." Then Vidvat-Samnuāsa, which is the end and aim to be sought thereafter, is thus described—"He is verily the Parama-hamsa, who resumes the condition he was in, at the moment of birth; frees himself from the pairs of opposites; neither receives nor possesses anything; ever walks in the exalted way of the Brahman, with mind ever pure; goes about begging at large at the prescribed time, using his belly alone as his begging bowl; remaining indifferent to gain or loss, abides in any place, be it a deserted dwelling, temple, hay-rick, ant-hill, root of a tree, potter's work-room, the house where the sacred fires are kept, the sand bank of a river, mountaincave or cleft, the hollow of a tree, rivulet or bare ground; avoids all exertion; is selfless; is ever absorbed in divine contemplation; abides in the Atman, ever bent on uprooting all actions, good, bad or indifferent; and shuffles off his mortal coil with the true spirit of Renunciation." From this it is established that these two types (of Samnyāsins) are included in the order of Parama-hamsas.

Though both kinds of *Samnyāsa* are included in the Order of the *Parama-hamsa*, degrees of difference must be admitted, inasmuch as the two Renunciations we are

considering have peculiarities of their own, running counter to the real nature of each other. This contradistinction of the two, will be clearly brought out by a reference to the Aruni- and Parama-hamsa-Upanisads. the words: "O Lord! how could I completely abandon actions?" the pupil Āruni plainly asks his teacher about the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker which consists in the complete abandonment of the tuft of hair on the head, the sacred thread, the study of the Veda, repetition of the Gāyat-tri and other actions of the kind. Accordingly the teacher, Praja-pati, after enjoining complete Renunciation (of everything) implied by the words "the tuft of hair, sacred thread, etc., must be given up for the bamboo-stick and one blanket and one loin-cloth to wear," continues: "He should wash himself at each of the three Samdhyās, be centred in the Self at the moment of Concentration, and should constantly study that part of the Vedas known as the Āranyakas, viz., the Upanisads." Thus are described the duties peculiar to that particular period of life which lead to real knowledge. Again, Nārada, having initiated a question about the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened, in the words "What is the path of those Yogins who are Parama-hamsas?" the teacher. Lord Prajā-pati, as before, hinted first at the Renunciation of everything implied in the words "sons, friends and all," and later referred to the "bamboo-stick, the cover and the loin-cloth," as the only things that could be

Literally the point where any two periods meet. The morning and evening are two such well-known periods between day and night. But three  $Samdhy\bar{a}s$  are here spoken of, which leads us to the inner meaning of the word. The period between the change of breath from Sun to Moon (right to left) and  $vice\ versa$ , known as the  $Susumn\bar{a}$  is called  $Samdhy\bar{a}$ , and this, in the case of a practised ascetic, occurs only thrice in a day. The explanation that follows bears this out.

retained, with a view to protect the body and oblige the world. Even the taking of the stick is spoken of as a mere convention, for, says he, "it is not the chief (condition), and therefore not part of the (necessary) injunctions of the sacred texts." If it be asked, "what is then the essential?" he adds, "not certainly the stick, nor the (disposing off of the) tuft of hair, nor (of the) sacred thread, nor (the betaking to a mere) loin-cloth, by the Parama-hamsa, is the real essence (of all that is here said)." Thus, it is pointed out that the absence of the stick, etc., the insignia of this Order, is in accord with the spirit of the holy writ; and, further. the words,—"Neither cold nor heat (affects him)"; "He is clad in the ample folds of that cloth, which is made up of space extending itself in the ten directions, he is beyond the convention of saluting,"show clearly that he is beyond the usages and forms of the world. By way of winding up, Prajā-pati describes this condition as leading only to the Realization of the Brahman, when he says at the end of this discussion: "He (the Parama-hamsa) has all his desires supremely satisfied, on his finally realizing in himself that Brahman which is all Bliss and Light, ever full and thus experiencing the full force and meaning of the text 'I am Brahman'." From all this, it is clear that these two (kinds of Renunciation) are apart from each other. as their characteristics are distinctly opposed to each other. This distinction is emphasized in the Smrti also.

About the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker it is said:

"Thus finding the world entirely worthless, Seekers after the Truth renounce the world even before marriage,"

<sup>1</sup> With marriage begins the second stage of life called *Grha-sthās'rama*. Generally, it is believed, that *Saṃnyāsa*, the fourth stage, cannot be

feeling the supremest sense of Detachment within themselves. Yoga (i.e., Karma-yoga—the Path of Action) is all Action; Gnosis is all Renunciation; therefore the wise, setting Gnosis above everything, should renounce the world."

Further on it is said about the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened:

"When in the eternal Supreme Brahman, the real essence is realized, then taking only one Danda, the ascetic must give up the sacred thread and the tuft of hair (on the head); he should renounce everything and enter upon the Order, having well realized the Supreme Brahman".

But "Desire for Knowledge" may arise out of sheer curiosity, as in the case of one desirous of acquiring an Art or learning a Science. So also "Learning" may be found in those whose knowledge may, in spite of vaunted scholarship, be after all superficial; yet, neither the one, nor the other, is seen to renounce (the world). The question therefore naturally suggests itself, —What is the full import of the "Desire for Knowledge" and "Learning" itself? The reply is as follows: "As, when hunger is gnawing with all its might, no action other than eating recommends itself to the mind, and even a moment's delay becomes annoyingly intolerable. so, when disgust becomes settled towards actions which invariably lead to birth and death and an eager longing is generated for the acquisition of learning, through Study, etc., the kind of Samnyāsa, which suggests itself, is Vividisā." The limit of "Learning" is thus defined in the Upadesa-sāhasri:

"He is liberated even without his wish, who, eclipsing altogether his body-consciousness, realizes the Self alone as vividly as his body." (4, 5.)

entered upon without passing through the preliminary stages. The verse quoted puts it, that the sense of Detachment being firm,  $Sa\dot{m}ny\bar{a}sa$  may be entered upon, even before marriage, or, indeed, at any time.

## So also the S'ruti:

"The knot in the heart is cut asunder, all doubts vanish, all Karma fades away, on the Realization of the Supreme." (Mun. 2, 8.)

The highest condition attainable is that of the Hiranya-garbha, but even this pales into insignificance. before the Supreme spoken of in the text just quoted. The "knot in the heart" refers to the mistaken identity of the ever present Witness (the  $\bar{A}tman$ ), with the Intellect, brought about by beginningless Ignorance; this is socalled because of its being fast as a knot. The "doubts" referred to in the text are as follow: "Is the Self the Witness or the Actor? Granting it is the Witness of all, is it the Brahman or not? If it is the Brahman, can it be grasped by the Intellect or not? Supposing this to be possible, does Liberation consist in the simple knowledge of this fact or not?" The "Karma" referred to in the text means Karma which is yet potential, but which leads to the future birth (of the individual). These three (the knot, the doubts, and the Karma), being the results of Avidyā, vanish on the Realization of the Self. This is also corroborated by the Smrti:

"He whose real nature is not influenced by egotism and whose mind is not subjected to attachment, verily kills not, though killing all the three worlds and stands affected by no bonds." (B. G. XVIII, 17.)

(This may be thus explained.) That knower of the Brahman, whose real being, whose nature, whose Self, is not warped, from within, by a sense of thorough identity between the ego and the  $\overline{A}tman$ , whose mind is not subjected to attachment, that is to say, is free from doubts of whatever kind, is not affected by the bond of sin, even

if he should destroy all the three worlds, more so by any (minor) acts.

When this is so and future birth is prevented by Gnosis resulting from the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker and it is impossible to do away even with the remaining portion of the present life, without actually enjoying it out, what, it may be asked, is the good of all this trouble about the attainment of the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened? Not so. Such Renunciation leads to "the Liberation-in-this-life" (Jivan-mukti); even as the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker is necessary for acquiring Gnosis, so also the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened is necessary for realizing the condition of Jivan-mukti. So much about the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened.

#### 3. THE NATURE OF JĪVAN-MUKTI

Well then, what is this Jivan-mukti (Liberation-in-thislife)? What proof is there (of its possibility)? How is it brought about? What is the good of it, even if it were capable of accomplishment? These questions are thus answered: Bondage, to a living being, consists in those functions of the mind, which are characterized by feelings of pleasure and pain, concomitant with action and enjoyment and which, therefore, are so many distractions (from the natural condition of Bliss); freedom from this bondage is "Liberation-in-this-life."

Again, it may be asked whether this bond is removed from the Witness or the mind. It cannot certainly be removed from the Witness, because the bond dissolves of itself only by *Gnosis*; nor from the mind, for it is impossible. The doing away with

the mind's linking itself to actions as the doer, is as much possible of accomplishment, as the deprivation of fluidity from water, or of heat from fire; whatever is inherent in all things, has this common feature. This need, however, not be so; for, even though complete annihilation of the nature of a thing be impossible, its neutralization is certainly possible. As the fluidity of water is counteracted by mixing earth with it, or as the heat of fire is neutralized by the jewel (reputed to possess the quality) or by some powerful incantation, etc., so also are all "functions of the mind" (Citta-vṛttayah) neutralized by the practice of Yoga.

But it may here be remarked that the chain of Necessity (Prārabdha-karman) obstructs the course of Gnosis, in its trying to do away with the totality of Avidyā and its results, and drags the body and its organs to results strictly in accord with their design: and that, moreover, the feelings of pleasure and pain are impossible without the functions of the mind; --how then is it possible to neutralize these functions (and what benefits are derived from such neutralization, even if it were possible)? This, however, is not the right way of approach. Jivan-mukti, the result of the said neutralization, being of the nature of Supreme Bliss, is but a link of the chain of Necessity. If, for this reason, it should be argued that Necessity alone will accomplish this Jivanmukti and that free action on the part of the aspirant is entirely out of the question, such a line of argument would apply equally to husbandry, commerce and all human occupations in general.

If it is said that, though Necessity is beyond the plane of experience, unlike the results produced by

it. it cannot produce these results, unless assisted by some means on the plane of experience and that husbandry, etc., would therefore require the free action men, we maintain that the same line of argument applies to Jivan-mukti as well. Where, in the case of husbandry, etc., the result does not accord with expectation. notwithstanding the free action of men, we have, of necessity, to imagine some other more powerful karma as an obstacle, operating through means, such as want of rain, etc., favourable to itself, borrowed from the plane of experience. This obstacle again is removed by having recourse to a more powerful counteracting force in the form of rituals, such as the Kārtri-isti, etc., which neutralizes the obstacle, by having recourse to means favourable to itself, such as rain, etc., borrowed from the plane of experience.

In short, it is impossible for you, however strongly you may pin your faith to the potency of Necessity, to think of the futility of free human action in the form of Yoga-practice; or we may concede that, even as Necessity is superior to Gnosis, so is the practice of Yoga superior to such Necessity. Such being the case, it stands to reason that Uddālaka, Vītahavya and other ascetics had it in their power to caste off their body at pleasure. It may be that such Yoga is difficult to practise, in the case of short-lived mortals like us, but there can hardly be any difficulty in bringing under control the active functions of the mind, such as desire, etc.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A particular sacrifice, so called from its having the bringing of rain as its object.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It may here be observed that two kinds of Yoga are distinctly referred to. The Yoga described as difficult to us, mortals, is Hatha-yoga, the other, consisting in controlling the activity of the mind, is Raja-yoga.

If you do not admit the potency of scientific effort in this case, then all Sciences, beginning with Medicine and ending with Liberation, will become utterly useless. Because (free) effort fails sometimes of its result, we cannot argue, from that circumstance alone, the futility of all (free) action in general; for, if it were so, all kings once defeated ought to disband their armies made up of elephants, cavalry and men. With all this in mind, has Ananda-bodhācārya said: "We do not give up food, because of the fear of indigestion; we do not refrain from preparing our dishes, because beggars are likely to swarm around, nor do we renounce our apparel, for fear of lice appearing thereon."

The potency of scientific effort is plainly indicated in the conversation between Vasistha and Rama (in that portion of the *Yoga-vāsiṣtha*) beginning with "Everything in this world," etc., and ending with "In the end, giving even that, stand ever blissful."

VASISTHA—"Everything in this world, O child of the Raghus! is invariably accomplished by every one, by free action accompanied by well-directed effort."

"Everything" means progeny, wealth, heaven, the *Brahma-loka*, etc. "Free action" means action of the agent consisting of such acts as the *Putra-kāmeṣṭi*, husbandry, commerce, the *Jyotiṣṭoma*, devotion to the *Brahman*, etc.

"Free action is of two kinds: in accord with the  $S\overline{a}stra$  and not in accord with the  $S\overline{a}stra$ . The first culminates in Supreme Bliss, the second in Supreme Evil."

Action, not in accord with the S'āstra, is such as, adultery, theft, etc.; that in accord with the S'āstra is such as, observing daily and occasional (religious) rites,

etc. Evil is Hell. Bliss is Heaven, and Supreme Bliss, the highest of all bliss—Liberation.

"That end which is conducive to Bliss is achieved through free meritorious action, coupled with the qualities acquired by the correct practice, from child-hood, of conforming to the Sastra, the company of good men and the like."

RAMA—"O Sage! I stand as the collection of (previous) impressions directs me; what else can a poor being like me do?"

"Impressions" denote the potentiality for action in the form of *Dharma* and *Adharma* (religious merit and demerit) stored up in the *Jīva*.

VASISTHA—"On that account, O Rāma! thou shalt obtain eternal Bliss, by action brought about by thy own (free) effort and by no other means."

Because you are dependent on the operation of (previous) impressions in all your actions, your own free effort, attended with enthusiasm and generated by thought, word and deed, is essential to liberate you from such dependence.

"The collection of previous impressions is of two kinds: good and bad. Do they both exist, in thy case, or only either of the two?"

The alternatives implied are, whether *Dharma* and *Adharma*, both necessitate your acts or either of them? If it be the latter, whether it is good or bad?

"If thou art carried away by the force of good impressions, then thou shalt, by that very course, attain the Eternal State in no length of time."

By "if" is implied the choice of one of the three alternatives. "By that very course," that is to say, by the good impressions themselves, without any other effort. "The Eternal State," that is, Liberation.

"But if the force of bad previous impressions lead you to misery, then you must try to subdue it with your own effort."

The "effort" here implied is the observance of religious rites, prescribed by the S'astras, as capable of counteracting the force of bad impressions. This force must be subdued by one's own effort and not through other men. even as through the agency of the god of Death in a battle.

"The river of impressions, which flows through good as well as bad courses, should be directed along the right (good) track by free effort."

In the case of the alternative involving both kinds of impressions, though no effort is necessary in the case of good impressions, the bad ought to be displaced by the good, through such effort as the Sastras prescribe.

- "O Mightiest of the mightiest! one's mind, engrossed in bad impressions, must be diverted into the right track, by strong personal effort."
- "Bad impressions" connote such desires as adultery. theft, and the like. The "right track" consists in meditation on the import of the sacred texts, on some god, and the like.
- "The mind of an individual, moved off from the bad (impressions), resorts to the good and vice versa; in this it resembles a child; -- whence, it should be forcibly moved awav."

Just as a child is prevented from eating clay and made to prefer eating fruits instead, or as it is prevented from catching at pearls and jewels and taught to amuse itself with toys, such as a ball, etc., so, indeed, is it possible for the mind to be turned away, by the company of the good, from objects not conducive to its real happiness.

"We may gain over the child, our Mind, very easily, by instilling into it evenness of feeling, which would lead to supreme equanimity; but the same thing may be achieved, not so soon, and therefore, by degrees, by means of strong personal effort."

There are two ways of leading a restive animal into its stall; by tempting it thither by offering fresh grass, scratching the body, etc., or by belabouring it with a stick, harsh shouting, etc. By the first method, success will be attained very easily; while by the second, the beast will be made to run about here and there and driven into the stall only gradually. In the same way, evenness of feeling, consisting in looking with equal eye on foes and friends, and strong effort, consisting of Prānāyāma, Pratyāhāra, etc., are the two methods of subduing the Mind. By the first method of easy Yoga, the Mind may soon be coaxed, while by the second difficult (Hatha = physical) Yoga, it cannot be easily subdued, but by degrees.

"O vanquisher of enemies! when, by the practice of the aforesaid speedy method, impressions dawn upon your Mind, know that such practice has then borne fruit."

When good impressions begin speedily to arise in consequence of the practice of the softer *Yoga* (*Rāja-yoga*), such practice must be said to have borne fruit. It should not be a matter of doubt, if the fruit can be attained in so short a time.

"Even if you are in doubt, keep on practising in the wake of good impressions; in adding to the store of these, O dear! there is no harm whatever."

While good impressions are gathering force, the doubt may arise, whether such practice is complete or not. Even then, the practice should only be kept up. For instance, when we want to tell the beads of a rosary, say, a thousand times, and we are in doubt as to the tenth hundred, the rule is, we must tell the beads over again a hundred times. Thus the number "thousand" would be complete, if really it is incomplete and, if complete, even if the other hundred were a mere addition to the required thousand, it will thereby certainly not vitiate the merit of the Japa.

"As long as you have not acquired complete mastery over the mind and have not realized that state (Supreme Bliss), go on following what is prescribed by the teachers, sacred books and the testimonies (direct, etc.). After that, when all latent desire has vanished in consequence of such realization, even the collection of good impressions should be given up by you, without any pang. Pursuing the very good path trodden by the wise, with sincere feeling and clear understanding, acquire that condition, which is ever unalloyed with sorrow and, in the end, stand ever blissful, by abandoning even that."

The meaning is plain enough.3

Hence, it is plain that *Karma*, etc., can be rendered nugatory by the practice of *Yoga* and as such, there remains no ground for disputing *Jīvan-mukti*, which is its consequence. So much about the real nature of "Liberation-in-this-life" (*Jīvan-mukti*).

### 4. THE CHARACTERISTICS OF JĪVAN-MUKTI

Texts from the S'rutis and the Smrtis bear out the existence of the state of Jivan-mukti. They are found

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The rosary consists of 108 beads, generally taken to represent 100 only and when 1,000 is the number desired, we have to turn the rosary round only 10 times.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Indicated by the absence of doubts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It would much help to make the meaning plain, if a verse of the *Aparokṣānubhūti* be referred to in this place. "In consequence of the Mind being free from transformation and identified then with the *Brahman*,

in the Kathā-valli and other works, e.g., in the words, "the altogether-Liberated is all the more so liberated" (5.1.) of the Katha-valli, which mean, that one who is altogether liberated from the strong bonds of desires, etc., is all the more so liberated on the demise of his body, from all possibility of future bonds of any kind. We say "altogether" for this reason: One is freed from desires, etc., by the practice of self-control and cognate virtues, precedently to the attainment of Gnosis, the desires, etc., that still persist being held in control with some effort: whereas, there being nothing like "transformation of the Mind" (Dhi-vrtti) after the attainment of Gnosis, desires. etc., altogether cease and never arise. Hence the word "altogether". Further, on the occurrence of a Pralaya (Deluge) and the demise of his body, one is free, for a time, from the future trammels of the body, but, the Liberation after Gnosis is Liberation for ever: hence the words "all the more so". Says the Brhad-āranyaka:

"When all desires occupying his heart, fall off entirely, then, indeed, does the mortal become immortal, and realize the *Brahman* even here." (4, 4, 7.)

In another S'ruti also it is said:

"Though with eyes, (he) is, as it were, without eyes; though with ears, (he) is, as it were, without ears; though with mind, (he) is, as it were, without mind; and though with life, (he) is, as it were, without life."

The same may be seen from other texts also. Such a one is described in the *Smrtis* with epithets such as, *Jīvan-mukta* (the Liberated-in-this-life), *Sthita-prajňa* (one whose mind is entirely steady), *Bhagavad-bhakta* (one

the resulting forgetfulness even of this identification is the real Samādhi called Jāāna." 124.

devoted to God), Guṇātīta (one beyond the three properties), Brāhmaṇa (one who has realized the Brahman), Ati-varṇāsramin (one beyond the pale of the four Varṇas and Asramas), and the like.

In the dialogue between Vasiṣṭha and Rāma, the *Jīvan-mukta* is described from "In men devoted entirely to *Gnosis*," etc., to "the ineffable *Sat* alone subsists":

# VASISTHA:

"In men devoted entirely to *Gnosis*, and ever immersed in self-contemplation, arises that condition of "Liberation-in-this-life," which is like the Liberation following the Dissolution of the Body."

"Devoted entirely to *Gnosis*" *i.e.*, of those who have given up the observance of all forms prescribed by the *Veda* or by social convention. "Liberation-in-this-life" (*Jivan-mukti*) and "Liberation following the Dissolution of the Body" (*Videha-mukti*) are distinguished from each other, only according as the body and the senses are present or absent and not by experience, for, in reality, there can be no difference between the two, the sense of duality being absent in both.

# RĀMA:

"O Revered Sage! Explain to me the characteristics of the *Jīvan-mukta* and the *Videha-mukta*, that I may strive in that direction, from an angle of vision afforded by the *S'āstras*."

# VASISTHA:

"He is the true  $J\bar{\imath}van$ -mukta, for whom the phenomenal world, wherein he moves and acts, ceases to exist, leaving alone the All-pervading Eternal Noumenon."

This phenomenal world, consisting of mountains, rivers, oceans, and so on, being drawn by the Supreme

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Of matter (Prakṛti), viz., Sattva, Rajas and Tamas (placidity, energy and grossness).

Lord within Himself, at the time of the Great cyclic Deluge, along with the body and the senses of the cognizing subject and rendered devoid of its form, ceases to exist. Not so in this case (Jivan-muki). For all intercourse dependent on the body and the senses, as also the mountains, rivers, etc., not as yet drawn within Himself by the Lord, continue to exist, and are distinctly experienced as such by the rest of beings. Only for the Jivanmukta, who has not the mind that can transform itself into the form of these things and produce knowledge of them, the world does not exist, as in sleep. In his case, the residuum is obviously the Self-effulgent, All-pervading Noumenon alone. In sleep there is nothing like the transformation of the mind. Still, as there is the germ of the mind in the case of the sleeper, capable of future transformations, such a one cannot be said to be in the state of Jivan-mukti.

"He is the true  $J\bar{\imath}van$ -mukta, whose facial expression neither flushes nor fades under pleasure or pain and who subsists on whatever comes of its own accord."

The flushing is of course indicative of joy. The joy, which ordinary men derive from sandal-paste and other marks of hospitality, does not exult him. "Fading" means depression. He is not depressed by any loss of wealth, contumely or similar calamities, that may befall him. "Who subsists on whatever comes of its own accord" means, who remains content with whatever goes to him in the shape of alms, etc., for preserving his body in the usual course, impelled by his previous *Karma* and not due to any effort of his own, in the circumstances in which he finds himself. In the height of his trance he can have no sense for a garland of flowers, sandal and the like;

and even if, at intervals when such concentration breaks, such a sense should come back to him, his strong discrimination keeps him off from avoiding or courting any of them and thus leads him to that condition which is free from pleasure as well as pain.

"He is the true  $J\bar{\imath}van$ -mukta, who is awake though asleep, who knows no waking, and whose knowledge is entirely free from any  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$ ."

He is awake because, all his senses, beginning with the eyes, exist in their proper places and have not ceased to act. He is asleep because his mind knows no transformation. Hence waking, in the sense of perceiving objects through the senses, does not apply to him any longer. When one has full knowledge, the thought of the knowledge of the *Brahman* and the desire for enjoyment of any kind, are the taints known as *Vāsanā*. Hence freedom from *Vāsanā* is attained by him in the absence of the transformations of the mind.

"He is the real  $J\bar{\imath}van$ -mukta, who, though responding to feelings such as love, hatred, fear, and other kindred feelings, stands pure within, like the  $Ak\bar{a}s'a$ ."

Response to love includes such acts, as eating, etc.; to hatred includes such acts, as turning away from the Bauddhas, the  $K\bar{a}p\bar{a}likas$ , etc.; to fear includes such acts, as escaping from snakes, tigers, etc. "Kindred feelings" include jealousy, response to which means, the practising of closer concentration, etc., with a view to assert one's superiority to other ascetics. Though such acts may come about in moments of break, on account of previous habit, being free from all affections in consequence of the mind being entirely at rest, he remains pure within, even as the  $\bar{A}k\bar{a}sa$ , which, though filled with smoke,

dust, clouds and the like, remains pure, its natural purity being unaffected by them.

"He is the real Jīvan-mukta, whose real nature is not influenced by egotism and whose mind is not subjected to attachment, whether he remains active or inactive."

The first half has been explained, while speaking of the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened. When a man, in the bonds of ignorance, performs some sacred duty in this world, the conscious self within is influenced by egotism. in the form of "I am the doer". The mind is affected with joy, in the form of "I shall reach heaven in future". In the case of one who is inactive, egotism is implied by the consciousness, in the form of: "Alas! I have given up the sacred duty" and his mind becomes affected with sorrow for the impossibility of his ever finding his way to heaven. The same line of reasoning may, as far as occasion demands, be adopted to ordinary acts, as well as to acts prohibited (by Scripture). Both these contingencies never happen to the Jivan-mukta, in consequence of his never identifying himself with acts or their results and inasmuch as he never feels such joy, etc.

"He is the real Jīvan-mukta, whom the world fears not and who is not afraid of the world; and who is free from joy, jealousy and fear."

The world finds no cause to fear him, for he never indulges himself in insulting or belabouring others. For the same reason, people too find no reason to insult or chastise him. Should any wicked man indulge himself in such conduct towards him, he is not afraid of it, inasmuch as his mind never conceives such causes of irritation.

"He is the real Jīvan-mukta, who is at peace with the ways of the world; who, though full of all learning and arts, is yet without any; and who, though endowed with the mind, is without it."

"The ways of the world" comprise differentiating between "friends and foes", "praise and denunciation" and the like. "All learning and arts" include the wellknown sixty-four arts and such person, though wellversed in every one of them, is, as it were, without such accomplishment; for, he neither professes nor exhibits knowledge of any of them. Though the mind is there with him, yet it is not so, for, it does not take on any form. Where we have the reading "Sacinto pi niscintah", "who, though with a contemplative mind, is yet devoid of worldly-mindedness", the meaning is that though the mind, taking on, through the force of (previous) impressions, the form of contemplation on the Self. does exist, he is free from worldly-mindedness, because his mind is not affected like the minds of men of the world.

"He is the real  $J\bar{\imath}van$ -mukta, who, though deeply immersed in all things, keeps his head cool, just as any one would, when engaged in attending to another's affairs; and finds his complete self."

The *Jivan-mukta*, even when engrossed in affairs touching himself, keeps his head cool and is quite unconcerned, feeling no joy or sorrow in the face of either prospective gain or loss, even as one who engages himself in another's affair, such as, when, with a view to please him, he goes of his own accord to the other's house for partaking in marriage or other ceremonial occasions. Such coolness is attributable not only to his freedom from the worry of action, but also to his finding his complete Self. Thus far, the characteristics of the *Jivan-mukta*.

Henceforth, the *Videha-mukta* (Liberated after the dissolution of the physical body):

"After learning the condition of the  $J\bar{\imath}van$ -mukta, one enters on the condition of Liberation-after-death, on the disintegration of his body by lapse of tenure, even as the wind, comes to a standstill."

As the air sometimes resumes its tranquillity, foregoing its assumed motion, so the Liberated stands in his real form, leaving off the form assumed by him during his cosmic existence.

"The Liberated-after-death neither rises nor sets; nor is he ever at rest; he is neither Sat nor Asat; neither remote, nor (near); and he is neither "I" nor "the other".

The rising and setting refer to joy and sorrow respectively: "at rest" means unaffected by either. He. who is thus free from all conditions, having his subtile body dissolved even here, cannot be described as Sat. that is to say, cannot be called the Prājāa conditioned by  $Avidy\bar{a}$ , nor  $\bar{I}svara$  conditioned by  $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ —the root of the world of experience. He cannot be called even Asat. that is to say, formed by the material elements. By saving "he is neither remote" is implied that he cannot be described as beyond  $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ . The word "nor" in "nor (near)" is introduced with a view to preclude his being considered as the Sthūla-bhuk near at hand (the soul that has the material plane of fruition assigned to it). He is not "I" nor "the other", that is to say, he is neither of the microcosm nor of the macrocosm. No other set of alternatives can possibly occur.

"There, then, subsists, as residuum, a certain indescribable Sat, infinite in the calmness of its depth, which is neither light nor darkness, unnamable, unmanifest."

Thus, in view of the resemblance which *Jivan-mukti* is said to bear to *Videha-mukti*, as long as there is access of absolute ecstasy in one living, he is exalted.

The one who is stable of mind (Sthita- $praj\tilde{n}a$ ) is thus spoken of in the Second Chapter of the Bhagavad- $git\tilde{a}$ :

#### ARJUNA said:

- "What is the mark of him who is stable in his  $Prajn\overline{a}$ , steadfast in contemplation, O Kesava! how doth the stable-minded talk, how doth he sit, how walk?" (B. G. II, 54.)
- " Prajūā" means the Knowledge of the Truth. It is of two kinds: Stable and Unstable. The mind of a woman lost in illicit love, ever thinks of her paramour in all her acts and even such real acts, as are evidenced by her senses, regarding household-management, attended to by her at the time, are clean forgotten. The mind of one who has reached Para-vairāgya (Supreme Renunciation) and has, through skill in the practice of Yoga, gained complete mastery over it, cannot, once he has realized the Truth, be disengaged, even for a moment, from the Truth, even as the mind of the infatuated woman from her paramour. This, then, is Stable Knowledge. Again, a person devoid of the above said qualifications, who may sometimes realize the Truth by force of previous good deeds, may forget it, even as the said woman forgets the worry of her household. This then is Unstable Knowledge. Vasistha says with this very distinction in his mind:
- "A woman addicted to a paramour, though all intent on the discharge of her household-duties, tastes within her mind only the elixir of her lover's company. Even so, the wise man, finding sweet rest in the Supreme Undefiled Truth, continues to enjoy it within, though engaged in the affairs of the world around him."

The Sthita-prajña may be viewed from two different aspects, according as he is in Ecstasy, or out of it.

Arjuna inquires after the characteristics of both these conditions, by the first and second half of the stanza. What is the mark of him who is stable in his *Prajnā* (lost in Ecstasy), that is to say, steadfast in contemplation, by words of what import should this man be described? How does he differ, in his sitting and going about, from the uninformed?

The Blessed LORD said:

"When a man abandoneth, O Pārtha, all the desires of the heart and is satisfied in the Self by the Self, then is he called stable in  $Praj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ ." (B. G. II, 55.)

Desires are of three kinds: objective, subjective, and of the form of simple impressions. Sweets, etc., already acquired, constitute the field of objective desires, those that exist only in hope, constitute the sphere of subjective desires, and desires that rise up accidentally, such as at the sight of grass in passing over a footpath, make up those which are of the form of simple impressions. When one works himself to the Ecstasy of Concentration, he gives up all desires, as his Mind is incapable of transformations. The satisfaction he feels is seen in the cheerfulness of his countenance. This satisfaction is not the result of (fulfilled) desires, but of (realizing) the Self, for, all desires having been already given up, his Intellect is nearest the real nature of the Self, in the form of Supreme Ecstasy. In this state (of Ecstatic Trance) the Supreme Bliss is not cognized by the transformation of the mind, as in the state of ordinary Concentration (Samprajnāta-samādhi), but by the Self in the form of Self-illumined Consciousness. The satisfaction too, is not due to the transformation of the mind, but to the impression left by such transformation. One in the

Ecstatic Trance is described in language bearing import, as under:

"He, whose mind is freed from anxiety amid pains, is indifferent amid pleasures, is loosed from passion, fear and anger, is called a Sage of stable mind." (B. G. II, 56.)

"Pain" is that transformation of the mind which is produced by such cause, as disease, etc.; which is a form of the energetic property (Rajo-quna) inherent in human nature: which is of the nature internal heat: and which is disagreeable "Anxiety" is the transformation of the one's self. mind of one who is subject to pain, expressed in words of repentance, such as "I have been a sinner; fie on me, who have been a wicked soul!" which is a kind of delusion resulting from Tamo-guna, the gross nature inherent in man. The transformation referred to above apparently seeks at Viveka, differentiation between rightful and sinful conduct. No doubt, in the case of previous births, there could be justification for it, inasmuch as it has, for its aim, the possible prevention of sinful action in the future. But, as regards the present life, it is mere delusion, because of its futility. "Pleasure" is that agreeable transformation of the mind, which is of the form of gratification resulting from the acquisition of a kingdom, the birth of a son and the like and which is due to the placidity (Sattva) inherent in human nature. "Craving" is that transformation of the mind arising from the gross side of human nature, after experiencing pleasure of the kind described above and expecting in vain similar experience in the future, but without bringing to bear the influence of the requisite meritorious action. As pleasure and pain are the results of Necessity (*Prārabdha*), and as the mind of the ascetic, when he is out of trance, is capable of transformation, there is scope for both of them; but in the case of the Enlightened neither anxiety nor craving is possible. Similarly, love, fear and anger, being of the gross part of human nature and not brought about by Necessity, do not exist in him. The *Sthita-prajña*, described as aforesaid, utters words expressive of his own experience and implying freedom from anxiety and indifference to pleasure, to enlighten his pupils.

"He, who, on every side, is without attachments, whatever hap of fair and foul, who neither likes nor dislikes, of such a one the understanding is well-poised." (B. G. II, 57.)

That transformation of the grosser mind of one having another as its object, in the presence whereof the loss or gain of the other is identified as of oneself, is called "attachment". By "fair" are meant things, which are sources of happiness, such as one's wife and the like. That transformation of the mind which induces one to praise such things is "joy". Such praise being altogether aimless, as it is not meant to coax another, the resultant joy is of a gross nature. By "foul" are meant things which are sources of misery, brought on by jealousy, such as the accomplishments of another and the like. The word "dislikes" implies hatred, which is the transformation of the mind, when it sets about censuring such foul things. This is also gross, for the reason that such censure is utterly useless, as it falls short of its purpose, i.e., prevention. How can such gross ideas prevail in an Enlightened one?

"When, again, as a tortoise draws in on all sides its limbs, he withdraws his senses from the objects of sense, then is his understanding well-poised." (B. G. II, 58.)

That the mind of the *Sthita-prajīa* out of his trance is entirely free from the grosser kinds of transformation, is shown by the two previous stanzas. On the other hand, when he is in a state of trance, his mind is subject to no transformations whatsoever. Where then is the room for doubt as to their gross nature?

"The objects of sense, but not the relish for them, turn away from an abstemious dweller in the body; and even relish turneth away from him after the Supreme is seen." (B. G. II, 59.)

The chain of Necessity brings about, of itself, the several causes of pleasure and pain, such as the rising of the Moon, thick darkness, and the like. Other things, such as houses, lands and the like, are brought about through personal effort. The rising of the Moon and the like cease, as it were, to exist, by the complete withdrawal of all the senses in the trance and by no other means whatever. The houses and the like cease to be, even without the trance.  $\bar{A}h\bar{a}ra$  (the taking in) consists in putting forth effort for the purpose and houses and other objects leave the abstemious, as soon as such effort ceases. The relish which is the mental desire for such objects. does not however leave him at first. Even such relish. which relates only to objects, which cause but little joy, disappears on obtaining sight of the Supreme Brahman, the Height of Joy and Bliss. The S'ruti also has it: "What shall we do with offspring—we whose Loka is the  $\bar{A}tman$ ?" (Br. 4, 4, 22.)

<sup>&</sup>quot;O Son of Kunti! the excited senses of even a wise man, though he be striving, impetuously carry away his mind. Having restrained them all, he should sit harmonized, with "I" as his supreme goal; for, whose senses are mastered, of him the understanding is well-poised." (B. G. II, 60-61.)

The practice of Concentration is useful as a safeguard against occasional aberrations, notwithstanding persistent attempts at giving up all personal effort, as well as at the realization of the *Brahman*. This verse is in reply to the question "How does he sit?"

"Man, musing on the objects of sense, conceiveth an attachment to these; from attachment ariseth desire; from desire anger cometh forth; from anger proceedeth delusion; from delusion confused memory; from confused memory the destruction of Reason; from destruction of Reason he perishes." (B. G. II, 62-63.)

In this verse is described the nature of the aberration which may come about for want of the practice of Concentration. "Attachment" means proximity of the object of thought. "Delusion" means indifference to the difference between right and wrong. "Confused memory" means the absence of pondering over the Truth. The "destruction of Reason" refers to the inability of *Gnosis*, neutralized by the current of opposite ideas thus set agoing, to bring about Liberation.

"But the disciplined self, moving among sense-objects with senses free from attraction and repulsion, mastered by the Self, goeth to peace." (B. G. II, 64.)

One who is practised in *Samādhi* (Concentration, Trance) attains peace perfectly, by force of the impressions derived from such practice, even when dealing with objects through the senses, in moments when the trance is not on him. This verse is in reply to the question "How walk?" The several verses that follow also explain the nature of the *Sthita-prajāa*.

Here it may be asked: "Should not the absence of love, hatred and the like, precede the dawning and the stability of the Knowledge of the Truth?" Quite so.

There is however some difference. The author of the *S'reyo-mārga* has pointed it out thus:

"All that precede the acquisition of *Gnosis*, are means which are brought about by effort, but are inherent in the case of the *Sthita-prajña*. The condition of being firmly fixed in the knowledge of the Truth, wherein all sense of separateness is obliterated by the uninterrupted flow of light of the Self, is called *Jīvan-mukti*."

The true devotee of the Lord is described by *Bhagavān* S'rī Kṛṣṇa in the Twelfth Chapter (of the *Bhagavad-gitā*) thus:

"He, who beareth no ill-will to any being, is friendly and compassionate, without attachment and egoism, balanced in pleasure and pain, and forgiving, ever content, harmonious, with the self controlled, resolute, with mind and Reason dedicated to Me, he, My devotee, is dear to Me." (B. G. XII, 13-14.)

As, when in a state of trance, the devotee is not distracted by any thing whatever, he having then surrendered his mind to *Īsvara*, and as, when he is out of the trance, there is for him neither joy nor sorrow, which are alike treated by him with supreme indifference, there results the balance (of mind) in pleasure and pain.

"He from whom the world doth not shrink away, who doth not shrink away from the world, freed from the anxieties of joy, anger, and fear, he is dear to Me. He who, wants nothing, is pure, expert, passionless, untroubled, renouncing every undertaking, he, My devotee, is dear to Me. He who, neither loveth nor hateth, nor grieveth, nor desireth, renouncing good and evil, full of devotion, he is dear to Me. Alike to foe and friend, and also in fame and ignominy, alike in cold and heat, pleasures and pains, destitute of attachment, taking equally praise and reproach, silent, wholly content with what cometh, homeless, firm in mind, full of devotion, that man is dear to Me." (B. G. XII, 15-19.)

Here also the *Vārttika-kāra* has touched upon certain special features as before:

"Absence of hatred and other qualities comes without any effort of his, to one in whom has dawned the light of the Self, and not as means to an end." (Nais. 4. 69.)

One who has transcended the sphere of the *Gunas* (properties) is thus described in the Fourteenth Chapter of the *Bhagavad-gītā*:

#### ARJUNA said:

"What are the marks of him who hath crossed over the three properties, O Lord! How acteth he, and how doth he go beyond these three properties?" (B. G. XIV, 21.)

The three *Guṇas* are *Sattva*, *Rajas* and *Tamas* (Placidity, Energy and Grossness); the whole world is made up of the evolutes of these three. Hence, one beyond the *Guṇas* is he who is not of the world, in other words, who is a *Jīvan-mukta*. "Marks" are indications to others that a certain man is a *Guṇātīta* (beyond the *Guṇas*). "Ācāra" refers to the way in which his mind would act under the circumstances. "How" relates to the means to be adopted by one for going beyond the three properties.

### The Blessed LORD said:

"He, O Pandava! who hateth not radiance; nor outgoing energy; nor even delusion, when present; nor longeth after them, absent; he, who, seated as a neutral, is unshaken by the properties; who, saying, "The properties revolve," standeth apart immovable, balanced in pleasure and pain; self-reliant; to whom a lump of earth, a rock and gold are alike; the same to loved and unloved; firm; the same in censure and in praise; the same in honour and ignominy; the same to friend and foe; abandoning all undertakings—he is said to have crossed over the properties. And he who serveth Me exclusively by the Yoga of devotion, he, crossing beyond the properties, is fit to become the ETERNAL." (B. G. XIV, 22-26.)

Radiance, Energy and Grossness refer to the three *Gunas—Sattva*, *Rajas* and *Tamas*. These three prevail in waking and dream and subside in sleep, trance and mental abstraction.

"Energy" is of two kinds: agreeable and disagreeable. The fool hates the disagreeable and seeks the agreeable energy in the waking condition. The Gunātīta. however, being beyond the influence of impressions, agreeable or disagreeable, feels neither hatred nor desire. The Enlightened Gunātīta stands entirely indifferent. even as the onlooker witnessing two parties fighting with each other, himself unconcerned with the issue and unswayed either way, by success or defeat. This indifference is due to the conviction: "The Gunas act and react upon themselves. I have nothing to do with them." The false knowledge "I am the doer" is the cause of shaking and such false knowledge being absent from the Gunātīta, he stands ever "unshaken". This is in answer to the querry "How acteth he (the Sthita-prajña)?" "Balanced in pleasure and pain," etc., are the marks of a Service of the Supreme by the practice of Gunātīta. Gnosis and Concentration accompanied by unswerving Devotion, are the means to be adopted by the *Gunātīta*.

The  $Br\bar{a}hmana$  is thus described by  $Vy\bar{a}sa$  and others:

"The gods know him to be a  $Br\bar{a}hmana$ , who, with neither upper nor nether garment, reclining on bare ground, and using nothing but his hand for a pillow, remains ever at peace (within and without)."

The word "Brāhmaṇa" refers to the knower of the Brahman. The S'ruti describes him in the words "hence the Brāhmaṇa." The knower of the Brahman being entitled

to the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened and also specially enjoined not to have commitments of his own, by the *S'ruti*, in the words "He is the *Parama-hamsa* who without any covering goes about as he was born";—it is quite proper that the *Brāhmaṇa* should have been described as one who is without the upper or nether garment and so on.

"The gods know him to be a  $Br\bar{a}hmana$ , who is covered by garment of any kind, is sustained by any kind of food and reclines on any kind of place whatever."

Though food, covering and a resting place are factors to be reckoned with for his bodily sustenance and the like, the *Brāhmaṇa* does not weigh the question of their merit or demerit in determining them; the satisfaction of hunger and substantial nourishment to the body being the only relevant considerations, the question of merit or demerit, which only serves to vitiate the mind indulging upon it, ceases to be germane.

With this in mind, in the Bhāgavata it is said:

"Of what avail is the dilating on the nature of merit and demerit? To look at merit and demerit as distinct is bad; to turn away from either of them is laudable."

He should keep to the *Danda* and the *Kaupina*, with a view to implement the faith of his audience, by creating the impression of his superiority, while engaged, purely out of his grace, in imparting the knowledge of the *Brahman* to them. The *Sruti* also enjoins: "The loin-cloth, the stick and the blanket, he should keep, as much for self-preservation as for obliging the world." He should, however, never, even out of the strongest sympathy, exchange even a word about the worldly concerns (of his pupils), but should keep himself ever absorbed in

Concentration. The S'ruti has it "Know well that Atman alone, avoid all other speech." (Mun. 2, 2, 5.) Also,

"The wise Brāhmaṇa, having well realized it, should identify himself with it; on no account should he be inclined to be prolix, for, then, it is all mere waste of breath." (Br. 4, 4, 21.)

Imparting the knowledge of the *Brahman* does not come under "other speech"; whence it cannot prove detrimental to Concentration.

This "Concentration" will meet with no interruption in solitude, which again is enjoined by another *Smrti*:

"The mendicant should remain alone, as is enjoined; two of them make a pair; three, a village; and more than that, a city; a city, a village or a pair should be avoided; for, among them (constituting the above), there is scope for the discussion of political or other matters or gossip about alms."

To proceed,

"Him the gods know to be a *Brāhmaṇa*, who has no blessing to give, no business to undertake, no salutation to offer, nor, praise to bestow, who is never depressed, and in whose case rituals are shorn (of their purpose)."

It is customary for the Elders in society to bless those persons that salute them. Wishing success to the man in the acquisition of whatever is nearest to his heart, is "blessing"; it being so, as tastes differ among men, the distraction involved in the mental search after the propitiation of such tastes, produces worldly impressions on a large scale and proves detrimental to *Gnosis*. Another *Smrti* also says:

"Gnosis in its proper form, is never attained by one, whose mind is distraught with things of the world, the pride of learning and the preservation of his body."

This would apply also to "business", "salutation", etc. "Business" consists in the effort to acquire house,

land or the like, either for oneself or for the benefit of others. The Liberated must give up these, viz., blessing and business. It should not be supposed that abstinence from blessing will offend the saluting men; for, the uttering of the word Nārāyaṇa answers, in equal measure, the purpose of all blessings and is, at the same time, capable of acting as an antidote against both the worldly-mindedness (of the Liberated) and the grief (of the saluting men). Business of all kinds is evil. Says the Smṛti:

- "Business of all kinds is clouded by defects as fire by smoke." (B. G. XVIII, 48.)
  - "Salutation" is prescribed to the Seeker, thus:
- "Salutation must be made to one who is a prior  $Samny\bar{a}$ -sin and belongs to the same order and never to any one else."

Here enquiry as to the priority of the *Samnyāsin* and if he belongs to the same Order, leads to the distraction of the mind. Hence, many *Samnyāsins* are found wrangling about the mere question of "salutation". The *Vārttika-kāra* explains the cause of this thus:

"There are also Samnyāsins to be found, swerving from the right path, with their minds engrossed in the phenomenal world, addicted to tale-bearing, prone to fomenting quarrels and with their hearts influenced adversely by Fate." (I. 1584).

It has been shown by His Grace (S'aṁkara) that the Liberated has nothing to do with salutation:

"When he remains steadfast in the Unconditioned, transcending all name and form, when, in fact, he is thus transfixed to the unique Empire of the Self, whom should such a Knower of the Self salute? He has nothing to do with action of any kind." (Up. 17, 64).

Though salutation of the kind likely to confuse the mind is prohibited, that salutation which generates lucidity, resulting from mental equanimity, is permitted. Says the *Smrti*:

"One should make salutation, even to the dog, the Candala, the cow and the ass, by prostrating himself on the ground like a stick, in the belief that the God Almighty inheres in all things as their  $J\bar{\imath}va$ ."

The "praise" that is forbidden is the praise of men, not of God. Says Bṛhas-pati (in his *Smṛti*):

"Who would not be freed from bondage, should be glorify the Maker of the universe, even as he, in quest of wealth, would praise the man of wealth with all deference?"

To resume, "never depressed" means not lacking in spirit. Hence the *Smrti*:

"On occasions when he gets no food, the man of strong will would find cause for no dejection, nor would he ever find cause for exultation on obtaining it;—for both of them depend entirely on Fate."

"By rituals shorn of their purpose" is meant, his being beyond the pale of religious injunctions and prohibitions; for, as the *Smrti* has it, "Where can there be any injunction or prohibition to them, who walk the way transcending the three *Gunas*?" With the same in mind, the Lord, too, has said:

"The Vedas deal with the three Gunas (properties); be thou above these three Gunas, O Arjuna! beyond the pairs of opposites, ever steadfast in purity, careless of possessions, full of the Self." (B. G. II, 45).

# Also NARADA:

"The all-pervading *Visnu* should ever be treasured up in one's memory and not lost sight of even for a moment; all injunctions and all prohibitions are ever at the beck and call of such a one possessed of these two."

To proceed, then,

"Him the gods know to be a  $Br\bar{a}hmana$ , who is afraid of the multitude, as of a snake; of conventional respect and forms, as of death itself; and of women, as of a corpse."

The reason for their being "afraid of the multitude, as of a snake" has already been indicated in the words "among them, there is scope for the discussion of political or other matters". As "respect" breeds attachment and thus sets up tendencies adverse to the true aim of life, it should be avoided as "death". There is also the reading "as Hell". Hence the *Smrti*:

"Absence of regard adds to the force of austerities, while regard undermines it: the Vipra adored and worshipped speeds to decay, like the cow that becomes dry owing to abstinence from being milked."

With this very idea in mind, insult is spoken of as worth courting, in the *Smrti*:

"The Yogin, ever keeping in mind the path of the wise, must conduct himself in such a way that people would treat him with contempt, and never seek his company."

Women are open to two kinds of disqualifications (from the ascetic's stand-point), in being one of the "prohibited" things and in being loathsome by nature. The "prohibited" limit is sometimes transgressed, through overpowering attachment, or through the force of Necessity. Says the *Smrti*, with this in view:

"Never share the same seat even with mother, sister or daughter; for, the host of senses overthrows even the Enlightened, by its overwhelming force." (Manu. 2, 215).

Loathsomeness is thus described in the Smrti:

"Though there is little difference between the unnamable part of the woman and the fistula ever oozing, one is often deluded, owing to difference in point of view. What more is there than the audacity of those men, who find

pleasure in that piece of integument with a slit in the middle, and full of the stench emanating from the nether orifice hard by?"

There is also the reading "do not such men resemble worms?"

Thus it will be seen that the comparison of a woman to a corpse is to bring out both the senses implied by her being classed as a prohibited thing and her being loathsome.

"The gods know him to be a  $Br\overline{a}hmana$ , who, all alone, ever fills the entire  $Ak\overline{a}sa$ , and who finds himself in utter solitude, though in a densely populated place."

Men of the world should avoid being alone, on account of their susceptibility to fear, indolence and the like; the company of others should be courted by them, as there is no scope for such susceptibility therein. In the case of *Yogins* the opposite is true; the vast expanse of Space appears to be full of the fully realized Bliss of the Self, owing to Concentration carried on without interruption, in solitude. Hence, there is the absence of susceptibility to fear, indolence, sorrow, delusion, and the like, in their case. For, as the *Sruti* puts it:

"Where can there be any delusion, or sorrow to the Enlightened, who sees oneness through the realization of every being as his Self." (Isa. 7.)

A place densely populated is adverse to Concentration, on account of the political discussion, etc., taking place there and hence not conducive to the realization of the Bliss of the Self. Such a place torments the mind as bereft of such Bliss. The reason for this is the illusory nature of the world and the fullness of the Self.

One who is beyond caste (Varna) and stage ( $\overline{A}srama$ ) is thus described by Paramesvara to Viṣṇu, in the Fifth

Chapter of the Section on Liberation, in the  $S\bar{u}ta$ - $sa\dot{m}hit\bar{a}$ :

"The student, the householder, the forester, the mendicant, and he who belongs to no Varna or Asrama: these stand in point of their superiority and skill in the order mentioned. (9.) He who is beyond Varna and  $\bar{A}srama$  is said to he the master of chelas of all orders; even like Me, he should never, O Purusottama! become the pupil of any one. Such a one is verily the Teacher of teachers, there is really none in this world equal or superior to him. He belongs to the order beyond Varna and  $\bar{A}srama$ , who has realized the Supreme Truth, which transcends the body and the senses, which is the Witness of all, the Absolute Intelligence, all Bliss and selfeffulgent. O Kesava! he is beyond Varna and Asrama, who knows his Self as God, from hearing the Great Texts' of the Vedānta: who knows the great Lord who transcends the Varnas and Asramas and is the Witness of the three conditions: who has firm conviction, born of the study of the Upanisads, that the Varnas, Asramas and the like are only a part of the web of illusion woven round the body, and by no means belong to "Me," i.e., the Self, which is all consciousness; who indeed knows, through the Upanisads, that the whole of this universe fulfils itself on account of My presence, just as men, of their own accord, fulfil their various duties in the presence of the Sun; that the world is ever projected in Me as an illusive idea, even as Hāra, Keyūra, Kataka, Svastika and other varieties of ornaments are illusions of pure gold; and that the whole world beginning with the Mahat is produced by mere illusion in me, even as silver is produced by mere illusion in nacre. The great Lord who is One, ever pervades, like  $\bar{A}k\bar{a}s'a$ , the body of a  $Cand\bar{a}la$  or of a Brāhmana, of bird or beast and all things high or low, devoid of any connection whatever; and "I, indeed, am this Lord"thus does, he, O Purusottama! realize the truth of the Upanisad. He has transcended all Varnas and all  $\bar{A}sramas$ , who, through the concluding portion of the Veda, realizes "As the directions all appear as before to a man, even after the delusion of his eye has been cured, so, to me, the world still appears (the impression still persists), even after its dissolution

<sup>1</sup> Such as, "Thou art that," "I am the Brahman," and the like.

by proper Gnosis: in fact it is naught: as the whole panorama of a dream is merely the result of fancy and illusion in me, so also, the experience I have, while awake, is the result of illusion in me". He is, no doubt, removed beyond Varna and Asrama, who stands centred in the Self, rising above all Varnas and Asramas, on the dissolution of all the duties pertaining to the one or the other, at the sight of his real Self. He is declared to be, by all Knowers of the Essence of the Veda, beyond Varna and  $\bar{A}srama$ , who, having given them up, stands ever centred in the Self. The body, the senses, the vital breath, the mind, the intellect, egoism, the thinking principle, nay, even illusion itself, the cosmos beginning with supreme ether, the doer, the sufferer, the director of either none of these constitute the Self; It is all pure Being, all pure Thought, all pure Bliss; It is verily, in every repect, none other than the Brahman. The Sun appears to move, only when the water (in which he is reflected) moves. Likewise. the Self apparently has vicissitudes, only when under the influence of egoism. Therefore, O Kes'ava! the Varnas and the  $\bar{A}sramas$ , which really pertain to some other thing, are attributed only through delusion to the  $\bar{A}tman$ . They have nothing to do with the true Knower of the Self. Injunction, prohibition, nay the very idea that something ought or ought not to be given up, and things of the kind do not, O Janardana! belong to the Knowers of the  $\bar{A}tman$ : O lotus-eved one! Mortals deluded by  $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$  can never understand these characteristics of the Atma-jňanin. These eyes of flesh can never see the condition of the Knowers of the Brahman. O Kes'ava! it comes of itself to those who know. Where all men are usually fast asleep, the Yogin is wide awake; and O Kes'ava! where men are wide awake, there the Enlightened is fast asleep. He is said to be the true Ativarnās ramin (one beyond Varna—caste, and Asrama—stage), who has realized, by selfexperience and by what he has been taught at the end of the Veda, in regard to the Self, which is Peerless: Unconditioned; Unaffected; ever Pure; beyond Illusion; Being and Consciousness in one; and supremely Immortal." (14-42.)

Thus, then, *S'rutis* such as "the altogether-Liberated is all the more liberated" and texts from the *Smrtis* 

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ In this text are hinted both Jivan-mukti and Videha-mukti. The word Videha-mukti will, however, have its meaning slightly modified in the next chapter.

speaking of the "Liberated-in-this-life," the "Stable in  $Praj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ ," the "Devotee of the Lord," the "Gunātīta," the "Brāhmaṇa" and the "Ativarṇāsramin"—all these bear testimony to the truth and possibility of what is called "Liberation-in-this-life" (Jīvan-mukti).

## CHAPTER II

## ON THE OBLITERATION OF LATENT DESIRE

WE now proceed to deal with the means which lead to "Liberation-in-this-life". They are "Gnosis," "the Dissolution of the Mind," and "the Obliteration of Latent Desire". Hence, in the Yoga-vāsiṣṭha, Vasiṣṭha says, while dealing with "the body of Jīvan-muktas," at the end of the Chapter on Supreme Pacification:

"O best of intellects! the Obliteration of Latent Desire, *Gnosis* and the Dissolution of the Mind, if attempted simultaneously for a sufficient length of time, bestow the desired fruit."

Having thus shown the relationship of these means to their result, the Sage points out contrariwise the relationship of the absence of these means to the absence of the result:

"So long as these three are not well attempted repeatedly, the (aforesaid) condition cannot be realized even after the lapse of hundreds of years."

¹ The word, "Mind," should be understood not in the sense of that which is opposed to "Matter," viz., the Spirit, but in the sense of the Intelligent or Thinking Principle. The Inner Sense—Antah-karana—has four functions (1) simple response, Sensation; (2) localizing external objects, Perception; (3) connecting the thinking ego with thoughts and acts, Volition; and (4) the last which influences volition one way or the other, Reason. Action then passes from the inner-mental-plane to the outer-physical-plane. The first of these four is what is meant by "Mind" in the present instance. It may conveniently be mentioned here that the other three processes are, in Samskrt, called Citta, Aham-kāra, and Buddhi.

He then points out the disadvantage arising from not attempting these three at the same time, thus:

- "Even if these should be attempted one by one, for a long time, they are not attended with success, as is the case with incantations, which have to be invariably pronounced together, (if uttered one by one)."
- If, in the "besprinkling" during the "Twilight-adoration-ceremony", which must be accompanied with the repetition of the three Rks, beginning with " $\bar{A}po\ hi$   $s(h\bar{a})$ ," etc., these three be repeated alternately day after day, the ceremony cannot be said to be accomplished, as laid down by the Scripture. Again, if from those incantations which always go in hexads, one incantation after another were recited, at intervals, no result will follow. Or for instance, if, in our temporal affairs, vegetable, soup, rice and the like were each served, one for each meal, there can certainly be no dinner.

The purpose served by the repeated attempt (of the three) is thus set forth:

"If these three are attempted for a long time, undoubtedly the fast knots of the heart are sundered, even as the fine threads of the lotus give way, on the cutting up of the stalk."

The negative aspect of the same is thus described:

"Worldly existence, O Rama! has inured through hundreds of previous incarnations and is never dissolved without long application."

Not only does isolation of these means in their application lead to no result, but also renders even their proper attainment impossible. Says he:

"Gnosis, the Dissolution of the Mind, and the Obliteration of Latent Desire, being closely inter-related as cause and effect, are difficult of attainment." By coupling together twos and twos out of these three, there arise three pairs. The reciprocal causal relation between the two, in the pair made of the "Dissolution of the Mind" and the "Obliteration of Latent Desire," is described thus by setting forth the negative aspect:

"Latent Desire is not obliterated, so long as the Mind is not dissolved, and the Mind never attains rest, so long as Latent Desire is not killed."

The Inner Sense (Antah-karana) taking the form of a series of transformations, resembling, in this respect, the flame of a lamp, is called the Mind, on account of its mental functions. The Dissolution of this Mind then means its giving up all connection with these transformations and assuming a state of Interception. Says Patanjali in his Yoga-sūtras: "Interception is the transformation of the Mind at the moments of Interception: the impressions of Distraction and Interception going out and rising up respectively." (III, 9.) Impressions tending to distraction being neutralized, impressions holding the Mind in control manifest themselves; and the moment of Interception holds sway over the Mind. This is all that is meant by the "Dissolution of the Mind". That which generates mental impressions, such as anger and the like, rising up all of a sudden and without any thought of the past or the future, is called Vāsanā (Latent Desire), for, it is the something that saturates the Mind with the Vāsanā (lit... smell or fragrance) of every previous experience. The Obliteration of this Latent Desire means the absence of scope for the rise of anger and the like, even in the presence of appropriate external causes, as a result of

Vāsanās, such as Self-control and the like, generated by Discrimination, being firmly fixed in the Mind. Now if the Mind is not dissolved and transformations do arise, there can be no Obliteration of Vāsanā, in case some accidental external cause excites anger and the like. On the other hand, as long as Vāsanā is not destroyed, transformation of the Mind continues as before and, as such, there is no Dissolution of the Mind.

The reciprocal causal relationship between *Gnosis* and the Dissolution of the Mind is thus described by setting forth the negative aspect:

"Whence could there be rest for the Mind, so long as there is no *Gnosis*? And *Gnosis* too is impossible, as long as the Mind is not entirely at rest."

"All this is verily the Atman. The phenomenal world of forms, tastes and the like is illusory; it has no real existence whatever": Conviction such as this, is real Gnosis. When such Gnosis has not been accomplished, so long as taste and other things actually exist, transformations of the Mind relating to them cannot by any means be prevented, even as the flame of fire cannot be stopped, as long as we continue to feed it with fuel. So long as the Mind is not at rest and, in consequence, forms and the like are experienced through transformations of the Mind, there arises the doubt that the text, "Here verily there is no diversity whatsoever," runs counter to direct experience, as in the case of the text "the Prastara (the clump of Kusa grass) is the Yajamana (the sacrificer)"; on account of such doubt, conviction that the Brahman is secondless cannot arise.

The reciprocal causal relationship between the Obliteration of Latent Desire and *Gnosis* is thus described by setting forth the negative aspect:

"Where could there be access to *Gnosis* as long as Latent Desire is not killed? Latent Desire can never be obliterated as long as *Gnosis* is not fully realized."

Gnosis never comes about, for want of proper means, such as Self-control and the like, as long as the latent power of anger and the like is not laid entirely at rest. In so far as the secondlessness of the Brahman is not fully realized, that False Knowledge, which invests the causes of anger and its brood with a semblance of reality, is not done away with; hence Latent Desire is not killed.

We now proceed to set forth the reciprocal causal relationship of the aforesaid three pairs in their positive aspect. When the Mind is dissolved, and there is no consciousness of any external cause which can fully rouse mental impressions, Latent Desire fades away; when Latent Desire dies out and there remains no cause for that functioning of the mind which we call anger and the like, the Mind is dissolved. This is the pair made up of "the Dissolution of the Mind" and "the Obliteration of Latent Desire". From the Sruti" It is seen with the onepointed Intellect," it can be inferred that, as only that transformation of the Mind which has attuned itself to the oneness of the Self, can see, the destruction of all other transformations is, it will be plain enough, the only cause of proper Gnosis. When Gnosis has arisen, as the Mind ceases to think of this unreal world, as it would, of the "horns of a man" and similar impossibilities; and as

¹ From the Kathopanisad: "This is the concealed Self of every being, never manifest; It is seen with the one-pointed intellect by those who are accustomed to minute observation."

thinking in any form is no more of any use, after  $\bar{A}tman$  has been fully seen; the Mind dies out, of itself, like fire not fed with fuel. This is the pair made up of Gnosis and the Dissolution of the Mind. The author of the  $V\bar{a}rttika$  thus describes how Gnosis is the cause of the Obliteration of  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}s$ , such as anger and the like:

"Wherefore can the man of Discrimination find cause for anger, when he sees the identical *Atman* pervading his foes, kinsmen and himself alike, even as it does the limbs of his body?" (Nais. 2, 18.)

It is a wellknown fact that Self-control and the like, which are in effect identical with the obliteration of the latent sense of anger and the like, are the proper means of *Gnosis*. Says VASIŞTHA also:

"Virtues, such as Self-control and the like, derive nourishment from *Gnosis*; *Gnosis* likewise developes fully through these virtues: like the lotus and the pond wherein it grows, they conduce to their mutual well-being."

Such is the nature of the pair made up of *Gnosis* and the Obliteration of Latent Desire.

The means of accomplishing the three, viz., Gnosis, and others are thus described:

"Therefore, O Raghava! with free personal effort, accompanied by right discrimination, one should betake himself to these three, keeping at a distance all desire for enjoyment."

"Personal effort" connotes enthusiasm requisite to form the firm resolve "Somehow or other I shall verily accomplish this." "Discrimination" means the conviction borne on one after due analysis and observation, that S'ravaṇa and the rest' are the means to Gnosis, Yoga is the means to the Dissolution of the Mind, and the

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Study, Contemplation and Assimilation: Sravana, Manana, and  $Nididhy\bar{a}sana$ .

setting up of an opposite current (of Vāsanā) the means to the Obliteration of Vāsanā. It is said that desire for enjoyment has to be kept "at a distance," because once the smallest desire for enjoyment is admitted, there will be nothing to prevent it from exceeding the limit, as borne out by the adage, "This will continually increase like fire constantly fed with fuel." (Manu. II, 94.)

It has been shown before that Gnosis is the result of the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker and Jivan-mukti that of the "Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened. If so, it would appear that one, having first acquired Gnosis, should then enter on the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened, and while living in that condition, try to break the bonds of Latent Desire and the functioning of the Mind, which hold him. If. at this stage, it is enjoined that we should carry on Gnosis, the Obliteration of Latent Desire and the Dissolution of the Mind, all the three at the same time, it may be held that it involves a contradiction of what has been said before. There is no such fallacy; for, a reconciliation of the two positions may be sought by establishing their inter-relationship as Principal and Subordinate. To the Seeker, Gnosis is the Principal, while the Dissolution of the Mind and the Obliteration of Latent Desire are subordinate thereto. The reverse is true of the Enlightened. Hence, it follows, that simultaneous attention to these three does not, in either case, contradict the other position. Nor should it be supposed that subsequent application is of little use to him who has accomplished everything by acquiring Gnosis. For, the usefulness of such application to him will become patent from the description of the aim of Jivan-mukti given in the sequel. Again, even granting that Gnosis is subordinated, as the means for

the acquisition of *Gnosis*, such as *Sravaṇa* and the rest, are of no use to the Enlightened and as *Gnosis*, which is essentially something outside the category of action, is incapable of either being done or not done or otherwise done, if it be asked—"Of what nature is the subsequent application of the Enlightened?"—we reply that it is the frequent remembrance of the Sublime Truth through whatever means. Such application has been referred to as follows in the episode of *Lila*.

"Thought of That, talk of That, mutual enlightenment about That and being intent ever on That—this the wise call the study of *Gnosis*. The objective world was not in existence at the commencement of Creation, nor did it, made up of "I" and "this world" ever exist;—the wise know this as the best form of study."

Application to the Dissolution of the Mind and the Obliteration of Latent Desire is also set forth in the same episode:

"They are verily the students who, with the aid of the Scripture and skilful practices, try to realize the absolute non-existence of the knower and the known."

The knowledge of the falsity of the knower and the known is the knowledge of their non-existence; and the non-experience, even of their form, is the Realization of their "absolute non-existence". "Skilful practices" refer to *Yoga*. This, then, implies application to the "Dissolution of the Mind."

"When love and hatred are reduced to the utmost thinness, through the realization of the non-existence of the objective world, there palpably arises a sense of pleasure;—this condition is called the study of the *Brahman*."

Of such a nature is the application to the Obliteration of Latent Desire.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Yoga-vāsiştha.

If it is thought that it is not quite possible to specify which of these three applications, which are apparently of the same nature, is the principal and which subordinate, we say, "Not so; for such a classification is possible, according to the object one has in view." To one desirous of Liberation, the two objects are Jivan-mukti and Videha-mukti; hence it is said in the S'ruti: "The already Liberated is altogether liberated." Through life of the Higher Self the living man attains Liberation; through life of the Lower Self, bondage. This has been mentioned by the Lord in the Sixteenth Chapter of the Bhagavad-gitā:

"The divine properties are deemed to be for Liberation; the demoniacal, for bondage." (B. G. XVI, 5.)

These two lives are also thus described in the same place:

"Fearlessness, cleanness of life, steadfastness in the *Yoga* of wisdom, almsgiving, self-restraint and sacrifice and study of the Scriptures, austerity and straightforwardness, harmlessness, truth, absence of wrath, renunciation, peacefulness, absence of crookedness, compassion to living beings, uncovetousness, mildness, modesty, absence of fickleness, vigour, forgiveness, fortitude, purity, absence of envy and pride—these are his who is born with the divine properties, O Bharata! Hypocrisy, arrogance and conceit, wrath and also harshness and unwisdom are his who is born, O Partha! with demoniacal properties." (B. G. XVI, 1-4.)

Again this "Life of the Lower Self" is described in the same strain to the end of the chapter. The point is that, when the evil Vāsanās born of the "Life of the Lower Self," inherent in one from birth and running counter to the teachings of sacred texts, are put out by the good Vāsanās brought about from the Life of the Higher Self, accomplished by personal effort and carried

on in accord with the word of the Scripture, there resuts . Invan-mukti.

The Dissolution of the Mind is also mentioned in the *Sruti* as the cause of *Jivan-mukti*, alike with the Obliteration of Latent Desire:

"Mind alone is to man the cause of bondage or liberation; lost in enjoyment, it leads to bondage; freed from the objective, it leads to Liberation. As Mind freed from the objective, leads to Liberation, one desirous of Liberation must always try to wipe off the objective from the plane of his Mind. When the Mind, severed from all connection with sensual objects and confined to the light of the heart, finds itself in Ecstasy, it is said to have reached its culminating point. The Mind should be prevented from functioning, till its dissolution is attained in the heart; this is Gnosis, this is Concentration, the rest is all mere logomachy."

Bondage is of two kinds: fast and loose. The Life of the Lower Self being the direct cause of misery makes up the former kind of bondage. The mere sense of duality, not being, by itself, the cause of misery and for the reason that it leads only to the Life of the Lower Self. is the other kind of bondage. Whereas only the former kind of bondage is removed by the Obliteration of Latent Desire, both kinds are removed by the Dissolution of the Mind. It should, however, not be supposed that the Dissolution of the Mind alone will do and that the Obliteration of Latent Desire is a mere superfluity, for, when the Mind is called into being, by the powerful operation of Necessity, which brings about the result of some previous action, the Obliteration of Latent Desire would stand in good stead in the removal of the faster kind of bondage. Further, there is scope for experience such as this, with the other kind of loose bondage. Transformations of the Mind of the gross type constitute "fast bondage"; transformations of the Mind under the

sway of energy and placidity make "loose bondage". This has been explained in the passage beginning with:

"He, whose Mind  $(Praj\bar{n}\bar{a})$  is free from anxiety amid pains, indifferent amid pleasures." (B. G. II, 56.)

While so, it should not be supposed that because the loose kind of bondage is inevitable and the other kind of bondage is destroyed with the Obliteration of Latent Desire, the Dissolution of the Mind serves no useful purpose; for it is necessary for warding off the effect of such experiences as are the necessary concomitants of the *feeble* operation of Necessity. Keeping in view the warding off of such experience, it has been said:

"If it were at all possible to ward off experiences resulting from unavoidable Necessity, Nala, Rāma and Yudhi-ṣṭhira would never have been dragged into misery." (Pañ. 7, 156.)

Thus "the Obliteration of Latent Desire" and "the Dissolution of the Mind", being the direct means of "Liberation-in-this-life", are the principal; whereas Gnosis is subordinate, being only a mediate cause, as producing the first two. The S'ruti mentions Gnosis as the cause of Vāsanā-kṣaya (the Obliteration of Latent Desire) in various places: "Knowledge of the Divine dissolves all bonds." (S've. 1, 1, 11.) "The wise one, realizing the Effulgent One, through the Yoga of Ātman, gains freedom from all joy and sorrow." (Kaṭha. 1, 1, 12.) "The knower of the Ātman transcends all misery." (Chān. 7, 1, 3.) "What is delusion, what sorrow, when one realizes the all-oneness?" (Is'a. 7.) "He is freed from all bonds whatever, on knowing the Effulgent." (S've. 1, 1, 8.)

That *Gnosis* conduces to "the Dissolution of the Mind" has also the sanction of the S'ruti. Relating to the

condition of *Gnosis* it is said: "In the case of one who sees only the Self in all, what can he see with what? what can he smell with what?" (Br. 2, 4, 14.) and so on. Srī Gauḍa-pādācārya, too says:

"When, from the proper realization of the truth of the Atman, he ceases from all mental planning, he reaches the condition wherein there is no Mind, for, it then ceases to exist for want of anything to subsist on." (3, 32.)

As "the Obliteration of Latent Desire" and "the Dissolution of the Mind", are the principal causes of *Jivan-mukti* (Liberation-in-this-life), so *Gnosis* is the principal cause of *Videha-mukti* (Liberation after death), it being the direct means of attaining the same. Says the *Smrti*:

"From Gnosis alone is attained Kaivalya, wherewith one is liberated."

Kaivalya is the aloneness, to wit, being without the appendage of the body and the like of the Self. This is attainable by Gnosis alone; for, the condition of corporeality, which is the outcome of ignorance, is capable of being dissolved only through Gnosis: the word "alone". added to qualify Gnosis, is meant to exclude formal religion (Karma); for, the S'ruti also has it: "Neither by Karma nor by progeny nor by wealth." (Tai. 4, 12, 3.) He who, without properly studying the philosophy of Gnosis, brings about "the Dissolution of the Mind" and "the Obliteration of Latent Desire", as occasion arises therefor and devotes himself to the "Brahman with characteristics," never realizes Kaivalya, for his subtile body is not destroyed. Hence the above two (by themselves) are also excluded by the word "alone". The words "wherewith one is liberated" mean, that,

by Kaivalya brought about by Gnosis, the individual is freed from all bonds and conditions whatever. Bonds are of various kinds, signified by the expressions, the knot of Avidyā, the conviction "I am not Brahman", the knot of the heart, doubt. Karmas, hankering after all objects of desire, death, re-birth, these and others whereever they occur. All the aforesaid bonds can be removed by Gnosis. Say the Srutis: "O good one! he cuts asunder the knot of Avidyā, who finds it ever present in the cavity (of the heart)" (Mun. 2, 2, 10.); "He becomes the Brahman who knows the Brahman" (Mun. 3, 2, 9.); "The knot in the heart is cut asunder, all doubts vanish, all Karma fades away, on the Realization of the Supreme" (Mun. 2, 2, 8.); "Who knows it fixed in the cavity (of the heart) in the highest  $\bar{A}k\bar{a}sa$ , simultaneously attains the fruition of all his desires" (Tai. 2, 2, 1.); "By knowing Him alone he transcends death" (S've. 3, 8.): "He who has acquired Gnosis, who is in the right frame of Mind and ever pure, finds that condition whence he is not born again "(Katha, 1, 3, 8.): "He who thus realizes 'I am Brahman', becomes this all". (Br. 1, 4, 10.) These and many other texts bearing on the release from bondages such as, imperfect knowledge, etc., may here be cited. This condition of Videha-mukti, it should be understood, comes about the very moment in which Gnosis appears: for. these and similar bonds attributed to the Brahman out of ignorance, being destroyed by Gnosis, can never again come into being, nor ever again be experienced as such. This simultaneity of Gnosis and Liberation, the Bhāsyakāra has expatiated upon, under the Samanvaya aphorism, (I, 1, 4.) as also in the following one: "On its

attainment, future and past sins are kept off and destroyed, it being so pointed out." (IV, 1, 13.)

Several people maintain that *Videha-mukti* comes after the dissolution of the now-existing body; the *S'ruti* also says: "He tarries only so long as he is not liberated; the moment he is liberated, he becomes one with the All." (Chān. 6, 14, 2.) In the *Vākya-vṛtti* too it is said:

"Through the force of previous Karma, one attains the condition of the  $J\bar{\imath}van$ -mukta for a time. Then, as soon as the previous Karma is spent, he finds that supreme condition of the all-pervading, called Kaivalya, full of that Bliss which knows no degree and whence there is no return at any time." (52-53.)

Even the author of the *Vedānta-sūtras* says: "He becomes It, having spent up the other two through experience" (IV, 1, 19.), meaning by "the other two" the previous good or evil *Karma*. So also VASISTHA:

"After leaving the condition of the  $J\bar{\imath}van$ -mukta, one enters on the condition of Liberation-after-death, on the disintegration of his body by lapse of tenure, even as the wind gets becalmed."

This, however, does not vitiate our position; for, both opinions are possible, depending as they do on the point of view of the speaker and either does not necessarily contradict the other. The word "Deha" (body) contained in the term Videha-mukti has been understood as referring to all kinds of bodies by many, in the description given by them thereof. We have been using the word only in the limited sense of "future body", (i.e., embodiment after the decease of the present body), for the reason that the acquisition of Gnosis is with a view to prevent this future embodiment. The present body being a prior acquisition, even Gnosis cannot undo it; nor is the dissolution of this body a result of Gnosis, for, even

the ignorant find that dissolution, when the previous Karma has its cause worked out. If it be suggested that the dissolution of the present subtile body is the result of Gnosis. for the reason that nothing but Gnosis can dissolve it, we demur, as, in the case of the Jivan-mukta, even though there is Gnosis, there is no dissolution of the subtile body. If it be contended that Gnosis stands powerless, as it were, for a time, as long as it is neutralized by the force of previous Karma, and becomes operative on such neutralizing force exhausting itself, we maintain that it is not so; for, as the author of the Pañca-pādikā has clearly established. Gnosis expels ignorance only. If it be asked—what can be the means of dissolving the subtile body?—we say that it is the cessation of the operating causes. An effect lapses in one of two ways: by the presence of its opposite, or by the cessation of the operating cause, even as the lamp goes out, either when its adversary, viz., a blast of wind, prevails, or with the cessation of its operating causes, viz., oil and the wick. We do not see any direct opposite of the subtile body. Operating causes are of two kinds: kinetic and potential. It is because of these two that the subtile body of the ignorant exists in this world and the next. But in the case of the Enlightened, potential causes are removed by Gnosis, kinetic causes are removed by (their being spent up in) actual life; and their subtile body goes out like the lamp deprived of oil and the wick. Hence it is plain that such dissolution (of the subtile body) is not the result of Gnosis.

Arguing in the same strain, it may be said that the prevention of the future embodiment also is not

the result of Gnosis. Here the question may arise—"Is it the non-existence prior to embodiment or the preservation of such non-existence that is the result of Gnosis?" The first alternative cannot hold good: for such antecedent non-existence has no beginning. Nor can the second alternative hold water; the preservation of the antecedent non-existence of the future embodiment can be brought about simply by the cessation of the operation of the potential Karma. Nor can the total doing away with future embodiment be the result of Gnosis, for, Gnosis destroys ignorance alone. Arguments, such as these, cannot, by any means, vitiate our position, for, prevention of future birth and the like have been recognized by the authorities. The Strutis beginning with "Whence there is no birth once again" (Katha. 1, 3, 8.) quoted before, bear testimony to this. Nor should it be supposed that there is real conflict between this view and the one already mentioned, viz., that Gnosis dispels ignorance only; for, the author of the Pañca-pādikā takes the word "ignorance" in the sense of all that co-exists along with ignorance, such as the notion that one is not the Brahman, etc. Otherwise there would be a conflict with actual experience; for, in actual experience we find that the notion that one is not the Brahman and such other notions are alike dispelled when ignorance is dispelled.

Thus, therefore, *Videha-mukti*, in the sense of preclusion of future embodiment, is simultaneous with the rise of *Gnosis*. Yājňavalkya's words in the *Sruti* are to the same effect: "O Janaka! thou hast reached the condition of supreme fearlessness" (Br. 4, 2, 4.); also "This, verily, is real Immortality." (Br. 4, 5, 15.)

Another S'ruti also says: "Who knows Him thus, becomes inmortal even here." (Puru.) If Videhamukti. the result of Gnosis, should not inmediately follow the rise of *Gnosis*, but should come about after some time, it will be necessary to imagine some other thing, known as  $A p \bar{u} r v a$ , derived from Gnosis (as intermediate between the two), even as it is necessary to do so in the case of *Jyotistoma*<sup>2</sup> and other sacrifices. So, the whole of the philosophy of Gnosis (Jñāna-s'āstra) would be included within the province of ritualistic lore (Karmasastra). If you say that Gnosis is, as it were, neutralized by previous Karma, even like fire rendered powerless through some incantation and will bear the fruit of Videha-mukti in course of time, (i.e., on the removal of the neutralizing cause), such argument will not hold good, for, in the absence of any opposition between the two, Videha-mukti must be the immediate result. The Videha-muki, we speak of, precludes all possibility of future embodiment and, as such, is not opposed to previous Karma, which touches only the present embodiment of the man. Hence, Gnosis cannot be retarded in bearing fruit. Moreover, how can Gnosis, which is only momentary, be supposed to give Mukti, at some later time by which it (Gnosis) would cease to be? If you say that Gnosis of another kind, viz., "Final Realization", will be

¹ It will be observed, at the conclusion of this argument, that the author has altered the sense of the word *Videha-mukti* from "Liberation-after-death" to "Liberation-from-future-embodiment". The word has, up to this, been given the first rendering, chiefly with a view to bring out prominently this second rendering, which the author puts upon the word. Henceforth the word is used as it is, without giving any equivalent rendering, leaving the reader to gather the sense from the context.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Jyotistoma is a sacrifice prescribed as the means leading to heaven, the performance of which provides one with the passport  $(Ap\overline{u}rva)$  to reach heaven, after his earthly career is over

produced, we repudiate the assumption in toto, as there is no means to produce such Gnosis. For, how could there be any such means, as the entire phenomena of the world consisting of teachers, scriptures, bodies, senses and the like, are wiped away simultaneously, with the removal of the kinetic operating cause of previous Karma? If, then again, you ask,—what can be the meaning of such texts as: "In the end comes the dissolution of the great Illusion—this world?" (S've. 1, 10.)—we reply. the whole of the effects consisting of the body, the senses and the like, lapses for want of the operating cause, previous Karma having, by then, exhausted itself. The text under reference means only this. Let the Videha-mukti (of the form of Liberation-after-death) which you hold dear. come after the dissolution of the present body: but the Videha-mukti (Liberation-from-future-embodiment) we cherish, is surely simultaneous with the rise of Gnosis. The Lord S'ESA, too, says, with this very idea in mind:

"Whether in some holy place or in the house of a Candala, though bereft of consciousness, he stands liberated in the very moment of the rise of Gnosis and finds Kaivalya, on giving up the body, with the cause of sorrow entirely removed." (81.)

Thus is established the premier position of Gnosis, which is the direct means in the attainment of Videhamukti, while "the Obliteration of Latent Desire" and "the Dissolution of the Mind" occupy only a subordinate position therein, being merely the means of acquiring Gnosis. That the Life of the Higher Self, which brings about the destruction of the impressions of the Life of the Lower Self, is the means of acquiring Gnosis, is borne testimony to by S'ruti and S'mrti alike. Says the S'ruti: "After becoming self-restrained, self-controlled,

indifferent, forbearing and one-pointed, one should find the Self in himself." (Br. 4, 4, 23.) The *Smrti* (*Bhagavad-gitā*) also says:

"Humility, unpretentiousness, harmlessness, forgiveness, rectitude, service of the teacher, purity, steadfastness, self-control, dispassion towards the objects of the senses, and also absence of egoism, insight into the pain and evil of birth, death, old age and sickness, unattachment, absence of self-identification with son, wife or home, and constant balance of mind in wished-for and unwished-for events, unflinching devotion to Me by Yoga, without other objects, resort to sequestered places, absence of enjoyment in the company of men, constancy in the wisdom of the Self, understanding of the object of essential wisdom; that is declared to be the Wisdom; all against it is ignorance." (B. G. XIII, 8-12.)

By "self-identification" is meant the identification of one's ego with the ego of another. The word "Wisdom" at the end, is used in the sense of "that which leads to Gnosis". That "Dissolution of the Mind" also, conduces to Gnosis is recognized alike by S'ruti and Smrti. The S'ruti says: "The contemplator then sees Him (the Brahman devoid of characteristics)." (Mun. 3, 1, 8.) "The wise one rises above joy and sorrow, finding the (Self-) effulgent Lord through the Yoga of the knowledge of the Self." (Kaṭha. 2, 12.) The latter text implies that the wise one realizes the Effulgent One, through that Yoga which consists in Concentration on the subjective Self. The Smrti also says:

"Salutation to that Self—all-Gnosis—the Effulgence, which is seen by those ascetics who, devoid of sleep, with the breath held under full sway, contented, and exercising supreme check over the senses, devote themselves to It through Yoga."

Thus is established the principal and subordinate relation, one to the other, of the Triad, (Gnosis, the

Obliteration of Latent Desire and the Dissolution of the Mind) from the standpoint of *Jivan-mukti* and *Videha-mukti*.

It may, at this stage, be asked whether, if these three have already been accomplished by the seeker, they survive automatically, even after the stage of the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened has been reached, or whether any fresh effort is necessary, even after this, to accomplish these three over again. The first alternative is not possible, for, if, like Gnosis, the other two can be accomplished without any effort, there would be no scope for attaching the degree of importance due to the principal to them (viz., the other two). The second alternative also will not hold good. For, if Gnosis is also to depend upon effort like the other two, there will be no scope for its being treated with the indifference due to its subordination as indirect means. This would not in any way vitiate our position, for, we recognize the mere automatic survival of Gnosis and the necessity of effort to accomplish the other two. To explain. The student fit for the acquisition of *Gnosis* is of two kinds: he who has passed through the prescribed course of devotion, and he who has not yet done so. If he enters upon Gnosis, having gone through devotion to the extent of actually realizing the object of devotion, owing to the firm hold of the Obliteration of Vāsanā and the Dissolution of the Mind. on him, the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened as well as . Ivan-mukti will follow Gnosis of their own accord. Such is the real student spoken of in the S'astra. As, while dealing with such a student, the S'astras make mention of the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened and the Renunciation-ofthe-Seeker, though sufficiently distinct from each other,

they appear as if they are mixed up in character. adays men rush in quest of *Gnosis*, out of sheer curiosity. in most cases even without going through the preliminary stage of devotion, *Upāsanā*. They even reach the stage of the Obliteration of Vāsanā and the Dissolution of the Manas for the time being; and in consequence, Study. Contemplation and Assimilation (of the Vedānta) are also fully accomplished by repeated application to these three; ignorance, doubt and false perception are demolished: and real Gnosis is achieved. In the absence of a more powerful means to counteract it and of any cause which can resuscitate the ignorance dispelled by it, the resultant Gnosis does not fade. But then the Obliteration of Latent Desire and the Dissolution of the Mind are easily extinguished, like a lamp exposed to the breeze, for want of steady application and in consequence of being influenced, from time to time, by the fruit-bearing previous Karma. Says VASISTHA:

"Effort in this direction is more difficult than those mentioned before, for, the Obliteration of Latent Desire is very hard to accomplish, harder even than uprooting the Mount Meru."

## ARJUNA too observes:

"For the mind is verily, restless, O Kṛṣṇa! it is impetuous, strong and difficult to bend. I deem it as hard to curb as the wind." (B. G. VI, 34.)

Thus, therefore, the Enlightened (Samnyāsins) of the present day have Gnosis as an automatic survival; further, it is obvious that the Obliteration of Latent Desire and the Dissolution of the Mind could be brought about only by personal effort.

If it be asked what is meant by this "Latent Desire" (*Vāsanā*), the Obliteration of which is so often prescribed

as the object of personal effort. Says VASISTHA in proceeding to describe its nature:

"Latent Desire may be described as that hankering after things, which gain such mastery over the Mind, as to preclude even enquiring into their antecedents and consequents. He at once becomes that which he identifies himself with, by force of strong and deep attachment and loses, O strong armed one! memory of every other thing in the act. The man thus subdued by  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}$ , fixing his eye on anything whatever, is deluded into believing it as the real thing; owing to loss of control due to the powerful influence of  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}$ , the object (thus perceived) gives up its real form. Thus does one with beclouded eye, perceive everything quite in this deluded fashion, like one under the influence of a strong intoxicant."

The attachment that the races of mankind develop, each in its own sphere, towards the traditional customs and manners of their respective countries and communities, as well as towards their mother-tongue, irrespective of the correct or incorrect form of the words used and such other kinds of attachment may be broadly cited as examples of this. While dealing with the various kinds of Vāsanā we shall give particular instances. Keeping in view the Vāsanā of the kind above described, it is said in Brhad-āranyaka: "He shapes his ideas in accordance with his desires, he does such acts as fulfil his ideas, he becomes that which he does." (Bṛ. 4, 4, 5.) The kinds of Vāsanā are mentioned by VĀLMĪKI:

" $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$  is of two kinds: pure and impure; the latter leads to frequent incarnation, the former puts an end to all incarnation whatever. The second kind of  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$  is described by the wise as of the form of extra thick ignorance. made up of intense egoism, bringing about birth and death in succession. The other, like seeds sown after being baked on fire, does not send out the sprout of re-incarnation, but continues only for the sake of the body, having known the Thing to be known."

"Ignorance" is that which veils the distinction between the five sheaths comprising the physical body (Anna-maya) and others and the transcendent Witness of them all, i.e., Cid-ātman. "Of the form of extra thick ignorance"—As milk is curdled on being mixed with butter-milk, or as melted clarified butter is congealed on being exposed for a long time in a cool place, so does this Vāsanā (through ignorance). Thickness in this instance refers to the series of delusions brought about by Vāsanā. The Lord refers to this Vāsanā, while explaining the Life of the Lower Self.

"Demoniacal men know neither right energy, nor right abstinence; nor purity, nor even propriety, nor truth is in them. "The universe is without truth, without basis," they say, "without a God; brought about by mutual union, and caused by lust and nothing else". Holding this view, these ruined selves of small understanding, of fierce deeds, come forth as enemies for the destruction of the world. Surrendering themselves to insatiable desires, possessed with vanity, conceit and arrogance, holding evil ideas through delusion. they engage in action with impure resolves. Giving themselves over to unmeasured thought whose end is death, regarding the gratification of desires as the highest, feeling sure that this is all, held in bondage by a hundred ties of expectation, given over to lust and anger, they strive to obtain by unlawful means hoards of wealth for sensual enjoyments." (B. G. XVI, 7-12.)

Those demoniacal men declare the universe to be without truth, *i.e.*, without what is recognized by the authoritative Scriptures, *viz.*, the *Vedas* and the *Purāṇas*. In other words, they do not recognize the *Vedas*, etc., as authoritative. Hence they deny the creation and direction of the universe by *Īsvara*. If so, whence, according to them, is the origin of this universe? It is brought about only by the union of the sexes; and what else? There is no such other thing. Only the lust of men and

women by its flow is the cause of this. Intense egoism too is touched upon in the same place:

"This to day by me hath been won, that purpose I shall gain; this wealth is mine already, and also this shall be mine in future. I have slain this enemy, and others also I shall slay. I am the Lord, I am the enjoyer, I am perfect, powerful, happy; I am wealthy, well-born; what other is there that is like unto me? I will sacrifice, I will give alms, I will rejoice. Thus deluded by unwisdown, bewildered by numerous thoughts, enmeshed in the web of delusion, addicted to the gratification of desire, they fall downwards into a foul hell." (B. G. XVI, 13-16.)

This explains also the cause of re-incarnation; this, however, is again touched upon, thus:

"Self-glorifying, stubborn, filled with the pride and intoxication of wealth, they perform lip-sacrifices for ostentation, contrary to scriptural ordinance. Given over to egoism, power, insolence, lust and wrath, these malicious ones hate Me in the bodies of others and in their own. These haters, evil, pitiless, vilest among men in the world, I ever throw down into demoniacal wombs. Cast into demoniacal wombs, deluded birth after birth, attaining not to Me, O Kaunteya! they sink into the lowest depths." (B. G. XVI, 17-20.)

The pure  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$  is of that kind which "knows the Thing to be known". The form of the thing to be known is thus set forth by the Lord in Chapter XIII of the  $Bhagavad-git\bar{a}$ :

"I will declare that which ought to be known, that which being known Immortality is enjoyed—the beginningless supreme ETERNAL, called neither being nor non-being. Everywhere THAT hath hands and feet, everywhere eyes, heads, and mouths; all-hearing, THAT dwelleth in the world, enveloping all. Shining with all sense-faculties without any senses; unattached, supporting everything; and free from qualities, enjoying qualities; without and within all beings, immovable and also movable; by reason of ITS subtlety imperceptible; at hand and far away is THAT. Not divided amid beings, and yet seated distributively; THAT is to be known as the supporter of beings; THAT devours and THAT generates. THAT, the Light of all lights, is said to be beyond

darkness; Wisdom, the Object of Wisdom, by Wisdom to be reached, seated in the hearts of all." (B. G. XIII, 13-18.)

Here are set forth the Conditioned and Unconditioned forms (of the *Brahman*), with a view to facilitate the comprehension of Its *Taṭastha-lakṣaṇa* and *Svarūpa-lakṣaṇa*. A random quality applied exclusively to signify a thing is its *Taṭastha-lakṣaṇa*; for instance, "the crow-resting house of Devadatta". So also that which defines a thing in relation to all time, is its *Svarūpa-lakṣaṇa*; for example, "that which is highly luminous is the Moon."

It may here be asked: Vāsanā has been described as that which "precludes even enquiry into the antecedents and consequents of things"; knowledge of the Thing (which is set down to pure Vasana) cannot be acquired without reflection; hence, in the case of pure Vāsanā, such a description does not hold good. need not be so; for, in the description of Vāsanā, the words, "which gain such mastery over the mind," have been added. In the same way as, by such mastery over the Mind in numerous previous incarnations, the sense of "I" and "mine", desire, anger and the rest come to us, out of impure Vāsanā in this life, without any instruction from others, so the Thing at once presents itself before the Mind, like jars, etc., directly cognizable by the Senses, without the help of any words, explanation or reasoning, in consequence of its (Mind's) entire identification with it, by long continuous and ardent 1 application, although the first knowledge thereof is obtained through reflection. Pure Vāsanā is that activity

¹ These words refer to a well-known Sūtra of Patañjali: Dīrghakāla-nairantarya-satkāra-sevito dīdha-bhūmiḥ: Ecstasy is confirmed when carried on with long continuous and ardent application.

of the Senses which is still pervaded with an undercurrent of the perception of the Thing; it is of use only in keeping the body alive; it leads neither to the Life of the Lower Self consisting of hypocrisy, vanity, and the rest, nor to the production of *Dharma* and *Adharma* (religious merit or demerit) leading to future incarnation. Grains of corn, such as paddy and the like, baked on fire, may be stored in a granary; however, they can be of no use either for delicious food or for producing any fresh corn.

Impure-Vāsanā is of three kinds: those relating to the World, to Learning and to the Body. Loka-vāsanā (concern for the opinion of the world) consists in that strong desire of the Mind which takes the form of "I shall so conduct myself that the world around would not censure me, but would, on the other hand, praise me". This is impure, inasmuch as it involves something which is impossible of achievement. Valmīki asked Narada in many ways: "Who, at the present day, in this world is virtuous and who is valiant?" (Rā. I. 1.) Nārada said in reply "He is verily Rama, the born of the Iksvakus. known to all the world." (Rå. I, 1.) Yet the world spared not the same Rama and his wife Sītā, the Crest-iewel of chastity and the Mother of the world. and attached to their names a stigma shocking to the ear. If such spotless beings fared thus at the hands of the world, what need be said in regard to others? Similarly, there is slandering prevalent on a large to local peculiarities. The reference scale, with Southern Brahmanas upbraid their Northern fellows. well-versed in Vedic lore, as flesh-eaters; the Northern Brāhmanas retaliate by finding fault with the Southern

custom of marrying the daughter of a maternal uncle and of carrying earthenware in their travels. The Bahvrcas of the Āsvalāyana-sākhā look upon the Kāṇva-sākhā as inferior to theirs; while the Vājasaneyins think otherwise. Thus, from the learned down to women and ignorant herdsmen, is found this general tendency to glorify one's own family, section, relatives, gods and so on, and to belittle those of others. It has been said with this in mind:

"The pure man is looked upon as a devil, the clever man as presumptuous, the man of forbearance as weak, the strong man as cruel, the absent-minded man as a thief, and the handsome man as lewd.—Who can please the world!" Also: "There is no expedient within knowledge, wherewith one can satisfy all people. One's own good should, by all possible means, be looked to. What can the myriad-tongued world do?"

Looking, therefore, upon the *Vāsanā* of Public Opinion as entirely impure, books treating of Liberation advise the foremost of *Yogins* to treat censure and praise alike.

Pride of Learning (S'āstra-vāsanā) is of three kinds: Addiction to study, addiction to many subjects, and addiction to the observance of injunctions laid down in religious books. The first kind of this vice is seen in Bharad-vāja, who, though he had applied himself to the study of the Vedas in three successive lives, began, at the instance of Indra, to study the remainder of Vedic lore, even in the fourth. As this kind of study is not within the range of possibility, it is set down among impure Vāsanās. Indra, of course, cured Bharadvāja of this vice, by explaining to him the impossibility of such study and initiated him into the mysteries of the Conditioned Brahman, with a view to make him achieve a higher end than

what could be attained by such study. All this has been described in the *Taittiriya-brāhmaṇa*.

Addiction to many subjects of study is similarly of the nature of impure Vāsanā, inasmuch as it is not the highest aim of life, as is seen in the Kāvaseya-attā. sage, by name Durvasas, came with a cart-load of Sastrabooks to pay his respect to the god Mahā-deva. In the learned assembly of that god, when Narada poked a joke at him, by comparing him to the ass carrying a load on his back. he was fired with such anger as led him to throw away all his books in the salt ocean and was thereafter initiated by the god Maha-deva into the mystery of Self-knowledge; for, Self-knowledge never comes from the study of books alone, to him who has not developed the faculty of introspection, nor obtained the good grace of a Guru. The S'ruti, too, has it: "This Self is not realizable by Study, nay, not even by Intelligence. nor by much Learning." (Katha. 1, 2, 22.) Elsewhere too, it has been said:

"What is the good of vainly chewing the dirty rag of talk about many Sastras? Wisemen must, by all means, try to catch a glimpse of the Light within. Though one should have studied all the four Vedas and all the Dharma-sastras in the world many times over, he knows not the true essence of the Brahman, even like the ladle that knows not the flavour of the food it helps to serve."

Nārada, though well-versed in all the sixty-four subjects of real education, was still ignorant of the Self; and feeling remorse, resorted to Sanat-kumāra as his teacher. This has been described in the *Chāndogyopaniṣad*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Vide *Uttara-gītā*: "As the ass carrying a load of sandalwood is conscious only of the burden, not of the fragrant wood, so, indeed, does he carry them about like a mere burden, who, having studied the *Sāstras*, knows not their real import and essence."

Addiction to the observance of religious injunctions is seen in Nidagha, as described in the Viṣṇu-purāṇa. Likewise, in Dās'ūra of the Yoga-vāsiṣṭha. Nidāgha, though frequently enlightened by Rbhu, was not cured for a long time, of the blind faith in the observance of religious injunctions; while Dās'ūra, through the blindness due to intense faith, was not able to select any pure spot throughout the world, for the performance of his religious ceremonies. This mad desire for Karma, as it gives rise to re-incarnation, is set down among impure Vāsanās. Says the Muṇḍaka (-upaniṣad of the Atharva-veda):

"Perishable (and) transient are, verily, the rituals allotted to the eighteen supporters of the sacrifice; for, it is said that Karma of such kind is of an inferior kind. Fools. who consider this (Karma) as superior, undergo, over and over again decay and death. Fools, fancying themselves wise and learned in the midst of ignorance, go round and round, oppressed by misery, as blind people led by a blind man. Living in ignorance in various ways, youths imagine, "We have attained our end." Because performers of Karma. attachment (to the world), are not possessed of wisdom of the right sort, therefore, suffering unhappiness, they lose heaven, when the fruit of their Karma has become nought. Fancying oblations and pious gifts (lead to) the highest (object of man), fools do not recognize any other (as the cause of good). Having enjoyed (the fruit) of their works, on the high place of heaven, which (high place) they gained by their actions, they enter (again) this world or the one that is lower." (Mun. 1, 2, 7-10.)

The LORD also has said (in the *Bhagavad-gitā*):

"Flowery speech is uttered by the foolish, rejoicing in the letter of the Vedas, O Partha! saying: "There is naught but this"; with desire for self, with heaven for goal, they offer birth as the fruit of action, and prescribe many and various ceremonies for the attainment of pleasure and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sixteen priests, the master of the house and his wife, make up the eighteen.

lordship. For them who cling to pleasure and lordship, whose minds are captivated by such teaching, is not designed this determinate Reason, on contemplation steadily bent. The *Vedas* deal with the three attributes; be thou above these three attributes, O Arjuna! beyond the pairs of opposites, ever steadfast in purity, careless of possessions, full of the Self. All the *Vedas* are as useful to an enlightened *Brāhmaṇa*, as is a tank in a place covered all over with water." (B. G. II, 42-46.)

Inasmuch as Pride of Learning (S'āstra-vāsanā) is the cause of vanity, it is impure. It is mentioned in the Sixth Chapter of the Chāndogya, that S'veta-ketu, after having mastered all the Vedas in a short time, was so much puffed up as not to behave modestly even towards his father. So also the Kauṣttaki-brāhmaṇa and the Bṛhad-āraṇyakopaniṣad speak of Bālāki, who, vain with his knowledge of a few forms of devotion (Upāsanā), went the round of many countries, beginning with Usīnara, everywhere asserting his omniscience and dealing insolently even with the best of Brāhmaṇas and lastly had the impudence to offer to instruct Ajāta-s'atru, the Crest-jewel of all Knowers of the Brahman, at Kāsī.

Vāsanā relating to the Body (Deha-vāsanā) admits of three divisions: False identification with the Self; false acquisition of grace; and false relief from inherent evil. Identification of the physical body with the Self has been thus set forth by the Bhāṣya-kāra: "The body with consciousness is alone the Self, thus do understand the vulgar, as well as the Laukāyatikas (i.e., the Cārvākas)." (I, 1, 1.) The Taittirīyopaniṣad explains the same vulgar notion with the words beginning with "This Puruṣa is all food and water," and ending with "therefore It is food." (2, 1, 2.) The Chāndogya also mentions in the Eighth Chapter, how Virocana, though instructed by

Prajā-pati, due to the perverse bent of his mind derived confirmation therefrom of his false conviction, that the body is the Self, and taught the same to his people—the Asuras.

The acquisition of bodily grace is two-fold: the way of the world and that which is set forth in religious books. The acquisition of a good voice and the like is of the first kind. People are seen striving, by drinking oil, swallowing pepper and similar ways, to sing or chant the Vedas with a mild soft voice. Men use highly nutritious food and drugs to get a soft skin; they use fragrant ointments, powders, fine clothes and ornaments, to impart grace to the form: they use flowers and sandal-paste for perfume. The second kind of bodily grace they bring about, by a dip into the waters of the holy stream of the Ganges—by the sprinkling and sipping of water used in bathing the S'āli-grāma and so on. The relief from inherent evil consists in curing disease by the use of such medicines as may be prescribed by some doctor and also in rinsing the mouth and the like. This is the ordinary method of relief. The extraordinary method consists of washing, sipping holy water (Acamana) and the like prescribed by the Veda. The impurity of the Dehavāsanā described above will just be explained. Identification of the Self with the body, as it is unsupported by any scriptural authority and is the source of much misery, is certainly "impure". Regarding this there has been vehement condemnation by all former teachers. The acquisition of bodily grace, we do not practically see anywhere. Well-known musicians and teachers fail to acquire a good voice, notwithstanding unremitting effort in that direction. Imparting softness of touch or

nourishment to the body is not a necessary consequence of human effort. The grace and fragrance are all in the clothes and flowers which produce them and not of the body (which wears them). Hence it is said in the *Viṣṇu-purāṇa*:

"If the deluded fool loves the body, a mere collection of flesh, blood, pus, fæces, urine, muscles, fat and bones, he will verily love hell itself! To him who is not disgusted with the nasty smell from his own body, what other argument need be adduced for detachment?"

The bodily grace that comes of observing religious injunctions is counteracted by other injunctions more powerful than the first, even as the injunction, "One should not kill any being whatever," has an exception in "The victim of the *Agnisoma* must be sacrificed."

The philosophy (of Moksa) expounded herein is far more powerful than others:

"He, who sees the Self in his mortal frame, which is after all made up of the three humours, who regards his wife, child and the rest as really his, who attributes divinity to things earthen, who attaches sanctity to the water (in a place of pilgrimage), but by no means holds in veneration the worthy wise men—such a man is verily the ass that carries fodder for cattle."

"The body is all impurity, the one within (the  $\bar{A}tman$ ) is all purity. Knowing that the two are thus poles as under, to which of them should purity be prescribed?"

Though these verses apparently discourage the attempts at relieving the body of inherent evil, and not the attempt at the acquisition of bodily grace, still they only discourage the latter, as it is absolutely impossible to acquire bodily grace, so long as its very opposite evil quality inherent in the body itself would strongly prevail.

The supreme impurity of the body is set forth in the *Maitrāyanīya-s'ākhā*:

"What is the use, O Lord! of desires and enjoyments for this worthless stinking body, rendered impure by the bones, skin, muscles, fat, flesh, semen, blood, tears and purulent exudate from the eye—a veritable storehouse of fæces, urine and the three humours." (1, 1, 2.)

"This body of ours, generated by mere sexual intercourse and devoid of intelligence, is placed as it were in the veriest hell, coming out of the urinary orifice, gaining rigidity from the bones, plastered with flesh, covered with skin and turned into a storehouse filled with fæces, urine, bile, phlegm, fat, lymph, membrane and numerous other impurities of the same kind, like a treasure-house filled with treasure."

It is not always certain that medical treatment will cure the disease one may be suffering from. Disease once allayed may reappear at some other time. Who can, even with the greatest difficulty, attempt cleansing this nasty body, with its nine orifices continually excreting the dirtiest filth and numerous pores ever letting out perspiration? Teachers of yore have well said:

"Bodies with nine holes bored in them, continue to leak like earthen pots; no amount of external washing can purify them; as for internal purification it is simply nought."

Hence *Deha-vāsanā* is impure. VASIṢṬHA has the same in mind, when he says:

"The conviction, 'From head to foot I am only the form which my father and mother gave me,' is, O Rāma! born of a wrong point of view and leads to bondage. That is walking into Death's trap; that is the snare leading into the hell of  $Av\bar{\iota}ci$ ; that is the labyrinth in the forest of Asi-patra (tree with Sword-leaves)—the thought: 'I am this body.' This way of thinking must, by all means, be given up, even if confronted with utter ruin. One, desirous of his own good, should not even come in contact with it, as with the Pulkasa woman carrying dog's flesh."

These three *Vāsanās* then, relating to the World, Learning, and the Body, though apparently agreeable to the Mind of the uninformed, should entirely be given up by those who have acquired Discrimination; for, they obstruct the rise of Knowledge in the Seekers and interfere with the permanence of *Gnosis* acquired by the Enlightened. Hence the *Smrti*:

"Gnosis does not rise with its full effulgence in that puny thing, which is all-beclouded with the three  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}s$ , i.e., fear of public opinion, pride of learning and undue affection for the physical body."

The impurity of that  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$  of the Mind assuming the form of hypocrisy, vanity and the like, which makes up the Life of the Lower Self, is too well-known, as it leads to Perdition. These four kinds of  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}s$  should, therefore, be destroyed by some means or other.

The Mind, too, must be dissolved even as the Vāsanās should be destroyed. The Vaidikas do not accept the definition of the Mind, as given by the Tārkika, that the Mind is an eternal substance of atomic dimension, as, in that case, the dissolution of the Mind can hardly be accomplished. On the other hand, the Mind is, to them, that substance which has parts, which is not eternal. which is ever capable of transforming itself, like lac, gold and such other things, into various forms. The Vājasaneyins (Brhad-āranyakopanisad) thus define its nature and bear testimony to its existence. "Desire, Will, Doubt, Belief, Disbelief, Resoluteness, Irresoluteness. Shame, Intelligence, Fear,—all these make up the Mind." (Br. 1, 5, 3.) So much about its nature. In other words these transformations, beginning with Desire, coming about as occasions arise, are quite clearly perceived directly by the

Witness, as earthenware, etc., are directly by the eye. The material cause of all these percepts is, therefore, the Mind. The testimony for its existence is thus set forth in the same place: "I had my Mind engaged elsewhere, so I did not see; I had my Mind engaged elsewhere, so I did not hear." (Br. 1, 5, 3.) "One sees through the Mind, through the Mind one hears." (Br. 1, 5, 3.) The meaning of the above is this. Here, through the application of the Anvaya (positive) and Vyatireka (negative) methods, is borne out the existence of that common cause (the Mind) of perception of all objects, by the attention or want of attention of which a pot exposed to full light and in the direct range of the eye. or a Vedic Mantra loudly repeated in the direct range of the ear, is perceived or not perceived. (Says the S'ruti): "Hence also, one touched on the back perceives through the Mind" (Br. 1, 5, 3.) would be an illustration. "Hence" means—having thus established the existence of the Mind, both by the definition of its nature and the testimony of its existence. Devadatta, though touched upon his back by some one, feels the touch particularly as of the hand. the fingers, and so on. The eye does not see the spot, while the skin can cognize but the hardness or softness of the touch and no more; whence it would follow, by elimination, that the Mind alone is the cause of such particular knowledge. It is called Manas from its function of simple thinking; it is called Citta when it localizes the sensation, in other words, performs an act of perception. Citta is of the form of Sattva, Rajas and Tamas, as radiance, outgoing energy and delusion are to be seen there. Radiance and the rest are pointed out as results of these forms in the description of a Gunātīta (in the *Bhagavad-gttā*); for it says: "(He), O Pāṇḍava! (who hateth not) radiance, (nor) outgoing energy, (nor) even delusion, etc." (B. G. XIV, 22.) So also the Sāṁkhya: "Radiance, outgoing energy and delusion are mentioned as principal guides." "Radiance" here means intelligence, wisdom, not the form, white and shining, for it has been said:

"From Sattva wisdom is born and also greed from Rajas; heedlessness and delusion are of Tamas and also unwisdom." (B. G. XIV, 17.)

Bliss too, is, like wisdom, a result of *Sattva*, for, we have it:

"Sattva attacheth to bliss, Rajas to action, O Bhārata! Tamas, verily, having shrouded wisdom, attacheth, on the contrary, to heedlessness." (B. G. XIV, 9.)

The *Gunas*, constantly changing their form one after another in a series, like the waves of the ocean, allow any one of themselves to gain mastery over the rest, which stand neutralized for the time. It has been said:

"Now Sattva prevaileth, having overpowered Rajas and Tamas, O Bharata! now Rajas, having overpowered Sattva and Tamas; and now Tamas, having overpowered Sattva and Rajas." (B. G. XIV, 10.)

## Also:

"They destroy themselves and become destroyed like the waves of the ocean."

When Tamas predominates, there arises the Life of the Lower Self: the predominance of Rajas gives sway to the three Vāsanās relating to the World, etc.; when Sattva gains mastery, the Life of the Higher Self is established. It has been said with this in view:

"When the wisdom-light streameth forth from all the gates of the body, then it may be known that Sattva is increasing." (B. G. XIV, 11,)

Though the "Inner Sense" (Mind) appears to be endowed with all the three properties, its principal material cause is *Sattva* alone; and *Rajas* and *Tamas* are only accessories. Hence it is, that *Sattva* is the residual native form of the Mind of a man of *Gnosis*, when he has done away with *Rajas* and *Tamas*, through application to *Yoga*. It has been said with this in mind:

"The Mind of the man of Gnosis is no Mind at all, for, such Mind is called Sattva."

This Sattva, being void of all Rajas, the cause of fickleness, is always one-pointed. It is also very subtle in consequence of its being void of Tamas, the cause of the gross forms assumed by the Not-self, the child of false Imagination. Hence this Sattva is fit to receive the Light of the Ātman. Says the S'ruti: "It is seen with the one-pointed and subtle intellect by men of subtle vision." (Kaṭha. 1, 3, 12.) It is not possible to determine the quality of jewels, pearls and the like, with the help of a lamp, whose flame oscillates in the breeze; nor can a piece of fine cloth be sewn with a large crowbar, as with a needle.

It is only this Sattva, which becomes the Citta of the Non-yogins, when affected by Rajas mixed with Tamas, as it is roused into conciousness by the numerous ideas which originate from the sense of duality. With the preponderance of Tamas, this Citta gains in bulk, by gathering to itself the elements which constitute the Life of the Lower Self. Says VASISTHA:

"The Mind finds the elements of fattening itself, by imagining the Not-self to be the Self, by taking the body for the Self, and also by attachment to child, wife and relatives. The Mind certainly regales itself in the various dishes of egoism, and fattens itself upon the thought, "This is mine",

by deriving pleasure from swirling in the filth of "mine-ness" it creates. The numerous ways in which the world is looked upon as a source of unmixed good, despite the various forms of disease—mental and physical—obtaining there, the conventionality which divides things into good and bad, affection, greed, the acquisition of jewels, wealth and women,—all these allurements tend to nourish and fatten the Mind. The serpent of the Mind grows bulky on draughts of the milk of avarice, by deriving strength from breathing in the atmosphere of enjoyment and by exercising itself on (the field of) faith."

"Faith" here refers to the false faith one has in the permanence of things which are really illusory. "Exercising" is moving hither and thither. Thus is set forth the nature of Vāsanā and Manas, the couple to be got rid of.

Next, the Obliteration of  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$  and the Dissolution of the Manas are dealt with. Vasistha refers to the method of bringing about the former in the following:

"Bondage is nothing but the bond of  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}s$ , while Liberation is but the Obliteration of such  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}s$ . Having given up all  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}s$ , give up the Desire for Liberation as well. Surrendering, first of all, the mental  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}s$  and those relating to objects of enjoyment, set up the current of pure  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}s$ , such as Friendship and the like. Even though outwardly attached to these, give them up from within. Tranquil at heart and attaining mental equilibrium, remain attached to Gnosis pure and simple. Get rid of even this, which is, after all, conceived by the Mind and the Intellect. Resting firmly on the residuum, abandon even the means whereby you have given up all along."

The words "mental Vāsanās" (Mānasa-vāsanāh) refer to the three Vāsanās mentioned before, viz., of the World, Learning and Body. "Viṣaya-vāsanās", those relating to objects of enjoyment, refer to the Life of the Lower Self, consisting of hypocrisy, pride and the like. This twofold division of Vāsanās is based on the weakness

of the one and the strength of the other. Or, the basis of such division may also be understood thus: By "objects" are meant Sound, Touch, Form, Taste and Smell. Mental Vāsanā is that impression which is born of the desire for these; Vāsanās relating to objects are impressions born of actual enjoyment of desired things. With this rendering before the mind, we may consider the four kinds of Vāsanās previously referred to, as included in these two; for, there can be no other Vāsanā beyond the (Mind) within and the (objects) without.

It may be asked: "how is it possible to give up' Vāsanās? For. Vāsanās have no form such as would permit of their being thrown out by the hand, as dust and straw swept with a brush." This need not trouble you; for this giving up is as much possible, as the "giving up" implied in fasts and vigils. Eating and sleeping, which are natural occurrences in life, have no form whatever and yet all keep fasts and vigils which consist only of giving these up. The same may apply in the present instance as well. If you say, the vow we take, after muttering the prescribed Mantra "Passing this day without food, etc." and the care we bestow thereafter in keeping it up, make all the 'giving up' implied therein, we reply—"there stands nothing, no one with a club, to prevent you from entering on the same line of argument with regard to the 'giving up' in this instance also." For, the vow here consists in uttering the formula of Renunciation (Praisa), after which you may keep the vow with all possible care. Those who cannot pronounce the Vedic formula, may take the vow

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Viz, of the Body, Learning, and the World, and Mental Vāsanās.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See foot-note page 5.

in their own vernacular. If you say, in fasts we do not touch vegetables, soup, rice and the like, here too there is the avoiding of all contact with flowers, perfumery, women and the like. Whereas you have. in the first instance, pastimes provided in the form of listening to the exposition of the Puranas, worshipping the gods, dancing, singing, playing on musical instruments and the like, which all tend to draw the mind away from hunger, sleep, or sloth, you have here the corresponding provision of pastime for the mind in "Friendship and the rest". "Friendship and the rest" are mentioned by Patanjali in his aphorisms: "The Mind (becomes) clarified by the practice of Friendship, Compassion, Complacency, and Indifference respectively, towards Happiness, Misery, Virtue, and Vice." (I. 33.) The Mind is muddled by Love and Hate, and Virtue and Vice. Patanjali thus defines Love and Hate: "That which dwells on pleasure is Love." (II, 7.) "That which dwells on pain is Hate." (II, 8.) A peculiar transformation of the mind of the form "May all kinds of pleasure be mine," is concomitant with pleasure of any kind enjoyed by one. Such enjoyment (of pleasures of all kinds) is impossible for want of adequate means, either well-known or revealed (only to the Seers). The hankering after such pleasures muddles the mind. If, however, such a one should show a friendly disposition towards those who are happy and should so far identify himself with them as to feel, that they are all his, the moment he feels himself in the full enjoyment of their happiness, his hankering vanishes. By way of illustration may be cited the case of a person without a kingdom, who yet looks upon the kingdom of his son or some other relative as his own. Hankering

being thus put an end to, the Mind becomes transparently clear, like a river during the *S'arad* season, after the rainy season is over.

The same is true of the transformation of the mind which takes the form of "May all such pain be never mine". This feeling of pain is, however, not easy to be got rid of, as long as disease, enemies, tigers and the like continue to exist; nor is it entirely possible to do away with the causes of pain. Thus, then, the feeling of hatred or dislike consumes the heart like fire. When, through the exercise of compassion for every miserable being, one feels, that others, like himself, should never experience pain of whatever kind, the Mind becomes clear, as the hatred felt towards the enemies and the like vanishes. Hence it has been said:

"Life is as dear to all beings as it is to oneself; the wise feel compassion for every being, taking their own selves as the standard (of comparison)."

Great men show how this can be achieved:

"May all be happy in this world; may all enjoy immunity from disease; may all find themselves at their best; let no one come to grief."

Moreover, all beings, by nature, never lean towards virtue, but are prone to vice. It is said:

"Men love the benefits of virtue, but do not act virtuously; while abhorring the wages of sin, they persist in committing it."

These acts, virtuous and vicious, both lead to Remorse; the form of which, the *S'ruti* describes thus: "Ah! why did I never do good, why did I indulge in sin?" (Tai. 2, 9.) If one should feel exultant at the sight of virtuous men, he would, out of such *Vāsanā* 

<sup>1</sup> The season between Autumn and Winter.

(habit), turn, of his own accord, to acts of Virtue with a clear conscience. Similarly if he shows supreme Indifference towards the sinful, he easily keeps himself off from Sin. Thus, there being no cause for Remorse, the Mind gets clarified.

From the feeling of friendliness for those who are happy, there follows not only absence of Attachment but also the disappearance of Malice, Jealousy, and the like. Malice is attributing Vice to Virtue. Jealousv is impatience towards the superiority or Virtue of another. When, out of friendliness, the happiness of others is made our own, how can there be Malice and the like? The methods of freedom from other vices of the same kind should be inferred accordingly, in the same manner. When, by cultivating the habit of feeling compassionate towards the miserable, the feeling of hatred, which culminates in the destruction of the enemy and the like, goes away from one, along with it the Vanity begotten of his finding himself in a position just the opposite of misery, i.e., happiness. also vanishes. It is this Vanity which is referred to, while speaking of egoism, under the Life of the Lower Self:

"I am the Lord, I am the enjoyer, I am perfect, powerful, happy; I am wealthy, well-born; what other is there that is like unto me?" (B. G. XVI, 14-15.)

The question may arise: "You say that turning to acts of Virtue is the fruit obtained by one who feels exalted at the sight of virtuous men. Will not such a turn of mind be quite inappropriate in a Yogin? For, in a previous passage dealing with the impure Vāsanā relating to Learning, acts of Virtue have been included." This need not to be so, for, it is only acts of Piety done with a

motive, such as *Iṣṭā-pūrta*, etc., that are included under that impure *Vāsanā*, as being causes of Re-embodiment. The Virtue here implied is that result of the practice of *Yoga* which, being "neither white nor black," does not lead to re-embodiment. "Being neither white nor black" is thus referred to by Pataňjali: "Actions are neither white nor black, in the case of *Yogins*; they are of three kinds, in the case of others." (IV, ?.) *Karma* with a motive, being enjoined (by the Scripture), is white, *Karma* prohibited by the Scripture is black, and that which partakes of both is mixed *Karma*. All these three are found "in the case of others", *i.e.*, "those who are not *Yogins*". These three lead to three kinds of Re-embodiment. Says VIS'VA-RŪPĀCĀRYA:

"By good deeds one attains divinity; by bad deeds he is reduced to the hellish state; by a mixture of the two, *viz.*, the virtuous and the sinful, he inevitably reaches the human state." (Nai. 1, 11.)

If you say, though *Yoga* is not *Black Karma*, inasmuch as it is not prohibited, there is nothing to prevent it from being white, it being enjoined (by the Scripture), we demur. For, *Yoga* is described as not-white with a view to point out its being devoid of motive. Hence the *Yogin's* exclusive preference to all action tending to be neither white nor black, viz., Virtue.

If you still say, on this assumption, even *Yogins*, by feeling exultant to the requisite extent at the sight of virtuous men, will turn only to acts of Virtue, we say let them by all means do so, for, those only are real *Yogins*, who clarify the Mind with Friendship and the rest. The four qualities comprised in "Friendship and the rest" bear an implication. In addition to these, the Life of the

Higher Self, comprising "Fearlessness, Cleanness of life," etc., the means of *Gnosis*, viz., "Humility, unpretentiousness," etc., and the characteristics of the *Jīvan-mukta*, Sthita-prajña and others, detailed in the previous Chapter, all these are implied, as all these, being of the form of pure Vāsanās, tend to destroy all impure Vāsanās whatever.

If you say, "There are any number of pure Vāsanās; they cannot all of them be cultivated by one, and the attempt to so cultivate them will prove useless," it is not so. For, it is impossible for the innumerable impure Vāsanās, that could be obliterated by these, to take hold of one's mind. It is not possible for one man to try on himself all the medicines mentioned in the Ayur-veda; nor is it at all likely that all the diseases, for curing which these medicines are prescribed could catch hold of the body of one and the same person. being so, one should examine his own Mind and should apply himself to the cultivation of such pure Vāsanās, as are thought necessary to counteract the impure Vāsanās, in relation to their number and duration. As one, harassed by children, friends, wife and the like, feels much disgust for them all and betakes himself to Renunciation, so should one, afflicted with the impure Vāsanās, such as, Arrogance begotten of learning, Opulence, Pedigree and the like, cultivate "Discrimination" to counteract them. Discrimination has been pointed out by JANAKA:

"Those who, to-day, are at the head of the great, sink, in course of time, to the lowest depth. Alas, O Mind! Wherefore dost thou place such implicit faith on greatness? Whither has gone the fabulous wealth of emperors? Where are the innumerable worlds created by  $Brahm\bar{a}$ ? The old

order of things have found their way to Oblivion. Why then this foolish faith of thine? Millions of  $Brahm\bar{a}s$  have come and gone. Myriads of heavens have vanished one after the other. Potentates have been turned into dust. What hold have I then on this life? Persons, by the closing or opening of whose eyelids worlds were created or destroyed, have passed out of memory. Why then should the existence of persons of my type be reckoned with?"

asked: "This Discrimination may here be precedes the rise of Gnosis. knowledge of the as Brahman is not possible without employing means, such as, discriminating between the Eternal and the Noneternal. We are now dealing with the employment of means, such as the Obliteration of Vāsanās, so as to enable the Knower of the Brahman to enjoy the Bliss of Javanmukti. While so, the introduction of Discrimination at this stage will be tantamount to dancing at the wrong moment, i.e., thoroughly out of place." No such mistake has really been committed. The knowledge of the Brahman comes to one, only after the mastery of the four means. This is the reputed royal road common to all In the case of Janaka, however, through the maturity of previous merit, Gnosis dawned upon him suddenly. as a result of his having heard the Siddha-qitā, even like the fall of a fruit from heaven. Thence Discrimination had to be resorted to, for acquiring peace of Mind. Therefore Discrimination has been introduced quite in its proper place. If still it be said: As Discrimination immediately after Gnosis, there being follows the time, cultivation of *Vāsanās* at the pure Vāsanās is not essential, we say, it is not

¹ The four means are: Viveka (Discrimination), Vairāgya (Desirelessness), Şaṭ-saṃpatti (Acquisition of the six Requisites) and Mumukşutva (the Desire for Liberation).

For, though, in the case of Janaka, there was SO. recurrence of impure Vāsanās, Yājňa-valkya, no Bhagi-ratha and others had enough of such recurrence. In Yājňa-valkya and in his opponents, Usasta, Kahola and others, there was considerable arrogance of learning. for, they took part in disputation with the object of vanquishing their opponents. If you say, they were learned in some lore other than Brahma-vidya, we say no: for the questions and answers exchanged in the progress of the debate, refer distinctly to the Brahman. We cannot also accept the suggestion that, though referring to the Brahman, these men had only superficial and not real knowledge of the same; for, that line of argument would lead to the conclusion that the knowledge we have had from their words and instructions, is also unreal. Nor is the idea worth entertaining that, though real, their knowledge must, at least, have been indirect: for, we find therein questions referring exclusively to Direct Cognition (of the Brahman) in words such as "that which is directly, and not indirectly, cognized" and so on. You may yet say: the Acarya does not tolerate Pride of Learning in the Knowers of the Self, as witness, what he says in the *Upadesa-sāhasrī*:

"He alone is the Knower of the Self and no one else, who has, in the aforesaid manner, given up the idea that he knows the *Brahman*"; (Up. 12, 13.)

and also what is said in the Naiskarmya-siddhi:

"The Knower of the Self has no pride of such knowledge, for pride belongs to the Life of the Lower Self; if the Life of the Lower Self should affect the *Knower*, Realization of the *Brahman* will be of no use whatever to him."

Granting that it is so, it does not matter, for, the "Knowledge" implied in these quotations is Knowledge

which ends in Jivan-mukti; nor would we concede that there is Pride of Learning in Jivan-muktas. If you say, there can be no Light of the  $\bar{A}tman$  in those, who engage themselves in debate with a view to vanquish their opponents, as witness, what even the  $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$  has said:

"Attachment to any one of the many fields, wherein the Mind exercises itself, is the surest sign of Ignorance; how can verdancy be expected in a tree, that conceals a consuming fire in its hollow?" (Nai. 4, 67.)

We demur, for, he himself grants these very things in the words:

"Let Attachment and the like by all means remain; their mere existence can certainly give no offence; for, like the serpent deprived of its fangs, what can  $Avidy\bar{a}$  do?" (Va. IV, 4746.)

Further, there is no mutual inconsistency between the two; for, it is possible to understand both these positions with reference to the Ascetic of the well-poised mind (Sthita-prajūa) and the mere man of Gnosis. If you argue that Attachment and the like in men of Gnosis, will lead to their future embodiment, through merit or demerit, the answer is that it need not be so, for, like unbaked seeds, only Desire and the like, begotten of Ignorance, lead to future birth, through Attachment and the like. On the other hand, in the man of Gnosis there is the mere semblance of Attachment and the like, even as seeds already baked on fire are but seeds in name. It has been said with this in view:

"Attachment and the like, even when they come into existence, are burnt up by the fire of Discrimination then and there. Where, then, is the chance of their sprouting up?" (Pañca, 7.)

If you maintain, "In that case, let us concede Attachment and the like even to the Ascetic of the well-poised mind", we emphatically repudiate it; for, even verisimilitude proves harmful. For instance, the rope-snake is known to cause as much fear, for the time being, as a real snake. If you further urge that there is no harm in acting on the assumption, that you deal with a mere semblance of reality, we say "Long life to you!" for, this is verily what we have recognized as *Jivan-mukti*.

(To revert then to the point at issue): Yājña-valkya, while engaged in debate, was surely not in this condition (Jivan-mukti), because he was about to enter upon the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened, with a view to obtain peace of Mind. He shows, not only the Desire to win a victory, but also his Greed-of-gold; for, while carrying away the prize of a thousand cows adorned with costly jewels offered to the various Knowers of the Brahman there assembled, he says "We salute the best one among the Knowers of the Brahman; only we have eager longing for these cows." (Br. 3, 1, 2.) If it be thought that this was only a euphemistic style of expression. adopted by him to insult the other Knowers of the Brahman assembled, this will add another for, the other Knowers of the Brahman, finding themselves deprived of the prize by him, flew into anger, while he-Yajña-valkya-quite beside himself with anger, cursed Sakalya to death. But, all it should not be supposed that such a the same. heinous sin, as killing a Brāhmana, would bar his Liberation; for, say the Kausttakins: "He loses not that state by any act whatever, whether it be Matricide, Patricide,

Theft or Foeticide." (3, 1.) S'EŞA, too, says in his  $\ddot{A}ry\bar{a}$ pancāsīti:

"The Knower of the Absolute Truth, being ever pure, is never affected either by the merit or the demerit resulting from the performance of a hundred thousand horse-sacrifices or the murdering of an equal number of  $Br\bar{a}hmanas$ , respectively." (77.)

No useful purpose will be served by dwelling longer on the theme. Even reputed Knowers of the Brahman, like Yājňa-valkya and others, are subject to the influence of impure Vāsanā. Vasiṣṭha, in an episode (of the great work, the Yoga-vāsiṣṭha) speaks of Bhagī-ratha, who, though he knew the Truth, while engaged in ruling over his kingdom, finding himself unable to command peace of mind owing to the impact of impure Vāsanās, renounced everything and then only obtained relief. Hence it follows, that one should carefully diagnose the defects due to impure Vāsanā, even as he would examine, in a carping spirit, the faults in others and then apply himself to remedy the disease. With this in view, the Smṛti has it:

"If a man of the world, who is bent on picking holes in the character of others, should, in the same manner, expend his skill in analysing his own, whosoever would not be liberated from the Bonds (of Ignorance)?"

If, in the first place, it be asked, what is the remedy for the Pride begotten of Learning, it is essential to know which is meant, whether it is the Pride felt by one, in tending to impress others with their inferiority, or to the Pride which others feel, tending to bring about acceptance by one of his own inferiority. If it is the first, one must constantly have, before his Mind, the idea, that such Pride

will somewhere be crushed. For instance, S'veta-ketu, puffed with the Pride of his Learning, went to the court of King Pravahana, but stood dumbfounded, when questioned by the Prince on the topic of Pañcāgni-vidyā, of which he (S'veta-ketu) was ignorant. On being taken to task by the Prince, he returned crest-fallen to his father and expressed great sorrow at his defeat. The father, who was devoid of such Pride, thereupon sought the favour of the self-same Prince and obtained that Vidyā of him. Impetuous Bālāki also, being taken to task by King Ajāta-s'atru, gave up his Pride and turned the other's pupil. Usasta, Kahola and others entering into a disputation through Pride, were all ousted in argument. If the Pride proves to be of the second kind, that is to say, if it appears in some one other than ourselves and tends to make us feel our inferiority at every step, the best course will be to think, "The other man is simply beside himself with Pride; let him slander or insult me; either way I cannot come to grief". Hence it has been said:

"If they slander the Self (in me), they slander only themselves, of themselves; if they slander my body, they would be looked upon by me as my friends. How can the intellect of that sharp *Yogin*, whom slander and insult verily serve to embellish, be overpowered at all, by the babble of idle prattlers?"

In the Naişkarmya-siddhi also it has been said:

"What does it matter to him, who has cast off excreta, as some unclean thing, not worthy of being retained in the body, if some one should descant on its unclean nature? In the same manner, when the gross and the subtile bodies have both been given up by one, through Discrimination, if one should find fault with them, what recks the Knower for such Slander? Grief, Joy, Fear, Anger, Ambition, Illusion. Desire,

Birth, Death and the like, belong to egoism not to the Self." (Nai. 2, 16-17.)

Slander is spoken of as an embellishment in the Jūānānkusa:

"When men desirous of achieving a high ideal, give up even wealth acquired with considerable pains, with a view to satisfy others, I would consider it a real blessing brought about without any effort of mine, if men should derive satisfaction in speaking ill of me. In this world, where we stumble upon poverty of spirit at every step, and which is devoid of happiness of any kind, if any one should find pleasure in speaking ill of me, let him by all means indulge himself in doing so, either in my presence or behind my back; for, in this world of all-misery, it is very hard to come across even a single occasion for pleasure."

The S'ruti enjoins insult as an ornament in these words:

"Without deviating from the path of rectitude, the *Yogin* should so conduct himself, as to cause people to avoid his company in sheer disgust."

Even as the two varieties of Pride of Learning, subjective and objective, seen in Yājňa-valkya, Uṣasta and others, have to be got rid of by proper Discrimination, so also should Greed-of-gold and likewise Anger. The necessity for applying Discrimination in the matter of the acquisition of wealth is thus explained:

"There is considerable trouble involved in the acquisition of wealth, likewise in its preservation; should it be lost or squandered, there results extreme anguish. O fie upon wealth, the producer of misery in every way!"

Anger, again, is of two kinds: Anger in one's self pertaining to others, and Anger in others pertaining to one's self. With regard to the first it has been said:

"While thou art angry with one, who does thee harm, why doest thou not feel vexed at the passion itself, which is the inveterate foe of the four chief aims of *Dharma* (Moral

Excellence), Artha (Wealth), Kāma (Noble Desire), and Mokṣa (Liberation)? How can Anger, which completely blocks the way of one who seeks to attain Moral Excellence, Fame and Wealth, which serves no useful purpose whatsoever, which would altogether consume the body of its victim and which is by no means conducive to well-being, either in this or the other world,—how can it seek harbour in the minds of the wise?"

With reference to the second kind of Anger it has been said:

"One cannot, with any sense of propriety, give room to the thought: "I have offended none. Why should people be jealous of me?" For, he has to consider as his gravest offence his inability so far to secure freedom from future incarnation. My salutation to the god of Anger, the merciless consumer of his own seat, the bestower of the boon of detachment to me, the victimized devotee at his shrine, and the great teacher who has imparted to me an insight into my own faults."

Attachment to wife and child should also be got rid of, in the same manner as Greed for gold and Anger. VASIȘTHA refers to Discrimination as applied to women thus:

"What is there of an auspicious nature in the cagelike automaton of a woman,—a veritable doll of flesh, jointed with knots made of muscles and bones? Scrutinize her eyes closely and see, after an analysis, if there is, after all, anything charmingly beautiful about the membraneous sheaths, muscle. blood and tears composing them. Why, then, are you enamoured of them in vain? Her breast, whereon the movements, hither and thither, of the pearl-necklace, are comparable, in point of elegance, to the swift waters of the Ganges rolling down the summits of mount Meru, the self-same breast of a damsel is, however, voraciously devoured, when occasion arises, by dogs, on the cremation ground situated in some out-of-the-way spots, even like a small ball of rice. Wearing the soot-like locks of hair and therefore best left untouched, though attractive to the eye, women, who are the veriest flame of the fire of sin, consume men like so much straw. It is women who, though appearing all juicy and green, are

verily devoid of grace and who, by their attractive looks, allure men to their destruction and feed the fires of hell raging in a far off region. They are, verily, traps laid by the bird-catcher Cupid, to catch his bevy of birds, viz., unsophisticated men. Woman is the treacherous bait, attached to the line of impure latent desires, to catch men, who are, so to say, so many fish in the pond of birth and death, wallowing in the mire of the mind. Enough with woman—the treasure-chest, wherein the serene gems of the deadliest sins are kept and the endless chain of torturing misery. Made up of flesh here. blood there and bones in a third place, this female form, O Brahman! after all disentegrates in a few days. He feels the desire for intercourse, who has a woman about him. Where is the scope for such enjoyment to the womanless? and you abandon the whole world; Abandon her abandoning the whole world, you find Supreme Bliss."

Discrimination, as applied to Attachment towards children, is thus set forth in the part (of the *Panca-dasi*) devoted to *Brahmānanda*:

"The son, as long as he is not brought forth, gives a lot of anxiety to his parents; even when there is the prospect of his coming, there is the anxiety created by the risk of abortion, or parturitive pain; infantile fits and maladies pursue him as soon as he is born; the fear of his turning out a fool is a fruitful source of trouble during his childhood; he may prove to be a confirmed ignoramus, even after being duly initiated by a teacher; he may not find a suitable partner in life, though he might have attained proficiency in learning. Youth lays him open to the temptations of illicit love. After he becomes a family-man, there is the constant danger of poverty. Should he amass immense wealth, death might possibly synchronize with it and snatch him away. Thus there is no end to the parents' misery!" (65-67.)

As he does away with the impure Vāsanās pertaining to Learning, Wealth, Anger, Woman and Son by proper discrimination, so also should he allay other similar Vāsanās, by skillfully discriminating the evil attendant on each of them and by having recourse to the remedies prescribed in the Sāstras and out of his own

resourcefulness. This being done, the highest condition, characteristic of *Jīvan-mukti*, is within easy reach. Says VASIŞŢHA, with this in view:

"If thou shalt put forth effort sufficient to destroy all  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}s$ , all thy ills, physical and mental, will dissolve of themselves in a moment. Forcibly tearing thyself away from  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}s$ , by strong personal effort, if thou fix thyself to the condition (of harmonious evenness), then shalt thou gain sufficient access to the highest state attainable."

"Personal effort" here means Discrimination of the evils attendant on objects; and this has been described before. Such Discrimination, though often exercised, is as often baffled by the activity of the Senses, which are, by nature, overwhelmingly powerful. Says the LORD:

"O sun of Kunti! the excited Senses of even a wise man, though he be striving, impetuously carry away his Mind. That one among the roving Senses the Mind yieldeth to, hurries away the Understanding, just as the gale hurries away a ship upon the waters." (B. G. II, 60, 67.)

Such being the case, the Senses should be held under proper control, if Discrimination, once acquired, should be preserved further. This has been hinted at, in the same place, in the verses that follow:

"Having restrained them all, he should sit harmonized, with Me as his supreme goal; for, whose Senses are mastered, of him the Understanding is well-poised. Therefore, O mighty-armed! whose Senses are all completely restrained from the objects of sense, of him the Understanding is well-poised." (B. G. II, 61, 68.)

# Says another Smrti:

"He is verily the typical Ascetic, whose hands and feet are not restive, whose eyes and tongue are not restless; control of these is the true mark of an adept." The same has been explained in brief and at length elsewhere thus:

"Tongue-less, impotent, lame, blind, deaf and mad: the mendicant with these six characteristics finds certain Liberation. He is tongue-less, who, even while eating, does not attach himself to the food, regarding it as agreeable or disagreeable—and who above all, speaks moderately and always tells the truth with a view to do good. He is impotent, who remains as unaffected at the sight of a fullblown woman of sixteen, as of a girl born this moment or a dame bent low with the load of a hundred years. He whose movements are confined to begging alms or answering the calls of nature, and do not by any means exceed a Yojana (five miles), is verily a lame man. He is the really blind Sannyāsin, the ken of whose eye, whether standing or walking, does not extend far beyond four yoke-lengths. He who turns a deaf ear to words uttered within ear-shot of him, however friendly, measured, pleasing or soothing they may be, is said to be a deaf man. That Mendicant who, though all alert and with his senses unimpaired. behaves as if he is asleep, is said to be a mad man. Such a one would not indulge in censure or praise, nor sting any one to the quick, nor talk too much and would treat all alike. He would never tete-a-tete with any woman, nor recall to mind any one of the other sex seen before. He would avoid all talk about her, and not consider her even in a picture."

As one who has taken a vow, such as eating only at night, or fasting, or keeping silence and so on, should carefully carry out the same without deviation, so the Ascetic, firm in the vows of tongue-less-ness and the rest referred to above, should take care to exercise proper Discrimination. Thus, when "Friendship and other qualities" have been established, through Discrimination and Control of the Senses carried on for a sufficient length of time, without break and with ardour, comes about the Obliteration of impure impressions of the form relating to the Life of the Lower Self.

Then, even like the coming in and going out of the breath or the twinkling of the eye, there arises in him a constant flow of "Friendship and the rest" without any conscious personal effort. Acting in the ways of the world. he does not concern himself with the fullness or insufficiency of the influence of those qualities, but lays to rest, with great effort, acts such as Sleep, Langour, Reverie and the like. Having accomplished this, he should apply himself to the study of the Vāsanā of "Gnosis pure and simple." Then, the entire universe appears of itself, made up of animate and inanimate Though the Senses have, as the very reason of their being, the cognition of material things, such as Sound. Touch and the like, as mentioned in the text, "The Self-existent turned the face of the Senses away from him;" (Katha. 2, 1, 1.) still, inasmuch as it is not possible to overlook Consciousness, which is the material cause of all being, the material world appears as it were with Consciousness as the back ground. Even the S'ruti has it: "As It shines, every thing else shines in unison. All this is illumined with Its light." (Katha, 2, 2, 15.) Having thus resolved that the Noumenon, the Transcendent Consciousness underlying all phenomena-which appear in and after It-is the natural and real form of everything, he should cultivate in his mind "the Vāsanā of pure Gnosis", disregarding the phenomena. This is rendered further plain from the questions and answers of BALI and S'UKRA:

<sup>&</sup>quot;What is there here? What is It in Itself? What is It made of? Who are you? Who am I? What are these worlds? Pray explain this to me at once." "All this is Cit

(simple *Gnosis*); all this is *Cit* in itself; all this is made of *Cit*; thou art *Cit* and so am I; nay these worlds too are all *Cit*. In short the whole world is *Cit*."

As a person, who is in need of gold, when buying a bracelet (of gold), fixes his mind only on the weight and colour of the thing and not on the beauty or otherwise of its form, just in the same manner should the Mind be fixed in *Cit* (simple *Gnosis*). Till the consciousness underlying phenomena is entirely obliterated and the consciousness of simple *Gnosis* becomes as unconsciously natural as the coming in and going out of breath, effort to keep up the "*Vāsanā* of simple *Gnosis*" should not be discontinued.

It may here be said, if "the Vāsanā of simple Gnosis," which alone is sufficient to do away with impure Vāsanā, be cultivated even from the beginning, where is the necessity for this crooked method of passing to it through "Friendship and the rest"? It cannot be so, for in that case "the Vāsanā of pure Gnosis" cannot stand on firm ground. The house, though provided with walls and pillars, does not stand without a secure foundation; medicine conduces not to health, if taken without clearing the stomach by administering proper purgatives, etc.

If you still argue "Even as the text "One should give up even this" (i.e., the Vāsanā of simple Gnosis) has it, apparently "Vāsanā of simple Gnosis" has also to be given up like the rest; this is however not possible, for, there is nothing else in favour of which the Mind can afford to give up this Vāsanā", we reply, "This is not so". "Vāsanā of simple Gnosis" is of two kinds: one conceived through the Mind and Intellect and the other subsisting without them. The "Mind" (Manas) is the

instrument; the "Intellect" (Buddhi) is the Agent. "I shall, with concentrated Mind, unerringly rivet my imagination on to simple Gnosis"—this is the first kind of the "Vāsanā of simple Gnosis" arising from the coordination of the agent and the instrument and called Concentration (Dhyāna), and it is this that has to be given up (in favour of the higher process called Samādhi -Trance). When, by constant practice, both the consciousness of co-ordination of agent and instrument as well as attention cease, there arises what is called Ecstasy or Trance (Samādhi), which has to be taken up (by the Yogin as the next and last step). Patanjali thus defines Dhyana and Samadhi: "The unity of the Mind with it (the object) is *Dhyāna*; the same, when conscious only of the object, as if unconscious of itself, is Samādhi." (III, 2, 3.) After getting confirmed in such Ecstasy with long continuous and arduous application, he should give up even the effort which has to be put forth, for escaping the said co-ordination of agent and instrument.

You may still argue: If so, the effort to give up this must be given up and so on, leading to a regressus in infinitum. We say no. For, the last effort we have just mentioned, not only puts down what is desired to be put down, but also itself, like pulverized Kataka. As pulverized Kataka, when added to turbid water, loses itself by settling down along with the mud in the water, so would the effort (for doing away with the co-ordination of agent and instrument) put down, not only the consciousness of the agent and the instrument, but also itself. This being accomplished, the Mind stands emptied of all Vāsanā whatever, as pure Vāsanās also would

cease to exist, like the impure ones. VASISTHA says, with this very thing in view:

"The Mind, therefore, experiences bondage through  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}$ ; void of  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}$  it is liberated. O Rama! try, as soon as possible, to acquire freedom from  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}$ .  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}$  melts away, on developing the right type of Intuition. On the Dissolution of  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}$  the Mind is extinguished like the flame of an oil-less lamp. He is the real  $J\overline{v}van$ -mukta, who is wide awake though asleep, who does not know any waking and whose knowledge is ever free from all  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}$ ." Also.

"He who, though awake, keeps his Mind in a tranquil state and not responding to the phenomenal world around. as in sleep, and is eagerly sought after by the wise, even as the Moon with his Kalās is by the gods—such a one is here known as the truly Liberated (Mukta). The Liberated with a virile intellect, who, renouncing every thing from off his heart, stands ever free from all distraction, is himself the great Isvara. Let him attain the state of Samādhi or not, let him observe the Karma prescribed to his Order or not, the high-souled one stands still liberated, with a heart rid of all attachment. With his mind cleared of all Vāsanā, he has nothing whatever to do with performance or non-performance of Karma, nor has he any concern with efforts to attain Samādhi or with methods of performing Japa (such as by telling the beads of a rosary, while mentally repeating particular formulæ). There has been enough of philosophy studied, nay there has been enough of pondering over the themes for a long time; yet the conviction, that there is no condition higher than that Silence, which comes of the abandonment of all Latent Desire, alone remains."

It should not be thought that one, whose mind is devoid of all *Vāsanās*, will be deprived of such intercourse (with the world) as would conduce to his sustenance. Is it intercourse through the eyes and other senses that is thus thought of? Or is it intercourse through the Mind? The first is thus explained by UDDĀLAKA:

"The Senses, such as the eye, etc., tend, of themselves, to function with their objects without, even in the absence of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kalās means learning and art, as also the digits of the Moon.

any  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$  to induce them to do so;—whence it appears  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$  is not the cause."

# VASISTHA explains the second thus:

"As the eye perceives the space and things presented in space, in the course of nature, and feels no attachment whatever, so should the wise man of firm intellect engage himself in all action whatever."

The same sage argues in favour of enjoying with such "intellect", all such experience as may come from previous *Karma*:

"Enjoyment, well enjoyed through *Gnosis*, conduces to supreme contentment; the thief, admitted into companionship with the full knowledge of his being a thief, turns out to be more a friend than a thief. As wayfarers, coming upon a village-festival without having at all expected it, see and enjoy the bustle, so do those who know look upon and enjoy all the glory of wealth and pleasure."

He points out, how those without  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$  could be distinguished from those with  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$ , even at the moment of actual enjoyment, thus:

"They are not dejected by adversity, like the gold-lotus in the night; they attend to nothing but the actual present, joyfully treading the way of the wise. Constant fullness (of the heart), unruffled and peacefully pleasant, such as of the moonlight;—this they never forsake even in adversity, even as the Moons do not forsake their native coolness. Constantly remaining within limits and spreading over a vast expanse at the same time, like the Ocean, the Great ones never swerve from the path of Necessity, even like the Suns."

Even JANAKA is described to act in the same manner, in moments when he is out of Trance:

"Having remained long in the condition of (ecstatic) Silence, Janaka, on regaining ordinary consciousness, bethought himself, with his Mind all at peace, of the life and ways of men. "What is worth being taken up by me in this world? What can I accomplish with effort? What imaginings

are possible for me, who am of the Consciousness, self-sustained and ever pure? I desire not what I have not; I care not to part from what I have; I stand in the Eternal Self in me; let that be mine, which has been mine". Thus taking thought, Janaka addressed himself, without the least attachment (to results), to whatever came up in the course of duty, even like the Sun running his diurnal course. He relates himself not with the future, nor with what has gone by; he lives the present out with a smiling heart."

Thus, it is plain that on the Obliteration of *Vāsanā* in the manner described, *Jīvan-mukti* of the kind explained herein, immediately follows.

End of the Second Chapter on "Obliteration of Vāsanā."

#### CHAPTER III

#### ON THE DISSOLUTION OF THE MIND

WE now come to deal with the means of attaining Jivan-mukti, viz., the Dissolution of the Mind. Even though, when the entire broad of Vāsanās stands obliterated in one, his Mind is obviously dissolved with it, yet, if he should carefully devote himself to the Dissolution of the Mind to the exclusion of every other thing, further immunity from the Vāsanās once obliterated will be secured. It should not be supposed, that constant application to what has been set forth in the verses "Tongueless, impotent, etc.," (in the previous Chapter) would afford such immunity. For, it is only when the Mind is dissolved, the necessity for the effort involved in constant application to what is implied by "Tongueless, etc.." altogether vanishes. If it be urged that the requisite effort for the Dissolution of the Mind is also there, we say, let it be so, as such dissolution is absolutely necessary; for, application to "Tonguelessness, etc.," would after all prove desultory and unsteady, without the Dissolution of the Mind. Hence it is, that JANAKA speaks of the Mind as what ought to be dissolved in the following:

"The Mind is, as it were, the root of the Tree of Sumsāra (the Wheel of Birth and Death), with its innumerable

sprouts, branches, fruits and leaves. I believe it to be none other than thinking. By ceasing to think, I parch the Mind up, so as to parch up the Tree of Samsāra. I am awakened! I am wide awake! I have now found out the thief, who has stolen my Self! His name is Mind; I shall presently inflict the extreme penalty on him; I have been subjected to severe torture all along by him."

### Also VASISTHA has said:

"There is only one way of destroying this Tree of Samsāra, which bears all kinds of ills as fruit:—that is the control of one's own Mind. The rising of the Mind brings on misery in its wake, while its dissolution brings on happiness; the Mind of the wise man is dissolved in no time; while that of the ignorant forms, as it were, his fetters. The midnightghosts of Desires have their revels in one's heart, only so long as his Mind is not subdued by deep and constant application to the one Truth. All Desires for enjoyment die out, even like the lotus-bud fading away in the cold season, in him who has lost all Pride and has held in powerful check his Arch-enemy—the Senses. Pressing hand to hand, gnashing teeth with teeth, curbing limb with limb—one should, at the beginning, control one's own Mind. On the face of this wide earth, it is only those enlightened souls, that are not subdued by their own Mind, that are really happy and deserve to be numbered among heroes. My adoration to that Waneless, Immaculate Soul, who rises with his full effulgence like the Moon (after an eclipse), in the hole of whose heart lies inert the coiled form of the serpent of the Mind, with its virulent venom of Desires. The Mind is verily the nave of the Wheel of Illusion. If one can withstand it in all possible directions, it cannot affect him to the slightest extent.

#### GAUDA-PĀDĀCĀRYA too has said:

"In the case of Yogins in general, the condition of Fearlessness depends on the proper control of the Mind; Freedom from Misery, the dawning of Wisdom and the Attainment of endless Peace, likewise depend on it." (3, 40.)

# What ARJUNA says in the following:

"For the Mind is verily restless, O Kṛṣṇa! It is impetuous, strong and difficult to bend. I deem it as hard to curb as the wind." (B. G. VI, 34.)

applies to the Hatha-yoga. Whence VALMIKI has said:

"The Mind is not capable of being subdued by one, though he devotes his entire attention to it and assumes Posture after Posture, over and over again, unless and until he adopts the right plan. Even as a mad, wild elephant cannot be brought under control by one without the iron hook. so also the Mind, without adopting the right plan. Vasistha has clearly set forth the various devices to be adopted by one to bring about the Dissolution of the Mind. Whoever follows them with care, will have complete sway over his Mind. Control is considered to be attainable in one of two ways: by practices involving Physical or Mental Exercise. The first consists in exercising control over the sensory and motor organs. This leads, at times, to some sort of control over the Mind, whereby it is dissolved. Application to Spiritual Science. Company of the good, entire Abandonment of Latent Desire. Restraining the flow of Breath-these are some of the most effective means of mental training leading to the control of the Mind. Those, who, while these means are available, try to control it through physical practices, are very much in the position of persons vainly trying to dispel darkness with dark collyrium, losing sight of the lamp. Fools, who set about gaining mastery over the Mind through physical practices, are very much in the position of persons trying to bind the mad leader of elephants with the gossamer of the lotus-stalk."

Control is of two kinds: Control-by-vehemence and Control-by-degrees. In the first, the Sensory Centres of the eye, ear and the rest—as also the Motor Centres of the throat, hands and the rest—are controlled by sheer force, when sufficient check is brought to bear on the corresponding external organs of each. From the analogy of the Senses, a fool is often lead into the delusion, that he could control the Mind too by similar means. But he is incapable of controlling it; for, it is entirely impossible for him to bring any external control to bear upon the seat of the Mind, viz., the lotus-like Heart-centre. Hence, Control-by-degrees is the only

possible means to this end. "Application to Spiritual Science" (Gnosis) and the rest, are the means to be adopted for Control-by-degrees. Gnosis enlightens one as to the illusory nature of phenomena and the self-effulgent nature of the Noumenon. When such is the case, the Mind comes to realize the inutility of the phenomena within its ken and the inscrutability of the one real Substance—the Noumenon—and is thus, forever, laid at rest, of its own accord, like fire not fed with fuel. The Sruti has it:

"As fire, not fed by fuel, subsides into its source, so, indeed, does the Mind die out into its source, with the cessation of transformations of any kind." (Mai. 4, 4.)

The "source" here means the Self.

"Company of the good" is the only remedy for those who, though often taught, are unable to correctly grasp the Truth, as well as those who forget it, as often as they grasp it. The "good" constantly inculcate the Truth, and also recapitulate it for the benefit of others. He who is afflicted with the evil Vāsanā of Arrogance. born of much Learning and the like and does not take pains to seek the Company of the good, must have recourse to the process of Discrimination previously described, to eradicate all Vāsanās from his heart. If Vāsanās prove too powerful to be thus put out, the remedy to be sought consists in the "Restraint of the flow of Breath;" for, inasmuch as the flow of Breath and Vāsanā. both of them, act as incentives to the functioning of the Mind, restraint of the Mind follows upon restraint of these two. The incentive nature of the two is thus touched upon by VASISTHA:

"Flow of breath and strong  $V\overline{a}san\overline{a}$  are the two seeds of the Tree of the Mind, tangled with the numerous transformations. Flow of Breath quickens Consciousness which

is all-pervading; from this active quickening spring endless agonies."

As the smith blows upon live-fuel covered with ashes, with a pair of bellows and, in consequence of the blast, the fire bursts into a bright blaze, so does Consciousness, covered by Ignorance, which stands in the place of the fuel and is the material cause of the Mind, on being energized by the flow of Breath, quicken itself into innumerable transformations of the Mind. From this quickening, viz., blazing out of Consciousness into what are known as transformations of the Mind, arise all ills. This is one phase of the generation of the Mind, brought about through the flow of Prana (Vital Breath). The same Sage describes another phase of the generation of the Mind thus:

"O child of the Raghus! Listen to the other phase of the generation of the Mind, wherein  $V \bar{a}san\bar{a}$  takes part and which is produced by simple ideation in Consciousness and experienced through Imagination. The Mind, which is the cause of birth, decay and death, is born in all its fickle nature, through exclusive thinking of objects constantly experienced."

Not only are (the flow of)  $Pr\bar{a}na$  and  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}s$  thus the causes of the (generation of) the Mind, but they are reciprocally the causes of each other as well.

# Says VASISTHA:

"The flow of  $Pr\bar{a}na$  depends on  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$ , which, in its turn, re-acts on the other; whence these two stand in the relation of the seed and the sprout to the tree of the Mind."

Hence does he speak of the vanishing of both, from the vanishing of either:

"Two are the seeds of the Mind—flow of the Breath and Latent Desire; on the vanishing of either, both of them disappear in no time."

Thus are set forth the means of controlling the one and rooting out the other, as well as the results attained therefrom:

"Flow of Prava is controlled through constant and steady application to Prānayāma, with such tact as the teacher may prescribe, accompanied by the Yogas of proper Posture and Diet.  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$  is rendered ineffective by performing the duties of every-day life without any Attachment, by chasing out all ideas of worldly things from the Mind, and by ever keeping in mind the perishable nature of the body. Mind becomes No-mind, when Vāsanā is rooted out and control is established over the flow of breath. Such being the case. you are free to choose either of the two. The form of the Mind. I take it, is something like this, O Raghava! the intense and interested brooding within, over any objective thing, in such a manner as to create an imaginary subjective actuality of the object. The functioning of the Mind ceases, when once Imagination, about things acceptable or otherwise, ceases and quiescence is attained, on one's having given up every cause of disturbance. The condition known as Amanasta (mindlessness), conducive to profound peace, is attained, when the Mind does not function at all, owing to the Obliteration of Vasana."

The impossibility of peace in the absence of "mindlessness" is thus set forth:

"Neither friends, nor relatives, neither teachers, nor others can help him, who has no power over his Mind, which obsesses him like a Yakṣa (evil spirit)."

Of the Yogas of "Posture" and "Diet" spoken of above, the what, the how and the wherefore of Posture is thus described in three aphorisms by Patañjali: "Posture is that which is steady and easy." "By Slackening effort and by Meditation on Ananta." "Thence, no assaults from the pairs of opposites." (II, 46-48.) Any Posture, such as the Padma or the Svastika or other position of the body, which one finds easy and comfortable, as causing no strain or pain in any of the limbs and

which keeps the body in a condition so steady as to be free from jerks or tremblings, is to him the best Posture to be taken. The ordinary means of accomplishing this is "the Slackening of effort", that is to say, one should have recourse to the slackening of the mental fervour which leads to energetic action, such as moving about, attending to household duties, going to places of pilgrimage, taking baths, offering sacrifices, etc. Otherwise, this mental fervour is sure to overpower the body and lead it off in some direction or other. The extraordinary means of attaining it (a comfortable Posture) is "Meditation on the Ananta", viz., such attitude of the Mind as would take the form of "I am the self-same serpent S'esa (or Ananta) who stands unmoved, supporting the globe of this earth on his thousand hoods." By this means is generated that subtle attitude, which easily procures the requisite Posture. Posture being gained, one is no longer assailed by the "pairs" of opposites, such as, cold and heat, respect and contempt, etc., as before. The proper place for such Posture is described by the S'ruti thus:

"One taking a comfortable Posture, in some solitary place, with extreme (bodily and mental) purity and holding his neck, head and chest in a line." (Kai. 4.)

"In a place, even, pure, free from pebbles, fire and sand, as also free from noise and devoid of any great watercourse, always pleasant and never painful to look at, one should assume his posture, in some cave, sheltered from the breeze." (Sve. 2, 10.)

Thus far the Yoga relating to "Posture." The Yoga relating to "Diet" consists in Temperance in food.

Says the S'ruti:

<sup>&</sup>quot;The Yogin should ever avoid over-eating or starvation."

#### The LORD too says:

"Verily Yoga is not for him, who eateth too much, nor who abstaineth to excess, nor who is too much addicted to sleep, nor even to wakefulness, O Arjuna! Yoga killeth out all pain, for him who is regulated in eating and amusement, regulated in performing actions, regulated in sleeping and waking." (B. G. VI, 16, 17.)

The Dissolution of the Mind through restraint of *Prāṇa (Prāṇayāma)* by one who has gained a steady Posture is thus set forth in the *S'vetās'vatara*:

"Holding the three erect, keeping the body well-poised, impounding all the Senses into the Heart, through the Mind; thus should the Knower row across in the boat of Brahman to the other side of the terrific waters of the river—(of the Great Illusion). He should, for this purpose, apply himself to the Restraint of Breath, directing his activities in the normal way and should accustom himself to breathe in through the nose, when the Prāṇa (Breath) has been exhausted. The Knower should exercise vigilant check over his Mind, even as a clever charioteer would, over his chariot yoked to restive horses." (Sve. 2, 8, 9.)

To two Orders belong Yogins; those devoid of the Life-of-the-Lower-Self, consisting of Pride of Learning and the like, and those bound to such life. Of the two, when the Mind of one of the first Order is retrained by Meditation on the Brahman, his Breath, which is invariably bound up with his Mind, is likewise restrained. The verse beginning with "Holding the three erect" relates to such a one. In the case of the second, when the Breath of one of that Order is held under control, his Mind, which is invariably bound up with the Vital Breath, is also held under control. The verse, "He should, for this purpose, apply himself to, etc.," relates to him. The

<sup>1</sup> Head, neck and chest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Word of Glory.—Aum—symbolic of *Brahman* is here meant, as the one syllable on which the Knower should contemplate.

method of restraining the Breath will presently be described. When this restraint is accomplished, one secures the "normal direction of activities"; and the activity of the Mind, such as Pride of Learning and the rest, is altogether restrained. An illustration, to elucidate the check exercised by Restraint of Breath over the activity of the Mind, is thus given in another place:

"As smelting separates the pure metal from the ore dug up from a mountain and burns up all the dross, so is burnt up all the evil arising out of the improper functioning of the Mind, due to the influence of Latent Desire, through Restraint of Breath." (A. Nā., 7.)

This is justified by VASISTHA thus:

"Flow of Breath is identically the same as the activity of the Mind; the wise should, therefore, seriously put forth the best effort towards regulating the former."

The gods presiding over the Mind, Speech, the Eye and other Senses, having taken the vow of unceasingly fulfilling, each his own functions, were all swallowed up by Death assuming the form of sheer Exhaustion. He (Death) however did not assail the presiding deity of Prāna (Vital Breath). Hence it is, that this Prāna, though constantly going out and coming in, never feels the fatigue of its activity. It was, then, that the gods, after due deliberation, assumed the form of Prāna. The same is being thus chanted by the Vājasaneyins: "This (Prāna) is verily the best among us; he who feels no pain, whether in motion or at rest, and never goes to decay. Lo! let us all assume his form. So saying, all of them assumed his form. Hence, they are called after him, Prāṇas." (Br. 1, 5, 21). Hence, it follows, that the Senses are but forms of this Vital Breath, that is to say,

they are dependent on the Breath for their functioning. This too has been set forth in the Antar-yāmi-brāhmaṇa, while speaking of the Sūtrātman: "O Gautama! Air indeed is that Sūtra (thread); this world and the next, nay, all beings whatever, are held together by this thread of Air; hence again, O Gautama! a man is said to be dead, when all his limbs get loose, the thread of this vital Air alone having bound them together once." (Br. 3, 7, 2.) Thus, the flow of Prāṇa and the functioning of Manas (the Mind) being concomitants of each other, the control of the former leads to the control of the latter.

It may here be argued, that this concomitance is not borne out, as, in sleep, the Mind does not appear to function, though the flow of Breath has not ceased. It is not so, for, the Mind, which is then only in a condition of potential existence, is as good as not in existence altogether.

Again, it may be argued that, what has been said in the Sruti "When the Prāṇa has been exhausted, breathe in through the nose," involves a contradiction, for, we do never find any Breath in the body of a dead man forsaken by Prāṇa; nor is there cessation of Prāṇa in the case of one who is breathing and alive. In fact there is no such contradiction, for, absence of heavy breathing is all that is meant by "exhaustion of Prāṇa" in the present instance. The speed of the flow of breath, observable in one engaged in digging the ground, or felling a tree, or ascending a hill-top or running swiftly, does not obtain in one, who is standing or sitting or asleep. Similarly, one who has acquired sufficient skill in the practice of Prāṇāyāma, has his flow of breath rendered thinner than

that of another person. Says the S'ruti, with this very thing in mind:

"Having drawn the Breath in, long enough, he should then give it out very slowly."

Just as a chariot with restive horses is dragged away from its right course to any place whatever and has to be restored to the right path again, by the driver curbing the horses through the reins, so also the Mind, dragged hither and thither by the senses and Vāsanās, is held in perfect check, only when the string of Prāṇa is held fast and well.

The "Restraint of Breath" referred to in the passage "He should apply himself to Restraint of Breath, etc.," quoted above is elsewhere thus described:

"With  $Pr\bar{a}na$  of proper length, one should thrice repeat the  $G\bar{a}yat$ - $tr\bar{\imath}$  with the  $Vy\bar{a}hrti$ , the Pranava and the Siras;—this is  $Pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ .  $Pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}mas$  are said to be three in number, Recaka,  $P\bar{a}raka$  and Kumbhaka. Drawing the air up, completely exhausting the air from the  $\bar{A}k\bar{a}sa$  (space) and creating a void therein, one should control the void thus created: this is Recaka. As one sucks in water through the stalk of a lotus, so should the air be drawn in (for  $Pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ )—this is  $P\bar{u}raka$ . Neither inhaling nor exhaling any air, nor moving any of his limbs, one should direct himself towards  $Pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ : this is Kumbhaka." (A. Nā. 11-14.)

Here, in order to expel all air from within the body, the  $Ak\bar{a}sa$  (space) within the body should be emptied of all air, by raising it up from within. When, thus, the body is emptied of all air, not a particle should be allowed to re-enter it and control should be exercised on this idea of perfect void: This is Recaka.

Kumbhaka is of two kinds: internal and external. VASISTHA describes the two thus:

"That condition, when  $Ap\bar{a}na$  has just ceased to flow out from within and  $Pr\bar{a}na$  has not yet commenced flowing

into the heart from without, is of Kumbhaka (internal) as experienced by Yogins. When  $Pr\bar{a}na$  has just ceased to flow in from without and  $Ap\bar{a}na$  has not yet commenced flowing out from within, that condition of fullness is of external Kumbhaka."

It may be added that Inspiration adversely affects the Internal and Expiration, the External Kumbhaka; while the slightest movement of the body would prove detrimental in the case of either Kumbhaka, as such movement has, as its necessary concomitant, either the one or the other of the two, viz., Inspiration and Expiration. Even Patanjali describes Pranayama, which is the next stage after Posture, in his aphorisms, thus: "This (Posture) being accomplished, Prānāyāma (follows)—the cutting off of the course of Inspiration and Expiration (of the breath)." (II, 49.) It should not be supposed that, though there is suspension in the flow of breath in Kumbhaka, there is such flow of breath in Inspiration and Expiration during Recaka and Pūraka; for, by constantly practising these two in an increasing measure, the natural, even course of Prāṇa is invariably modified to some perceptible extent. This practice is spoken of by Patanjali thus: "It is External, Internal, or Steady; regulated by Place, Time, and Number; and is long and subtle." (II, 50.) Recaka is the external course, Pūraka the internal course and Kumbhaka the suspension (of breath). Each of these has to be regulated by the "Region", "Duration" and "Number" tests. It is as follows: When Recaka is in its natural course, the breath rises from the heart and flows in the direction of the tip of the nose, becoming imperceptible at a distance of twelve digits (from the tip of the nose). By practice, however, it gradually rises from the navel or the rectum (instead of from the heart), and is

rendered imperceptible at a distance of twenty-four or thirty-six digits, as the case may be. During Recaka, through excessive effort, the breath, which rises from the navel or regions below, is discernible within, by the peculiar sensation round the region whence it rises. Externally too we can determine its length by holding a piece of cotton against the breath so expired. This is the "Region" test. Determining the number of times one is able to (mentally) repeat the *Pranava* (Word-of-Glory) during one Recaka (as it naturally flows), such as ten, twenty or thirty is the "Duration" test. Reckoning the number of times one does the Recaka, such as ten this month, twenty the next, thirty the one following, and so on, is the "Number" test. Reckoning the Prānāyāmas characterized by the regions and durations set forth above performed per day, as ten, twenty or thirty, is another kind of "Number" test. The above tests should, in the same manner, be applied in the case of Pūraka. Though in Kumbhaka it is impossible to apply the "Region" test. vet the "Duration" and "Number" tests are surely applicable to it. As a thick lump of cotton, on being spread out, becomes long and gets flimsy on account of attenuation, even so does Prāna, on being subjected to practice, by the gradual increase in region, duration and number, become long and is rendered subtle on account of its imperceptibility. Patanjali speaks of an altogether different kind of Prānāyāma from the three mentioned above, viz., Recaka, Pūraka and Kumbhaka, thus: "The fourth is that which has no bearing on the external and internal positions." (II, 51.) The Kumbhaka performed after expiring all breath from within, as much as it lies in one's power, is external Kumbhaka; the same

performed after filling up the breath, as much as it lies in one's power, is internal *Kumbhaka*. The *Prāṇāyāma* that is practised purely as *Kumbhaka*, without any bearing on *Recaka* and *Pūraka*, becomes the fourth, in consideration of the three described before. The three processes, *Recaka* and others, are meant for those who are addicted to sleep, sloth and other grievous vices, while the fourth is for those who are free from such vices.

(Patanjali) sets forth the result of Pranayama thus, in his aphorism: "Thence is destroyed the covering of the light." (II, 52.) The light, i.e., light of Sattva; that which obscures such light is Tamas, the cause of sleep, sloth and the like; this is removed (by the practice of Pranayama). Another result which follows the removal is thus described in the aphorism: "The Mind becomes fit for the stages of Contemplation, Absorption and Trance." (II, 53.) Contemplation is the transfixing of the Mind on some particular region, such as the plexuses situated respectively at the rectum, the navel, the heart, the middle of the eye-brows, the crown of the head, etc., as set forth in the aphorism: "Contemplation is the fixing of the Mind on something." (III, 1.) The Sruti too corroborates this:

"That state, wherein the wise man considers the Mind as the ever active instrument of imagination, projects it on the Self and retains it in the self-same condition, is described as  $Dh\bar{a}ran\bar{a}$  (Contemplation)." (A. Na. 15).

The Mind, freed, through  $Pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ , from its native fickleness, due to the inherent quality of energy (Rajas), and from its native indolence, etc., due to the inherent quality of grossness (Tamas), becomes fit for such contemplation.

By the word "method" occurring in the passage: "By constantly practising the *Prāṇāyāma* and adopting the method expounded by the teacher," is implied any one of the several processes well-known to *Yogins*, such as, the whirling of the *Meru*, *i.e.*, the head, setting the uvula in motion with the tip of the tongue, contemplation of the Light at the navel-plexus and the heart, the use of drugs calculated to stupify and so on. Thus have been shown the several effective means of mental training leading to the Dissolution of the Mind, *viz.*, application to Spiritual Science, company of the good, entire abandonment of latent desire and restraining the flow of breath.<sup>1</sup>

Henceforward we touch upon "Trance" which is another means to the same end. After excluding the first three of the five stages of the Mind, the two stages that remain make up what is called "Trance". The said stages have been enumerated by the author of the Yogasūtra-bhāsyā thus: "Distraction, Dormancy, Constraint, Concentration and Absorption, are the five stages of the Mind "(i.e., of mental activity). The Mind is "distracted." when inclined towards the Life-of-the-Lower-Self or attracted by the (impure) Vāsanās relating to love of worldly fame, pride of learning or the acquisition of bodily grace; it is "dormant," when overpowered by sleep, sloth and the like; it is "constrained," when resting occasionally in Contemplation and, as such, in a state distinguishable from Distraction. Of these three, there is not the remotest possibility of doubt that the distracted and dormant stages have anything to do with Trance. When the Mind is constrained, such Trance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See page 112.

may be possible, being rendered subordinate to as Distraction. would not lie within the legitimate province of Yoga. In other words, such Trance, being subjected to Constraint, is forthwith reduced to nothing, even as seeds encompassed by fire. That condition, which reveals a thing in its real form to the one-pointed Mind, removes all pain altogether, loosens the bonds of Karma and brings the state of Absorption within sight, is called Samprajnata-yoga (Trance of the conscious variety). That condition of the Mind, when all transformations are held under check, is called Asamprajñata (Trance of the unconscious variety). The one-pointedness which is characteristic of the conscious variety of Trance is thus set forth (by Patanjali) in the aphorism: "The condition of the Mind, known as concentration-tranformation. is attained, when the "repressed" and the "revived" transformations are similar." (III, 12.) By "repressed" is meant "that have been put out" i.e., past. By "revived" is meant "that have arisen," i.e., present. These two kinds of transformations would be similar. only when each of them relates to the same thing in succession. Such transformation is known as Concentration. Trance, wherein Concentration is accelerated, is set forth in the following S'ūtra: "Trance-transformation is the setting and rising of Distractions and Concentration of the Mind respectively." (III, 11.) The Mind impelled by the quality of Rajas (Energy), runs after objects one after the other. These Distractions diminish day by day, by special effort put forth in that behalf, by the Yogin and "Concentration" rises in an increasing measure. Such transformation of the Mind is called "Trance".

Of the eight stages leading to this Trance, Forbearance, Observances, Posture, Regulation of Breath, and Abstraction are the five exterior ones. Of these, Forbearance is thus spoken of in the aphorism: "Forbearance consists in abstaining from killing, falsehood, theft, incontinence and greediness." (II, 30.) Forbearance (Yama) is that which induces the Yogin to forbear from prohibited acts, such as killing and the rest. Observances set forth thus: "Observances consist in purity. contentment, mortification, study and resignation to Isvara." (II, 32.) Observances (Niyamas) are those which turn one away from actions based on desire, which are the cause of incarnation, and induce him to duly carry out such disinterested duties as bring about Liberation. The difference between (the carrying out of) these two is thus shown in the S'mrti:

"The wise man should ever devote his attention to Forbearance, no matter whether he ever neglects Observances or not; for, one devoted solely to Observances, falls, should he neglect Forbearance. One devoted to Observances and neglecting Forbearance, meets with sure destruction. Not so one full of Forbearance, but careless of Observances. Thus, taking due note of the relative strength of Forbearance and Observances, the wise man should carefully apply his mind mostly to Forbearance."

The results of Forbearance and Observances are thus described in the aphorisms: "There arise suspension of antipathy (on abstinence from killing being acquired as a habit), bestowal of fruits (on veracity being confirmed), approach of all jewels (on abstinence from theft of all kinds being acquired as a habit), attainment of supreme vigour (on the confirmation of continence), knowledge of the how and wherefore of existence, *i.e.*, immunity from the fear of rebirth, etc., (on abstinence from greediness)."

"From purity arise disgust for one's own body and non-intercourse with others"; "Moreover there arise clear passivity, pleasantness of mind, fixity of attention, subjugation of the senses, and fitness for communion with the soul." "Superlative happiness (arises) from contentment." "There arise, from mortification, after the destruction of impurities, occult powers in the body and the senses." "By study is produced communion with the desired deity." "From resignation to *İsvara* (follows) the accomplishment of *Samādhi* (Trance)." (II, 35-45.)

Posture and Regulation of Breath have been defined and explained before.

Abstraction is thus defined in the aphorism: "Abstraction is, as it were, the imitation, by the Senses, of the Thinking Principle, by withdrawing themselves from their objects." (II, 54.) Sound, touch, form, taste and smell are the objects of the Senses. Turned away from them, the Senses, ear and the rest, stand (abstracted within), acting as it were in accord with the then condition of the Mind. Says the *Sruti*:

"The five (Senses) having Sound, etc., as their objects and the ever restless Mind should all be meditated upon, as so many reins handled by the one effulgent Self;—such meditation is Abstraction." (A. Nā. 5.)

"The five, having Sound, etc., as their objects" are the five Senses, ear and others. These and the Mind, which forms the sixth with them, should all be turned off from their respective objects and should be thought of as so many reins of the inner Self. This is *Pratyāhāra*—Abstraction. The result of Abstraction is thus given in the aphorism: "Then follows the greatest Mastery over the Senses." (II, 55.)

Then are described Contemplation, Absorption and Trance, in the three aphorisms: "Contemplation (Dhāranā) is the fixing of the Mind on some thing." "The unity of the Mind with it, is Absorption (Dhyana)." "The same, when conscious only of the object, as if unconscious of itself is Trance (Samādhi)." (III, 1-3.) Reference has already been made to the plexuses of the rectum, etc., as regions whereon the mind is fixed during Contemplation. Another such region is hinted at in the S'ruti:

"That state, wherein the wise man considers the Mind as the ever active instrument of imagination, projects it on the Self and retains it in the self-same condition is described as *Dhāraṇā* (Contemplation)." (A. Na. 15.)

"Let the Mind which thinks of every possible object, think of itself and of nothing else." Effort in this direction is the fixing of the Mind on itself.

The "unity of the Mind with it" spoken of in reference to Absorption means the flow of the Mind in the one direction of *Tattva*. This is of two kinds: intermittent and continuous. These two, in due course, become Absorption and Trance. These two are thus spoken of by the great *Yogin*, Sarvānubhava:

"Gnosis of the kind just described, comes of Concentration of the Mind. The method to be employed for acquiring the means to such Gnosis, to wit, Absorption, is as follows: One should meditate upon the residual Sat, Cit and Ananda, after causing the several orders of creation to melt away, by reversing the process of evolution in his mind. That stream of the transformations of the Mind, ever conscious of the Brahman and devoid of the least vestige of egoism, is the result of confirmed practice of Dhyāna (Absorption) and is known as Samprajñāta-samādhi (Trance of the conscious variety)."

Samkara-bhagavat-pada explains the same thus:

"All-Gnosis, in form resembling  $\overline{A}k\overline{a}s'a$ , luminous once for all, unborn, one, immutable, unattached, all-pervading, without a second;—I, the liberated, am ever that, the Word of Glory. I am all-pure-Gnosis and immutable. I have, by nature, nothing for my object. I am the unconditioned All, pervading everywhere,—before, behind, above and below; having no beginning and standing fully reflected in the Self. I am unborn, immortal, undecaying, undying, self-effulgent, all-pervading, without a second, transcending the chain of cause and effect, entirely pure, ever content and hence, verily ever liberated,—the Word of Glory." (Up. 10, 1-3.)

It may here be asked why the conscious variety of Trance, which is the principal, should be made to take the place of one of its auxilliaries, viz., that Trance which comes after Absorption, as the eighth in order (from Yama, etc.). The answer is that there is no such inconsistency involved therein, there' is assharp difference between the said two kinds of Trances. Just as a pupil, learning the Veda, stumbles very often, but all the same rectifies himself eventually, while after finishing the whole course he is cautious enough not to stumble; and a teacher (of the Veda) makes no such mistakes while engaged in teaching, even though he may be absent-minded or slothful occasionally, similarly, it should be understood that there is difference between Absorption, Trance and the conscious variety of Trance, which mark three different stages of perfection, even though the object in view is the same in all the three cases. Contemplation. Absorption and Trance are the internal auxilliaries of Conscious Trance, as they relate to the Mind. The five auxilliaries, Yama and others, are the external. This has been thus spoken of in the aphorism: "The three are

more intimate than the previous ones. (III. 7.)" Therefore. when the internal means are, in the first instance. gained in virtue of some past or present merit, much effort need not be put forth towards accomplishing the external ones. Though Patanjali has elaborately described the Samprajnāta and the Savikalpa kinds of Trance in their relation to material objects, the physical elements and their subtle counterparts, the senses, egoism, etc.. yet no heed need be paid to them by us, as they have, for their aim, the acquisition of certain occult powers, such as disappearance, etc., which run counter to the end and aim of real (absolute) Trance, which is Liberation. The following aphorisms bear out the position assumed above: "These are obstacles in the way of Samādhi (Absolute Trance), and are powers in moments of suspension." (III, 38.) "(There should be) entire absence of attachment or pride in the invitations by the powers (of various places), for there is the possibility of the recurrence of evil." (III, 52.) The "powers of various places" are the several gods. It is on record that Uddālaka, though invited by the gods, disregarded them and took to Absolute Trance (Nirvikalpa-samādhi) alone. The same is gathered from the following dialogue:

## RĀMA-

"O sage, the best among the knowers of the Self! Why is it that powers such as, soaring up in the sky and the like, are not seen in those that are liberated while yet living (Jīvan-muktas)?"

## Vasiştha—

"Even one, who is ignorant of the Self and is yet in bondage, attains, by requisitioning the aid of proper materials, incantations, practices and observances of time, occult powers, such as soaring up in the sky, etc.. O Raghaya!

The attaining of such powers is not within the sphere of the Knower of the Self; for, verily the Knower of the Self has to cast his eye only on the Self. Content in the Self, through the Self, he does not pursue  $Avidy\bar{a}$  (Ignorance). The wise consider, whatever things pertain to the world are so many forms of gross ignorance. How is it possible for the Knower of the Self, who has given up ignorance, to drown himself in them? The influence exercised by materials, incantations, action and time, though conducive to the successful acquisition of occult powers, does not help a jot towards attaining the highest condition of Beatitude. How can the Self, which can be attained only on the cessation of all kinds of desire, ever be attained by one, whose mind is yet immersed in the desire for occult powers? These worldly objects do not attract the Knower of the Truth, even as rustic women-folk afford no charms to the gallant in fast love with the polished lady of fashionable life. The Jīvan-mukta will not be filled with wonder, even if the Sun should shed cool rays of light or the disc of the Moon emit hot beams, or, even if a jet of flame were to shoot downwards. No curiosity is roused in him by any of these or other wonderful things, as he looks upon them all as so many forces of the Supreme Self, manifesting themselves in these diverse ways, in this world. He, who, even after realizing the Self, desires these occult powers, will easily gain them, by degrees, through materials which would serve as means tending to that end.'

The conscious variety of Trance (Samprajnāta-samādhi) directed towards the Self, leads to Obliteration of Vāsanā and Absolute Interception (Nirodha-samādhi). Hence due importance has to be attached to it.

Now is described, this Absolute Interception which is the fifth stage. This is thus defined in the aphorism: "Interception is the transformation of the mind at the moments of Interception,—the impressions of Distraction

¹The word "Interception" is a literal rendering of what is called Nirodha-pariṇāma, that transformation of the mind leading to Nirodha-samādhi, here rendered by the words "Absolute Interception". It means the utter emptying of the Mind of all thought other than the one on which it stands intercepted, so to speak. The supreme fullness of the Mind with this idea, even up to the forgetfulness of such experience, may, taking the positive aspect of the state, be described as "Absolute Interception".

and Interception going out and rising up respectively." (III, 9.) The "impressions of Distraction" are unfavourable to Samādhi. They have been set forth, while speaking of the Trance of Uddālaka:

"' When shall I rest for a long time, in that exceedingly holy state, free from all thinking whatever, even like a cloud resting on the top of mount Meru!'-Overwhelmed with this anxiety, Uddalaka forced himself into posture after posture and practised Absorption. When his mind, restless like a monkey, was drawn hither and thither by the various objects of enjoyment, he did not find that steadiness (in trance) leading to the supreme Ecstasy of Bliss. The ape of his mind, drawn off at one time from external objects, ran thereafter to the groups of things stored within; at another time, giving up the internal groups, it resorted to the external objects. Then again, taking to wings, it moved hither and thither like a frightened bird; it saw, yet again, an expanse of light, resembling the rising Sun; next, it saw the  $\bar{A}k\bar{a}s'a$  alone and, last of all, utter darkness. He cut off these thought-images, surging up again and again at random, with one strong effort of his mind, even like a valiant hero cutting off his enemies in battle, with one stroke of his sword. The crowd of images having been thus laid low, he saw, in the  $\bar{A}k\bar{a}s'a$  of his heart. the darkness of ignorance, as black as floating soot and obscuring the Sun of Discrimination. He dispelled even this with the light of the Sun of proper Gnosis; and when all darkness had been dispelled, he saw a huge mass of effulgence within. He scattered it off, as the young elephant does a forest of land-lotuses; the light having disappeared, the Mind of the sage, which began to reel, went to sleep like lotuses at the close of day. He soon shook off even this, whereupon he found himself awake to the consciousness of all-pervading  $\bar{A}k\bar{a}s'a$ . When this too was lost, his mind sank into stupor, which again this large-hearted sage wiped off (with effort). Thence attaining, at the end, the indescribable condition bereft of light, darkness, sleep, stupor and the like, the Mind (of the sage) found supreme rest for a moment."

These "impressions of Distraction" are daily and hourly wiped off, by effort, put forth by the *Yogin*, with a view to intercept them and "impressions of Interception" which are adversaries continually rise in their place. When

this is accomplished, Interception keeps pace with the Mind, at every moment. In this manner is accomplished the transformation of the Mind known as "Interception".

It may here be asked: in accordance with the rule: "All objects, except consciousness, undergo transformation every moment", the Mind should be considered as subject to a series of transformations for all time. This is no doubt true. This continuity of transformations is obvious in the case of the distracted Mind. But what about the intercepted Mind? With a view to meet this difficulty, the next aphorism is made to say: "Its flow becomes steady by impressions." (III, 10.) As fire burns brighter and brighter, with every fresh addition of sacred fuel and clarified butter and as, after the fuel, etc., has been consumed, it burns, at first, a degree lower than before. and continues gradually to burn lower and lower; so, indeed, does the intercepted Mind become more and more confirmed in the habit of steadiness. In the acquisition of this habit, the impression born of every previous effort, serves as the cause of the steadiness that subsequently follows. The LORD very lucidly explains this "steady flow":

<sup>&</sup>quot;When his subdued thought is fixed on the Self, free from longing after all desirable things, then it is said, "he is harmonized". As a lamp in a windless place flickereth not, to such is likened the Yogin of subdued thought, absorbed in the Yoga of the Self. That in which the mind finds rest, quieted by the practice of Yoga: that in which he, seeing the Self, in the Self is satisfied; that in which he findeth the supreme delight which the Reason can grasp beyond the senses, wherein established he moveth not from the Reality; which, having obtained, he thinketh there is no greater gain beyond it; wherein, established, he is not shaken even by heavy sorrow; that should be known by the name of Yoga, this disconnection from the union with pain. This Yoga must

be clung to with a firm conviction and with undesponding mind." (B. G. VI, 18-23)

The means of Absolute Interception is mentioned in the aphorism: "The other (variety) is that (Samādhi) which consists of the remnant Sainskāra left by the practice of the cause of complete suspension." (I, 18.) Complete suspension is suspension of the transformations of the Mind. For bringing about this, strong personal effort is essential. Such effort, when put forth frequently, accomplishes the suspension. The result of such repeated effort is the variety, other than the conscious variety of Trance, spoken of in the preceding aphorism: that is to say, the variety spoken of here is Asamprajñāta-samādhi. As it is not possible to conceive of the form of the Mind free from transformation, in that condition, it is said to consist of "the remnant Samskāra." The generation (of this condition) from the cause of complete suspension is thus clearly set forth by the LORD:

"Abandoning without reserve all desires born of the imagination, by the mind curbing in the aggregate of the senses on every side, little by little let him gain tranquillity, by means of Reason controlled by steadiness; having made the mind abide in the Self, let him not think of anything. As often as the wavering and unsteady mind goeth forth, so often reining it in, let him bring it under the control of the Self." (B. G. VI, 24-26.)

Though objects of desire, such as, garlands, perfumes, women, children, friends, mansions, lands and the like, are full of many evils, well-known to wise men who are well-versed in the Science of Liberation, yet through the influence of beginningless  $Avidy\bar{a}$ , the Mind, after covering up all such evils, invests the objects with a core of good. From such "imagination" arise desires

of the form of "May this be mine." So says the Smrti:

"All desire has its root in imagination; even sacrifices are born of imagination;—O Desire! I know thy origin. Verily thou art born of imagination; I do not propose having anything to do with thee. Verily shalt thou perish root and branch."

The evils inherent in the objects of desire, being rendered clearly palpable, by bringing discrimination to bear on them, desires turn away from them, as from the milk-porridge vomited by a dog. The word "all" has been used to qualify "desires", with a view to suggest that the desire for the attainment of Brahma-loka, etc.. or the eight occult powers, Animan, etc., should be abandoned likewise, along with the desire for garlands, perfumes and the rest. One who has taken the vow of abstinence from all food for a month, though abstaining from it during that period, feels that the craving for it springs up again and again. The words "without reserve" have been used to signify that cravings such as these, should be altogether curbed. Though, on the abandonment of desire, all deliberate action ceases, the activity of the senses like the eye, which cannot, in consequence of their very nature, be curbed from cognizing forms and the like, should also be controlled by strong mental effort. The words "on every side" have been used in this connection, with a view to imply the suspension, even of activities such as, visits to temples for worship. The words "little by little" suggest that tranquillity is to be attained stage by stage.

These stages which are four in number are thus described in the *Kathopaniṣad*: "The wise man should reduce all speech into thought, all thought into the Self

that discriminates (*Buddhi*), the discriminating Self into the Great Self (*Mahat*), and the Great Self into the Self that is all peace and tranquillity." (1, 3, 13.)

"Speech" is of two kinds: temporal (Laukika) and spiritual (Vaidika). The first consists of conversation relating to every-day life, the second of the muttering of incantations, etc., enjoined by the Veda. Of these, temporal speech being the cause of considerable distraction, the Yogin should abandon it, even in moments of resumption from Trance. Hence says the Smrti:

"The bearer of the single  $Danda^1$  must have these seven: silence, posture, meditation, indifference, love of solitude, contentment and equanimity."

The second kind of speech, consisting of Vedic incantations, etc., should also be given up at the time of Absolute Interception. This is the first stage (of reduction) relating to speech. Having mastered this stage with strong effort in a few days or months or years, the student should apply himself to the next stage (of reduction) referring to thought. Otherwise, the higher stages will fail, through failure of the first stage, even as, with the fall of the lowest storey of a palace, the higher ones will topple down. Eyes and the other senses have, no doubt, to be brought under control, but their control may be included, either under the control of thought or of speech.

If it be argued that speech cannot be reduced into thought, for the reason that one sense cannot get reduced into another, such argument is based on misunder-standing, for, no such reduction is actually meant. What is meant is that, between speech and thought,

<sup>1</sup> The Eka-dundin, as this order of Samnyasins is cailed.

which produce innumerable distractions, by first bringing speech under control, mental activity alone will remain to be dealt with.

When control of speech gets confirmed into a habit and speechlessness becomes, as it were, part of our nature, as in the case of cows, buffaloes, horses and the like, thought should thence be reduced into the "Self that discriminates". The Self is of three kinds: "The Self that discriminates", "the Great Self", and "the Self that is all peace and tranquillity". That, remaining in which the Self knows, in fact, the condition essential to the act of knowing, egoism, is here implied by the word "discriminates" used in the phrase "the Self that discriminates". The instrument of such discrimination, viz., the Mind, being separately mentioned as that which has to be reduced, this discrimination is none other than egoism. Egoism is again twofold: Individual and Cosmic. "Here I am, the son of so and so "-egoism of this explicit type belongs to the Individual vareity. The Cosmic variety of egoism consists in the mere consciousness "I am", which, being common to all beings, is called "Cosmic", i.e., great (Mahat). The two kinds of Self, conditioned by these two kinds of egoism (are the "Self that discriminates" and the "Great Self"). The unconditioned Self is the "Self that is all peace and tranquillity". All these are inter-related and may be looked upon as being within or outside one another. The Self that is all peace and tranquillity is the inmost core of all, being all pure Gnosis; in It subsists primordial matter (Mūla-prakṛti), in the form of crude force which is Unmanifest (Avyakta). manifests itself primarily as Cosmic egoism, being then

called the *Mahat*. This *Mahat* continues to externalize itself further as Individual egoism, which further develops itself into the Mind, which ultimately expresses itself in speech and the other senses, which are its final developments (in man).

With all this in mind the *S'ruti* speaks of the interrelation of the same series, proceeding from outside inward:

"Objects transcend the Senses, the Mind transcends objects, the Intellect transcends the Mind, the Mahat-Ātman transcends the Intellect, the Unmanifest transcends the Mahat, the Self (Puruṣa) transcends the Unmanifest,—beyond the Puruṣa there is nothing which can transcend Him. He is the ultimate limit, the Goal (of all)." (Kaṭha. 1, 3, 10-11.)

It being so, one should reduce the Mind, the instrument wherewith the various kinds of analytic and synthetic processes of thought are brought about, into egoism; in other words, giving up all mental functions, he should retain egoism alone. It should not be supposed that this is impossible. For, in reply to ARJUNA'S observation:

"I deem it (the Mind) as hard to curb as the wind." (B. G. VI, 34.)

The LORD has well said:

"Without doubt, O mighty-armed! the Mind is hard to curb and restless; but it may be curbed by constant practice and by dispassion. Yoga is hard to attain, methinks, by a Self that is uncontrolled; but by the Self controlled it is attainable by properly directed energy." (B. G. VI, 35, 36.)

"Constant practice" and "Dispassion" will be explained later with reference to the aphorisms of Patañjali. By "a Self that is uncontrolled" is meant one who has not acquired firm and steady mastery over the stage preceding the one he finds himself in. He who is thus equipped is "the Self-Controlled". GAUPA-PĀDĀCĀRYA has well explained, with illustration, the properly directed energy which leads to Yoga:

"Even as the emptying of the ocean, drop by drop, at the tip of a blade of *Kus'a*-grass, could be accomplished (by one) with sustained and patient effort, so also could be established Control over the Mind." (3, 41.)

"Though one may be more powerful (than others), he should never stand pitted against a host of such, at the same time. Such a one is sure to meet with defeat, even as the ocean at the hands of the *Tittibha*."

In this connection, those well-versed in folk-lore relate the following Fable: The ocean carried away with its receding tide the eggs of some bird laid somewhere on its beach. (Enraged at this), the bird resolved upon drying up the ocean, and began to pump out the water drop by drop with its bill. Though dissuaded by other birds of its kind, nothing daunted, the bird sought their alliance. The sage Nārada, observing the trouble and worry of so many birds constantly flying to and from the ocean, took compassion on them and sent Garuḍa, the Lord of birds, to go and assist his kindred. Thereupon, the ocean, which began to dry up by the flapping of Garuḍa's wings, was filled with consternation and restored the eggs to the puny bird.

Even so would the Lord bless the *Yogin*, who applies himself with untiring effort to the highest *Dharma*, *viz.*, the Control of the Mind. This untiring application comes of using expedients favourable to it from time to time. This is very much like one eating cooked rice, taking soup, condiments, etc., appealing to the palate and the

tongue, between every morsel. VASIȘTHA says, with this in mind:

"The right course to be adopted by one who is in his novitiate is this: Two parts of the Mind must be filled with objects of Enjoyment, one with Philosophy, and the remaining one with Devotion to the teacher. Having advanced a little, he should fill one part of the Mind with objects of Enjoyment, two parts with Devotion to the teacher and the remaining one with getting an insight into the meaning of Philosophy. When he has attained proficiency, he should every day fill two parts of his Mind with Philosophy and supreme Renunciation and the remaining two with Meditation and devoted Service to the Guru."

By "objects of enjoyment" is here meant the going about for alms, to keep body and soul together and such duties as pertain to the class and station in life (Varna and  $\bar{A}srama$ ) to which one belongs. After practising Yoga for, say, one Ghaţikā (twenty-four minutes) or a Muhūrta (forty-eight minutes) according to one's capacity, spending the next Muhūrta in attending to the Guru, when he expounds Philosophy, or in attending on him, then attending to creature comforts for about one Muhūrta, thereafter, studying some exposition of the Philosophy of Yoga for about the same time, one should apply himself again to the Practice of Yoga. Thus, giving prominence to Yoga in every other act of his in the course of the day, he should combine them with it and carry them out then and there. Before retiring to bed, he should count the total period of time he has devoted to Yoga during the day. Taking note of this, he should further try to add to the time devoted to Yoga, during the next day or the next fortnight or the next month. If, thus, there is an increase in the time devoted to Yoga, say by a minute for every Muhūrta, it will be

found at the end of a year, that there has been a considerable addition to the time devoted to Yoga. No doubt need be entertained that an exclusive devotion to Yoga would afford little scope for other activities. For it is only when totally free from all other activities that one is fit for Yoga. It is for this reason, that the Renunciationof-the-Enlightened has to be preferred. Hence, one who is exclusively devoted to Yoga, attains the height of Yoga, stage by stage, even like a student or one apprenticed to a trades-man acquiring proficiency in his subject or As a student learns a part of a quarter of a Rk, then a quarter, then a half, then the whole Rk, two Rks, and then a whole section and so on, and becomes a teacher in ten or twelve years; or, as some apprentice engaged in trade earns as profit one coin, two coins, and so on and at last becomes a millionaire or a multimillionaire, similarly by commencing his Yogic Practice at the same time as the student and the apprentice (commence their respective avocations), nay, by emulating their ways as it were, why should one not reach the highest stage of Yoga, within the same period of time? Giving up. therefore, like Uddālaka, all analytic and synthetic processes of thought welling up in the Mind, by main personal effort, one should reduce the Mind into the "Self that discriminates" i.e., conditioned by Individual Egoism.

Having gained mastery over this second stage (indicated by entire Suspension of the Mind), when Mindlessness, such as obtains in the case of children and dumb persons, gets confirmed into a habit, the *Yogin* should reduce this "Self that discriminates," *viz.*, this explicit sense of Individual Egoism into the implicit Cosmic

Egoism, viz., the Principle called the Mahat. Even as, in one under the influence of the slightest tendency to Sloth, Individual Egoism becomes dormant of its own accord, so, even without the influence of Sloth it ceases in the case of one who is trying effectively to forget it. This condition, which resembles what is known to the world as "Sloth" and answering to what is called "Abstract Perception" (Nirvikalpaka-jñāna) by logicians (Tārkikas), is the third stage, wherein the Principle of the Mahat alone remains.

Having mastered this stage by dint of skilful application, the Yogin should reduce this "Great Self" of the form of "Cosmic Egoism" into the Self, which, on account of its being unconditioned, is "all tranquillity and peace" and whose nature is all pure consciousness. It has been said: "Having subdued the Principle of the Mahat, one should let pure consciousness alone prevail." The aforesaid effort at forgetfulness is much more useful here than in the previous stage. A student, who launches upon a course of the study of the S'āstras, stands in need of help in the form of interpretation and explanation of every line to him, till he has gained proficiency, but as soon as he has gained it, the rest of the book is plain to him without such help. In the same manner, the Yogin, who has mastered thoroughly every preceding stage, finds of himself the means to accomplish the stages that succeed. The author of the Yoga-bhāsya says to the same end:

"Yoga should be understood by Yoga, Yoga develops from Yoga; that Yogin who does not lose his presence of mind by the practice of Yoga, finds Supreme Bliss."

At this stage there may arise the doubt. In the S'ruti (quoted above), between the "Great-Self" and "the

Self that is all peace and tranquillity", there is mention made of the Principle called Avyakta (Unmanifest) as the material cause of Mahat. Why is there no reference made to the reduction of the Mahat into the Avyakta? The answer is, it is not so, as thereby Mahat would meet with its Laya (Dissolution). Just as a jar of earth on being immersed in water, which is not its material cause. would not be reduced (to water) and lose its form, but would, on the other hand, be turned into clay and lose its form when buried in earth, so also the Mahat would not lose its form when reduced into the Self, but would, on the other hand, be annihilated when reduced into the Avyakta. This Annihilation (of the Mahat) is certainly not the goal of life, as, in that case, the Realization of the Self, (the means wherewith the goal of Liberation could be attained) will be shorn of its very purpose; as also for the reason that "Interception" is mentioned as the means of acquiring the subtlety of intellect referred to in the stanza prescribing the way of Realization of the Self, which is as follows:

"'That is realized only by the sharp and subtle Intellect of those possessed of keen Observation; and last of all, as no special effort is necessary to attain this kind of Annihilation, which is self-evident and within the daily experience of everyone during sleep."

Again it may be argued that, even though the Conscious variety of Trance (Samprajnāta-samīdhi) that may be brought about by Concentration, Absorption and Trance, being of the nature of an one-pointed transformation of the Mind, is useful as a means towards the Realization (of the Self that is all tranquillity and peace), yet as the Mind, when intercepted in the "Self that is all peace and tranquillity" and thus thrown into the condition

of the Unconscious variety of Trance (Asamprajñātasamādhi), is not subjected to any transformation, as during Sleep, it cannot be of any use towards the Realization of the Self. The answer is that the Realization (of the Self) cannot be warded off, as it is of the nature of an established fact. It has been said in the S'reyo-mārga with the same in view: "One should cause the Mind which, by its very nature, is ever prone to assume either of the two forms of the Self and the not-Self, to throw into the back-ground its non-Self aspect, by taking on the form of the Self alone." A jar of earth, which is undergoing the process of manufacture, comes forth filled with the all-pervading  $\bar{A}k\bar{a}sa$ ; filling it up with water, rice and so on is due to human effort afterwards. Though the water, etc., in the jar may be taken out, the  $\bar{A}k\bar{a}sa$  (in the jar, adhering to it since its production) cannot at all be removed; nay, it still continues to be there, even though the mouth of the jar be hermitically sealed. In the same manner, the Mind, in the act of being born, comes into existence only full of the Consciousness of the Self (Atma-caitanua). It takes on, after its birth, owing to the influence of Virtue and Vice, the causes of all enjoyment, the form of jars, cloths, colour, taste, pleasure, pain and other transformations of that kind, even like melted copper, cast into moulds. Of these, even though transformations, such as colour. taste and the like, which are of the not-Self variety, are warded off from the Mind, the form of the Self, which does not depend on any external cause, cannot be warded off. Thence, by the Mind, intercepted from all transformations by the Trance, known as "Interception"; rendered sufficiently subtle, in consequence of being devoid of all but impressions whatever; one-pointed, because of being turned towards Consciousness alone;—by such a Mind is realized the  $\bar{A}tman$ , without any impediment whatsoever. The author of the  $V\bar{a}rttika$ , as well as SARVĀNUBHAVA-YOGIN, says, adopting the same view:

"The Mind takes on the form of Pleasure, Pain and the like, owing to the influence of Virtue and Vice, whereas the form of the Mind, in its native aspect, is not conditioned by any extraneous cause. To the Mind intercepted from all transformations, is revealed the Supreme Bliss; known by the name of "Asamprajāāta-samādhi", this Trance is the favourite of the Yogins."

Though the Realization of the Self is by itself an established fact, application to the practice of "Interception" is meant for the prevention of transformations (of the Mind) of the not-Self variety. It has, therefore, been said:

"Having made the Mind abide in the Self, let him not think of anything at all." (B. G. VI, 25.)

As the Science of Yoga concerns itself only with the Trance as the proper method of treatment of the Mind, there is no direct mention made in it of the Realization of the Self, while dealing with the Trance induced by Interception. This, however, is in a way indirectly hinted at; for having begun with "Yoga is the suppression of the transformations of the thinking principle," (I, 2.) it says in the very next aphorism: "Then the Seer abides in himself." (I, 3.) Though the "Seer", who is immutable, always abides in himself, yet he appears to be ill at ease as it were, owing to the want of Discrimination as to identifying his own reflections in the series of mental transformations arising in the usual course. Even this has

been referred to in the very next aphorism: "Otherwise (he) becomes assimilated with the transformations." (I, 4.) Elsewhere occur other aphorisms as well: "Experience results from the utter incapability of differentiation between the conceptions of Sattva and Puruşa, which are absolutely apart; true knowledge of the Puruşa arises from Samyama on the conception of Puruşa himself, apart from that of the other," (III, 36.) and also "When the never-changing Soul takes its form, then arises Knowledge of its own Cognition." (IV, 22.)

Though, purified by the Nirodha-samādhi (Interception-Trance), the Tvam-padārtha (the Substratum of the Ego) is realized, yet, in order that it (the Substratum of the Ego) may reach the stage of Realization of itself as the Brahman (the Universal All), a special kind of transformation of the Mind, known as Brahma-vidyā (Gnosis) has to be induced, through the influence of the Maha-vākya ("Thou art That" imparted by the Guru). It should not be understood that the Interception-Trance is the only means, whereby the pure Substratum of Ego could be realized. For, such Realization is also possible by a process of careful differentiation and separation between Spirit and Matter. Hence does VASIṢTHA say:

"O Raghava! Yoga and Gnosis are the two paths leading to the Dissolution of the Mind: Yoga consists in intercepting transformations of the Mind, and Gnosis in the proper viewing of things. To some Yoga is unattainable, while to others is denied the capacity to judge aright;—hence, the Lord Paramesvara prescribed these two paths."

If it is thought, that this "careful differentiation and separation" after all leads only to Yoga, for the reason that the one-pointed transformation, directed towards

the Self alone, which the Mind undergoes at the moment of Realization (of the Self), is a kind of momentary Trance of the Conscious variety, we grant that this is so; still the distinction between the Conscious and the Unconscious varieties of Trance is indeed very great, both in their nature and the means adopted for practising them. The difference in their nature is plain, involving as it does the presence of transformations of the Mind in the one case and their entire absence in the other. As to the means employed, Concentration and the rest being similar in nature to the Conscious variety of Trance, they are the kindred means of inducing it, whereas, being dissimilar by nature to the "Unconscious variety of Trance", which implies absence of all transformations whatever, they are only the extraneous means of inducing that Trance. So also says the aphorism: "Even it, is external to the Seedless." (III, 8.)

This extraneous means, though "foreign" to the "Unconscious" variety, being of use, in that it wards off transformations of the Mind into things which are Notself, is therefore not opposed to its interest. To render its utility in this direction clear, it is laid down in the aphorism: "In others (it) is preceded by Faith, Energy, Memory and Discrimination." (I, 20.) Having indicated in the aphorism preceding this, that certain gods and others have the proclivity to Trance conferred on them even at their birth, this aphorism is laid down with reference to men. "This Yoga alone is to me the means of attaining the ultimate end of existence";—conviction of this kind is called "Faith". Such Faith is born of the

¹ That is Samyama which is one name for Concentration, Absorption and Trance.

excellence (of Yoga). Says the Smrti relating to such excellence:

"The Yogin is greater than the Ascetics; he is thought to be greater than even the wise; the Yogin is greater than the men of action; therefore become thou a Yogin, O Arjuna!" (B. G. VI, 46.)

Yoga is superior to austerities of the kind of Krechra and Cāndrāyana and rituals such as Jyotistoma and others, inasmuch as it is the means of attaining the highest Loka. It is superior to Gnosis and is also the cause of bringing the Mind to a state of rest. Faith in Yoga is generated in one who knows as aforesaid. Such Faith getting confirmed in one, Enthusiasm of the form "I shall somehow accomplish Yoga," gets possession of his Mind. Out of such Enthusiasm, the auxilliaries to Yoga to be practised by the Yogin are remembered in their proper sequence. When one, who has practised Trance in the right manner, with the help of such Memory, attains internal clarity, there arises in him the Truth-bearing Intellect. The Unconscious variety of Trance which is preceded by such Intellect, i.e., has this Intellect as its cause, is successfully accomplished in "others". i.e., in the case of that order of beings lower than the gods, viz., by men. This Intellect is thus referred to in the aphorism: "The Intellect is there truth-bearing." (I, 48.) "Truth" means the condition of things as they are; the Intellect which conveys knowledge of such a condition is "truth-bearing". "There", that is to say in the internal clarity brought about by the height of Trance. Further justification for this "truth-bearingness" is dealt with in an aphorism which runs: "(The Range of this Intellect) is quite different

from those of Revelation and Inference, owing to referparticulars." (I. 49.) There is no direct ence to cognition of subtle, mediate and distant things by those who are not Yogins; such things could be known only through "Revelation" and "Inference" by ordinary men. Knowledge derived from "Revelation" and "Inference" has reference always to things in general, while the direct cognition spoken of here as peculiar to Yogins, has in its range particular aspects of things and is called "Truth-bearing" on that account. The utility of this direct cognition of the Yogin, as the extraneous means of the Unconscious variety of Trance, is referred to in the following aphorism: "The impression thereof stands in the way of other impressions." (I, 50.) Having thus described the extraneous means of the Unconscious variety of Trance, the author proceeds to describe the effort towards the Interception-Transformation (of the Truth-bearing Intellect) as the immediate cause of the Unconscious variety of Trance, in the aphorism: "With the prevention of even that, through the prevention of all. Meditation without Seed (is attained)." (I, 51.) This trance which is similar to Sleep, is capable of being experienced by that type of consciousness which is known as "Witness". It should not be supposed that this condition is none other than Sleep, as in it too there is entire absence of all transformations of the Mind: for in the one there is the potential existence of the Mind. while in the other it is altogether non-existent. It has been said by GAUDA-PĀDĀCĀRYA:

<sup>&</sup>quot;The functioning of the Mind, when well-controlled, undifferenced and full of *Gnosis*, is altogether different from its functioning during Sleep and not at all like it. While

it (the Mind) is absorbed in Sleep, it is not so, when well-controlled. The same (Mind) is itself all Brahman, not affected by fear of any kind and full of the Light of Gnosis out and out." (3, 34-35.) "Non-cognition of duality is common both to the  $Pr\bar{a}j\bar{n}a$  and the Turya (fourth); the former has the Seed of Sleep in himself, whereas the latter has it not. The first two (Visva and Taijasa) have Dreamy Sleep in them, the  $Pr\bar{a}j\bar{n}a$  has only Dreamless Sleep; those who are confirmed in the fourth, perceive neither Sleep nor Dream. While Dream is experienced by one, on account of Perverted Cognition, Sleep is experienced by him on account of the Ignorance of the Truth; the False Knowledge induced by the two (Dream and Sleep) having worn out, one realizes the condition of the fourth." (1, 13-15.)

"The first two" are Visva and Taijasa. "Perverted Cognition" means cognizing the Non-dual Entity (Advaita-vastu) as dual (Dvaita). This "Perverted Cognition" of the Visva and Taijasa (the Ātman in the waking and dreaming states respectively) is called Dream. Ignorance of the Truth is called Sleep. Sleep exists in Visva, Taijasa and Prājāa. The False Knowledge induced by these two—Dream and Sleep—being put an end to, through Vidyā (Right Knowledge), one realizes the fourth, the condition of the Advaita (Non-dual Entity).

It may here be asked: "Let there be this vast distinction between the Unconscious variety of Trance and Sleep. Though this Trance may be of use to one desirous of realizing the Truth, as the means leading to such Realization, still, to one who has realized the Truth, that Trance is no longer necessary for the attainment of *Jivan-mukti*; for, the painful bondage of the form of likes and dislikes is easy to destroy even by Sleep." This is not so. Is it the Sleep that comes on occasionally of itself every day, which is the destroyer of bondage, or

the Sleep that may last uninterruptedly, as the result of practice? In case it is the first alternative, is it the destruction of the bondage prevailing during the time of such Sleep that is meant or of the bondage prevailing at other times? The former is not possible, inasmuch as such a thing is incompatible with human nature. Even fools experience no bondage of the form of suffering in Sleep. for, should it be so, there should be reluctance felt by them to resort to it. The second contingency cannot arise, on account of its absurdity; for the relief of suffering experienced at some time, cannot certainly be brought about by Sleep at some other time. In that case even fools will easily free themselves of all the mental pain experienced by them during the waking and dreaming states. As to Sleep that may last uninterruptedly, it is impossible to induce such Sleep by practice, for the reason that Sleep in itself is entire suspension of all activity whatever. Therefore there is the supreme necessity, even for those who have realized the Truth, to resort to the Unconscious variety of Trance, with a view to destroy mental suffering.

The first stage of such Trance is control of speech (Silence), such as is met with in cows and the like; the second is Mindlessness, as in children and idiots and the like. The third stage consists of Absence of all sense of Egoism, as in the condition of Lassitude. The fourth stage is freedom from all relation with Cosmic Egoism (Mahat), as in deep Sleep. It is with reference to these four stages that it has been said: "Little by little, let him gain Tranquillity". Of this pacification, the "Intellect" sustained by "Courage" is the surest means; for, the greatest Courage is required in exercising proper control

over Cosmic and Individual Egoism, as also over the Mind, speech and the rest, which all tend to externalize themselves with a rapid velocity, even as in intercepting the flow of a stream running with tremendous force. eroding the banks between which it runs. The "Intellect" here means proper Discrimination. One should pass on to the second stage after having carefully examined. through this Discrimination, whether the first stage has been mastered or not and satisfied himself that it has been fully covered. If the very first stage has not, as vet, been fully gained, the same should be practised over again, by carefully discriminating the course of development every now and then. "Having made the Mind abide in the Self, etc."—by these words (of the Lord) with which the other half of the couplet quoted above begins. together with the couplet immediately following, reference is made to the practice of the fourth stage. Savs GAUDA-PĀDĀCĀRYA:

"The Mind, distracted by Desires and Enjoyment, as also finding supreme comfort in its Lassitude (Laya), should be brought under control by adopting suitable expedients, for, Lassitude and Desire are alike (to be avoided). One should turn the Mind away from the objects of Desire and Enjoyment. bearing in mind, that all is pain and suffering in this world. He views not things of the universe as having had an origin. remembering as he does that they are identical with the Unborn. One should rouse the Mind, when it is prone to Lassitude, humour it back to its peaceful condition, if it gets distracted; find out, by proper knowledge, whenever it is tainted; and disturb it not when it is equipoised. He should not taste the bliss thereof, should be intellectually detached and should, with every possible effort, concentrate his calm Mind, whenever it is prone to be disturbed. When the mind rises above Lassitude and Distraction and ceases to have any characteristic mark or expression, then indeed it becomes the Brahman." (3, 42-46.)

Lassitude, Distraction, Taintedness and Equipoise are the four states of the Mind. Of these, if the Mind, while turning away from objects of desire, in the attempt to intercept its activity, should, from previous habit, become prone to Lassitude, *i.e.*, Sleep, at such moment, it should be vigorously roused into action by effort or by warding off the cause of Sleep. The causes of such Sleep are, unduly keeping awake, over-feeding with indigestible food and fatigue. Hence it is said:

"One should, after sleeping for the requisite period, eat moderately food that could be easily digested and avoid fatigue, then seek some solitary place free from disturbance and sit there rising above all desire and putting forth little effort, or practise  $Pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$  after his wonted manner."

If the Mind, roused from lethargy, should, from daily practice of wakefulness, get distracted by objects of desire and enjoyment, one should restore it to its Equipoise, again and again, by recalling to mind all the misery arising from objects of enjoyment, so well-known to wise men and by recalling to Mind the *Brahman*, the Non-dual Entity, which is not subject to birth, old age, decay, etc., and which is so well set forth in philosophic treatises, wherever he casts his eyes on objects of enjoyment.

Taintedness is an acute form of contamination of the Mind. The Mind, when infected by it in the form of vivid impressions of likes and dislikes, sometimes appears as if in a state of Trance, free from the influences of Lassitude and Distraction and solely directed towards Misery. The Mind so infected should be recognized, *i.e.*, distinguished from the Mind fixed in a state of Trance. Having made himself sure that this is no Trance, one should set himself about curing it of this

contamination, as in the case of Lassitude and Distraction. By the word Evenness is designated the *Brahman*; for, says the *Smrti*:

"The Supreme Lord pervading all things is Evenness itself." (B.G. XIII, 28.)

Lassitude, Distraction and Taintedness being cured, the state of the *Brahman*, Evenness, is attained as it were by the law of survival of the residue. One should not disturb the Mind, when it has attained the state of Evenness, by mistaking it for either Lassitude or Taintedness. He should learn to distinguish between the states of Lassitude and Taintedness with great effort and with his sharp intellect and should fix the Mind, as long as he could, on this state of Evenness. When the Mind is so fixed, the Highest Bliss, which is the very essense of the *Brahman*, distinctly shows itself out in the Mind. This is described as follows:

"The Supreme Bliss, which the Reason can grasp, which lies beyond the Senses." (B. G. VI, 21.)

The S'ruti too has it:

"The Bliss experienced by the Mind, purified of all dross from the practice of Trance and fixed in the Atman, cannot be adequately described in words, but can be comprehended only by the Inner Sense acting by itself." (Mai. 4, 9.)

That the Bliss of the *Brahman*, thus revealed in Trance, is cognizable by the Intellect, is recognized by the *S'ruti* and the *Smrti* alike. Gauḍa-pādācārya, on the other hand, says "He should taste not the Bliss thereof" and does not admit that this Bliss is cognizable by the Intellect. How could this be reconciled with the other position? There is really no inconsistency involved herein. In the passage quoted above, it is not the Bliss revealed in

Trance and cognizable by the Intellect, that is sought to be prohibited, but the tasting of the Bliss which one experiences, when he recedes from Trance, as it is incompatible with Trance. Just as the pleasant and cool sensation experienced by one immersed in the deep waters of the Ganges at midday, in the hot season, is incapable of being described by him at the time, but is borne testimony to on his coming out of the waters; or as the Bliss of the Self, experienced, even during Sleep, through the operation of the subtle forms of Avidyā, is incapable of being perceived by the transformations of the Thought-bearing Inner Sense at the time, but clearly comes within the range of memory on waking; in the same manner, during Trance, the experience of Bliss, through the Mind free from all tranformations whatever, or existing in a very subtle condition, being only of the form of residual impressions (of itself), is recognized by S'ruti and Smrti alike. The "Taste" spoken of by Gauda-pādācārya is with reference to the idea that assumes the form of: "I have experienced this excessive Bliss in Trance", when he recedes from Trance. It is this indulgence in the memory, of the sense of gratulation during moments of break, that is deprecated by Gauda-pādācārya in the words: "Taste not the Bliss thereof." It is added "Be intellectually detached"—to bring out this very meaning as plainly as possible. and well-defined knowledge is "Intellect" (Prajñā). One should give up all connection with this Intellect;—this is all that is meant by "Be intellectually detached". Or "Intellect" (Prajñā) may refer to the "Intellect sustained by Courage" already referred to. By such means one should try to be free from the "Taste", consisting of the experience and description of the Bliss of Trance. If the

Mind, immersed in the Bliss of the Brahman during Trance, should some time go out, for the pleasure of enjoying such Bliss or from causes of disturbance, such as heat, cold, mosquitoes and the like, it should be turned often and often into the steadiness of Trance, in such a way that it might be identified with the Brahman. means to this end is constant application to Interception (of the transformations of the Mind). Only this identification is rendered clear, in the words, "when the Mind rises above Lassitude and Distraction, etc." The words, "ceases to have any characteristic mark or expression", refer to the absence of Taintedness and the Taste for pleasure respectively. The Mind, free from Lassitude. Distraction, Taintedness and Taste for pleasure, becomes undisturbedly fixed in the Brahman. It has been said in the Kathopanisad, with the same in view:

"That is called the Highest Ideal, wherein all the five Senses and the Mind remain in full control and wherein even the Intellect does not function. This steadying of the Senses is called Yoga; the Yogin is wide awake in that condition, for, Yoga is Evolution coupled with Involution." (2, 3, 10, 11.)

Yoga, if neglected, is the cause of the Evolution of activity in the Senses; properly practised, it leads to the Involution of such activity. Hence it is that Yoga is thus defined in the aphorism: "Yoga is the suppression of the transformations of the Thinking Principle." (I, 2.) In order to dispel the doubt (that may arise) that, it would be impossible to suppress them, as these "transformations" are endless, their limit has thus been laid down in the aphorism: "The transformations are fivefold: and are painful or not-painful." (I, 5.) Such transformations which relate to the Life-of-the-Lower-Self and which

assume the form of suffering, such as likes, dislikes and similar distractions, are "painful". Transformations other than these, which relate to the Life-of-the-Higher-Self, are "not-painful". The "painful" as well as the "not-painful" varieties are all included in the "five" transformations. Lest the ignorant be misled into supposing that "painful" transformations alone have to be suppressed, the "not-painful" ones are clubbed along with the "painful" ones. Then follow six aphorisms to explain the nomenclature and character of the five-fold "transformations": "They are Right Knowledge, Wrong Knowledge, Fancy, Sleep and Memory." (I, 6.) "Right Knowledge is direct cognition or inference or testimony." (I, 7.) "Wrong Knowledge is false conception of a thing. whose real form does not correspond to such conception." (I. 8.) "Fancy is the notion called into being by mere words, having nothing to answer to it in reality." (I. 9.) "That transformation which has Nothing-ness for its basis is Sleep." (I, 10.) "Memory is not-allowing a thing cognized to escape." (I, 11.) That is the transformation having "Nothingness" for its basis, wherein, on account of the veil of darkness, is cognized the absence of all objects whatever. Sleep, indeed, is that transformation of the Mind which relates to this "darkness". The "not-allowing a thing cognized to escape" means the grasping (by the Mind) of the thing perceived. Then are given the means of "suppressing" these five kinds of "transformations": "Their suppression is secured by Application and Detachment." (I, 12.) Just as it is possible to obstruct by a dam the rapid current of a river and cause it to flow through canals across the corn-fields. even so is the stream of objects filling this river of the

Mind obstructed by "Detachment" and converted into a placid flow, by the practising of Trance. It may be argued that, while practice by frequent repetition is possible in the case of the muttering of incantations. meditation on some god and so on, as these are of the form of action of some kind, it is not so in the case of Trance, which means suspension of all action whatever. With a view to dispel any such doubt the aphorism lays down: "Application is the effort towards that state." (I, 13.) "That state" means complete Steadiness, i.e., the condition, wherein all transformations are suppressed, the moment of Interception. "Effort" is propulsion of the Mind. The frequent propulsion of the Mind to the firm resolve "I shall, by all means, control the Mind from its inherent tendency of straying away to objects," is the kind of "Application" here implied. Then follows an aphorism which throws light on how such "Application", just commenced and therefore necessarily unconfirmed. will succeed in neutralizing the impressions of Unsteadiness, operating from time without beginning: "It stands on firm ground, when practised for a long time, without intermission, and with perfect devotion." (I, 14.) People very often speak of the argument of a fool, who would say. "the Vedas extant are only four in number and it is a wonder why a Māṇavaka (pupil), who went to study them, has not yet returned, though it is already five days since he left." The Yogin, who thinks that Yoga can be accomplished in a few days or months, adopts the same logic. Hence Yoga should be practised for a long time. measured in years, nay in births. So also the Smrti:

<sup>&</sup>quot;Fully perfected through manifold births, he reacheth the supreme goal." (B. G. VI. 45.)

If Yoga is being practised for a long time, but at frequent intervals, then, as the impressions of Yoga, which are produced in consequence, will be overpowered by the impressions produced immediately thereafter, at the moments of unsteadiness, breaking its continuity, the following maxim of the author of the Khandana-khanda-khādya will prove true: "What shall he have to rest on, if one should leap forward and fall back at the same time, even as one conning by rote, but given to lapse of memory." Hence it is, that such practice has to be without intermission. "Devotion" refers to the earnestness brought to bear on such practice. If there is want of devotion, what has been said by VASIṢTHA will ensue:

"If the Mind is devoid of impressions of any kind whatsoever, its activity would be very much the same as inaction, even as one with his Mind transfixed on something at a distance, listening to a religious discourse."

"Want of devotion" means the not carefully doing away with the four obstacles of Trance, viz., Lassitude, Distraction, Taintedness and Taste for enjoyment. Hence this practice should be "with devotion". "The standing on firm ground" of Trance, practised in conformity with the three injunctions relating to the manner of practice, viz., long time, etc., means the state wherein there is the utter incapability of its being disturbed by impressions of the pleasure derived from objects of enjoyment or by impressions of any painful experience whatever. This is referred to by the LORD:

"Which, having obtained, he thinketh there is no greater gain beyond it; wherein, established, he is not shaken even by heavy sorrow." (B. G. VI. 22.)

VASISTHA has illustrated by the story of Kaca as to how "he thinketh there is no greater gain beyond it":

"Once upon a time, Kaca, rising from Trance with a cheerful Mind, soliloquized thus, in words bubbling with emotion: "What shall I do, where shall I go, what shall I take and what give up? The whole universe is filled with the Self. as if with the waters of the Great deluge." Within and without the body, below, above and at all points of the compass, here, there and everywhere, is the Self; there is no spot filled with the Not-self anywhere. There is nothing, wherein I do not abide, nor is there anything, which is not in me. What else shall I desire, when everything is pervaded by the Supreme Consciousness! The (seven) mountains. fabled to hold up the globe of this earth, are but the foam over the waters of this vast, mighty and pure ocean of the all-pervading Brahman. Before the great radiance of the Sun of this Supreme Consciousness, all the wealth and glory of the world are but so many mirages."

"The not being shaken by heavy sorrow" is thus illustrated by the same sage, in the Trance of Sikhidhvaja, which outlasted three long years:

"Cūdālā, (the Queen of Sikhi-dhvaja), there saw the Lord of the earth immersed in the thought-suppressed variety of Trance and bethought within herself, "I shall presently rouse my lord, the King from this state of Ecstasy". Thereupon she roared mightily like a lion, over and over again, frightening the beasts of the forest. When he, O Rama! could not be moved by this mighty noise, though frequently repeated, she shook him out of his Trance by physical force. But, though thus shaken and felled (to the ground), the King would not awake to the life of the ordinary world."

The same is illustrated also in his account of Prahlāda:

"Wrapt in his thought, Prahlada, the slayer of the mightiest and most valiant among his foes, lost himself in the Supreme Bliss of Ecstatic Trance. While in this condition, he appeared, as it were, a mere picture of his, painted on canvas; he continued in this condition, with body ever fresh and bright and with his eye fixed upon one point, for a period of five thousand years. "Awake, ye great soul!"—adressing

him thus, the Lord Visnu blew his conch— $P\bar{a}nca$ -janya—filling the quarters with the echo of its sound. By the impact of this mighty sound, generated by the vital breath of Visnu, the Lord of the Asuras was awakened, by slow degress, to the life of the world."

The Trance of Vita-havya and others also may serve as illustrations of the same:

"Detachiment" is of two kinds: Lower and Higher. Again, the lower variety has four stages: Investigation, Sifting, Isolation and Mastery. Patanjali, referring to the first three by mere implication, speaks of the fourth in the aphorism: "The consciousness of having mastered (every desire), in the case of one who does not thirst for objects, perceptible or scriptural, is Detachment." (I. 15.) "Objects perceptible," are, such as flowers, perfumery, women, children, friends, land, wealth and so on. "Objects scriptural" are, such as are revealed by the Veda, to wit, heaven and the like. When there is thirst for the two kinds of objects referred to above, the first three stages of Detachment spoken of above become well marked, by bringing careful Discrimination to bear on them. "Investigation"—is the stage, wherein one strives with the resolve—"With the help of books and teachers, I shall try to understand what is good and what is not good in this world." "Sifting"—is the process of sorting out the several defects previously existing in one's Mind, by bringing proper Discrimination to bear on them, thus: "These are the ripe ones (fit to drop down); these are still subsisting (in an unripe state)." "Isolation"—is the remaining of the Mind in a state of Ardour pure and simple, after giving up the hankering after objects "perceptible" as well as "scriptural", with the full knowledge that it is all evil and

misery. "Mastery"-is cessation of all desire whatso-This fourfold Detachment of the lower order, as being the initiative of the eight stages (of Yoga), constitutes the intimate means of the Conscious variety of Trance. Of the Unconscious variety of Trance it is only the mediate cause. The "Height of Detachment" which is the immediate cause of the Unconscious variety of Trance is thus described in the aphorism: "That is the highest, wherein, in consequence of being the Purusa, there is entire cessation of any the least desire for the Gunas." (I. 16.) From the constant practice of the Conscious variety of Trance, which leads to the discrimination of the Purusa from the Pradhāna made up of the three Gunas in a state of equilibrium, the being, to wit, the realization of the Purusa is attained. That complete thirstlessness for all objects whatsoever, which are but the effects of the three Gunas, after the realization of the Purusa, is the Highest Detachment. Patanjali refers to the varying degrees in the rapidity with which Ecstatic Trance is attained, which are based on the varying degrees in the several stages of Detachment. thus: "The attainment of Samīdhi is nearest to those whose Detachment is the most ardent." (I, 21.) 1 Yogins are of three classes, according to the degree of the ardour of Detachment, to wit, those whose ardour is light, those whose ardour is moderate, and those whose ardour is excessive. "Nearest" means "attained in the shortest time possible". Again with reference to the

There are two readings of this aphorism: "Tivra-samvegānām āsannah samādhi-lābhah," and "Tivra-samvegānām āsannah." The former is here adopted for obvious reasons: the point of the aphorism being only to show: the way of approach to Samādhi and not to define the nature of Samyama.

third class of Yogins alone, i.e., those whose ardour is excessive, it has been said: "A further distinction arises on account of the light, moderate and excessive (nature of the means employed), such as lightly excessive, moderately excessive, and extremely excessive." (I. 22.) These degrees of ardour lead sooner to the successful accomplishment (of Trance) in the order they are here mentioned. The best among the best Yogins, such as Janaka and Prahlada, belong to the class of practitioners with extremely excessive ardour, for, they can, at a moment's thought, work themselves up into the condition of confirmed Ecstatic Trance. Uddālaka and others, of the lowest among the low sort, belong to the class of mildly ardent practitioners, for, they can find the condition of Trance, only after considerable effort put forth in that direction. In the same manner other practitioners as well, may be classified according to the degree of ardour reached by them. When, in this manner the "firm ground" is obtained in the Unconscious variety of Trance, by those Yogins of the class of the extremely excessive ardour, there being no scope for its coming out of it, their Mind is entirely dissolved. Obliteration of Vāsanā being thus spared, Jīvan-mukti is fully and firmly established, from the Dissolution of the Mind. It should not be supposed that the Dissolution of the Mind leads on only to Videha-mukti and not to Jivan-Mukti: for, the following dialogue settles the point:

## RĀMA:

"Tell me, O sage! where, in the Yogin, would the virtues, Friendliness and others arise, after the form of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The reference is to the aphorism which speaks of Friendliness towards equals, Indifference towards adversaries, Complescence towards superiors and Pity towards inferiors,

Mind gets all dissolved, on the rise of proper Discrimination?

## VASIŞŢHA:

"The dissolved Mind is of two kinds: with form The first belongs form. to Jīvan-mukti. the second to Videha-mukti. That which makes much of the Gunas belonging to the Prakrti, as if they belong to itself and attaches itself to happiness or misery, is called the Mind. Thus have I described to thee, O prop of the Race of Raghus! the Existence of the Mind; hear henceforward, O foremost of catechists! the mode of its Dissolution. That man of supreme fortitude, whose evenness, no condition, whether of pleasure or of pain, disturbs in the least and on whom all desires fall flat like the flow of breath on the lord of mountains,—the Mind of such a one is verily dead and gone for ever. His Mind is indeed quite dead, who is never touched by calamity, miserliness, exhilaration, infatuation. dullwittedness, jubilation and the like. When the Mind. which is the treasure-house of Desire, is entirely dissolved, then, O Raghava! rises Sattva, resplendent with the virtues of Friendliness and others. The Mind of the Jivan-mukta is thus forever freed from repeated incarnations. This is the Dissolved Mind but with form, which is characteristic of Jīvan-mukti. The Dissolved Mind without form, referred to by me at the beginning, is found, O best of the Raghus! only in the condition of Videha-mukti, as it is only in Videhamukti that it is without any descriptive parts. Even Sattva, though based on all the best virtues, is dissolved for ever, in Videha-mukti, the holiest and the purest state. The great souls, having the all-pervading  $Ak\bar{a}s'a$  for their body, live in that condition, wherein is annihilated all misery, which is in no relation whatever with Matter, which is all-one in form, Bliss en-masse, devoid of Rajas and Tamas,—dropping off even the least touch of the Mind and forever.'

"The Jivan-muktas never lose themselves in the tasting of pleasure or pain; they may or may not act anywise, in accord with the tendency of their Prakrti.

Thus, it is plain, that the Dissolution of the Mind but with form is the right means to be adopted for *Jivan-mukti*.

End of the third Chapter on 'The Dissolution of the Mind".

#### CHAPTER IV

# THE PURPOSE OF THE ATTAINMENT OF JIVAN-MUKTI

THE three questions: What is this Jivan-mukti? What is the authority on which it rests? How is it to be accomplished?—have been answered (in the preceding Chapters). Here is attempted an answer to the fourth question: What is the Purpose of its attainment? The aims are five in number: Safe-guarding Gnosis, Penance (Tapas), Absence of Discord, Cessation of pain and misery, and the Genesis of Supreme Bliss.

It may be asked, where is the chance for *Gnosis*, attained by having recourse to means recognized by proper authority, being in jeopardy, wherefore it requires safeguarding? The answer is, Doubt and False Knowledge may crop up in the Mind, if it is not in a state of Quiescence. Vis'vā-mitra has well illustrated this possibility of Doubt, in the case of Rāghava, who was well initiated in *Gnosis*, before he attained the condition of peaceful tranquillity:

"O child of the Raghus! the best of knowers! there is nothing more that remains to be known by thee. Thou hast known, through thy own sharp Intellect, all that is to be known. Thy Intellect, though well enlightened in regard to all that is worth knowing, even like that of Suka, the son of

the revered Vyāsa, stands in need only of the attainment of Quiescence."

As for S'uka, having himself first attained *Gnosis* and not still being free from Doubt, he applied to his father, who taught him only what he already knew. Not being free from Doubt even after that, he approached Janaka, who also taught him likewise (what his father had already said). Whereupon said S'uka to Janaka:

### S'UKA:

"I knew this, of myself, even before, through proper discrimination. My father too taught me the very same thing, on being asked about it. O best of the knowers of the Word (of the Veda)! You also say the same thing. This is the sum and substance of what is found in treatises on the subject. This wretched, worldly existence, which is after all the creature of one's own imagination, disappears, the moment such imagination is suspended. It is verily an empty Chimera.—This is the absolute Truth. Explain what this is to me, O valient sire! Tell me the real truth of the matter, so that my Mind, which is straying as it were through the universe, because of Doubt, may find complete rest and repose, once for all, in your reverence."

## JANAKA-

"The Truth is none other than what you say. O sage! you have known it of yourself and have heard it from your sire again. There is only the one Puruṣa, the All-unbroken Consciousness, there is nothing else besides. One is subject to Bondage on account of his own Imagination; from suspension of such Imagination he becomes liberated. Thus have you already distinctly known, all that is to be known. O sage! your glorious Self has learnt to abhor all kinds of enjoyment, nay, the phenomenal world in its entirety. You, with your capacious Mind, have acquired all that is worth acquisition. You put forth no effort towards the phenomenal world.—O Brahman! You are ever liberated. Give up the Delusion that torments you."

"Thus taught by the high-souled Janaka, Suka derived rest in perfect silence in the Sublime Thing. Free from sorrow, fear and exertion, devoid of desire and with Doubt dispelled, he went to the flawless top of the *Meru* for the practice of ecstatic Trance. Having spent ten thousand years there in such Trance, he dissolved himself in the Self, even like the flame of a lamp extinguishing itself, for want of oil to feed it."

It is thus plain, that Doubt crops up in the mind, even after the knowledge of the Truth, from want of proper rest and peace, as, in the case of S'uka and Rāghava. Such Doubt, like Ignorance is an impediment to Liberation. The LORD too says with the same in view:

"But the ignorant, faithless, doubting Self goeth to destruction; nor this world, nor that beyond, nor happiness, is there for the doubting Self." (B. G. IV, 40.)

By "faithlessness" is meant False Knowledge; this will be explained further on. Whereas Ignorance and False Knowledge stand in the way of Liberation alone. Doubt prevents both Liberation as well as the enjoyment of Worldly Pleasures; for, Doubt always hangs, as it were, between two opposite extremes. Whenever there is a tendency for worldly enjoyment, then the Mind. yearning for Liberation, curbs such tendency; and, vice versa, whenever there is the yearning for Liberation, the Mind, tending towards worldly enjoyment, curbs such vearning. Hence, one desirous of Liberation should, by all means, get himself rid of all Doubt whatever, for the reason that there is no happiness whatever to the sceptic. The S'ruti also says: "All Doubts vanish". (Mun. 2, 2, 8.) The story of Nidagha is illustrative of False Knowledge. Rbhu repaired, out of pity, to the house of Nidagha and having enlightened him by various means returned to his Though thus equipped with the Knowledge, Nidagha, owing to lack of Faith, got the false conviction that the due performance of rituals alone was the

be-all and end-all of existence, and applied himself to the performance of rituals as before. The teacher, anxious, lest his pupil should be misled from the true aim of existence and filled with compassion, returned to his pupil and taught him again. Even then he did not give up his false conviction. When further expostulated by the teacher, on his third visit, the pupil gave up his False Knowledge and attained tranquillity of mind. From Doubt and False Knowledge, which are respectively identical with the absence of faith and false faith, is prevented the fruition of *Gnosis*. Says PARĀS'ARA:

"Even as fire, though well blazing, is powerless to burn any fuel, when its power stands neutralized by the potent iufluence of certain jewels, incantations or herbs, similarly the fire of *Gnosis* once produced, though fanned into a splendid blaze and in full vigour, is not at all potent enough to destroy sin and sorrow, if its power is neutralized (by the strength of Doubt and False Knowledge). Having a perverted glimpse of the truth, as well as having no grasp of it, and nothing else, O Suka! stands in the way of *Gnosis*."

Hence, in the case of one whose Mind is not at rest, as there is the possibility of the attainment of *Gnosis* being imperilled, from the scope for the operation of Doubt and False Knowledge in neutralizing its fruition, some safeguard is essential. In the case of one whose Mind is at rest, on the other hand, as the world and the whole panorama of the objective vanish, on the Dissolution of his Mind, where is the possibility of the occurrence of Doubt or False Knowledge? The sustenance of the body and everything connected with it, of the Knower of the *Brahman*, who is unconscious of the manifestations of the phenomenal world, is the work of the

Vital Breath introduced by the Supreme Lord, 'without any conscious effort on the part of the knower. Hence is it said in the *Chāndogya*:

"He remembers not this body (of his), neighboured by (other) people; the  $Pr\bar{a}na$  (Vital Breath) placed (functioning) in this body behaves, even like the proverbial draught-animal set on his track." (8, 12, 3.)

"Neighboured by (other) people," i.e., this body (of the Liberated) which is very near the eyes of other men. In other words, the Knower of the Brahman is completely oblivious of this body (of his). Only those near him see his body, while he himself, owing to the attainment of Mindlessness, never remembers, "this body is mine". "Draught-animal" refers to a horse broken to saddle or harness or an ox voked to a chariot or other vehicle and well-trained, who, being often driven along the road by the driver, takes the same course, without any need for being prompted by the driver every now and then, and takes the vehicle to the place of destination lying yonder. Similarly, the Vital Breath, introduced by the Supreme Lord into this body, irrespective of any individual effort. performs its allotted vital function. The same is referred to in the Bhaqavata also:

"This mortal coil, no matter, whether it lasts or decays—the Liberated recks not, inasmuch as he has reached his own Self, even like the drunkard, blind with intoxication, who recks not the cloth he wears, no matter, whether it remains in its position or is stripped off by chance." (XI, 13, 36.)

¹ The word "Supreme Lord" is here used in the sense of that reflection of the Supreme Consciousness in Māyā, which, as the sum total (Samaṣṭi) of all conscious units, is in charge, so to speak, of the world and all individual beings below it in the order of development. See Pañca-dasī, Ch. II.

# So also VASISTHA:

"They conduct themselves (in their everyday life) in accordance with the time-hallowed rules of conduct, whenever their attention is drawn to it by persons standing by, themselves remaining unaffected by such conduct, even like persons awakened from their sleep."

It may be argued that it is a mutual contradiction in terms to say "the Liberated recks not" and "they conduct themselves (in their everyday life)". There is no such contradiction involved: for, both these positions could be reconciled by taking into consideration the varying degrees of rest (involved in the two). The S'ruti says with these varying "degrees of rest" in view: "This is he who revels in the Self, this is he who is infatuated with the Self, this is he who perseveres in the Self and this is he who is the highest among the Knowers of the Brahman." (Mun. 3, 1, 4.) In these, four diverse types of persons are indicated. The Knower of the Brahman is of the first type, the Knower of the Brahman of a higher order is of the second, the Knower of the Brahman of the next higher type is the third and the Knower of the Brahman of the highest type is the fourth. They should be understood to belong respectively to the four stages, beginning from the fourth of the seven stages of Gnosis. These (seven) stages are thus described by VASISTHA:

"The first stage of *Gnosis* is known as "Ardour," the second is the "Spirit of Enquiry," the third, "Attenuation," the fourth, "Attainment of *Sattva* (Purity)," the fifth, "Indifference", the sixth, "Oblivion" and the seventh, "Transcendence (of the previous three)". The desire arising from the deep sense of detachment due to penitence, which takes the form of "Why do I stand thus steeped in ignorance? Let me call into aid the study of philosophy and the company of the wise," is the first stage called "Ardour" by wise men. The second—the Spirit of Enquiry—consists of that constant

application of the Mind in search of what is wholesome. born of the study of philosophy, the company of the wise and the repeated development of detachment. The wearing away, to almost imperceptible thinness, of the deep attachment to the objective due to the stress of Ardour and Contemplation, leads to the third stage, called "Attenuation". When, from constant application to these three stages, the Mind, becalmed of all that belongs to the objective, finds complete rest in the pure Bliss of Sattva, the fourth stage called the Attainment of Sattva (Purity) dawns. That condition, which results from carefully passing through these four stages, which has for its fruit the avoidance of all contact with the objective and is the glorious gift of confirmed Sattva, is called "Indifference". After the five preceding stages have been accomplished and in consequence of the total recession to the back-ground of all objects, external as well as internal, due to the complete fusion of the objective into the subjective, is reached the next stage, described as the sixth and called "Oblivion", when, owing to the persistent efforts of others, arousal from Trance is occasioned. When these six stages are practised for a long time and when all sense of separateness ceases, the condition of "Abiding-in-the-Self-alone", which results, is the seventh stage called "Transcendence".

The first three stages mentioned here are only the means of *Gnosis* and can therefore not be included in *Brahma-vidyā* proper; for, in them the sense of pseudoreality of separateness is not removed. These three are, therefore, assigned to the waking condition. It has been said:

"These three stages, O Rāma! belong to the waking condition. For, only in that condition is the world seen as it is, through the sense of separateness."

Then comes the direct Realization of the Unity of the Self and the *Brahman*, with the Mind intercepted, owing to (contemplation of the sense of) the Great Text of the *Vedānta*. This is the fourth stage, the fruit (of the first three) called the Attainment of *Sattva* (Purity). One in the fourth stage, having been firmly convinced as to the real and non-dual nature of the existence of the *Brahman*.

clearly realizes the Illusory nature of all Name-and-Form, which goes to make up what is known as the world and which is, by mistake, superimposed on the *Brahman*. In the case of the Seeker-after-Liberation, this stage would correspond to Dream, in relation to the waking stage referred to above. Of this it is said:

"The sense of Non-dualism having gained firm foothold, on the passing away of the sense of Dualism, those in the fourth stage look upon the world as a dream. His Mind dissolves, even like the fleecy clouds in Autumn. One thus carried into the fourth stage stands, being alone remaining."

The Yogin who has reached the fourth stage is known as the Brahma-vid. The three stages beginning from the fifth are only sub-divisions of Jivan-mukti. They are due to the difference in the degrees of rest arising from the constant practice of the Unconscious variety of Trance. The Yogin in the fifth stage may, of his own accord, issue out of the said Trance. Such a Yogin is called the Brama-vid-vara (belonging to the first degree). In the sixth stage, he issues out of his Trance, only when aroused by others standing beside him and is known as Brahma-vid-variyas (belonging to the second degree). These two stages are said to correspond to Sleep and Deep Sleep, respectively. It has been said:

"Having approached the fifth stage called "Sleep", the Yogin stands exclusively in a non-dual state (with the Atman), with all sense of difference completely laid at rest. Though seemingly in touch with external phenomena, (in reality) he is ever introspecting and appears, as one prone to sleep out of sheer exhaustion. By constant practice in this stage, with his desires entirely obliterated, the Yogin, by degrees, falls into the sixth stage called "Deep Sleep", where he is neither Being nor Not-being, neither Ego nor Non-ego, is devoid entirely of the functioning of the Mind and stands free from all sense of Unity, as well as Diversity. He is empty

within as well as without, even like the jar standing empty in the wide  $\overline{A}k\overline{a}s'a$ ; he is full within and full without, even like the jar in the surging ocean."

The Mind. which has attained deep Trance of the Unconscious variety and which has only hazy potential impressions left within its range of experience and, in consequence, has neither the power to build "castles-inthe-air," nor to perceive external objects of any kind, may be characterized as "empty within and without," like the jar filled with water and placed in the ocean, as it is immersed in the Brahman, which is Self-effulgent, is Allbeing, All-thought and All-bliss and is also One-in-essence and as it perceives the Brahman all around and everywhere. The Ascetic in the seventh stage, known as "Transcendence", knows no issuing out (of his Ecstatic Trance), either of himself or from any other cause. Only with reference to the condition of such a Yogin, has it been said in the Bhagavata "This mortal coil, no matter whether it lasts or decays, etc." All treatises on the Science of Yoga devoted to an exposition of the Unconscious or Ecstatic variety of Trance end here. Indeed, it is the Yogin in this condition that is acclaimed as the Brahma-vid-varistha in the S'ruti quoted above.

Thus, the mutual conflict, apparently involved in the two statements, "Whenever their attention is drawn to it, by persons standing by" and "the Liberated recks not," does not arise, as they relate to two different stages. To summarize what has been said so far: The three stages beginning with the fifth, comprised in *Jivan-mukti*, having been realized, as there is no possibility of the occurrence of Doubt and False Knowledge, on account of the absence of the appearance of Duality in those

conditions, the resulting *Gnosis* is fully safeguarded from all impediments whatever, thereafter. So then, this Safeguarding of *Gnosis* is the First aim to be sought in the attainment of *Jivan-mukti*.

The Second aim is the Practice of *Tapas*. The stages of *Yoga* should be looked upon as making up the "Practice of *Tapas*", as they are the causes that contribute to the exaltation of the Ascetic to the condition of the gods and other higher beings. This could be fairly inferred from the dialogues between Arjuna and the Lord, and between Rāma and Vasiṣṭha:

#### ARJUNA:

"He who is unsubdued, but who possesseth faith, with the Mind wandering away from Yoga, failing to attain perfection in Yoga, what path doth he tread, O Kṛṣṇa? Fallen from both, is he destroyed like a rent cloud, unsteadfast, O mighty-armed! deluded in the path of the Eternal? Deign, O Kṛṣṇa! to completely dispel this Doubt of mine; for, there is none to be found, save Thyself, able to Destroy this Doubt." (B.G. VI, 37-39.)

# THE LORD:

"O son of Pṛthā! neither in this world, nor in the life to come, is there destruction for him; never doth any who worketh righteousness, O beloved! tread the path of woe. Having attained to the worlds of the pure-doing, and having dwelt there for immemorial years, he who fell from Yoga is reborn in a pure and blessed house; or he may even be born into a family of wise Yogins; but such a birth as that, is most difficult to obtain in this world. There he recovereth the characteristics belonging to his former body, and with these he again laboureth for perfection, O joy of the Kurus!" (B.G. VI, 40-43.)

## RĀMA:

"Tell me, O revered sire! what lot is in store for one (in the next world), if he passes away, after reaching the first or the second or the third stage?"

# VASISTHA:

"The sins of the previous incarnations of that Yogin, whose life passes away from his body during any one of the stages of Yoga, melt away in proportion to the degree of development he has acquired in that stage. He then wanders about for pleasure in Celestial cars or in the cities of the Guardians of the Quarters and frolics in the bowers of the pleasure gardens on the slopes of the Meru, in the company of Celestial Damsels. His previous deeds, good as well as bad, being thus enjoyed out in course of time, the Yogin incarnates on this earth again. He is born in the well-equipped house of some pious, rich, noble-hearted man of blemishless character. Having then rapidly passed through the three stages of Yoga he has already gone over, he reaches the next higher stages one after the other

At this stage it may be be asked: even assuming that these stages (sedulously cultivated) would lead to the attainment of the world of the gods, what has that to do with the Practice of Tapas? The answer is "there is the authority of the S'ruti". Thus do the Taittiriyas chant: "By Penance did the gods of yore rise to the condition of the gods; by Penance again did the Rsis gain heaven." (Tai. 4, 79.) As such, while even the three stages preceding Gnosis are of the nature of Penance, much more so would it be the case with the three stages coming after the rise of Gnosis and beginning with the fifth, which correspond to the Unconscious variety of Trance. Hence does the Smrti say:

"The Highest Penance consists in the one-pointedness of the Mind and the Senses; that is better than all religious practices; that is the Highest Religion."

Though, according to this principle, no other incarnation is attainable through Penance, still it has a distinct purpose to serve, *viz.*, the good of the world. Says the LORD:

"Then having an eye to the welfare of the world also, thou shouldst perform action." (B.G. III, 20.)

The "world" to be thus obliged may be divided into three kinds: the world of Pupils, of Devotees and of Neutrals (i.e., neither the one, nor the other). Of these, the first, in virtue of the highest faith in the truthfulness of the Guru (teacher), who is a real Yogin ever centred within, has implicit trust and confidence in the Truth taught by him and attains mental composure in a very short space of time. Hence, it is said in the S'ruti:

"He, who is absolutely devoted to God and verily looks upon his *Guru* (teacher) as his God;—to that great soul are revealed the sublime truths of what is imparted (by the teacher)". (Sve. 6, 23.)

# The Smrti also says:

"The man who is full of faith obtaineth wisdom, and he also, who hath mastery over his senses; and, having obtained wisdom, he goeth swiftly to the supreme Peace." (B.G. IV, 39.)

The second kind of men, Devotees, themselves appropriate as it were, the Penance practised by the Yogin, merely by rendering service to him by way of accommodating him in the matter of food, habitation and the like. Says the S'ruti: "His (the Yogin's) sons share the patrimony, his friends his good deeds, and his enemies his sins."

Neutrals again are of two kinds: Believers and Unbelievers. The first, observing the *Yogin's* themselves treading the path of rectitude, follow in their wake. The *Smrti* has it:

<sup>&</sup>quot;Whatever a great man doeth, that other men also do; the standard he setteth up, by that the people go." (B.G. III, 21.)

Even the Unbeliever is rid of his sins, on being graced with the eye-glances of the *Yogin*. It has been said:

"On whomsoever fall the eye-glances of the Yogin whose mind is directed towards the Truth, with a view, eventually, to attain Self-realization, such are delivered from all their sins."

In the same manner, referring, by implication, to the *Yogin's* sense of high altruism, it is set forth thus:

"The man, whose mind has, even for a moment, attained firmness in the quest after the *Brahman*, has verily had a dip in the holy waters of all the sacred rivers; has bestowed the whole earth as a pious gift; has offered a thousand sacrifices; has propitiated all the gods in heaven; has rescued his departed ancestors from the cycle of births and deaths; and is fit, indeed, to be held in veneration by the three worlds (upper, mundane and nether)."

"Through him, whose mind is dissolved in that vast ocean of absolute Consciousness and Bliss, the Supreme Brahman, his family derives its sanctity, his mother, the fulfilment of her cherished hopes and desires and the earth becomes replete with pious merit of a high order."

It is not merely the intercourse of the *Yogin*, such as is sanctioned by religious works, that constitutes Penance, but all worldly activity of his is also of that nature. The followers of the *Taittiriya-sākhā* speak of the glory of the Enlightened in the last *Anuvāka* of the *Nārāyanopaniṣad*. In the first part of that *Anuvāka*, the limbs of the *Yogin* are spoken of as the several things that form the requisites of a sacrifice:

"Of this, the sacrifice of the Enlightened, the  $\overline{A}tman$  is the sacrificer, Faith is the wife  $(Patn\overline{\iota})$ , the body is the sacred fuel, the chest is the sacrificial altar, the hair on the body is the Kus'a grass,  $S'ikh\overline{a}$  is the Veda (tuft of grass), his heart is the post to which the sacrificial animal is tethered, Passion is the clarified butter, Anger is the victim, Penance is the fire, Control is the slaughterer, (Charity) is the largesse to the priests, Speech is the Hotr, Vital Breath is the  $Udg\overline{a}tr$ , the Eye

is the Adhvaryu, the Mind is the  $Brahm\overline{a}$ , the Ear is the  $Agn\overline{\iota}dh$  (the priest who tends the fire)."  $(80.)^1$ 

In this description, the word "Charity" is to be understood before the words "largesse to the priests"; for, say the *Chandogas*:

"Now what are the Yogin's penance? Charity, Straightforwardness, Non-violence and Truthfulness,—these are the gifts of the sacrifice."

Again in the middle of the aforesaid Anuvāka, all activity of the Yogin, nay, his very life-time, is identified with acts which pertain to the performance of the Jyotistoma-sacrifice, while, in the concluding part of the same, they are identified with acts pertaining to all sacrifices in general, thus:

"As long as he lasts (i.e.,) the duration of his life, is his sacrificial vow, whatever he eats is the oblation, whatever he drinks is his Soma-drink, whatever he delights in is the Upasada-homa, his going about, sitting or standing is the Pravargya-homa, his mouth is the Āhavanīya-fire, his speech is the offering, his knowledge is the act of performing the Homa, his eating in the morning and evening is the sacred fuel, his eating in the morning, mid-day and evening are the three Savanas, day and night are the Dars'a-and-Purṇamāsa-sacrifices, months and fortnights are the Cāturmāsya-sacrifices, the seasons are the tethers that hold the victim, years and half years are the Ahar-gaṇas;—in short this is a sacrifice in which everything one has is offered as gifts, his death being the Avabhrtha."

¹ The five names of Hotr, etc., are the names of the five different officers in a sacrifice. The first belongs to the Rg-veda, the second to the Yajus, the third to the  $S\bar{a}man$ , and the fourth to the Atharvan. The first offers oblations, the scond superintends the operations, the third invokes the gods, the fourth presides over the whole. The fifth  $Agn\bar{t}dh$  need not belong to any special Veda, though he is generally a Rg-vedin and his office to tend the fire on the altar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A particular form of oblation with specified *Mantras*; see *Srauta-padārtha-nirvacana*, page 297, No. 137. The *Pravargya* is also a particular form of offering with particular *Mantras*. See, ibid., p. 281, No. 85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It is the bath taken at the conclusion of a Sacrifice. It is supposed to be very holy.

The word "this," in the last sentence, refers to the whole life-period of the *Yogin*, as made up of days, nights, months and years, just referred to in the text quoted. In other words, the implication is that his whole life is a Sacrifice, attended with the giving, as gifts, of all that he has. In the last part of the aforesaid *Anuvāka* is mentioned the fruit of Liberation, reaped in gradual stages by one who holds the conviction that a *Yogin* is all characterized by his sacrifice and becomes one with the Sun or the *Brahman*, the effect, or the Moon or the *Brahman*, the cause.

"This is indeed the life-long (lit. lasting till dotage and death) Agni-hotra-Sacrifice; he who knows this and passes off in the  $Uttar\bar{a}yana$ , attains the glory which is exclusively of the gods and becomes one with the Sun; he who knows this and passes off in the  $Daksin\bar{a}yana$ , attains the glory which is exclusively of the Pitrs and either becomes one with the Moon or, at any rate, reaches the lunar sphere. The  $Br\bar{a}hmana$  who understands (this secret), shares in the glory of the Sun and the Moon and thence attains the glory of  $Brahm\bar{a}$ ; he does, indeed, attain the glory of the Brahman. Thus the Upanisad."

He who holds that the life-career of a Yogin, up to his dotage and death, is identical with all the rituals spoken of in the Veda, commencing from Agni-hotra and ending with the Samvatsara-sattra, becomes one with the Sun or the Moon, in proportion to the strength of his faith. If his faith is less fervid, he only attains the solar or lunar sphere and having there experienced the favour of either the Sun or the Moon, he rises further to Satya-loka and acquires the glory of the four-faced Brahmā. Having acquired Gnosis while in that sphere, he thence gains the glory of the Brahman, the Entity which is All-Being, Thought and Bliss; in other words finds Kaivalya. The words, "Thus the Upaniṣad,"

indicate the conclusion of the exposition relating to the aforesaid  $Vidy\bar{a}$ , as also of the treatise expounding the same. Thus is established the Second aim of Jivan-mukti, viz., the "Practice of Tapas".

The third aim of *Jivan-mukti* is the Absence of Discord. The foremost among *Yogins*, being prone to introspection and ever detached from all external intercourse, no one, whether coarse or cultured, has ever any cause for conflict with him. This conflict, in the case of the coarse, takes the two forms of Dispute and Censure. The *Yogin* being ever devoid of anger and the rest, how can the coarse ever find cause for dispute with him? Thus, it has been said in the *Smṛti*:

"One should return not anger for anger, but wish him well, who menaces him with evil, should coolly pocket all talk (tending to provoke him) and by all means displease no one."

may here be argued: Renunciation-of-the-Tt. Enlightened is anterior to Jivan-mukti, Realization of Gnosis is anterior even to that Renunciation, and Renunciation-of-the-Seeker is anterior again to Gnosis. —How, then, is it consistent to expatiate on virtues, such as absence of anger and the like, quoted (above) from the Smrti, at this stage (of Jivan-mukti)? Quite true; it is because of this, that there is not even so much as the shadow of a chance, for anger and the like, in the .hvan-mukta. While anger and the rest could not be found in the much earlier stage of the Renunciation-ofthe-Seeker, more so could they not be present in the higher stage of Gnosis, still more so in the Renunciationof-the-Enlightened, and most of all in Jivan-mukti. Hence, there is little chance for the coarse to find cause for quarrel with the Ascetic. Nor is there any

possibility for the second kind of conflict, viz., Censure; for, there is little scope for attaching any slander (to the *Yogin*). So also says the *Smrti*:

"He alone is the true ascetic, whose being or notbeing, coarseness or culture, character or no-character, no one knows about."

"Being or not-being" refers to high or low birth.

As regards the "Cultured," what is it he would make the ground of dispute with the Yogin—whether it is based on the meaning and import of treatises on the different Schools of Philosophy, or on the Ascetic's conduct in life? As for the first, the Yogin never challenges the accuracy of what is taught by other Schools of Philosophy, acting as he does in accord with the Vedic injunction, which runs thus: "Know Him alone as the One Self. Give up talk of every other School of thought." (Mun. 2, 2, 5.) "Do not burden your memory with words of diverse import; for it is all mere logomachy (waste of words)." (Br. 4, 4, 21.) Nor does he care to establish the position of the philosophy he follows, before such as dispute it, as he clings to the views set forth in the following Vedic Texts:

"One should leave aside all books whatever, even as one, who wants to gather the corn, should leave aside the straw; nay, after realizing the Supreme *Brahman*, he should give them up, as if they were so many fire-brands."

When he looks even upon a disputant as (identical with) himself, where is the room for his gloating over his victory? Nor is it probable, that cultured believers of whatever School, barring Agnostics, having faith in the reality of Liberation, will ever take exception to the Yogin and his ways. For, though the Jaina, the Buddha, the Vaiseṣika, the Naiyāyika, the S'aiva, the

Vaiṣṇava, the Sākta, the Sāmkhya, the Yoga, and other Schools of thought, which believe in Mokṣa (Liberation)—all differ in their methods of exposition, they are unanimous in respect of recognizing Yama, Niyama and the other stages of Yoga, as the means to Liberation. Thus is the foremost among Yogins an object of universal favour, in virtue of the absence of scope for Discord. Says VASIŞTHA, with this in mind:

"O best of intellects! in him readily seek shelter all spotless Systems of Knowledge, whose present incarnation is the last and the best, even as pearls seek shelter in the best bamboo. Nobility, affability, friendliness, sweet temper, detachment and high attainments—all ever resort to him, as do women to the harem of the house. All men seek him, who is sweet with polished conduct, even as the wild deer in a forest seek after the melodious notes of the flute. He is the liberated one, who, though, on account of the supreme tranquillity of his mind, he remains, as it were, in a kind of sleep, is ever mentally wide awake and who is ever sought after by the wise, on account of his proficiency in arts and learning, even as the Moon full of all the  $Kal\bar{a}s^+$  is by the gods."

Also,

"In him who is all Peace, all beings whatever—whether wild or domesticated, do become calm and repose their entire confidence, even as in their own mother. The man of Peace alone shines in all his splendour—among men of penance, men of learning, men who officiate as priests in a sacrifice, nay, even among kings, men of prodigious strength and men of high character."

Thus is clearly made out the third aim of Jivan-mukti—the Absence of Discord.

The fourth and fifth objects, viz., Cessation of pain and misery and the Genesis of Supreme Bliss, have been described in the Fourth Chapter, dealing with Vidyananda, of the treatise known as Brahmānanda. A summary

<sup>1</sup> Here is a pun on the word. In the case of the Moon it means digits, while, in the case of the Liberated, it means Fine arts and Learning.

of what has been there set forth about the two is given here:

"If one realizes his self as "I am this (Self)," in quest of what desire or object of enjoyment would he rouse his body to fever-heat?"

In the words of the above S'ruti is implied the destruction of all temporal misery. The Vedic texts, such as—"Alas! Why have I done no good, why have I committed sin: these do not cause pain to this one"—bespeak the entire destruction of pain taking the form of regret relating to virtuous and vicious conduct, which have a bearing on the next world.

The "Genesis of Supreme Bliss" is by one of three ways: "the Attainment of all Desire," "the Discharging of Duties (of every kind) to be discharged by one," and "the Achievement of the End and Aim of Life". The first, again, is attained by one of three ways: "Being the Witness of all," "Being unaffected by desire under all circumstances" and "Being the enjoyer of all enjoyments whatever". He who realizes "I am only that Brahman, which is all consciousness and the Witness in the bodies of all beings whatever, from Hiranya-garbha down to the lowest order of animate creation," is necessarily the witness of the bodies of all, even as he is the witness of his own body. The S'ruti says to the same effect: "He enjoys all objects of desire whatever, with the Brahman, the Witness of all." By "being unaffected by desire for the enjoyment of things enjoyed by the world" is meant "the attainment of all objects of desire." So, there is the attainment of all objects of desire in the Knower of the Truth, who perceives the evil in the enjoyment of things. This is also rendered clear

by the *S'ruti*, which makes mention of the "Knower of the *Veda*, who is unaffected by any desire whatever," while speaking of the degrees of Bliss increasing a hundred-fold at every stage, beginning from that of the Emperor of the world, up to that of the Hiranya-garbha. He who has realized his own Self as pervading all things in the form of Being, Thought and Bliss, is necessarily the "enjoyer of all enjoyments whatever." For, says the *S'ruti* with this in view: "I am all food, I am all food, I am all food, I am the eater, I am the eater, I

"The Discharging of Duties (of every kind) to be discharged by one " is thus set forth in the Smrti:

"Regaling in the nectar of *Gnosis* to his heart's content, fully satisfied in the complete discharge of every duty, there remains nothing for the *Yogin* to do; if, at all, there is anything which remains, then he cannot be reckoned as having attained *Gnosis*."

"But the man who rejoiceth in the Self, with the Self is satisfied, and is content in the Self; for him verily there is nothing to do." (B. G. III, 17.)

The "Achievement of the End and Aim of Life" is also thus touched upon in the *S'rutis*: "Thou, O Janaka! hast realized entire fearlessness;" "Hence he became all That;" and "The knower of the *Brahman* becomes the *Brahman* alone."

It may here be pointed out that, as these two, "the Absence of Discord" and the "Genesis of Supreme Bliss, could be attained even out of *Gnosis*, they ought not to be set down as the aims of *Jivan-mukti*. This, however, is not correct; for, all that is implied in regard to these two is their safe preservation. Even as *Gnosis*, though produced even before *Jivan-mukti*, becomes well preserved

after it, so also the two in question are well preserved by *Jivan-mukti*.

At this stage, some may adopt the following line of argument: *Jivan-mukti* having thus been shown to have these five aims in view, it is plain that the *Yogin* in the ecstasy of his trance is superior to the Knower of the Truth who is yet attached to the world. But such a position is shown to be untenable, in the dialogue between Rāma and Vasiṣṭha:

## RĀMA—

"O Lord! the best of all beings that exist and that are yet unborn! Tell me which of the two is superior to the other: he, who is ever at rest, though obsessed with the affairs of the world, even like one roused from an occasional Trance; or he, who remains ever in a state of Trance, in some secluded spot?"

# VASISTHA:

"Trance is but that state of internal, cool composure, which comes of looking upon this (phenomenal) world which is a combination of the Guṇas, as Not-self. There are some who have gained this pleasant calm within, arising from the conviction, "I have no touch with the objective" and remain attached to the world; there are others who, having attained such composure from the same conviction, choose to shut themselves up in meditation. Both these, O Rāma! are equally good, if they are entirely cool within. For, the internal composure, such as obtains in them, is the result of endless penance."

There is, however, no room for such misconception; for, it is only the necessity for the bringing about of the "Obliteration of  $V\bar{a}san\bar{a}$ ," implied by the "internal composure" that is sought to be emphasized. It, by no means, follows that the Superiority of the "Dissolution of the Mind" which is the next stage, is in any way

called into question. For, Vasistha himself has rendered his meaning clear by the implication, that this "internal composure" is the same as "quiescence of desire" in the following stanza:

"To those who have gained internal composure, the whole world becomes cool and calm, while, to persons molten in the furnace of internal desires, the world is one huge conflagration."

It may still be pointed out that, in the following passage, Trance has been spoken lightly of, while the "remaining attached to the world" has been extolled:

"If the Mind of the Yogin sitting in a state of Trance is distracted with various transformations, such Trance of his is no better than a kind of "mad-folks-dance". But, if the Mind of one were entirely devoid of all desires, even when indulging in such mad revels, even such dance of his will be equal to  $Brahma-sam\bar{a}dhi$  (Trance of the highest order)."

This, however, is not correct; for, it is only in recognition of the excellence of Samādhi, that Vāsanā is spoken lowly of. The words may be explained in this manner. Though Trance is superior to remaining attached to the world, still if such Trance were affected with any the slightest tinge of Vāsanā, it would only be inferior to such attachment without Vāsanā and, as such, would not deserve to be looked upon as Trance at all. In case the man in a state of Trance, as well as the man of the world, are both devoid of Gnosis and with Vāsanā, then, Trance is of course superior, on account of its being such a virtuous act as would lead (the Yogin) to heaven. When both are fully initiated into Gnosis and entirely devoid of all Vāsanā, then also Trance of the form of the "Dissolution of the Mind" is surely superior, as being a

strong preserver of *Jivan-mukti*, which is but the Obliteration of all *Vāsanā*. Hence, the foremost of *Yogins* is superior (to the man of *Gnosis* attached to the world). That there is no sort of impediment to (i.e., there is a purpose served by) the attainment of *Jīvan-mukti* with its five aims, is well established.

End of the Fourth Chapter on "The Purpose of the Attainment of Jivan-mukti."

### CHAPTER V

## RENUNCIATION-OF-THE-ENLIGHTENED

THUS has Jivan-mukti been expounded, with reference to the Authorities that bear testimony to its possibility, the Means to be adopted for and the Purposes served by its attainment. Henceforth, we shall expound "Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened," its chief auxiliary. This Renunciation has been described at length in the Parama-hamsopanisad. We shall here quote in full this Upanisad and add our commentary, as we proceed. By way of introduction to the same, the following question bearing on the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened is put:

"Now, Nārada approaching the Lord asked him thus: What, pray, is the path of those Yogins who are Parama-hamsas? What is their condition?"

Though there is apparently nothing implying immediate sequence to precede this question and, as such, the word "now" would evidently be merely redundant, still, we should not forget that the question at issue is the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened and the person qualified for such Renunciation must be one, who knows the Real Essence, but yet, tormented by worldly distractions of all sorts, seeks naturally to find mental peace

therein. Hence the word, "now", implies the possession of the above qualifications, as a condition precedent. With a view to exclude a mere "Yogin" or a mere "Parama-hamsa" from the scope of such inquiry, the two words have been coupled together. The mere Yogin. having no glimpse of the Essence, attaches himself to the use of Clairvoyance, floating in the air and other occult powers of the kind and puts forth his effort, by employing various means, such as, Samyama (Contemplation and the rest), in the direction of the acquisition of such Thus, he swerves away from the path leading to the real Goal of Existence. The aphorism touching on this, has been quoted already: "These are obstacles in the way of Samādhi; and are powers in moments of suspension." (III, 38.) The mere Parama-hamsa, on the other hand, in view of his having fully realized the Essence, comes to the conclusion, that such occult powers are mere trash and treats them with contempt. That he is so, is described in the following passage:

"The curiosity of the *Parama-hamsa* is not roused by all this wondrous panorama, as he knows fully well that these powers of the Self-that-is-all-Consciousness manifest themselves in diverse ways."

Full of Renunciation, he disregards Injunctions and Prohibitions, through exuberance of his knowledge of the *Brahman*. It has been said: "Where can there be any Injunction or Prohibition to them who walk the way transcending the three *Guṇas*?"

For this very reason, pious men, having faith (in injuctions and prohibitions), thus censure him for such conduct:

"With the advent of the Kali-yuga, all and sundry will voice forth the Brahman. None, O Maitreya! will stand by

their professions, absorbed fully in appeasing their sexual and gastronomical appetites."

In one who is a "Yogin" as well as a "Paramahamsa," the two faults mentioned above (viz., Attachment to occult powers and Disregard of Injunctions and Prohibitions) are not found. Further the other (points of) excellence of such a one, are set forth in the following dialogue:

#### RĀMA:

"O revered sire! foremost among the Knowers of the  $\bar{A}tman$ ! Even then, what extraordinary excellence is seen in the  $J\bar{v}an$ -mukta, full of pure intellect?"

# VASISTHA:

"The Mind of one who has attained Gnosis, does not attach itself to any particular thing; for, ever content and with his self in a state of supreme tranquillity, he rests himself in the Atman alone. Wonders, such as floating in the air, have often been performed by persons, who have acquired remarkable powers by the practice of incantations, penance and trance! What is there extraordinary in them? There is only one special feature to be noticed in the man of Gnosis, which he does not share in common with ignorant men, viz., a detached and pure mind, due to the giving up of desire in all things whatever. To the Knower of the Supreme Truth, who carries with him no badge and feels happy on the absolute disappearance of the Illusion of the cycle of birth and death, to which he was subject for a long time past, this alone is the characteristic badge, viz., that passion, anger, sorrow, foolishness, avarice, adversity,—all these get attenuated, day by day, into imperceptible thinness."

It is proposed to inquire after the "Path" and "Condition" of those, who are characterized by such an extraordinary feature and who are free from both the above-mentioned faults. "Path" refers to external carriage, such as mode of attire, speech and other ways of conduct in life; and "Condition", to the internal

demeanour consequent on the cessation of mental activity. "The Lord" is the "four-faced Brahman".

The *Upanisad* proceeds to answer the question thus propounded in these words:

"To him the Lord replied".

Then with the object of creating in the questioner profound faith in the "path" to be laid down by him, he extols the same:

"That is very rarely to be met with in this world, this path of the *Parama-hamsas*; and is not at all quite common." 1

The word "That" has to be construed as, "the path about which the inquirer is anxious to know". The word "this" refers to the "main path", about to be described in the sequel, exclusive of covering, etc., for the body, so essential for creature comforts and of altruistic conduct. This path is "rarely to be met with." because of the rarity of the occurrence of the Renunciation of the highest degree requisite for the purpose. But at the same time, it should not be understood, that this path is altogether impossible. With this in mind, the Lord qualifies his opinion in the words "and is not at all quite common" and thereby seeks only to narrow the field. If this path is so very rare, then one should not strive towards that end, as it would be utterly useless. With a view to avoid this contingency, the LORD proceeds further:

"Even if there be only one such, he alone rests always in the Ever-Holy, he alone is the *Veda-puruṣa*; —thus say those who are in the know."

¹ The use of the form "Bāhulyaḥ" (Masculine) instead of "Bāhulyam" (Neuter) in the Samskṛt Text is Archaic.

"Among thousands of men, scarce one striveth for perfection; of the successful striver, scarce one knoweth Me in essence." (B. G. VII, 3.)

Should there be found anywhere any single Yogin-Parama-hamsa, who is in accord with the principle laid down in this verse of the Bhagavad-gitā, then, such is verily the one, who "rests always in the Ever-Holy", the Ever-Holy is the Paramatman—for, the S'ruti describes such Atman in the words, "which Atman is above all sin". By the use of the word "alone", those who are mere Yogins or mere Parama-hamsas are excluded (from those implied in the text). The mere Yogin knows not the "Ever-Holy"; the mere Parama-hamsa, though knowing, runs after the objective, owing to the lack of mental tranquillity and rests not in the Brahman. "Veda-purusa" means the Purusa pointed to by the Veda. "Those who are in the know" are persons wellversed in that system of knowledge which deals with the Realization of the Brahman and the mode of attaining mental tranquillity. All men regard the Yogin-Paramahamsa as one, who takes his stand on the Brahman: but. the aforesaid men in the know would not tolerate even this, but would look upon him as in the state of the Brahman Itself. So says also the Smrti:

"He, who stands centred entirely in himself, taking no heed of perception or non-perception, is O *Brahman*! not simply a Knower of the *Brahman*, but the *Brahman* Itself."

Hence, there is no room for even doubting the utter uselessness of striving (towards that rare path).

By explicitly explaining the meaning as "resting always in the Ever-Holy" and of "being the *Vedapuruṣa*", the Lord hints, by way of implication, at the

answer to the question relating to the *Parama-hamsa's* "Condition":

"The *Mahā-puruṣa* (Great Being) concentrates what is his Mind in Me and in consequence I also take My stand (always) in him."

On the supreme excellence attained by the Yogin-Parama-hamsa, amongst men entitled to the knowledge as well as the rituals prescribed by the Veda, is based the fact of his becoming "the Great Being". This Great Being (Mahātman) always keeps what is his own Mind absorbed in Me, as all the transformations of his Mind connected with the affairs of the world are, in his case, entirely suspended by "practice and detachment". Hence it is, that the Lord Praja-pati, having realized the Paramatman spoken of in philosophic treatises, by his own experience, refers to it in the words, "in Me". For the reason that the Yogin concentrates his mind only in Me, therefore I also take My stand, manifesting Myself in the form of Paramātman in him and not in others, who are unenlightened, because of their being shrouded in Avidyā (Ignorance).

Henceforth, he expounds the "Path" (Narada wanted to know at the beginning) by the query "What, pray, is the Path?"

"This (person) should renounce his wife, children, relatives, friends and the rest, along with the tuft (on his head), the sacred thread and the study of the *Veda*,—in fact all ceremonial worship of every description, nay, leave even this universe and should betake himself to a mere loin-cloth, a bamboo-stick and a blanket, alike with a view to provide for his bodily comfort and do good to the world at large."

This precept relating to (the Renunciation of) "his wife, children, etc.," refers to that householder, who, when the spiritual merit accumulated through previous incarnations is about to bear fruit by his application to Study, Reflection and Assimilation, without his entering on the holy order of Parama-hamsatva in the form of the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker, due to the operation of causes, such as the injunctions of his mother, father and so on. duly arrives at the Truth. When such a one has his Mind distracted, with the thousand and one forms of temporal and spiritual activities, wherein he is engrossed while remaining a householder, he naturally feels a craving for the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened, with a view to attain tranquillity of the mind. For obvious reasons, it cannot apply to the case of one, who, having duly arrived at the Truth by entering on the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker even before, desires to take on the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened, as there is no possibility of "wife, children, etc.," in his case.

It may here be asked, whether the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened has to be brought about like the other type of Renunciation (viz., Renunciation-of-the-Seeker), by recourse to the due observance of the formalities prescribed for it, such as, the saying of *Praisa* and so on, or whether it consists in the mere abstinence from mixing with temporal affairs, like giving up a worn-out piece of cloth or keeping away from an infected town. It cannot be the first, for, the Enlightened Knower of the Truth has no concern whatever with action of any kind and is therefore not affected by any formal Injunctions and Prohibitions. Hence says the *Smṛti*:

<sup>&</sup>quot;Regaling in the nectar of *Gnosis* to his heart's content, fully satisfied in the complete discharge of every duty, there

remains nothing for the *Yogin* to do: if at all there is anything which remains, then he cannot be reckoned as having attained *Gnosis*."

Nor can it be the second alternative, because of his having to conform to the *Vedic* injunctions relating to the insignia of the order, such as, the rag, the stick, *etc*.

There is really no room for such doubt, as this Renunciation has a dual aspect like (what is known as) the Pratipatti-karman. To explain: In the sacrifice called Jyotistoma, the performer has to conform to certain requirements, when he has taken the vow and scratching his body directly with the hand is, for the time-being, prohibited, while the small horn of a black antelope is prescribed for the purpose; for the S'ruti has it: "If the performer should scratch with his hand, he would have children affected with itches; if he should smile, his progeny will remain uncovered;" whence "with the horn of a black antelope should be scratch." Now, this horn, being no longer of use on the termination of the yow and also inconvenient to carry about, has of course to be given up. This giving up and the manner in which it should be done is prescribed by the Veda, where it is enjoined: "The prize-money having been distributed to the priests, he throws away the horn in Catvala", (a part of the sacrificial ground to the North of the  $\bar{A}havaniva$ ). This is what is called Pratipatti-karman, and it has two aspects, spiritual as well as temporal. Similarly Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened has also two aspects. It is not proper to allege that the Knower of the Truth has entirely no concern with the doing of any action whatever -(and is therefore precluded from the Renunciation-ofthe-Enlightened). For, the doing of an act ascribed to

the Self which is all Consciousness is no doubt removed by Gnosis: still such doing which is self-evident in the Antah-karana (Inner Sense), wherein the Self which is all Consciousness is but reflected and which is subject to a thousand and one transformations, would persist as long as Matter (i.e., Antah-karana) exists. Nor should it be supposed that there is anything in it running counter to the spirit of the words of the Smrti "Regaling in the nectar, etc," quoted above. For, though Gnosis has been attained, as there is no contentment for the Knower of the Truth lacking in mental tranquillity and consequently. there yet remains to be achieved the satisfaction to be derived from the "complete discharge of every duty", which alone is the means of acquiring such mental tranquillity, there is the absence of the satisfaction to be derived from the complete discharge of duty.

Again it may be asked: Granting that obedience to formal Vedic injunctions is applicable to the Knower of the Truth, then out of the  $Ap\bar{u}rva$  (the mediate result, which is laid by as an unseen store of Karman) another incarnation will have to be begun. This is not necessarily the case. For, that " $Ap\bar{u}rva$ ", having as its concomitant something tangibly present even in the present incarnation, viz, the doing away with what stands in the way of mental tranquillity, there is no logical necessity to imagine an unseen future effect (for this unseen cause). Otherwise such logic would lead to the supposition that even injunctions relating to Study, Reflection and Assimilation would cause future incarnations, to the exclusion of their present tangible result of

doing away with what stands in the way of the realization of the Brahman. Thus, therefore, there being no objection to the obedience to formal Vedic injunctions. the enlightened householder, who has already attained Gnosis, may, like the Seeker after Gnosis, take to Renunciation, only in keeping with the injunctions relating to formal ceremonies such as the Nāndī-mukhasrāddha<sup>1</sup>, keeping fasts, vigils and the like. Though the S'rāddha, etc., just mentioned are not distinctly enjoined as preliminary to taking up Renunciation-of-the Enlightened, still, this Renunciation being only a special (advanced) type of the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker, in accordance with the maxim: "The Vikrti (special) should conform to the Prakrti (general)" in the procedure laid down for Vedic rituals, all the details relating to the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker apply mutatis mutandis to the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened. As an analogy may be cited the Agni-stoma sacrifice, whose special types the Ati-ratra and other sacrifices are, wherein the rules of the original (types) are followed. Thus, therefore, even in the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened, the giving up of "wife, children and the rest," after reciting the Praisa, should be conformed to, as in the other type.

¹ The offering of oblations to the manes of the ancestors on occasions of joy—especially when a son is about to be born, or is about to be initiated into that sanctum passing through which he becomes a "twiceborn" and gains the right of continuing the line by offering such oblations in time to come. The occasion of formal Samnyāsa (Renunciation) is one of highest joy in the family, for its efficacy extends up to relieving even the manes of all the ancestors from the bondage of their peculiar existence and place them within easy reach of final Liberation. "Taking up Renunciation" is perhaps awkward English, but the importance attached to the Renunciation, which is a distinct stage in life with duties peculiar to its kind, is best expressed by that awkward phrase corresponding to one's taking up a responsible office.

The words "and the rest" put after "wife, children, relatives, friends," in the text refer to servants, domestic animals, houses, fields and all other temporal goods. The words "and the study of the Veda" should be understood to imply the giving up of even those aids to study which are of use in understanding the correct import of the Veda, as, Grammar, Metaphysics and Logic and amplify the spirit of the Veda, as, the Epics, the Puranas and the like. The giving up of Poetry, Drama, and light literature of the kind, which are conducive only to afford relaxation to the mind, goes without saying. The word "All", in "All ceremonial worship of every description," refers to all kinds of Karman whatever: temporal, spiritual. periodical, occasional, prohibited and purposeful. Giving up "children and the rest" implies the giving up of (every kind of) temporal enjoyment, while the giving up of "all ceremonial worship of every description" implies the giving up of prospective enjoyment in the next world —which acts as a powerful distractive on the Mind. Giving up "this whole universe" means the giving up of the devotional worship of the Virāt-puruṣa with a view to acquire mastery over such universe. The use of the word, "even", suggests the abandonment of devotion to the Hiranya-garbha, which leads to mastery over the plane of the Sūtrotman; as also of "Study" and the other causes conducive to the attainment of Gnosis. He (the Renouncer) should give up all that tends to happiness in this world and the next, beginning with "wife, children" and the rest and ending with "devotion to the Hiranuagarbha," by reciting the formula called Praisa and then take to the "loin-cloth, the stick, etc." The word "and" in "and a small covering" should be understood as implying that he may take to a pair of wooden shoes, etc., for use. Says the *Smrti*:

"Two loin-cloths, a blanket to ward off cold and a pair of wooden shoes, these he may take to and should by no means take to any other thing."

"His bodily comfort" comprises of covering the secret parts with the loin-cloth, warding off the discomfort arising from animals and venomous reptiles with the bamboo-stick and providing against the inclemency of the weather with the blanket; as also the avoidance of contact with unclean spots on the way, by wearing a pair of wooden shoes, implied by the word "and" in "and a blanket". The doing "good to the world at large" consists in enabling people to acquire good Karma by offering proper salutation, alms, etc., to the Samnyāsin recognized by the insignia of the holy order to which he belongs, viz., the bamboo-stick and the rest. There is also the further implication in "and," that the Samnyāsin should acquit himself true to tradition, in a way befitting the venerable stage of life he has attained.

Wih a view to suggest, that the taking to the loincloth, etc., is intended more as a measure of convenience than as an absolute injunction to be conformed to, it is further said:

"Even that is not absolutely necessary."

Even the injunction relating to taking to the loincloth and the rest, is not absolute in the case of the Yogin-Parama-hamsa, but is only a contingent or convenient suggestion. In the case of one who has only taken to the order of the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker, taking to the bamboo-stick in particular, is considered absolutely necessary and parting with the stick is thus absolutely prohibited in his case in the *Smrti*:

"The contact of the body with the stick is enjoined as always 'necessary'; the wise man should never go without the stick, even over a distance which is thrice the length covered by an arrow-flight."

The penalty for (the breach of this injunction) the loss of the stick is set down in the *Smrti* as a hundred *Prāṇāyamas*: "He must do a hundred on parting from the stick."

The text proceeds to explain the "essential condition" of the *Yogin-Parama-hamsa* in the following question and answer:

"What, then, is the essential condition? Only this is essential: 'no stick, no tuft on the head, no sacred thread, nor blanket,'—the *Parama-Hamsa* goes about with."

Just as a Parama-hamsa in the stage of the Renunciation-of-the-Seeker has as his essential condition the absence of the tuft and the sacred thread, so also the Yogin-Parama-hamsa should have the absence of the stick and the blanket as his essential condition; for, otherwise, his mind being distracted with thoughts generated by the scrutiny of the stick and the blanket, as to whether the former has the characteristics of a hamboo and the latter of a patched covering or otherwise, as also about the obtaining or preservation of the bamboo-stick and the blanket, Yoga which consists in "the suppression of the transformations of the thinking-principle" will be unattainable to him. This is not desirable, for, as the rule has it, "the bride is never espoused at the expense of the bridegroom's life." The text adds by way of suggesting an answer to the doubt as to how he should manage

without the blanket, etc., in case of suffering due to inclement weather, etc.

"Neither Cold nor Heat, neither Pleasure nor Pain, neither Courtesy nor Contumely (affect him).—Also he is devoid of all the six *Ūrmis* (conditions)."

The Yogin-Parama-hamsa, whose mind is entirely rid of transformations of any kind, knows no cold, for, he does not feel it. As a child, absorbed in play, feels no cold whatever, even on a winter morning, though all uncovered, so does the Yogin engrossed in the Supreme Self know no cold whatever. The absence of the feeling of heat, in the hot season, has to be similarly inferred. So also the absence of all feeling due to the downpour of rain on his body, in the rainy season, has to be understood as implied in the word "nor". Since he feels neither cold nor heat, it goes without saying, that he knows not the "pleasure or pain" generated by these two. Cold produces pleasant sensations in the hot season and painful ones in the cold season. The opposite of the above is to be seen in the case of heat. "Courtesy" refers to the regard and esteem in which one is held by others; "Contumely" refers to the discourtesy and want of esteem shown by others. When none beside himself is at all seen by the Yogin-Parama-hamsa in the whole universe, both "Courtesy and Contumely" stand aloof from him. The word "nor" standing between "Courtesy" and "Contumely" refers also to the absence of the pairs of opposites, such as, friends and enemies, love and hatred. etc. The "six conditions" are Hunger and Thirst, Grief and Delusion, Dotage and Death. These three pairs being respectively the characteristic qualities of the Vital Breath, the Mind and the Body, their avoidance by the

Yogin, who is intent on the Realization-of-the-Self is, but proper. It may be, that all this, viz., "neither cold nor heat, etc.," is possible in the condition of Trance, but would not the pain of mind resulting from Scandal and the like which affect a householder affect likewise the Yogin during moments of break? It has been said with a view to clarify such doubt:

"He (stands) unconcerned with Slander, Pride, Rivalry, Ostentation, Brow-beating, Covetousness, Vindictiveness, Opulent Ease, Chill Penury, Gallantry, Anger, Niggardliness, Peevishness, Joy, Envy, Egoism and the like."

"Slander" is the attributing of bad qualities to any one by diverse persons. "Pride" consists in indulging the feeling "I excel every other person." "Rivalry" is the desire to equal others in learning, wealth, etc. "Ostentation" consists in showing one's self off, while in the act of prayer or meditation, before others. "Brow-beating" is the confirmed tendency to cow down others into submission. "Convetousness" is the greed for wealth and chattel. "Vindictiveness" is the burning desire for the killing of one's foes. "Opulent Ease" is the steadiness of the mind which comes of the acquisition of wealth and such other things conducive to comfort. "Chill Penury" is just the opposite of "Opulent Ease" "Gallantry" is desire for delighting in the company of women, etc. "Anger" is the ebullition of temper, born of interruption in the achievement of one's desire. "Niggardliness" is the reluctance one feels to part with wealth, once it is acquired. "Peevishness" refers to the perversity of intellect, which causes a wrong perspective for things good and bad. "Joy" is the emotion which is

indicative of one's pleasant frame of mind and which finds expression in one's blooming features. "Envy" is that quality of the Mind, which is based on prejudice, inducing one to find faults even in other's merits. "Egoism" is mistaking the agregate of the body, the senses and the like for the real "Ego". The words "and the like" refer to the sense of ownership, excellence, etc., induced in one, in his personal belongings. The word "and" before "the like" implies the giving up of the opposites of the things enumerated in the text, viz., Praise, Humility, etc. In other words, having given up all these, viz., Slander, etc., that is to say, having got rid of them by the practice of the Obliteration of Latent Desire in the manner prescribed before, the Yogin should take his stand.

In answer to the question, how, while one's body yet exists, could it be possible to give them up, the text proceeds further:

"One's body is looked upon as a corpse as it were, as the same has been discarded."

That body which at first belonged to the *Yogin* is now looked upon by him as a corpse as it were, because of its being looked upon as other than that Consciousness which is his real Self. Even as a man of piety holds himself aloof from a dead body and looks at it only from a distance, lest its touch might pollute him, so does the *Yogin* always take care to look upon his body as apart from his real Self which is all Consciousness; lest any confusion should lead to the sense of false identity of the two (body and Self). The reason for this is, that "the same has been discarded" by the teacher's

precepts, by the word of the holy Scripture and by one's own experience, as being apart from the real Self. Hence, it is possible to give up Slander, etc., even while the body exists, as the body, which is quite apart from Consciousness, would be looked upon as a corpse.

Confusion as to the points of the compass, though dispelled with the rising of the Sun, may possibly arise occasionally; similarly, doubt as to the identity of the Self with the body, etc., though dispelled with the dawning of Discrimination, may occasionally give room for pain caused by Slander, etc. With a view to dispel such doubt the text says:

"He is constantly free from that which is the cause of Doubt, False Knowledge and Illusion."

"Doubt" is the vascillation of the Mind as to whether the  $\bar{A}tman$  is possessed of the function of a doer or not. "False knowledge" is the certainty of the Mind, that the Atman is only of the form of the body, etc. Both these are subjective; but the "Illusion" here referred to is objective and is of various kinds, as has been explained in the verse of the Bhagavad-gitā, which says "Abandoning without reserve all desires, etc." (B. G. VI, 24.) The cause of this Illusion (Ignorance) is fourfold: Ignorance (Avidua) in taking the non-eternal, impure, evil and non-atman, to be eternal, pure, good and Atman respectively, according to an aphorism of Patanjali. (II. 5.) The first is believing mountains, streams and the like, which are impermanent to be permanent; the second consists in entertaining the mistaken notion of purity in the impure body of wife, child and the like; the third arises from regarding husbandry, commerce and the like

as good, though they are really the generators of evil: the fourth is confounding the body of wife, child and the rest, which is only secondary to one's own and entirely false, as also one's physical and other sheaths (of food, etc.,) with one's real Self. The cause of these, viz., "Doubt" and the rest, is Ignorance and impressions born of Ignorance, which hide the Essence of the Secondless Brahman. This Ignorance of the Yogin-Parama-hamsa is destroyed by the knowledge of the import of the Great Texts; and impressions of Ignorance are done away with by the practice of Yoga. In the confusion about points of the compass just referred to, by way of illustration, though the original cause is removed. there is the possibility of its repetition, because impressions born of that cause still persist. In the case of the Yogin-Parama-hamsa, however, as both these causes of Illusion have once for all been laid at rest, where is the possibility of "Doubt" and its accompaniments ever recurring? Considering, therefore, the impossibility of a recurrence of Doubt and the rest, it has been well said, that the Yogin is "constantly free" from those causes. While there is freedom from Ignorance and its impressions, as such freedom can never be undone. after it is once accomplished, this Obliteration of the causes of "Doubt," etc., is "constant."

The text further refers to the cause of such constancy:

"He is permanently Enlightened in It."

The word "It," which is a pronoun which conveys the sense of "reputed," here refers to that Supreme  $\bar{A}tman$ , which is so celebrated in all the Upanisads. The Yogin

who is for ever awake in the light of this Supreme  $\overline{A}tman$  is said to be "permanently Enlightened in It." For, it is only the Yogin, who, bearing in mind the text, "The  $Br\bar{a}hmana$  with firm resolve, having known It, should ever continue in the knowledge of the Self," gets over all mental distraction, with the force of Yoga and continues in the knowledge of the Self, without intermission. Thus, this "Enlightenment" being everlasting, the Obliteration of Ignorance and its impressions, which must disappear in the light of this Knowledge, is bound to be equally everlasting.

The text then proceeds to distinguish this Supreme  $\bar{A}tman$ , thus revealed, from the  $\bar{I}svara$ , recognized by the logicians, as a separate entity:

"And 'That (is) only his own Self' (is) his stand-by."

The *Yogin*, gaining conviction of the truth, that the *Brahman*, which is the theme of all the *Upanişads*, is his own Self and is none other than his own Self, takes his stand by it.

Next is described the manner in which the Realization of the *Brahman* is attained by the *Yogin*:

"I am only He—Who is tranquil and immobile—that Nondual Essence of Consciousness and Bliss;—That alone is my highest status."

The *Yogin* gains the conviction "I am no other than He," that *Paramātman* (Supreme Being); tranquil, free from all distraction, such as anger and the like; immobile, devoid of action, such as locomotion, etc.; above all distinction, inherent. specific or generic and nondual, the one Essence of Existence, Consciousness and Bliss. That Essence, the *Brahman* is my highest "status," the

real form and nature of the Yogin-Parama-hamsa, and not the one which has relation with doing or experiencing the result of karma; for, this one is the offspring of Illusion. It may be asked, if the Self within is the Para-Brahman, how then is it that we do not realize the Bliss (which is the Essence of such Brahman) in this, our present condition? This Realization of Bliss is thus explained with illustration, by those who know:

"Butter, though present in every limb of the cow, conduces naught to her nourishment; it serves as the best medicine to the selfsame cow, on being worked out into its proper form. In the same manner, the Great Lord, present in the bodies of all beings, even like the said butter, bestows no favour on men, if He is not approached with due devotion."

If the teacher, father, brother and others who stood in that relation to the *Yogin* in his previous stage in life, should, being themselves yet devoted to the path of action actuated by blind faith, try to confound him, by taking him to task for his having become a renegade, by giving up the tuft of hair, the sacred thread, the three daily austerities and the like, the Lord seeks to show how the *Yogin* should acquit himself with a view to ward off such confusion:

"And That alone is the tuft, That again is the thread,—from the knowledge of the oneness of the Supreme  $\overline{A}tman$  and his own Self, all sense of distinction between the two, stands destroyed;—That is the daily austerity."

What is typified as the knowledge of the *Brahman*, which is the theme of all the *Upanisads*, that alone serves the purpose of the external symbols characteristic of all austerities, *viz.*, the tuft, the thread, etc. By the

words "And" and "again" are to be construed *Mantrā* (the uttering of *Vedic* incantations) and *Dravya*, the requisite materials which are also the other characteristics of austerities. The kinds of bliss, such as the attainment of heaven, etc., which result from the austerities and the adoption of their symbols, such as the tuft, etc., are all attainable only by the Realization of the *Brahman*; for, all objective happiness is nothing but a speck of the Bliss of the *Brahman*. "Others, *i.e.*, all beings, have their sustenance only from a particle of the Bliss," (Br. 4, 3, 32.) says the *S'ruti*. The *Ātharvaṇikas* have this very idea in mind, when they chant in the *Brahmopaniṣad*:

"The Enlightened, having shorn his head clean, including the tuft of hair, should give up also that external symbol, the sacred thread; he should henceforward invest himself with the immutable Supreme Brahman, treating It, as the sacred thread. That which binds, they call it, a thread; this thread is verily the highest status; that Brāhmana who understands this thread has reached the outermost boundary of the entire Veda. The thread on which hangs the entire universe, even like so many bejewelled beads mounted on a string,—that, the Yogin, who understands Yoga and has seen the light, should wear about him. The enlightened Knower of the Truth, standing firm in the highest Yoga, should cast away the symbolic thread he wears. Whosoever wears this thread of the form of the Brahman, would neither be polluted nor unclean, in consequence of the wearing of this thread. Those indeed are the true Knowers of the Brahman in this world, the true wearers of the true sacred thread. who have Gnosis as their sacred thread and wear it inside of themselves. Those, who have Gnosis for the tuft of hair on the head, who are firmly installed in their Gnosis and have Gnosis for their sacred thread, find in Gnosis the highest aim of their life, for Gnosis is all pure and holy. That enlightened one who has no other tuft but Gnosis (issuing out of him).

<sup>&#</sup>x27;For, that thread is supposed to give the necessary spiritual capacity to perform austerities, etc. Before this thread is formally given no one can perform any religious rites.

even like the uprising flame of fire, verily keeps the tuft over his head, not those who merely wear the hair in any fashion. Such  $Br\bar{a}hman$  and others, as are entitled to certain rites prescribed in the Veda, should wear this thread alone, for, that is the badge prescribed to be worn by those who have the right to perform these rites. He, who wears the tuft as well as the thread of Gnosis pure and simple, is declared by the Knowers of the Brahman to be endowed with the full franchise of Brahmanhood. This sacred thread is the highest resort, the ultimate goal; the Enlightened Knower is the real wearer of this thread; those who are in the know, recognize him alone as the real sacrificer."

Hence, even as there are the "tuft" and the "sacred thread" for the Yogin, so also there is the "daily austerity." The sense of distinction (between the Paramātman and the Jivātman) which is born of Illusion, is entirly demolished by the knowledge of the oneness of the Paramātman, the theme of the S'āstras and of the Jivātman, the "ego" of all conscious acts, brought about from hearing the Great Text. The impossibility of the further recurrence of this Illusion is the special merit of such demolition. As this knowledge of the oneness of the two\_the Jivatman and the Paramatman—is brought about at the point where the two fuse, so to speak, into each other, this is said to be the real Saindhyā of the Yogin, even as the performance of austerity, assigned by the Veda to the time when day and night meet together, is known as Samdhyā. It being so, the Yogin cannot, in any manner, be insulted by fanatics actuated by blind faith.

¹ The word is "Sandhyā" which means "a joint". Ordinarily the joint is placed at the beginning and the end of day, when "night joins with day." This is the moment of twilight-worship. Rāja-yoga interprets it to mean the point where the individual soul merges into the Supreme Soul and oneness is realized. Hatha-yoga also has its own interpretation of Sandhya. The fusion of the Idā and the Pingalā—the Sun- and the Moonbreaths in the Suṣumnā, when both flow together, is the real point of all formal twilight-worship and, truly enough, such fusion does take place at both the twilights and at midday and midnight.

Thus, the answer to the question,—"What is the path?"—is given in the words beginning with "This (person) should renounce his wife, children, etc." Having answered the question,—"What is their condition?"—briefly in the words "The *Mahā-puruṣa*, etc." and further amplified it in the words, "He is constantly free from, etc." the text now proceeds to sum up thus:

"Having given up all desire in non-duality (is) the highest status."

As Anger, Avarice and the like spring from Desire, by the giving up of Desire, all these faults of the Mind disappear. By the *Vājasaneyins* is chanted the following with this in mind: "Now they say verily: this *Puruṣa* is all Desire alone." (Bṛ. 4, 4, 5.) Hence the uninterrupted resting of the Mind of the *Yogin*, freed from all non-duality, becomes possible of attainment.

At this stage there arises a point for consideration:  $Vividis\bar{a}$ -samnyāsins, who are only Seekers who go about with the prescribed stick in hand and have not yet given up the  $V\bar{a}san\bar{\imath}$  of carrying out Vedic injunctions, would not recognize the Parama-hamsa carrying no prescribed stick like them. It has been said with a view to clear this:

"He who bears the true stick of *Gnosis* is called the bearer of one stick (*Eka-daṇḍin*); the bearer of the wooden stick, who takes his mess indiscriminately and is void of all true *Gnosis*, finds his place in the most terrible hell, called *Raurava*. He, who is void of Forbearance, Knowledge, Renunciation, Self-control and other virtues and sustains himself only by alms, is the sinner who violates the sacred vow of the real *Saṃnyāsin*. After knowing this difference, he (becomes) the *Parama-haṃsa*."

This "one stick" of the *Parama-hamsa* is of two kinds: the stick of *Gnosis* and the bamboo stick, even as the "three sticks" of a *Tri-dandin* are of three kinds symbolic of his Speech, Mind and Body. These three are thus described by MANU:

"Control (Danda)' of Speech, control of the Mind, control of Action,—he who has these three constantly in his mind is said to be the (real) Tri-dandin. Possessed of these three kinds of control in his relations to all beings and having all Desire and Anger well under control, he attains the highest aim of life."

Their characteristics are thus described by DAKSA:

"Control of Speech, of the Mind, of Action,—whosoever carries these three constantly about him is spoken of as the (real) Tri-dandin. In the case of the first, he should observe Silence and in the case of the third, complete Abstinence from Desire. As for the second, the practice of  $Pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$  is prescribed."

Another *Smrti* has the reading "Control of Action (consists in) moderation in diet." These marks of the *Tri-dandin* have also to be observed by the *Parama-hamsa*. Says PITĀMAHA with this view in mind:

"The Yogin-Parama-hamsa is the one belonging to the fourth Order spoken of in the Veda; he is possessed of Forbearance and Observance; he is Viṣṇu incarnate and the bearer of the three Dandas."

It being so, even as Silence and other virtues are to be considered to be each a Danda, in consequence of their being useful in controlling Speech, etc., so also should Gnosis, which dispels Ignorance and its effects, be looked upon as a Danda. That Parama-hamsa alone who carries this Danda of pure Gnosis is known as Eka-dandin in the

¹ That is to say the word "Danda" which means "stick" as well as "control" is made to mean control of speech, control of mind, control of body;—these are the three sticks ordained as the symbol of an ordinary Samnyāsin.

real sense of the word. It may sometime happen that this mental Danda of Gnosis might escape the Mind for a moment, in consequence of some distraction; with a view to obviate such a contingency, the bamboo-stick is borne by way of serving as an aid to memory. That Parama-hamsa who, not knowing this essential hidden truth of the Veda, should delude himself into the belief, that he has gained the End of Existence, by simply changing into the garb which Samnyāsa enjoins and thus goes about with a bamboo stick in hand—that person finds his place in the most terrible infernal regions, called Mahā-raurava, full of various kinds of torments. The reason for this is as follows: Seeing that one is clad in the garb of a Parama-hamsa and mistaking him for an Enlightened Knower of the Truth, all people treat him with a sumptuous meal at their houses. This fellow, being intent on satisfying the palate, makes no distinction whatsoever, as to what he should take and what not. gorges himself to surfeit and thus commits a sin through such conduct. "The Sainnyāsin is not (touched) by polluted food:" "He should beg (alms) of all the four Varnas; "—these and similar texts from the Smrtis, refer to the Enlightened Knower alone. But, as the one under consideration is an ignoramus, it is fit and proper that hell should form his abode. It is because of this that Manu prescribes the rule about alms, with a view to regenerate such bogus (ignorant) Samnyāsins:

"One should never desire to obtain alms, by having recourse to fore-telling portents or interpreting signs, by Astrology or Medicine, or by parading his proficiency in Grammar and Logic. He should go about for alms but once and never be attached thereto overmuch. For, the Ascetic attached to alms is thereby attached (lured) also to objects of enjoyment."

As to the *Samnyāsin* who is engaged in the practice of *Gnosis*, it has been said:

"The Parama-hamsa may eat either once or twice (as he likes), but he should, by all means, constantly keep up the flow of Gnosis within."

It being so, he alone is the *Parama-hamsa* in the right sense of the word, who carries the superior *Danda* of *Gnosis*, bearing in mind the obvious difference of superiority and inferiority respectively between the stick of *Gnosis* and the bamboo stick.

Let the Enlightened *Parama-hamsa* have by all means this *Danda* of *Gnosis* alone and not be compelled to carry the bamboo *Danda*. But then the question arises, as to how he should regulate himself in other matters touching his conduct. The text proceeds to answer this:

"Clad only in the directions, not obliged to salute (others), nor take part in rituals for propitiating the manes of the departed ancestors, affected by neither slander nor praise, the Mendicant should keep an open mind (adopt an attitude of non-committal). No invocation, nor sending away, no incantation, nor meditation nor devotion, neither connotation nor denotation, neither the sense of separation nor of identity, neither meum nor tuum, nor all, nor (the rest)—the Mendicant has not even a residential abode. He should not at all (gather round him) a set of disciples, nor even have a look at them."

Clad only in the directions, *i.e.*, having the vacant space around as covering, in other words, in a state of nature. What the *Smrti* lavs down in:

"Above the knees and below the navel, should he wear one piece of cloth and with a second one to cover the upper part of the body, should he go about for alms;" refers to such, as are not *Yogins*. It is with this difference in mind that it has been said before: "Even that is absolutely not necessary."

Even though it has been said in yet another Smrti:

"Salutation must be made to one who is a prior Samnyāsin and belongs to the same Order and never to any one else,"

vet, as it refers to such, as are no Yogins, there is no necessity for the Parama-hamsa to make any salutation. Hence has it been said, while defining a Brāhmana, "who has no salutation to offer, nor praise to bestow". Taking part in "rituals connected with the propitiation of the manes of departed ancestors" by offering oblations at holy places, like the Gaya, Prayaga, etc., through blind faith, is prohibited (in his case). By the word "slander" occurring in the previous text "He (stands), having given up slander, etc.," the vexation caused by one's being subjected to slander emanating from others is sought to be warded off, while by the "slander or praise" referred to here is meant what emanates from the Parama-hamsa himself, directed towards others. The non-committal attitude refers to the absence of his being tied down to any conventions or forms in daily life. As what the Smrti enjoins relating to Divine worship, in the words:

"Going about for alms, telling the beads of the rosary, cleanliness, bath," meditation, the worship of the gods—these six should always be implicitly observed as the mandate of a Ruler,"

relates only to one who is not a Yogin, with this in mind, the words "no invocation, etc.," have been used. The

difference between "Meditation" and "Devotion" consists in the fact that in the case of the former the pondering over is occasional, while in the case of the latter it is incessant. As the Yogin has no concern whatever with temporal intercourse of the nature of praise, slander and the like, or likewise with spiritual duties enjoined by the Sāstras, such as divine worship and the like, so, he has nothing to do with what pertain to the philosophy of Gnosis, such as questions connected with connotation and denotation. Whereas that Supreme Consciousness, which is the Witness of all, is the connotation of the term "Thou" in the Great Text "Thou art That," the consciousness which is encased in the body is not, but is merely its denotation. The latter stands quite apart from the import of "That" in the said text, while the former is identical with it. The term "Meum" is capable of comprising within its compass and denoting all activities depending on one's own body, while those depending on another's body are comprised in the term "Tuum". The two kinds, viz., the connotation and the denotation of "Thou" in the great text, are both endowed with consciousness and the unconscious world besides this is what may be comprised in the word "all" occurring in "nor all". These and similar thoughts never trouble the Yogin's mind, inasmuch as his mind is for ever resting in the Brahman.

Hence the Mendicant "has not even a residential abode" for, if he acquires any such abode, as a monastery, as his permanent residence, he should thereby become subject to the sense of *Meum* in relation to it and his mind will often be distracted by indulging in thoughts connected with its loss or improvements to

be effected to it. Says GAUDA-PĀDĀCĀRYA with all this in view:

"That Ascetic who is above praise and salutation, is beyond the duty of offering oblations to the manes of his ancestors and has his place of residence, neither changing nor fixed, is tied down by no conventions."

Just as he should not take his residence in a monastery, so also, he should not keep even a single utensil made of gold, silver or any other metal, for alms and for use in austerities. So says YAMA:

"Utensils made of gold or of black iron are not meant for Ascetics; hence the Mendicant should have nothing to do with any of them."

#### Also MANU:

"He may keep unbroken utensils, made of materials other than metals; their purification with mere clay is prescribed, even as of the ladle in a sacrifice. The utensil may be of either the pot-gourd, wood, clay or the bamboo; for, these alone are the utensils prescribed to the Ascetic by Manu, the son of Svayam-bhū."

#### Also BODHĀYANA:

"He should eat of leaves plucked with his own hands, or sere ones shed of their own accord, but never use the leaf of the Banyan or the holy Fig or the  $Kara\tilde{n}ja$ . Even when reduced to the narrowest straits, should he never eat off a bronze-plate,—for one eating off a bronze-plate verily eats filth,—nor off a plate of gold, silver, copper, clay, tin or lead."

Further he should not receive the world, viz., persons, that is to say, pupils. Says MANU:

"He should always go about all alone, seeking no aid for accomplishing his purpose in life. Concerned with the success of himself alone, he does not give up (anything) nor is given up."

#### Aso MEDHATITHI:

"A place of residence, non-acquisition of the (prescribed) utensil, laying by a store (for future use), the taking

of pupils, sleeping by day, idle gossip—these six impede the Ascetic's tenour of life. Staying beyond one day in a village and over five in a city at any time, other than in the rainy season is what is meant by a 'place of residence'. 'Non-acquisition of the (prescribed) utensil' refers to the nonpossession of even a single utensil made of pot-gourd, etc., detailed above, by the Mendicant who has to subsist on alms 'Laying by a store' refers to laying by an odd stick or the like, for use at some future time, in addition to the stick, etc., in actual daily use. 'Taking of pupils' refers to accepting pupils for the advantage of personal service. courting the adoration of others or gaining esteem (in other people's eyes), but not out of humane considerations. Gnosis is Day, both being all light; Ignorance is Night; Indifference towards the practice of Gnosis is 'Sleeping by day' 'Idle gossip' consists in pronouncing benediction and making enquiries along the path, leaving aside matters relating to the Atman, going about for alms or adoration of the celestials."

Not only should he not take the world, that is to say, disciples, but he should not, even so much as, see them, for, even such seeing creates bondage. By the words "nor (the rest)" occurring in the text, it should be understood that the Ascetic should not commit also such other things (as are) prohibited in the *Smrti*. These (prohibited things) are pointed out by MEDHATITHI;

"The Ascetic should never touch these six: movables, immovables, seeds, metals, poisons and arms, even as he would not, urine and fæces. He should avoid at a distance Chemistry, Grammar, Astrology, Trade and the various arts and crafts, even as he would, another's wife."

Thus is described the avoidance of all those impediments connected with temporal and scriptural things, that stand in the way of the Ascetic. Now, the text proceeds to point out, by way of question and answer, the greatest impediment in his way and enjoins its avoidance altogether:

"If asked 'Is there any great impediment?' the answer is 'Surely there is great impediment.' For

the reason that, should Gold be eyed by the Mendicant with eagerness, he becomes the killer of the *Brahman*; for the reason that, should Gold be touched by the Mendicant with eagerness, he becomes a *Paulkasa*; for the reason that, should Gold be taken by the Mendicant with eagerness, he becomes the killer of his Self;—for that very reason should Gold be not looked at, touched or taken with eagerness by the Mendicant."

That impediment that encompasses in its range a large number of things is, of course, a great impediment. Having asserted that there is great impediment, the Lord mentions Gold as such impediment. If Gold is looked at with eagerness, that is to say, full of keen desire, then, the Mendicant becomes the killer of the Brahman. Impelled by this greed for Gold, he always puts forth effort in the direction of its acquisition and preservation; not only so, but, by way of refutation of such vain endeavour, he sets about the task of vilifying the teachings of the Vedanta, which go to explain the illusory nature of the phenomenal world and clings strongly to its reality. In this manner, that secondless Brahman, the truth of which is so well established by the S'āstra is, as it were, killed by the Mendicant. Hence he verily becomes the killer of the Brahman. So also says the Smrti:

"He who says that there is no Brahman, he who sets himself against the Knower of the Brahman and he who cherishes that, which is not really the Brahman, as the real Brahman, these three are all killers of the Brahman."

# Also,

<sup>&</sup>quot;He should be known as the killer of the Brahman, who is a renegade beyond the pale of all recognized schools of thought."

If Gold is touched with eagerness, then the Mendicant, who touches it, is degraded to the condition of a *Paulkasa*, *i.e.*, a veritable *Mleccha*. Such a degradation is thus prescribed in the *Smrti*:

"That Mendicant verily has his degradation, who is (guilty) of committing these two (sins), viz., consciously parting with his semen and laying by a store of wealth."

Nor should Gold be *taken* with eagerness. If it is taken, the Mendicant, by this his act, becomes the killer of the detached Self, which is all Consciousness, and is the Witness of the body and the senses; for, he thereby deprives his Self of its natural, detached state and construes it as the enjoyer of Gold and the like. About the grossly sinful nature of such an unnatural construing, the *Smrti* says:

"He who construes the Self, which is otherwise by nature, as other than what it really is—what sin is not committed by that thief, who is stealing his own Self?"

Moreover to the killer of the Self are ascribed, by the *S'ruti*, several worlds, which are devoid of even the least tinge of happiness and full of a thousand forms of dire pain and misery:

"Those, verily, are the spheres of the demons  $(Asury\bar{a}h)$ , all enveloped in thick darkness. Them, those, *i.e.*, such as are killers of the Self, reach after death."

In the looking at and the touching of Gold spoken of in the text, are respectively implied the hearing about and the talking about Gold. In the taking of Gold is similarly implied dealing with Gold. The meaning is that everything connected with Gold—whether hearing about it or describing its merits or dealing with it, such as by purchasing, etc., with eagerness, is as much sinful as the looking at, the touching and the taking of it,

spoken of in the text. As looking at Gold and other similar acts, with eagerness, are the causes of sin, the Mendicant should hence avoid looking at Gold or touching it or taking it, etc. The advantage derived from such avoidance of Gold is thus set forth:

"Whoever has his standby in the Self,—all desires harboured in his mind altogether turn away; he winces not under pain; he is indifferent to pleasure. (There is) utter abandonment of attachment (of any kind); detachment from all things, good or bad; he neither hates nor rejoices; activity of all his senses entirely ceases."

As children, wife, house, property and such other objects of desire are dependent on Gold (i.e., wealth), when Gold is abandoned, those desires of every kind harboured in the Mind, turn away, i.e., do not any more stay in the Mind. When desires cease, neither sorrow nor desire is caused by the pain or pleasure arising from previous Karma. This has been fully explained, when dealing with the Sthitaprajña. As temporal pain and pleasure cause distraction, even in the case of desire for enjoyment in the other world, there should arise a sense of utter abandonment. For, it is only one, who has desire for the pleasures of this world, that yearns for enjoyment in the other world, inferred from the example of the former. Hence, it is but proper, that he, who is indifferent to temporal pleasure, should likewise be indifferent to the pleasure that might await him in the life after death. It being so, he becomes entirely detached from all things good or bad, that is to say, agreeable or disagreeable, everywhere, i.e., in this world, as well as in the next. This also equally applies to hatred and

rejoicing, for, such an Enlightened Knower hates not even his direst enemy, nor does he rejoice at the sight of any one doing good to him. That person, who, thus devoid of hatred or rejoicing, stands ever in the *Atman*, has the activity, *i.e.*, trend of all his senses at an end. With such cessation of activity of his senses, there will be no manner of impediment to (his attaining) Ecstatic Trance.

The question "What is their condition?" has been answered before, in brief and at length; the same has been enlarged upon in this place, in connection with the discourse relating to the avoidance of (all contact whatever with) gold.

The text then proceeds to conclude the subject of the Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened:

"(He) becomes fully satisfied in the complete discharge of every duty, by realizing: 'I am that *Brahman* which is All Transcendent Bliss and the One (fountain source of all) Consciousness.'"

This Yogin-Parama-hamsa reaches the delightful condition of fulfilling all duties whatever, on his ever realizing "That Brahman described in the Upanisads as that Supreme Self which is All Bliss and the One Consciousness—I am that Brahman". So also says the Smrti:

"Regaling in the nectar of *Gnosis* to his heart's content, fully satisfied in the complete discharge of every duty, there remains nothing for the *Yogin* to do: if at all there is anything which remains, then he cannot be reckoned as having attained *Gnosis*."

May the Lord Vidyā-tīrtha, dispelling the darkness from the heart, by (the torchlight of) *Jīvan-mukti-viveka*,

give us the Supreme End of human Existence in its entirety.

End of the Fifth Chapter on the "Renunciation-of-the-Enlightened."

# OM TAT SAT

# A GLOSSARY OF TECHNICAL TERMS

AGNI-HOTRA-GRHA—the house where the sacred fires are kept.

Adhi-matra—excessive.

Anumana-inference.

Antar-anga-intimate; immediate.

Anvaya—positive aspect.

Abhimana-conceit.

Abhyāsa—application.

Aloluptva—uncovetousness.

Asamprajñata-samadhi—the state of Ecstatic Trance.

Agama—testimony.

Ātmānubhava—self-realization.

Anus ravika—scriptural.

Āsrama—stage of life.

Āsana—posture.

Is'vara-pranidhana—resignation to Is'vara.

Uc-chvāsa—inspiration.

Udita-revived.

Upasarga—obstacle.

Upekṣā-indifference.

Rtam-bhara-truth-bearing.

Ekagrata—one-pointedness; concentration.

Karuna—compassion.

Kaivalya-alone-ness; liberation.

Gunātīta—one beyond the three properties.

Citta—the thinking principle.

Citta-vrtti-transformation or function of the mind.

Jala-pavitra-filter-cloth.

Jijñāsu-seeker after knowledge.

Jīvan-mukti-liberation-in-this-life.

Jñanin-the enlightened.

Tapas-mortification; penance.

Tamas—grossness.

Tīvra-samvega—one whose feeling is ardent.

Dambha-hypocrisy.

Darpa—arrogance.

Dvandva—pairs of opposites.

Dvesa-hate.

Dharana—contemplation.

Dhyana—absorption.

Nih-s'vāsa—expiration.

Nididhyasana—assimilation.

Niyama—observance.

 ${\bf Nirodha--inter}\, {\bf ception}.$ 

Nir-bīja—seedless.

Para-vairagya—supreme renunciation.

Purusa-yatna-free action.

Paurusa-free action.

Prati-samkrama—change.

Pratyaksa—direct cognition.

Pratyahara—withdrawing.

Pramana—right knowledge.

Prastara—a clump of kus'a-grass.

Prana-vital breath.

Prarabdha-karma—the chain of necessity.

Bahir-anga-mediate.

Brahma-carya—continence.

Brahmana—one who has realized the Brahman.

Madhya-moderate.

Manana—reflection.

Mano-nasa-dissolution of the mind.

Muditā—complacency.

Munitva-melting in silence.

Mrdu-mild.

Maitrī-friendship.

Moha-delusion.

Rajas-energy.

Rāga—love.

Varna-caste.

Vasana-impression; latent desire.

Vāsanā-kṣaya-obliteration of latent desire.

Vikalpa-fancy.

Vijñāna-self-realization.

Videha-mukti—liberation following the dissolution of the body.

Vidvat-samnyasa—renunciation-of-the-enlightened.

Viparyaya—wrong knowledge.

Virakti-detachment.

Virama—suspension.

Vividisā-samnyāsa-renunciation-of-the-seeker.

Viveka-discrimination.

Vīrya-energy.

Vairagya-detachment.

Vyatireka—negative aspect.

Vyaști-microcosm.

Vyutthana—distraction.

Santa-repressed.

Sesa-remnant.

Soka-sorrow.

Sauca—purity.

Sravana-study.

S'ruta-revelation.

Samiña-consciousness.

Samprajñata-samādhi—the state of ordinary concentration. Samskara—impression.

Sanga-attachment.

Satkara—devotion.

Sattva-placidity.

Samaşţi-macrocosm.

Samadhi-trance.

Samapatti-meditation.

Sarvārthatā—distraction.

Sthita-prajña—one lost in ecstasy.

Smaya-pride.

Svadhyaya—study.

Hrdaya-granthi—the knot in the heart.

# श्रीमदिद्यारण्यमुनिविरचितः

# जीवन्मुक्तिविवेकः

# विषयसूचिका

				पुटम
प्रथमं जीवन्मुक्तिप्रमाणप्रकरणम्	•	-	•	१
द्वितीयं वासनाक्षयप्रकरणम्				३५
तृतीयं मनोनाशप्रकरणम्				८२
चतुर्थं स्वरूपसिद्धिप्रयोजनप्रकरणम्	•	•	м	१२२
पञ्चमं विद्वत्संन्यासप्रकरणम्	•			१४१

# संज्ञाविवृतिः

अ०	अमृतबिन्दूपनिषत्
अ० ना०	अमृतनादोपनिषत्
आनु०	आनुज्ञासनिकपर्व
<b>ई</b> श०	ई <b>झावास्योपनिषत्</b>
उप०	उपदेशसाहस्री
कठ०	कठोपनिषत
कै०	कैवल्योपनिषत्
छा०	छान्दोग्योपनिष <b>त</b>
ते॰	तैत्तिरीयोपनिषत्
नै॰	नैष्कर्म्यसिद्धिः
प॰ उ०	पराश्चरोप <b>पुराणम्</b>
पञ्च०	पञ्चदशीप्रकरणम्
बृ०	बृहदारण्यकोपनिषत्
बृ० स्मृ०	बृहस्पतिस्मृति:
ब्र॰ उ॰	ब्रह्मोपनिषत्
भ॰	भगवद्गीता
भा० शा०	भारतं ज्ञान्तिपर्व
मनु०	मनुस्मृतिः
Пo	मण्डकोपनिषत

मै॰ मैत्रेय्युपनिषत्

यो० भा० योगसूत्रभाष्यम्

यो॰ वा॰ योगवासिष्ठम्

ल० लघुयोगवासिष्ठम्

वा० बृहदारण्यकवार्त्तिकम

वि॰ पु॰ विष्णुपुराणम्

श्वे० श्वेताश्वतरोपनिषत्

सू० स० सूतसंहिता

#### ॥ श्रीः ॥

# श्रीमदिचारण्यमुनिविरचितः

# जीवन्मुक्तिविवेकः।

## तत्र प्रथमं जीवन्मुक्तिप्रमाणप्रकरणम् ।

यस्य निःश्वसितं वेदा यो वेदेभ्योऽिखलं जगत्।
निर्ममे तमहं वन्दे विद्यातीर्थमहेश्वरम् ॥ १ ॥
वक्ष्ये विविदिषान्यासं विद्वन्यासं च भेदतः।
हेतू विदेहमुक्तेश्च जीवन्मुक्तेश्च तो क्रमात् ॥ २ ॥
संन्यासहेतुर्वेराग्यं यदहर्विरजेत्तदा।
प्रव्रजेदिति वेदोक्तेस्तद्भेदस्तु पुराणगः ॥ ३ ॥
विरक्तिद्विविधा प्रोक्ता तीव्रा तीव्रतरेति च ।
सत्यामेव तु तीव्रायां न्यस्येद्योगी कुटीचके ॥ ४ ॥
शक्तो बहूदके तीव्रतरायां हंससंज्ञिते । 
मुमुक्षुः परमे हंसे साक्षाद्विज्ञानसाधने ॥ ५ ॥
पुत्रदारधनादीनां नाशे तात्कालिकी मितः।
धिवसंसारमितीद्दवस्याद्विरक्तेर्मन्दता हि सा ॥ ६ ॥

अस्मिञ्जन्मनि मा भूवन्पुत्रदारादयो मम । इति या सुस्थिरा बुद्धिः सा वैराग्यस्य तीव्रता ॥ ७ ॥ पुनरावृत्तिसहितो लोको मे माऽस्त कश्चन । इति तीत्रतरत्वं स्यान्मन्दे न्यासो न कोऽपि हि ॥ ८ ॥ यात्राद्यशक्तिशक्तिभ्यां तीवे न्यासद्वयं भवेत । कुटीचको बहूदश्चेत्युभावेतौ त्रिदण्डिनौ ॥ ९ ॥ द्वयं तीव्रतरे ब्रह्मलोकमोक्षविभेदतः । तल्लोके तत्त्वविद्धंसो लोकेऽस्मिन्परहंसकः ॥ १० ॥ एतेषां तु समाचाराः प्रोक्ताः पाराशरस्मृतौ । व्याख्यानेऽस्माभिरत्रायं परहंसो विविच्यते ॥ ११ ॥ जिज्ञास्तर्ज्ञानवांश्चेति परहंसो द्विधा मतः । पाहुर्ज्ञानाय जिज्ञासोर्न्यासं वाजसनेयिनः ॥ १२ ॥ ' प्रत्राजिनो लोकमेतमिच्छन्तः प्रत्रजन्ति हि '। एतस्यार्थस्तु गद्येन वक्ष्यते मन्दबुद्धये ॥ १३ ॥

लोको हि द्विविधः, आत्मलोकोऽनात्मलोकश्चेति । तत्रानात्मलोकस्य त्रैविध्यं बृहदारण्यके तृतीयाध्याये श्रूयते—'अथ त्रयो वाव लोका मनुष्यलोकः पितृलोको देवलोक इति । सोऽयं मनुष्यलोकः पुत्रेणैव जय्यो नान्येन कर्मणा, कर्मणा पितृलोको विद्यया देवलोकः ' (५. १६.) इति । आत्मलोकश्च तत्रैव श्रूयते—'यो ह वा अस्माल्लोकात्स्वं लोकमदृष्ट्वा प्रैति स एनमविदितो न भुनक्ति ' (४. १५.) इति 'आत्मानमेव लोकमुपासीत स य आत्मानमेव लोकमुपास्ते न हास्य कर्म क्षीयते ' (४. १५.) इति च । यो मांसादिकपिण्डलक्षणात्स्वं लोकं परमात्माख्यम् 'अहं ब्रह्मास्मि ' इत्यविदित्वा म्रियते स स्वो लोकः परमात्माऽविदितोऽविद्यया व्यवहितः सन्नेनमवेदितारं प्रेतं मृतं न भुनिक्त शोकमोहादिदोषापनयनेन न पालयति । उपासकस्य ह निश्चितं कर्म न क्षीयते, एकफलदानेनोपक्षीणं न भवति, कामितसर्वफलं मोक्षं च ददातीत्यर्थः । षष्ठाध्यायेऽपि— 'किमर्था वयमध्येष्यामहे किमर्था वयं यक्ष्यामहे'; (?) 'किं प्रजया करिष्यामो येषां नोऽयमात्माऽयं लोकः ' (४. २२.) इति । ये प्रजामीषिरे ते इमशानानि भेजिरे । ये प्रजां नेषिरे तेऽमृतत्वं हि भेजिरे । एवं च 'एतमेव प्रवाजिनो लोकमिच्छन्तः प्रवजनित' (४. २२.) इत्यत्रात्मलोको विवक्षित इति गम्यते, 'स वा एष महानज आत्मा ' (४. २२.) इति प्रकान्तस्यात्मन एतच्छब्देन परामृष्टत्वात् । लोक्यतेऽनुभूयत इति लोकः । तथा चात्मानुभविमच्छन्तः प्रवजन्तीति श्रुतेस्तात्पर्यार्थः संपद्यते । स्मृतिश्च—

शान्तिदान्त्यादिभिः सर्वैः साधनैः सहितो भवेत् ॥ ' इति । इह जन्मिन जन्मान्तरे वा सम्यगनुष्ठितैर्वेदानुवचनादिभिरुत्पन्नया विवि-दिषया संपादितत्वादयं विविदिषासंन्यास इत्यभिधीयते । अयं च वेदनहेतुः संन्यासो द्विविधः, जन्मापादककाम्यकर्मादित्यागमात्रात्मकः, प्रैषोच्चारण पूर्वकदण्डधारणाद्याश्रमरूपश्चेति ।

' ब्रह्मविज्ञानलाभाय परहंससमाह्नयः ।

' पुंजन्म लभते माता पत्नी च प्रैषमात्रतः । ब्रह्मनिष्ठः सुशीलश्च ज्ञानी चैतत्प्रभावतः ॥ '

1 अष्टाशीतिसहस्राणि ये प्रजामीषिर ऋषयः । दक्षिणेनार्यम्णः पन्थानं ते श्मशानानि भेजिरे ॥ अष्टाशीतिसहस्राणि ये प्रजां नेषिर ऋषयः । उत्तरेणार्यम्णः पन्थानं तेऽमृतत्वं हि भेजिरे ॥—आप० धर्मसू० प्र०२ ख०२२ त्यागश्च तैत्तिरीयादौ श्रूयते—' न कर्मणा न प्रजया धनेन त्यागेनैके अमृतत्वमान्युः ' (४. १२. ३.) इति । अस्मिश्च त्यागे स्त्रियोऽप्यधि-कियन्ते । ['भिक्षुकी' इत्यनेन स्त्रीणामपि प्राग्विवाहाद्वा वैधव्यादूर्ध्व वा संन्यासेऽधिकारोऽस्तीति दर्शितम् ; तेन भिक्षाचर्यं मोक्षशास्त्रश्रवणमेकान्त आत्मध्यानं च ताभिः कर्तव्यम्, त्रिदण्डादिकं च धार्यम्; इति मोक्षधर्मे चतुर्धरीटीकायां सुलभाजनकसंवादे ।] शारीरकभाष्ये 'वाचक्रवी ' इत्यादि श्रूयते देवताधिकरणन्यायेन विधुरस्याधिकारप्रसङ्गेन तृतीयाध्याये चतुर्थपादे। अत एव मैत्रेयीवाक्यमाम्नायते — 'येनाहं नामृता स्यां किमहं तेन कुर्यो यदेव भगवान्वेद तदेव मे विब्रूहि ' (५. ४.) इति । ब्रह्मचारिगृहस्थवानप्रस्थानां केनचिन्निमित्तेन संन्यासाश्रमस्वीकारे प्रतिबद्धे सति, स्वाश्रमधर्मेष्वनुष्ठीय-मानेष्वपि वेदनार्थो मानसः कर्मादित्यागो न विरुध्यते, श्रुतिस्मृतीति-हासपुराणेषु लोके च तादशां तत्त्वविदां बहूनामुपलम्भात्। यस्तु दण्डधारणादिरूपो वेदनहेतुः परमहंसाश्रमः, स पूर्वैराचार्येर्बहुधा प्रपश्चित इत्यस्माभिरुपरम्यते । इति विविदिषासंन्यासः ॥ १ ॥

अथ विद्वत्संन्यासं निरूपयामः । सम्यगनुष्ठितैः श्रवणमनननिदि-ध्यासनैः परं तत्त्वं विदितवद्भिः संपाद्यमानो विद्वत्संन्यासः । तं च याज्ञवल्क्यः संपाद्यामास । तथा हि, विद्वच्छिरोमणिर्भगवान्याज्ञ-वल्क्यो विजिगीषुकथायां बहुविधेन तत्त्वनिरूपणेनाश्वलप्रभृतीन्मुनीन्विजित्य, वीतरागकथायां संक्षेपविस्तराभ्यामनेकधा जनकं बोधयित्वा, मैत्रेयीं बुबोध-यिषुस्तस्यास्त्वरया तत्त्वाभिमुख्याय स्वकर्तव्यं संन्यासं प्रतिजज्ञे । ततस्तां बोधयित्वा संन्यासं चकार । तदुभयं मैत्रेयीब्राह्मणस्याद्यन्तयोराम्नायते— 'अथ ह याज्ञवल्क्योऽन्यद् वृत्तमुपाकरिष्यन्मैत्रेयीति होवाच याज्ञवल्क्यः प्रव्रजिष्यन्वा अरेऽयमस्मात्स्थानादिस्म ' (५.१,२.) इति, 'एतावदरे

खल्वमृतत्विमिति होक्त्वा याज्ञवल्क्यो विजहार '(५. १५.) इति च। कहोलब्राह्मणेऽपि विद्वत्संन्यास आञ्चायते—' एतं वै तमात्मानं विदित्वा ब्राह्मणाः पुत्रैषणायाश्च वित्तेषणायाश्च लोकेषणायाश्च व्युत्थायाथ भिक्षाचर्य चरन्ति ' (५. १.) इति । न चैतद्वाक्यं विविदिषासंन्यासपरमिति शङ्कनीयम् , पूर्वकालवाचिनः 'विदित्वा' इति क्तवाप्रत्ययस्य ब्रह्मविद्वाचिनो ब्राह्मणशब्दस्य च बाधप्रसङ्गात् । न चात्र ब्राह्मणशब्दो जातिवाचकः, वाक्यशेषे पाण्डित्यबाल्यमौनशब्दाभिधेयैः श्रवणमनननिदिध्यासनैः साध्यं ब्रह्मसाक्षात्कारमभिपेत्य 'अथ ब्राह्मणः' (१. ५.) इत्यभिहितत्वात् । ननु तत्र विविदिषासंन्यासोपेतः पाण्डित्यादौ प्रवर्तमानोऽपि ब्राह्मणशब्देन परामृष्टः 'तस्माह्याह्मणः पाँडित्यं निर्विद्य बाल्येन तिष्ठासेत् ' (५. १.) इतीति चेत्; मैवम्, भाविनीं वृत्तिमाश्रित्य तत्र ब्राह्मणशब्दस्य प्रयुक्तत्वात् , अन्यथा कथम् 'अथ ब्राह्मणः' (५. १.) इति साधनानुष्ठा-नोत्तरकालवाचिनमथशब्दं प्रयुङ्गीत ? शारीरब्राह्मणेऽपि विद्वत्संन्यास-विविदिषासंन्यासौ स्पष्टं निर्दिष्टौ-- ' एतमेव विदित्वा मुनिर्भवति, एतमेव प्रत्राजिनो लोकमिच्छन्तः प्रत्रजन्ति ' (४.२२.) इति । मुनित्वं मननशीलत्वम् ; तचासति कर्तव्यान्तरे संभवतीत्यर्थात्संन्यास एवाभिधीयते । कामयन्ते किं प्रजया करिष्यामो येषां नोऽयमात्माऽयं लोक इति, ते ह स्म पुत्रैषणायाश्च वित्तेषणायाश्च लोकैषणायाश्च व्युत्थायाथ भिक्षाचर्यं चरन्ति ' (४. २२.) इति । 'अयं लोकः ' इत्यपरोक्षेणानुभूयमान इत्यर्थः । नन्वत्र मुनित्वेन फलेन प्रलोभ्य विविदिषासंन्यासं विधाय वाक्यशेषे स एव प्रपश्चितः ; अतो न संन्यासान्तरं कल्पनीयम् । मैवम् , वेदनस्यैव विविदिषासंन्यासफलत्वात् । न च वेदनमुनित्वयोरेकत्वं शङ्कनीयम् ,

'विदित्वा मुनिर्भवति' इति पूर्वोत्तरकालीनयोस्तयोः साध्यसाधनभाव-प्रतीते:। ननु वेदनस्यैव परिपाकातिशयरूपमवस्थान्तरं मुनित्वम्, अतो वेदनद्वारा पूर्वसंन्यासस्यैवैतत्फलमिति चेत् , बाढम् ; अत एव साधन-रूपात्संन्यासादन्यं फलरूपमेतं संन्यासं ब्रुमः । यथा विविदिषासंन्यासिना तत्त्वज्ञानाय श्रवणादीनि संपादनीयानि, तथा विद्वत्संन्यासिनाऽपि जीवन्मुक्तये मनोनाशवासनाक्षयौ संपादनीयौ । एतच्चोपरिष्टात्प्रपञ्चयिष्यामः । सत्यप्यनयोः संन्यासयोरवान्तरभेदे परमहंसत्वाकारेणैकीकृत्य 'चतुर्विधा भिक्षवः ' इति स्पृतिषु चतुःसख्योक्ता । पूर्वोत्तरयोरुभयोः संन्यासयोः परमहंसत्वं जाबालश्रुताववगम्यते । तत्र हि जनकेन संन्यासे पृष्टे सति, याज्ञवल्क्योऽधिकारविशेषविधानेनोत्तरकालानुष्ठेयेन च सहितं विविदिषा-संन्यासमभिधाय, पश्चादत्रिणा यज्ञोपवीतरहितस्याक्षिप्ते ब्राह्मण्ये सति, पश्चादात्मज्ञानमेव यज्ञोपवीतमिति समादधौ । अतो बाह्ययज्ञोपवीताभावा-त्परमहंसत्वं निश्चीयते । तथाऽन्यस्यां कण्डिकायाम् 'तत्र परमहंसा नाम ' (६.) इत्युपक्रम्य संवर्तकादीन्बहून्ब्रह्मविदो जीवन्मुक्तानुदाहृत्य ' अव्यक्तिलङ्गा अव्यक्ताचारा अनुन्मत्ता उन्मत्तवदाचरन्तः ' (६.) इति विद्वत्संन्यासिनो दर्शिताः। तथा 'त्रिकाण्डं कमण्डलुं शिक्यं पात्रं जलपवित्रं शिखां यज्ञोपवीतं चेत्येतत्सर्वे भूः स्वाहेत्यप्सु परित्य-ज्यात्मानमन्विच्छेत् ' (६.) इति त्रिदण्डिनः सत एकदण्डलक्षणं विविदि-विधाय तत्फलरूपं विद्वत्संन्यासमेवमुदाजहार—'यथाजात-रूपधरो निर्द्धेद्वो निष्परित्रहस्तत्र ब्रह्ममार्गे सम्यक्संपन्नः शुद्धमानसः प्राणसंधारणार्थे यथोक्तकाले विमुक्तो भैक्षमाचरन्नुदरपात्रेण लाभालाभयोः समो भूत्वा शून्यागारदेवगृहतृणकूटवल्मीकवृक्षमूलकुलालशालाग्निहोत्रगृह-नदीपुलिनगिरिकुहरकंदरकोटरनिर्झरस्थण्डिलेष्वनिकेतवास्यप्रयत्नो निर्ममः शु-

क्कध्यानपरायणोऽध्यात्मनिष्ठः शुभाशुभकर्मनिर्मृलनपरः संन्यासेन देहत्यागं करोति, स परमहंसो नाम ' (६.) इति । तस्मादनयोरुभयोः परमहंसत्वं सिद्धम् । समानेऽपि परमहंसत्वे सिद्धे विरुद्धधर्माकान्तत्वादवान्तरभेदोऽप्य-भ्युपगन्तव्यः । विरुद्धधर्मत्वं चारुणिकोपनिषत्परमहंसोपनिषदोः पर्यालोचना-यामवगम्यते । 'केन भगवन्कर्माण्यशेषतो विसृजामि ' (१.) इति शिखा-यज्ञोपवीतस्वाध्यायगायस्त्रीजपाद्यशेषकर्मत्यागरूपे विविदिषासंन्यासे शिष्यणा-रुणिना पृष्टे सति, गुरुः प्रजापतिः 'शिखां यज्ञोपवीतम् ' (१.) इत्यादिना सर्वत्यागमभिधाय, 'दण्डमाच्छादनं कौपीनं च परिम्रहेत् ' (१.) इति दण्डादिस्वीकारं विधाय, 'त्रिसंध्यादौ स्नानमाचरेत्, संधिं समाधावात्मन्या-चरेत्, सर्वेषु वेदेष्वारण्यकमावर्तयेत्, उपनिषदमावर्तयेत्' (२.) इति वेदनहेतूनाश्रमधर्माननुष्ठेयतया विधत्ते । अथ ' योगिनां परमहंसानां कोऽयं मार्गः ? ' इति विद्वत्संन्यासे नारदेन पृष्टे सति, गुरुर्भगवान्प्रजापतिः ' स्वपुत्रमित्र — ' इत्यादिना पूर्ववत्सर्वत्यागमभिधाय, ' कौपीनं दण्ड-माच्छादनं च स्वशरीरोपभोगार्थाय लोकस्योपकारार्थाय च परिग्रहेतु ' इति दण्डादिस्वीकारस्य लौकिकत्वमभिधाय, 'तच न मुख्योऽस्ति ' इति शास्त्रीयत्वं प्रतिषिध्य, 'कोऽयं मुख्य इति मुख्यो न दण्डं न शिखां न यज्ञोपवीतं न चाच्छादनं चरति परमहंसः ' इति दण्डादिलिङ्गराहित्यस्य शास्त्रीयतामुक्त्वा, 'न शीतं न चोष्णम् ' इत्यादिवाक्येन ' आशाम्बरो निर्नमस्कारः ' इत्यादिवाक्येन च लोकव्यवहारातीतत्वमभिधाय, अन्ते 'यत्पूर्णानन्दैकबोधस्तद्भह्नाहमस्मीति कृतकृत्यो भवति ' इत्यन्तेन प्रन्थेन ब्रह्मानुभवमात्रपर्यवसानमाचष्टे । अतो विरुद्धधर्मोपेतत्वादस्त्येवानयोर्महान्भेदः । स्मृतिष्वप्ययं भेद उक्तदिशा द्रष्टव्यः।

' संसारमेव निःसारं दृष्ट्वा सारदिदृक्षया । प्रव्रजन्त्यकृतोद्वाहाः परं वैराग्यमाश्रिताः ॥ प्रवृत्तिलक्षणो योगो ज्ञानं संन्यासलक्षणम् । तस्माञ्ज्ञानं पुरस्कृत्य संन्यस्येदिह बुद्धिमान् ॥ ' (बृ० स्मृ०)

#### इत्यादि विविदिषासंन्यासः ।

' यदा तु विदितं तत्स्यात्परं ब्रह्म सनातनम् । तदैकदण्डं संगृह्य सोपवीतां शिखां त्यजेत् । ज्ञात्वा सम्यक्परं ब्रह्म सर्वे त्यक्त्वा परिव्रजेत् ॥ '

#### इत्यादि विद्वत्संन्यासः ।

ननु—कलाविद्यास्विव कदाचिदौत्सुक्यमात्रेणापि वेदितुमिच्छा संभवति, एवं विद्वत्ताऽप्यापातदिश्चिनः पण्डितंमन्यस्यात्रावलोक्यते, न च तौ प्रत्रजितौ दृष्टौ, अतो विविदिषाविद्वत्ते कीदृशे विविक्षिते इति चेत्। उच्यते—यथा तीत्रायां बुभुक्षायामुत्पन्नायां भोजनादन्यो न रोचते व्यापारः, भोजने च विलम्बोऽपि सोढुं न शक्यते, तथा जन्महेतुषु कर्मस्वत्यन्तमरुचिवेंदन-साधनेषु च श्रवणादिषु त्वरा महती संपद्यते, तादृशी विविदिषा संन्यासहेतुः।

# विद्वत्ताया अवधिरुपदेशसाहस्यामभिहितः—

' देहात्मज्ञानवज्ज्ञानं देहात्मज्ञानवाधकम् । आत्मन्येव भवेद्यस्य स नेच्छन्नपि मुच्यते ॥ ' (४. ५.) इति ।

श्रुताविष- 'भिद्यते हृदयग्रन्थिश्छिद्यन्ते सर्वसंशयाः । क्षीयन्ते चास्य कर्माणि तस्मिन्दृष्टे परावरे ॥ ' (मु०२.८.)

इति ।

परमपि हैरण्यगर्भादिकं पदमवरं यस्मादसौ परावरः, हृदये बुद्धौ साक्षिण-स्तादात्म्याध्यासोऽनाद्यविद्यानिर्मितत्वेन प्रन्थिवद् दृढसंश्लेषरूपत्वाद् प्रन्थि-रित्युच्यते । आत्मा साक्षी वा कर्ता वा, साक्षित्वेऽप्यस्य ब्रह्मत्वमस्ति वा न वा, ब्रह्मत्वेऽपि तद् बुद्धचा वेदितुं शक्यं वा न वा, शक्यत्वेऽपि तद्वेदनमात्रेण मुक्तिरस्ति वा न वा, इत्यादयः संशयाः । कर्माण्यनारब्धान्यागामिजन्म-कारणानि । तदेतद् प्रन्थ्यादित्रयमविद्यानिर्मितत्वादात्मदर्शनेन निवर्तते ।

> ' यस्य नाहंकृतो भावो बुद्धिर्यस्य न लिप्यते । हत्वाऽपि स इमाल्ँलोकान्न हन्ति न निबध्यते ॥ ' (भ०१८.१७.) इति ।

यस्य ब्रह्मविदो भावः सत्ता स्वभाव आत्मा नाहंकृतोऽहंकारेण तादा-त्म्याध्यासादन्तर्नाच्छादितः । बुद्धिलेपः संशयः । तदभावे त्रैलोक्यवधेनापि न बध्यते, किमुतान्येन कर्मणेत्यर्थः । नन्वेवं सित विविदिषासंन्यासफलेन तत्त्वज्ञानेनैवागामिजन्मनो वारितत्वाद्वर्तमानजन्मशेषस्य भोगमन्तरेण विना-शयितुमशक्यत्वात्किमनेन विद्वत्संन्यासप्रयासेनेति चेत् । मैवम् , विद्व-त्संन्यासस्य जीवन्मुक्तिहेतुत्वात् । तस्माद्वेदनाय यथा विविदिषासंन्यास एवं जीवन्मुक्तये विद्वत्संन्यासः संपादनीयः । इति विद्वत्संन्यासः ॥ २ ॥

अथ केयं जीवन्मुक्तिः, किं वा तत्र प्रमाणम्, कथं वा तिसिद्धिः, सिद्धौ वा किं प्रयोजनिमिति चेत्। उच्यते—जीवतः पुरुषस्य कर्तृत्वभोक्तृत्वसुखदुःखादिरुक्षणश्चित्तधर्मः क्षेशरूपत्वाद्धन्धो भवति, तस्य निवारणं जीवन्मुक्तिः। नन्वयं बन्धः किं साक्षिणो निवार्यते, किं वा चित्तात् ! नाद्यः, तत्त्वज्ञानेनैव निवारितत्वात्। न द्वितीयः, असंभवात्। यदा तु जलाद् द्रवत्वं निवार्येत वहेर्वोष्णत्वं तदा चित्तात्कर्तृत्वादिनिवारण-संभवः, स्वाभाविकत्वं तु सर्वत्र समानम् । मैवम् , आत्यन्तिकनिवारणा-संभवेऽप्यभिभवस्य संभवात्। यथा जलगतं द्रवत्वं मृत्तिकामेलनेनाभि-भ्यते वहेरीष्ण्यं मणिमन्त्रादिना, तथा सर्वाश्चित्तवृत्तयो योगाभ्यासेनाभि-भवितुं शक्यन्ते । ननु पारब्धं कर्म कृत्स्नाविद्यातत्कार्यनाशने प्रवृत्तस्य तत्त्वज्ञानस्य प्रतिबन्धं कृत्वा स्वफलदानाय देहेन्द्रियादिकमवस्थापयति, न च सुखदु:खादिभोगश्चित्तवृत्तिं विना संपादियतुं शक्यते, ततः कथमभिभवः ? मैवम् , अभिभवसाध्याया जीवन्मुक्तेरपि सुखातिशय-रूपत्वेन प्रारब्धफल एवान्तर्भावात् । तर्हि कर्मैव जीवन्मुक्तिं संपादयिध्यति, मा भूत्पुरुषप्रयत्न इति चेत् ; कृषिवाणिज्यादावपि समानः पर्यनुयोगः । कर्मणः स्वयमदृष्टरूपस्य दृष्टसाधनसंपत्तिमन्तरेण फलजननासमर्थत्वादपेक्षितः कृष्यादौ पुरुषप्रयत्न इति चेत् , जीवनमुक्तावपि समं समाधानम् । सत्यपि पुरुषप्रयत्ने कृष्यादेः फलपर्यवसानं यत्र न दृश्यते तत्र प्रबलेन कर्मान्तरेण प्रतिबन्धः कल्पनीयः । तच प्रबलं कर्म स्वानुकूलवृष्टचभावादि-रूपां दृष्टसामग्रीं संपाद्यैव प्रतिबन्नाति । स च प्रतिबन्धो विरोधिना प्रबलतरेणोत्तम्भकेन कारीरीष्ट्यादिरूपेण कर्मणाऽपनीयते । तच कर्म स्वानुकूलां वृष्टिलक्षणां दृष्टसामग्रीं संपाद्येव प्रतिबन्धमपनयति । किं बहुना ? प्रारब्धकर्मण्येवात्यन्तभक्तेन भवता योगाभ्यासरूपस्य पुरुषप्रयत्नस्य वैयर्थ्य मनसाऽपि चिन्तयितुमशक्यम् । अथ वा प्रारब्धं कर्म यथा तत्त्वज्ञानात्प्रबलं तथा तस्मादिप कर्मणो योगाभ्यासः प्रबलोऽस्तु । तथा च योगिनामुद्दालकवीतहव्यादीनां स्वेच्छया देहत्याग उपपद्यते। यद्यप्यल्पायुषामस्माकं तादृशो योगो न संभवति, तथाऽपि कामादि-रूपचित्तवृत्तिनिरोधमात्रे योगे को नाम प्रयासः ? यदि शास्त्रीयस्य

प्रयत्नस्य प्राबल्यं नाङ्गीिकयते तदा चिकित्सामारभ्य मोक्षशास्त्रपर्यन्तानां सर्वेषामानर्थवयं प्रसज्येत । न हि कदाचित्कर्मफलविसंवादमात्रेण दौर्बल्य-मापादियतुं शक्यम् ; अन्यथा कादाचित्कं पराजयं दृष्ट्वा सर्वेभूपैर्गजाश्वादिसेनोपेक्ष्येत । अत एवानन्दबोधाचार्या आहुः—'न ह्यजीर्णभयादाहार-पित्यागः, भिक्षुकभयाद्वा स्थाल्यनिधश्रयणम् , यृकाभयाद्वा प्रावरणपरित्यागः' इति । शास्त्रीयप्रयत्नस्य प्रावल्यं वसिष्ठरामसंवादे विस्पष्टमवगम्यते 'सर्वमेवेह हि सदा' इत्यारभ्य 'तदनु तदप्यवमुच्य साधु तिष्ठ' इत्यन्तेन प्रन्थेन ।

वसिष्ठः— ' सर्वमेवेह हि सदा संसारे रघुनन्दन । सम्यक्पयुक्तात्सर्वेण पौरुषात्समवाप्यते ॥ १ ॥ '

सर्वे पुत्रवित्तस्वर्गलोकब्रह्मलोकादिफलम् । पौरुषं पुत्रकामेष्टिकृषिवाणिज्य-ज्योतिष्टोमब्रह्मोपासनालक्षणः पुरुषप्रयतः ।

> ' उच्छास्त्रं शास्त्रितं चेति पौरुषं द्विविधं स्मृतम् । तत्रोच्छास्त्रमनर्थाय परमार्थाय शास्त्रितम् ॥ २ ॥ '

उच्छास्त्रं परद्रव्यापहारपरस्त्रीगमनादि । शास्त्रितं नित्यनैमित्तिकानुष्ठानादि । अनर्थो नरकः । अर्थेषु स्वर्गादिषु परमो मोक्षः परमार्थः ।

> ' आ बाल्यादलमभ्यस्तैः शास्त्रसत्संगमादिभिः । गुणैः पुरुषयन्नेन सोऽर्थः संपाद्यते हितः ॥ ३ ॥ '

अलं संपूर्ण सम्यगित्यर्थः । गुणैर्युक्तेनेत्यध्याहारः । हितः श्रेयोरूपः ।

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> लघुयोगवासिष्ठस्य **मुमु**क्षुव्यवहारप्रकरणाख्यचतुर्थसर्गगते ।

श्रीरामः—' प्राक्तनं वासनाजालं नियोजयित मां यथा । मुने तथैव तिष्ठामि कृपणः किं करोम्यहम् ॥ ४ ॥ ' इति ।

वासना धर्माधर्मरूपा जीवगताः संस्काराः ।

वसिष्ठः--- ' अत एव हि हे राम श्रेयः प्राप्तोषि शाश्वतम् । स्वप्रयत्नोपनीतेन पौरुषेणैव नान्यथा ॥ ५ ॥ '

यतो वासनापरतन्त्रो भवानत एव हि पारतन्त्र्यनिवारणाय स्वोत्साहसंपादितो मनोवाकायजन्यः पुरुषव्यापारोऽपेक्षितः ।

' द्विविधो वासनाव्यूहः शुभश्चैवाशुभश्च ते । प्राक्तनो विद्यते राम द्वयोरेकतरोऽथ वा ॥ ६ ॥ '

किं धर्माधर्मावुभाविप त्वां नियोजयत उत्तैकतर इति विकल्पः । एकतर-पक्षेऽिप शुभोऽशुभो वेत्यर्थात्सिद्धो विकल्पः ।

> ' वासनौघेन शुद्धेन तत्र चेदपनीयसे । तत्क्रमेणाशु तेनैव पदं प्राप्स्यसि शाश्वतम् ॥ ७ ॥ '

तत्र तेषु पक्षेषु । तत्तर्हि । तेनैव क्रमेण ग्रुभवासनाप्रापितेनैवाचरणेन प्रय-

' अथ चेदशुभो भावस्त्वां योजयति संकटे । प्राक्तनस्तदसौ यत्नाज्जेतव्यो भवता स्वयम् ॥ ८ ॥ '

भावो वासना । तत्तर्हि । यत्नोऽशुभविरोधिशास्त्रीयधर्मानुष्ठानम् । तेन स्वयं जेतव्यः, न तु युद्धे मृत्युमुखेनेव पुरुषान्तरमुखेण जेतुं शक्यः । ' शुभाशुभाभ्यां मार्गाभ्यां वहन्ती वासनासरित् । पौरुषेण प्रयत्नेन योजनीया शुभे पथि ॥ ९ ॥ '

उभयपक्षे तु शुभभागस्य प्रयत्ननैरपेक्ष्येऽप्यशुभभागं शास्त्रीयप्रयत्नेन निवार्य शुभमेव तस्य स्थाने समाचरेत् ।

> ' अग्रुभेषु समाविष्टं ग्रुभेष्वेवावतारय । स्वमनः पुरुषार्थेन बलेन बलिनां वर ॥ १० ॥ '

अशुभेषु परस्नीद्रव्यादिषु । शुभेषु शास्त्रार्थदेवताध्यानादिषु । पुरुषार्थेन पुरुषप्रयत्नेन । बलेन प्रबलेन ।

> ' अग्रुभाचालितं याति ग्रुभं तस्मादपीतरत् । जन्तोश्चित्तं तु शिग्रुवत्तस्मात्तचालयेद्वलात् ॥ ११ ॥ '

यथा शिशुर्मृद्धक्षणान्निवार्य फलभक्षणे योज्यते, मणिमुक्ताद्याकर्षणान्निवार्य कन्दुकाद्याकर्षणे योज्यते, तथा चित्तमपि सत्सङ्गेन दुःसङ्गात्तद्विपरीतविष-यान्निवारयितुं शक्यम् ।

' समतासान्त्वनेनाशु न द्रागिति शनैः शनैः । पौरुषेण प्रयत्नेन लालयेचित्तवालकम् ॥ १२ ॥ '

चपलस्य पशोर्बन्धस्थाने प्रवेशनाय द्वावुपायो भवतः । हरिततृणप्रदर्शनं कण्डूयनादिकम् , वाक्पारुष्यं दण्डादिभर्त्सनं चेति । तत्राद्येन सहसा प्रवेश्यते, द्वितीयेनेतस्ततो धावञ्शनैः शनैः प्रवेश्यते । तथा शत्रुमित्रादि-समत्वबोधनं प्राणायामप्रत्याहारादिपुरुषप्रयत्रश्चेत्येतौ द्वौ चित्तशान्त्युपायौ । तत्राद्येन मृदुयोगेन शीघं लालयेत् । द्वितीयेन हठयोगेन द्रागिति न लालयेत् , किं तु शनैः शनैः ।

' द्रागभ्यासवशाद्याति यदा ते वासनोदयम् । तदाऽभ्यासस्य साफल्यं विद्धि त्वमरिमर्दन ॥ १३ ॥ '

मृदुयोगाभ्यासाच्छीघ्रमेव सद्वासनोदये सति साफल्यमभ्यासस्य मन्तव्यम् , न त्वल्पकालत्वेनासंभावना शङ्कनीया ।

> 'संदिग्धायामिप भृशं शुभामेव समाहर । शुभायां वासनावृद्धौ तात दोषो न कश्चन ॥ १४ ॥ '

शुभवासनाऽभ्यस्यमाना संपूर्णा वा न वेति यदा संदेहस्तदाऽपि शुभामभ्य-स्येदेव । तद्यथा सहस्रजपे प्रवृत्तस्य दशमी शतसंख्या यदा संदिग्धा, तदा पुनरिप शतं जपेत् ; असंपूर्ती संपूर्तिः फलिष्यिति, संपूर्ती तु तद्वृद्धया न सहस्रजपो दुष्यिति, तद्वत् ।

> ' अव्युत्पन्नमना यावद्भवानज्ञाततत्पदः । गुरुशास्त्रप्रमाणेस्तु निर्णीतं तावदाचर ॥ १५ ॥ ततः पककषायेण नूनं विज्ञातवस्तुना । गुभोऽप्यसौ त्वया त्याज्यो वासनौघो निरोधिना ॥ १६ ॥ यदितसुभगमार्यसेवितं त-

च्छुभमनुस्रत्य मनोज्ञभावशुद्धचा । अधिगमय पदं सदा विशोकं तदनु तदप्यवमुच्य साधु तिष्ठ ॥ १० ॥ ' इति ।

स्पष्टोऽर्थः । तस्माद्योगाभ्यासेन कामाद्यभिभवसंभवाज्जीवन्मुक्तौ न विव-दितव्यम् । इति जीवन्मुक्तिस्वरूपम् ॥ ३ ॥ श्रुतिस्मृतिवाक्यानि जीवन्मुक्तिसद्भावे प्रमाणानि । तानि च कठ-वल्लचादिषु पठ्यन्ते—तत्र 'विमुक्तश्च विमुच्यते (५. १.)' इति कठ-वल्लचाम् । जीवन्नेव दृष्टबन्धनात्कामादेविंशेषेण मुक्तः सन् देहपाते भाविबन्धा-द्विशेषेण मुच्यते । वेदनात्प्रागपि शमदमादिसंपादनेन कामादिभ्यो मुच्यत एव, तथाऽप्युत्पन्नानां कामादीनां तत्र प्रयन्नेन निरोधः; अत्र तु धीवृत्त्य-भावादनुत्पित्तिरेव; ततो विशेषेणेत्युच्यते । तथा प्रलये देहपाते च सित कंचित्कालं भाविदेहबन्धान्मुच्यते ; अत्र त्वात्यन्तिको मोक्ष इत्यभिप्रेत्य विशेषेणेत्युक्तम् ।

बृहदारण्यके पठ्यते----

'यदा सर्वे प्रमुच्यन्ते कामा येऽस्य हृदि श्रिताः। अथ मर्त्योऽमृतो भवत्यत्र ब्रह्म समरनुते॥'(४.४.७.) इति।

श्रुत्यन्तरेऽपि—' सचक्षुरचक्षुरिव सकर्णोऽकर्ण इव समना अमना इव सप्राणोऽप्राण इव ' इति । एवमन्यत्राप्युदाहार्यम् । स्मृतिषु जीवन्मुक्तः स्थितप्रज्ञभगवद्भक्तगुणातीतब्राह्मणातिवर्णाश्रम्यादिनामभिस्तत्र तत्र व्यविद्वयते । विसष्ठरामसंवादे —' नृणां ज्ञानैकिनिष्ठानाम् ' इत्यारभ्य ' सिकंचिदविशिप्यते ' इत्यन्तेन श्रन्थेन जीवन्मुक्तः पठ्यते ।

वसिष्ठः—' नृणां ज्ञानैकनिष्ठानामात्मज्ञानविचारिणाम् । सा जीवन्मुक्ततोदेति विदेहोन्मुक्ततेव या ॥ ८८ ॥ '

ज्ञानैकनिष्ठत्वं लौकिकवैदिककर्मत्यागः । देहेन्द्रियसदसद्भावमात्रेण मुक्ति-द्वयस्य विशेषो न त्वनुभवतः, द्वैतप्रतीतेरुभयत्राभावात् ।

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> लघुयोगवासिष्टस्यार्थदर्शनाख्यपञ्चमसर्गस्ये ।

श्रीरामः—' ब्रह्मन्विदेहमुक्तस्य जीवन्मुक्तस्य लक्षणम् ।

ब्रूहि येन तथैवाहं यते शास्त्रजया दृशा ॥ ८९ ॥ '

विसेष्ठः—' यथास्थितमिदं यस्य व्यवहारवतोऽपि च ।

अस्तं गतं स्थितं व्योम स जीवन्मुक्त उच्यते ॥ ९० ॥ '

इदं प्रतीयमानं गिरिनदीसमुद्रादिकं जगत्प्रतिपत्तुर्देहेन्द्रियव्यवहारेण सह महाप्रलये परमेश्वरेणोपसंहतं सत्स्वरूपोपमर्देनास्तं गतं भवति । अत्र तु न तथा; किं तु विद्यत एव देहेन्द्रियादिव्यवहारः । गिरिनद्यादिकं च परमेश्वरेणानुपसंहतत्वाद्यथापूर्वमवतिष्ठमानं सत्सर्वेरन्यैः प्राणिभिर्विस्पष्टमव-लोक्यते । जीवन्मुक्तस्य तत्प्रत्यायकधीवृत्त्यभावात्सुषुप्ताविव सर्वमस्तं गतं भवति । स्वयं प्रकाशमानं चिद्वचोम केवलमविश्यते । बद्धस्य सुषुप्तौ तात्कालिकधीवृत्त्यभावसाम्येऽपि भाविधीवृत्तिबीजसद्भावान्न जीवन्मुक्तत्वम् ।

> 'नोदेति नास्तमायाति सुखे दुःखे मुखप्रभा । यथाप्राप्ते स्थितिर्यस्य स जीवन्मुक्त उच्यते ॥ ९१ ॥ '

मुखप्रभा हर्षः । स्रवचन्दनसत्कारादिसुखे प्राप्तेऽपि संसारिण इव हर्षो नोदेति । मुखप्रभास्तमयो दैन्यम् । धनहानिधिकारादिदुःखे प्राप्तेऽपि न दीनो भवति । इदानींतनस्वप्रयत्नविशेषमन्तरेण प्रारब्धकर्मापादितपूर्वप्रवाहागतिभिक्षात्रादिकं यथाप्राप्तम् , तिस्मिन्स्थितिर्देहरक्षा । समाधिदाढर्चेन स्रवचन्दनादिप्रतीत्यभावात् , कदाचिद् व्युत्थानदशायामापाततः प्रतीताविष विवेकदाढर्चेनैव हेयोपादेयत्वबुद्धचभावाद्धर्षादिराहित्यमुपपद्यते ।

'यो जागर्ति सुषुप्तिस्थो यस्य जात्रन्न विद्यते । यस्य निर्वासनो बोधः स जीवन्मुक्त उच्यते ॥ ९२ ॥ ' चक्षुरादीन्द्रियाणां स्वस्वगोलकेष्ववस्थानेनोपरत्यभावाज्जागितं । मनोवृत्ति-रहितत्वात्सुषुप्तिस्थः । अत एव 'इन्द्रियैरथोपलिब्धः ' इत्येतस्य जागरण-लक्षणस्याभावाज्जायन्न विद्यते । सत्यपि बोधे जायमानो ब्रह्मवित्त्वाभि-मानादिभिर्भोगार्थापादितकामादिभिश्च धीदोषो वासना; वृत्तिराहित्येन तद्दोषाभावान्त्रिर्वासनत्वम् ।

> 'रागद्वेषभयादीनामनुरूपं चरत्रपि । योऽन्तर्व्योमवदत्यच्छः स जीवन्मुक्त उच्यते ॥ ९३ ॥ '

रागानुरूपं भोजनादिप्रवृत्तिः । द्वेषानुरूपं बौद्धकापालिकादिभ्यो विमुखत्वम् । भयानुरूपं सर्पव्याघ्रादिभ्योऽपसर्पणम् । आदिशब्देन मात्सर्यानुरूपमितर-योगिभ्य आधिक्येन समाध्यनुष्ठानम् । सत्यिप व्युत्थानदशायामीदृश आचरणे पूर्वाभ्यासेन प्रापिते विश्रान्तिचत्तस्य कालुप्यरिहतत्वादत्यच्छत्वम् । यथा व्योग्नि धूमधूलिमेघादियुक्तेऽपि निर्लेपस्वभावत्वादितशयेन स्वच्छत्वं तद्वत् ।

'यस्य नाहंकृतो भावो वुद्धिर्यस्य न लिप्यते । कुर्वतोऽकुर्वतो वाऽपि स जीवन्मुक्त उच्यते ॥ ९.४ ॥ '

पूर्वीर्ध विद्वत्संन्यासप्रस्तावे व्याख्यातम् । लोके बद्धस्य पुरुषस्य शास्त्रीयं कर्म कुर्वतः 'अहं कर्ता' इति चिदात्माऽहंकृतो भवति, 'भावि स्वर्ग प्राप्स्यामि' इति हर्षेण बुद्धिर्लिप्यते । अकुर्वतस्तु 'त्यक्तवानिस्म' इत्यहंकृतत्वम् , स्वर्गालाभविषादो लेपः । एवं प्रतिषिद्धकर्मणि लोकिककर्मणि च यथासंभवं योजनीयम् । जीवनमुक्तस्य तु तादात्म्याध्यासाभावाद्धर्षाद्य-भावाच्च न दोषद्वयम् ।

'यस्मान्नोद्विजते लोको लोकान्नोद्विजते च यः । हर्षामर्षभयान्मुक्तः स जीवन्मुक्त उच्यते ॥ ९५ ॥ '

अधिक्षेपताडनादावप्रवृत्तत्वादेतस्माल्लोको नोद्विजते । अत एवैतस्मिल्लोकस्या-प्यधिक्षेपाद्यप्रवृत्तेः, कस्यचिद् दुष्टस्य तत्प्रवृत्तावप्येतचित्ते तादशविकल्पा-नुदयाच्चायमपि नोद्विजते ।

> 'शान्तसंसारकलनः कलावानिष निष्कलः । यः सचित्तोऽषि निश्चित्तः स जीवन्मुक्त उच्यते ॥ ९६ ॥ '

शत्रुमित्रमानावमानादिविकल्पाः संसारकलनाः शान्ता यस्य सः। चतुःषष्टिर्विद्याः कलाः, तत्सद्भावेऽपि तदिभमानन्यवहारयोरभावान्निष्कल-त्वम्। चित्तस्य स्वरूपेण सद्भावेऽपि वृत्त्यनुदयान्निश्चित्तत्वम्। 'सचिन्तोऽपि निश्चिन्तः' इति पाठे वासनावशादात्मध्यानवृत्तिसद्भावेऽपि लौकिक-वृत्त्यभावान्निश्चिन्तत्वम्।

'यः समस्तार्थजातेषु व्यवहार्यपि शीतलः । परार्थेप्विव पूर्णात्मा स जीवन्मुक्त उच्यते ॥ ९७ ॥ '

परगृहे विवाहोत्सवादौ स्वयं गत्वा तत्त्रीत्यै तदीयकार्येषु व्यवहरन्नि लाभालाभयोर्हिषविषादरूपं बुद्धिसंतापं न प्राप्नोति यथा, एवमयं मुक्तः स्वकार्येऽपि शीतलः। न केवलं संतापाभावाच्छीतलत्वम्, किं तु पूर्णस्वरूपानुसंघानादिप। इति जीवन्मुक्तलक्षणम्॥ ४॥

# अथ विदेहमुक्तलक्षणम्—

' जीवन्मुक्तपदं त्यक्त्वा स्वदेहे कालसात्कृते । विशत्यदेहमुक्तत्वं पवनोऽस्पन्दतामिव ॥ ९८ ॥ ' यथा वायुः कदाचिच्चलनं त्यक्त्वा निश्चलरूपेणावतिष्ठते, तथा मुक्तात्माऽप्यु-पाधिकृतं संसारं त्यक्त्वा स्वरूपेणावतिष्ठते ।

> 'विदेहमुक्तो नोदेति नास्तमेति न शाम्यति । न सन्नासन्न दूरस्थो न चाहं न च नेतरः ॥ ९९ ॥ '

उदयास्तमयो हर्षविषादौ । न शाम्यति न च तत्परित्यागी, लिङ्गदेहस्यात्रैव लीनत्वात् । सद्घाच्यो जगद्धेतुरविद्यामायोपाधिर्न प्राज्ञेश्वरः, असद्घाच्यो नापि भूतभौतिकः । न दूरस्थ इत्युक्त्या न मायातीतः । न चेत्युक्त्या स्थूलभुक्समीपस्थोऽपि निषिध्यते । अहं न चेति न समष्टिश्च । नेतर इति न व्यष्टिश्च । व्यवहारयोग्यो विकल्पः कोऽपि नास्तीत्यर्थः ।

> 'ततः स्तिमितगम्भीरं न तेजो न तमस्ततम् । अनाख्यमनभिव्यक्तं सिकंचिदवशिष्यते ॥ १००॥'

एवंविधया विदेहमुक्त्या सादृश्योक्तेर्जीवन्मुक्ताविप यावद्याविन्निर्विकल्पाति-शयस्तावत्तावदुत्तमत्वं द्रष्टव्यम् ॥

भगवद्गीतासु द्वितीयाध्याये स्थितप्रज्ञः पठ्यते —

अर्जुन उवाच— ' स्थितप्रज्ञस्य का भाषा समाधिस्थस्य केशव । स्थितधीः किं प्रभाषेत किमासीत त्रजेत किम् ॥५४॥ '

प्रज्ञा तत्त्वज्ञानम् । तद् द्विविधं स्थितमस्थितं चेति । यथा जारेऽनुरक्ताया नार्याः सर्वेष्विप व्यवहारेषु बुद्धिर्जारमेव ध्यायति, प्रमाणप्रमितानि क्रियमाणान्यिप गृहकर्माणि सद्य एव विस्मर्थन्ते, तथा परवैराग्योपेतस्य योगाभ्यासपाटवेनात्यन्तवशीकृतचित्तस्योत्पन्ने तत्त्वज्ञाने बुद्धिर्जारमिव नैरन्त- वेण तत्त्वं ध्यायति ; तदिदं स्थितं प्रज्ञानम् । उक्तगुणरहितस्य केनापि

पुण्यविशेषेण कदाचिदुत्पन्नेऽपि तत्त्वज्ञाने गृहकर्मवत्तत्रैव तत्त्वं विस्मर्थते ; तदिदमस्थितं प्रज्ञानम् । एतदेवाभिषेत्य वसिष्ठ आह—

> 'परव्यसनिनी नारी व्यम्राऽपि गृहकर्मणि । तदेवास्वादयत्यन्तः परसङ्गरसायनम् ॥ (२७. ५८.) एवं तत्त्वे परे द्युद्धे धीरो विश्रान्तिमागतः । तदेवास्वादयत्यन्तर्बहिर्व्यवहरत्नपि ॥ ' (२७. ५९.) इति ।

तत्र स्थितप्रज्ञः कालभेदाद् द्विविधः, समाहितो व्युत्थितश्च । तयोरुभयोर्लक्षणं पूर्वोत्तराभ्यामधीभ्यां पृच्छति — समाधिस्थस्य स्थितप्रज्ञस्य का भाषा ? की हशैर्लक्षणवाचकैः शब्दैः सर्वेरयं भाष्यते ? व्युत्थितः स्थितप्रज्ञः की हशं वाग्व्यवहारं करोति ? तस्योपवेशनगमने मन्देभ्यो विलक्षणे की हशे ?

#### श्रीभगवानुवाच---

'प्रजहाति यदा कामान्सर्वान्पार्थ मनोगतान् । आत्मन्येवात्मना तुष्टः स्थितप्रज्ञस्तदोच्यते ॥ ५५ ॥ '

कामास्त्रिविधाः, बाह्या आन्तरा वासनामात्ररूपाश्चेति । उपार्जितमोदकादयो बाह्याः, आशामोदकादय आन्तराः, पथिपतिततृणादिवदापाततः प्रतीता वासनारूपाश्च । समाहितोऽशेषधीवृत्तिसंक्षयात्सर्वान्परित्यजति । अस्ति चास्य मुखप्रसादिलङ्गगम्यः संतोषः । स च न कामेषु किं त्वात्मन्येव, कामानां त्यक्तत्वात् , बुद्धेः परमानन्दरूपेणात्मतत्त्वाभिमुखत्वाच । न चात्र संप्रज्ञातसमाधाविवात्मानन्दो मनोवृत्त्योिह्यस्थिते, किं तु स्वप्रकाशचिद्रूपेणात्मना । संतोषश्च न वृत्तिरूपः, किं तु तत्संस्काररूपः । एवंविधैर्लक्षणवाचकैः शब्दैः समाहितो भाष्यते ।

'दुःखेष्वनुद्धिमनाः सुखेषु विगतस्पृहः । वीतरागभयकोधः स्थितधीर्मनिरुच्यते ॥ ५६ ॥ '

दुःखं रागादिनिमित्तजन्या रजोगुणविकाररूपा संतापात्मिका प्रतिकूला चित्तवृत्तिः । तादृशे दुःखे प्राप्ते सित 'अहं पापः, धिङ् मां दुरात्मानम् ' इत्यनुतापात्मिका तमोगुणविकारत्वेन भ्रान्तिरूपा चित्तवृत्तिरुद्गेगः । यद्यप्ययं विवेक इवाभाति तथाऽपि पूर्वस्मिञ्जन्मिन चेत्तत्पापप्रवृत्तिप्रति- बन्धकत्वात्सप्रयोजनो भवति, इदानीं तु निष्प्रयोजन इति भ्रान्तित्वं द्रष्टव्यम् । सुखं राज्यपुत्रलाभादिनिमित्तजन्या सात्त्विकी प्रीतिरूपाऽनुकूला चित्तवृत्तिः । तस्मिनसुखे सत्यागामिनस्तादृशस्य सुखस्य कारणं पुण्यमननुष्ठाय वृथेव तद्पेक्षा तामसी चित्तवृत्तिः स्पृहा । तत्र च सुखदुः- खयोः प्रारब्धकर्मप्रापितत्त्वाद्वचुत्थितचित्तस्य वृत्तिसंभवाच तदुभयमुपपद्यते । उद्वेगस्पृहे तु न विवेकिनः संभवतः । तथा रागभयकोधाश्च तामसत्त्वेन कर्मणा प्रापितत्वाभावान्नास्य विद्यन्ते । एवंलक्षणलक्षितः स्थितधीः स्वानुभवप्रकटनेन शिष्यशिक्षार्थमनुद्वेगनिःस्पृहत्वादिगमकं वचो भाषत इत्यर्थः ।

'यः सर्वत्रानभिस्नेहस्तत्तत्प्राप्य ग्रुभाग्रुभम् । नाभिनन्दति न द्वेष्टि तस्य प्रज्ञा प्रतिष्ठिता ॥ ५७ ॥ '

यस्मिन्सत्यन्यदीये हानिवृद्धी स्वस्मिन्नारोप्येते तादशोऽन्यविषयस्तामस-वृत्तिविशेषः स्नेहः। सुखहेतुः स्वकलत्रादिः शुभो विषयः। तद्गुण-कथनादिप्रवर्तिका धीवृत्तिरभिनन्दः। अत्र गुणकथनस्य परप्ररोचनार्थत्वा-भावेन व्यर्थत्वात्तद्वेतुरभिनन्दस्तामसः। अस्योत्पादनेन दुःखहेतुः परकी-यविद्यादिरेनं प्रत्यशुभो विषयः। तन्निन्दाप्रवर्तिका धीवृत्तिर्द्वेषः। सोऽपि तामसः, तन्निन्दाया निवारणार्थत्वाभावेन व्यर्थत्वात् । त एते तामसा धर्माः कथं विवेकिनि संभवेयुः ?

'यदा संहरते चायं कूर्मोऽङ्गानीव सर्वशः। इन्द्रियाणीन्द्रियार्थेभ्यस्तस्य प्रज्ञा प्रतिष्ठिता॥ ५८॥ '

व्युत्थितस्य समस्ततामसवृत्त्यभावः पूर्वश्लोकाभ्यामभिहितः। समाहितस्य तु वृत्तय एव न सन्ति, कुतस्तामसत्वशङ्केत्यभिप्रायः।

> ' विषया विनिवर्तन्ते निराहारस्य देहिनः । रसवर्जे रसोऽप्यस्य परं दृष्ट्वा निवर्तते ॥ ५९ ॥ '

प्रारब्धं कर्म सुखदुःखहेतून्कांश्चिद्विषयांश्चन्द्रोदयान्धकारादिरूपान्स्वयमेव संपादयति, अन्यांस्तु गृहक्षेत्रादीन्पुरुषोद्योगद्वारेण । तत्र चन्द्रोदयादयः पूर्णेनेनिद्दयादिसंहारलक्षणेन समाधिनैव निवर्तन्ते, नान्यथा। गृहादयस्तु समाधिनम्तरेणापि निवर्तन्ते । आहरणमाहार उद्योगः । निरुद्योगस्य गृहादिविषया निवर्तन्ते ; रसस्तु न निवर्तते । रसो मानसी तृष्णा । साऽपि परमानन्दरूपस्य परस्य ब्रह्मणो दर्शने सति स्वल्पानन्दहेतुभ्यो निवर्तते, 'किं प्रजया करिष्यामो येषां नोऽयमात्माऽयं लोकः ' (बृ० ४. ४. २२.) इति श्रुतेः ।

'यततो ह्यपि कौन्तेय पुरुषस्य विपश्चितः । इन्द्रियाणि प्रमाथीनि हरन्ति प्रसमं मनः ॥ ६०॥ तानि सर्वाणि संयम्य युक्त आसीत मत्परः । वशे हि यस्येन्द्रियाणि तस्य प्रज्ञा प्रतिष्ठिता ॥ ६१॥ '

उद्योगत्यागब्रह्मदर्शनप्रयत्नं कुर्वतोऽपि कादाचित्कप्रमादपरिहाराय समाध्य-भ्यासः । तदेतित्कमासीतेतिप्रश्लोत्तरम् । 'ध्यायतो विषयान्पुंसः सङ्गस्तेषूपजायते । सङ्गात्संजायते कामः कामात्क्रोधोऽभिजायते ॥ ६२ ॥ कोधाद्भवति संमोहः संमोहात्स्मृतिविश्रमः । स्मृतिश्रंशाद्भुद्धिनाशो बुद्धिनाशात्प्रणस्यति ॥ ६३ ॥ '

असित समाध्यभ्यासे प्रमादप्रकार उपन्यस्तः । सङ्गो ध्येयविषयसंनिधिः । संमोहो विवेकपराङ्मुखत्वम् । स्मृतिविश्रमस्तत्त्वानुसंधानाभावः । बुद्धिः नाशो विपरीतभावनोपचयदोषेण प्रतिबद्धस्य ज्ञानस्य मोक्षप्रदत्वसा-मर्थ्याभावः ।

> 'रागद्वेषवियुक्तेस्तु विषयानिन्दियैश्चरन् । आत्मवश्यैर्विधेयात्मा प्रसादमधिगच्छति ॥ ६४ ॥ '

विधेयात्मत्वं वशीकृतमनस्त्वम् । प्रसादो नैर्मल्यं बन्धराहित्यम् । समाध्य-भ्यासयुक्तस्तद्वासनाबलाद् व्युत्थानदशायामिन्द्रियैर्व्यवहरन्नपि प्रसादं सम्य-वप्रामोति । तदेतित्वं त्रजेतेतिप्रश्लोत्तरम् । उपरितनेनापि बहुना ग्रन्थेन स्थितप्रज्ञः प्रपश्चितः । ननु प्रज्ञायाः स्थित्युत्पित्तभ्यां प्रागपि साधनत्वेन रागद्वेषादिराहित्यमपेक्षितम् । बाढम् ; तथाऽप्यस्ति विशेषः, स च श्लेयोमार्गकारैर्दर्शितः—

> 'विद्यास्थितये प्राग्ये साधनभूताः प्रयत्ननिष्पाद्याः । लक्षणभूतास्तु पुनः स्वभावतस्ते स्थिताः स्थितप्रज्ञे ॥ जीवन्मुक्तिरितीमां वदन्त्यवस्थां स्थितात्मसंबोधाम् । बाधितभेदप्रतिभामबाधितात्मावबोधसामर्थ्यात् ॥ ' इति ॥

भगवद्भक्तो द्वादशाध्याये भगवता वर्णित:---

'अद्वेष्टा सर्वभूतानां मैत्रः करुण एव च । निर्ममो निरहंकारः समदुःखसुखः क्षमी ॥ १३ ॥ संतुष्टः सततं योगी यतात्मा दृढनिश्चयः । मध्यर्पितमनोबुद्धियों मद्भक्तः स मे प्रियः ॥ १४ ॥ '

ईश्वरार्पितमनस्त्वेन समाहितस्यान्यानुसंधानाभावात् , व्युत्थितस्याप्युदा-सीनानुसंधानेन हर्षविषादाभावाच सुखदुःखसाम्यम् । एवं वक्ष्यमाणेष्विप द्वंद्वेषु द्रष्टव्यम् ।

> 'यस्मान्नोद्विजते लोको लोकान्नोद्विजते च यः। हर्षामर्षभयोद्वेगैर्मुक्तो यः स च मे प्रियः॥ १५॥ अनपेक्षः ग्रुचिर्दक्ष उदासीनो गतन्यथः। सर्वारम्भपित्यागी यो मद्भक्तः स मे प्रियः॥ १६॥ यो न हृष्यति न द्वेष्टि न शोचित न काङ्क्षति। ग्रुभाग्रुभपित्यागी भक्तिमान्यः स मे प्रियः॥ १०॥ समः शत्रो च मित्रे च तथा मानापमानयोः। श्रीतोष्णसुखदुःखेषु समः सङ्गविवर्जितः॥ १८॥ तुल्यनिन्दास्तुतिमौनी संतुष्टो येन केनचित्। अनिकेतः स्थिरमितर्भक्तिमान्मे प्रियो नरः॥ १९॥ १९॥ इति।

# अत्रापि पूर्ववद्विशेषो वार्त्तिककारैर्दर्शितः---

'उत्पन्नात्मप्रबोधस्य ह्यद्वेष्टृत्वादयो गुणाः । अयन्नतो भवन्त्यस्य न तु साधनरूपिणः ॥ ' (नै० ४. ६९.) इति ॥

## गुणातीतश्चतुर्दशाध्याये वर्णितः---

अर्जुन उवाच—' कैर्लिङ्गैस्त्रीन्गुणानेतानतीतो भवति प्रभो ।

किमाचारः कथं चैतांस्त्रीन्गुणानतिवर्तते ॥ २१ ॥ '

त्रयो गुणाः सत्त्वरजस्तमांसिः तेषां परिणामविशेषात्सर्वः संसारः प्रवर्तते ; अतो गुणातीतत्वमसंसारित्वमः जीवन्मुक्तत्वमिति यावत् । लिङ्गानि परेषामेतदीयगुणातीतत्वबोधकानि । आचार आचरणम् , तदीयमनः-संचारप्रकारः । कथमिति साधनप्रकारप्रश्नः ।

श्रीभगवानुवाच—'प्रकाशं च प्रवृत्तिं च मोहमेव च पाण्डव ।

न द्वेष्टि संप्रवृत्तानि न निवृत्तानि काङ्क्षिति ॥ २२ ॥

उदासीनवदासीनो गुणैर्यो न विचाल्यते ।

गुणा वर्तन्त इत्येव योऽवितष्ठिति नेङ्गते ॥ २३ ॥

समदुःखसुखः स्वस्थः समलोष्टाश्मकाञ्चनः ।

तुल्यिपयाप्रियो धीरस्तुल्यिनिन्दात्मसंस्तुतिः ॥ २४ ॥

मानापमानयोस्तुल्यस्तुल्यो मित्रारिपक्षयोः ।

सर्वारम्भपरित्यागी गुणातीतः स उच्यते ॥ २५ ॥

मां च योऽव्यिभचारेण भक्तियोगेन सेवते ।

स गुणान्समतीत्यैतान्ब्रह्मभूयाय कल्पते ॥ २६ ॥ '

ाकाशप्रवृत्तिमोहाः सत्त्वरजस्तमोगुणाः। ते च जाय्रत्स्वप्नयोः प्रवर्तन्ते ; रुषुप्तिसमाधिशून्यचित्तवृत्तित्वावस्थासु निवर्तन्ते। प्रवृत्तिश्च द्विविधा, रनुकूला प्रतिकूला चेति। तत्र मूढो जागरणे प्रतिकूलप्रवृत्तिं द्वेष्टि, रनुकूलप्रवृत्तिमाकाङ्क्षति। गुणातीतस्य त्वनुकूलप्रतिकूलाध्यासाभावाद्वेषा- काङ्क्षे न स्तः । यथा द्वयोः कल्हं कुर्वतोरवलोकियता कश्चित्तटस्थः स्वयं केवलमुदास्ते, न तु जयपराजयाभ्यामितस्ततश्चाल्यते, तथा गुणातीतो विवेकी स्वयमुदास्ते । 'गुणा गुणेषु वर्तन्ते, न त्वहम 'इति विवेका-दौदासीन्यम् । 'अहमेव करोमि ' इत्यध्यासो विचलनम् ; न चास्य तदस्ति । तदिदं किमाचार इत्यस्य प्रश्नस्योत्तरम् । समदुःखसुखादीनि लिङ्कानि, अव्यभिचारिभक्तिसहितज्ञानध्यानाभ्यासेन परमात्मसेवा चेति गुणात्ययसाधनप्रश्नस्योत्तरम् ॥

ब्राह्मणो व्यासादिभिर्विणतः---

' अनुत्तरीयवसनमनुपस्तीर्णशायिनम् । बाह्रपधायिनं शान्तं तं देवा ब्राह्मणं विदुः॥' (आनु ०२५१.)

ब्राह्मणशब्दो ब्रह्मविद्वाचीति 'अथ ब्राह्मणः' इति श्रुत्या वर्णितम् , ब्रह्मविदश्च विद्वत्संन्यासाधिकारात् । 'यथाजातरूपधरो नाच्छादनं चरति परमहंसः' इत्यादिश्रुत्या परिष्रहराहित्यस्य मुख्यत्वाभिधानादनुत्तरीय-त्वादिकं तस्य युक्तम् ।

> 'येन केनचिदाच्छन्नो येन केनचिदाशितः। यत्रकचनशायी स्यात्तं देवा ब्राह्मणं विदुः॥' (आनु०२५१.)

देहिनिर्वाहायाशनाच्छादनशयनस्थानापेक्षायामप्यशनादिगतौ गुणदोषौ ना-न्विष्येते, उदरपूरणपुष्टचादिरूपस्य निर्वाहस्य समत्वान्निष्प्रयोजनस्य गुणदोष-विचारस्य चित्तदोषत्वात् । अत एव भागवते पठ्यते—-

> ' किं वर्णितेन बहुना लक्षणं गुणदोषयोः । गुणदोषदृशिदोषो गुणस्तूभयवर्जितः ॥ ' इति ।

'कन्थाकोपीनवासास्तु दण्डघृग्ध्यानतत्परः । एकाकी रमते नित्यं तं देवा ब्राह्मणं विदुः ॥ '(आनु०२५१.)

ब्रह्मोपदेशादिना प्राण्यनुजिचृक्षायामुत्तमत्वज्ञापनेन श्रद्धामुत्पादियतुं दण्ड-कौपीनादिलिङ्गं धारयेत् , 'कौपीनं दण्डमाच्छादनं च स्वशरीरोपभोगार्थाय लोकोपकारार्थाय च परिम्रहेत् ' (पर०) इति श्रुतेः । अनुजिचृक्षयाऽपि स्वयं तदीयां गृहकृत्यादिवार्त्तो न कुर्यात् , किं तु ध्यानपरो भवेत् । 'तमेवैकं जानथ आत्मानमन्या वाचो विमुख्य (मु० २. २. ५.)' इति श्रुतेः,

> 'तमेव धीरो विज्ञाय प्रज्ञां कुर्वीत ब्राह्मणः । नानुध्यायाद्धह्रञ्शब्दान्वाचो विग्लापनं हि तत् ॥' (बृ० ४. ४. २१.) इति श्रुतेश्च ।

ब्रह्मोपदेशस्त्वन्या वाङ् न भवतीति न ध्यानविरोधी । तच्च ध्यानमेका-कित्वे निर्विन्नं भवति । अत एव स्मृत्यन्तरेऽभिहितम्---

> 'एको भिक्षुर्यथोक्तः स्याद्वावेव मिथुनं स्मृतम् । त्रयो ग्रामः समाख्यात ऊर्ध्वं तु नगरायते ॥ नगरं न हि कर्तव्यं ग्रामो वा मिथुनं तथा । राजादिवार्त्ता तेषां स्याद्भिक्षावार्ता परस्परम् ॥ ' इति । 'निराशिषमनारम्भं निर्नमस्कारमस्तुतिम् ।

अक्षीणं क्षीणकर्माणं तं देवा ब्राह्मणं विदुः ॥ ' (आनु० २५१.)

विशिष्टैः संसारिभिः प्रणमतां पुरुषाणामाशीर्वादः प्रयुज्यते । यस्य यदपेक्षितं तं तं प्रति तदभिवृद्धिप्रार्थनमाशीः । तथा च पुरुषाणां भिन्नरुचित्वात्तदभिमतान्वेषणे व्यम्रचित्तस्य लोकवासना वर्धते । सा च ज्ञानविरोधिनी । तथा च स्मृत्यन्तरम् —

' लोकवासनया जन्तोः शास्त्रवासनयाऽपि च । देहवासनया ज्ञानं यथावन्नैव जायते ॥ '

एतचारम्भनमस्कारादिष्विप द्रष्टव्यम् । आरम्भः स्वार्थे परोपकारार्थे वा गृहक्षेत्रादिसंपादनप्रयतः । तावेतावाशीर्वादारम्भौ मुक्तेन त्याज्यौ । न चाशीर्वादाभावे प्रणमतां नृणां खेदः शङ्कनीयः, लोकवासनाखेदयोरुभयोः परिहाराय निखिलाशीर्वादप्रतिनिधित्वेन नारायणशब्दप्रयोगात् । आरम्भस्तु सर्वोऽपि दुष्ट एव । तथा च स्मृतिः—

'सर्वारम्भा हि दोषेण धूमेनाझिरिवावृताः । ' (भ० १८. ४८.) इति ।

नमस्कारोऽपि विविदिषासंन्यासिनोऽभिहितः—

'यो भवेत्पूर्वसंन्यासी तुल्यो वै धर्मतो यदि । तस्मै प्रणामः कर्तव्यो नेतराय कदाचन ॥ ' इति ।

तत्र पूर्वत्वधर्मतुल्यत्वविचारे चित्तं विक्षिप्यते । अत एव नमस्कारमात्र एव बहवः कलहायमाना उपलभ्यन्ते । तत्र निमित्तं वार्त्तिककारैर्दिशितम्—

'प्रमादिनो बहिश्चित्ताः पिशुनाः कल्होत्सुकाः । संन्यासिनोऽपि दृश्यन्ते दैवसंदूषिताशयाः ॥ ' (१. १५८४.) इति ।

मुक्तस्य नमस्काराभावो भगवत्पादैर्दर्शितः—

'नामादिभ्यः परे भूम्नि स्वाराज्ये चेत्स्थितोऽद्वये । प्रणमेत्कं तदाऽऽत्मज्ञो न कार्यं कर्मणा तदा ॥ ' (उप० १७. ६४.) इति । चित्तकालुष्यहेतोर्नमस्कारस्य प्रतिषेधेऽपि सर्वसाम्यबुद्धचा प्रसादहेतुर्नम-स्कारोऽभ्युपेयते । तथा च स्मृतिः—

> 'ईश्वरो जीवकलया प्रविष्टो भगवानिति । प्रणमेद्दण्डवद्भूमावाश्वचण्डालगोखरम् ॥' (भाग०) इति ।

स्तुतिर्मनुष्यविषया प्रतिषिध्यते, न त्वीश्वरविषया। तथा च बृहस्पति-स्मृतिः—-

> 'आदरेण यथा स्तौति धनवन्तं धनेच्छया । तथा चेद्विश्वकर्तारं को न मुच्येत बन्धनात् ॥' इति ।

अक्षीणत्वमदीनत्वम् । अत एव स्मृतिः—

' अलब्ध्वा न विषादी स्यात्काले यद्यशनं कचित् । लब्ध्वा न हृष्येद्धृतिमानुभयं दैवतन्त्रितम् ॥ ' इति ।

क्षीणकर्मत्वं विधिनिषेधानधीनत्वम् , 'निस्त्रेगुण्ये पथि विचरतां को विधिः को निषेधः' (शुका०) इति स्मरणात् । एतदेवाभिप्रेत्य भगवताऽप्युक्तम्—

'त्रैगुण्यविषया वेदा निस्त्रैगुण्यो भवार्जुन । निर्द्वेद्वो नित्यसत्त्वस्थो निर्योगक्षेम आत्मवान् ॥' (२. ४५) इति ।

नारदः—' स्मर्तव्यः सततं विष्णुर्विस्मर्तव्यो न जातुचित् । सर्वे विधिनिषेधाः स्युरेतयोरेव किंकराः ॥ ' (वि० पु०) इति ।

> ' अहेरिव गणाद्भीतः संमानान्मरणादिव । कुणपादिव यः स्त्रीभ्यस्तं देवा ब्राह्मणं विदुः ॥ ' (आनु० २५१.)

'राजादिवार्ता तेषां स्यात् ' इत्युक्तत्वात्सर्पवद्गणाद्गीतिरुपपद्यते, संमानस्या-सक्तिकारणतया पुरुषार्थविरोधित्वान्मरणवद्धेयत्वम् । ' नरकादिव ' इति वा पाठः । अत एव स्मृतिः—

> ' असंमानात्तपोवृद्धिः संमानात्तु तपःक्षयः । अर्चितः पुजितो विप्रोऽदग्धा गौरिव सीदति ॥ '

एतदेवाभिषेत्यावमान उपादेयतया स्मर्थते —

'तथा चरेत वै योगी सतां धर्ममनुस्मरन् । जना यथाऽवमन्येरन्गच्छेयुनैंव संगतिम् ॥' इति ।

स्त्रीषु द्विविधो दोषः, प्रतिषिद्धत्वं जुगुप्सितत्वं चेति । तत्र प्रतिषिद्धत्वमात्रं कदाचिद्रागात्पारब्धबलादुल्लङ्घ्यते । तदेतदभिष्रेत्याह स्मृतिः—

'मात्रा स्वस्रा दुहित्रा वा नैकशय्यासनो भवेत् । बलवानिन्द्रियम्रामो विद्वांसमपि कर्षति ॥' (मनु०२. २१५.)

तथा च स्मृतिभिर्जुगुप्सा वर्णिता ---

'स्त्रीणामवाच्यदेशस्य क्किन्ननाडीत्रणस्य च । अभेदेऽपि मनोभेदाज्जनः प्रायेण वश्चचते ॥ चर्मखण्डं द्विधा भिन्नमपानोद्गारधूपितम् । यद्गमन्ते नरास्तत्र साहसं किमतः परम् ॥ '

'ये रमन्ते नरास्तत्र किमितुल्याः कथं न ते 'इति वा पाठः । अतः प्रतिषेधजुगुप्सयोरुभयोर्विवक्षया कुणपदृष्टान्तोऽत्राभिहितः । 'येन पूर्णमिवाकाशं भवत्येकेन सर्वदा । शून्यं यस्य जनाकीर्णं तं देवा ब्राह्मणं विदुः ॥ ' (आनु० २५१.)

संसारिणामेकािकत्वेनावस्थानं भयालस्यादिहेतुत्वाद्वर्ज्यम् । जनसमूहश्चा-तथाविधत्वादभ्यपेयः । योगिनस्तु तद्विपरीतत्वम् । एकािकत्वे सत्यविष्ठेन ध्यानानुवृत्तौ परिपूर्णेन परमानन्दात्मना सर्वमाकाशं पूर्णिमवावभासते । अतो भयालस्यशोकमोहादयो न भवन्ति,

> 'यस्मिन्सर्वाणि भूतानि आत्मैवाभ्द्रिजानतः । तत्र को मोहः कः शोक एकत्वमनुपश्यतः ॥ ' (ईश० ७.) इति श्रुतेः ।

जनाकीर्णे स्थानं राजवार्तादिना ध्यानिवरोधित्वादानन्दात्मप्रतीतिरिहतं तच्छून्यिमव चित्तं क्षेशयित, जगतो मिथ्यात्वादात्मनः पूर्णत्वाचेत्यर्थः ॥ अतिवर्णाश्रमी स्त्तसंहितायां मुक्तिखण्डे पञ्चमाध्याये विष्णुं प्रति परमेश्वरेण वर्णितः—

'ब्रह्मचारी गृहस्थश्च वानप्रस्थोऽथ भिक्षुकः । अतिवर्णाश्रमी तेऽपि क्रमाच्छेष्ठा विचक्षणाः ॥ ९ ॥ अतिवर्णाश्रमी प्रोक्तो गुरुः सर्वाधिकारिणाम् । न कस्यापि भवेच्छिष्यो यथाऽहं पुरुषोत्तम ॥ १४ ॥ अतिवर्णाश्रमी साक्षादुरूणां गुरुरुच्यते । तत्समो नाधिकश्चास्मिल्लोकेऽस्त्येव न संशयः ॥ १५ ॥ यः शरीरेन्द्रियादिभ्यो विभिन्नं सर्वसाक्षिणम् । पारमार्थिकविज्ञानं सुखात्मानं स्वयंप्रभम् ॥ १६ ॥

परं तत्त्वं विजानाति सोऽतिवर्णाश्रमी भवेत । यो वेदान्तमहावाक्यश्रवणेनैव केशव ॥ १७ ॥ आत्मानमीश्वरं वेद सोऽतिवर्णाश्रमी भवेत । यो वर्णाश्रमनिर्मुक्तमवस्थात्रयसाक्षिणम् ॥ १८॥ महादेवं विजानाति सोऽतिवर्णाश्रमी भवेत । वर्णाश्रमादयो देहे मायया परिकल्पिताः ॥ १९ ॥ नात्मनो बोधरूपस्य मम ते सन्ति सर्वदा । इति यो वेद वेदान्तैः सोऽतिवर्णाश्रमी भवेत् ॥ २०॥ आदित्यसंनिधौ लोकश्चेष्टते स्वयमेव तु । तथा मत्संनिधानेन समस्तं चेष्टते जगत् ॥ २१ ॥ इति यो वेद वेदान्तैः सोऽतिवर्णाश्रमी भवेत् । सुवर्णे हारकेयूरकटकस्वस्तिकादयः ॥ २२ ॥ कल्पिता मायया तद्वज्जगन्मय्येव सर्वदा । इति यो वेद वेदान्तैः सोऽतिवर्णाश्रमी भवेत् ॥ २३ ॥ शक्तिकायां यथा तारं कल्पितं मायया तथा । महदादि जगन्मायामयं मय्येव कल्पितम् ॥ २४ ॥ इति यो वेद वेदान्तैः सोऽतिवर्णाश्रमी भवेत् । चण्डालदेहे पश्चादिशरीरे ब्रह्मविम्रहे ॥ २५ ॥ अन्येषु तारतम्येन स्थितेषु पुरुषोत्तम । व्योमवत्सर्वदा व्याप्तः सर्वसंबन्धवर्जितः ॥ २६ ॥ एकरूपो महादेवः स्थितः सोऽहं परामृतः । इति यो वेद वेदान्तैः सोऽतिवर्णाश्रमी भवेत् ॥ २७ ॥

विनष्टदिग्भ्रमस्यापि यथापूर्वे विभाति दिक्। तथा विज्ञानविध्वस्तं जगन्मे भाति तन्न हि ॥ २८ ॥ इति यो वेद वेदान्तैः सोऽतिवर्णाश्रमी भवेत् । यथा स्वप्नप्रपञ्चोऽयं मयि मायाविजृम्भितः ॥ २९ ॥ तथा जात्रत्प्रपञ्चोऽपि मयि मायाविज्मितः । इति यो वेद वेदान्तैः सोऽतिवर्णाश्रमी भवेत् ॥ ३० ॥ यस्य वर्णाश्रमाचारो गलितः स्वात्मदर्शनात् । स वर्णानाश्रमान्सर्वानतीत्य स्वात्मनि स्थित: ॥ ३१ ॥ यस्त्यक्त्वा स्वाश्रमान्वर्णानात्मन्येव स्थितः पुमान् । सोऽतिवर्णाश्रमी प्रोक्तः सर्ववेदार्थवेदिभिः ॥ ३२ ॥ न देहो नेन्द्रियं प्राणो न मनो बुद्धचहंकृती। न चित्तं नैव माया च न च व्योमादिकं जगत् ॥ ३३ ॥ न कर्ता नैव भोक्ता च न च भोजयिता तथा। केवलं चित्सदानन्दो ब्रह्मैवात्मा यथार्थतः ॥ ३४ ॥ जलस्य चलनादेव चञ्चलत्वं यथा रवे: । तथाऽहंकारसंसर्गादेव संसार आत्मनः ॥ ३५ ॥ तस्मादन्यगता वर्णा आश्रमा अपि केशव । आत्मन्यारोपिता एव भ्रान्त्या ते नात्मवेदिन: ॥ ३६ ॥ न विधिन निषेधश्च न वर्ज्यावर्ज्यकल्पना । आत्मविज्ञानिनामस्ति तथा नान्यज्जनार्दन ॥ ३७ ॥ स्वात्मविज्ञानिनां निष्ठामीदृशीमम्बुजेक्षण । मायया मोहिता मर्त्या नैव जानन्ति सर्वदा ॥ ३८ ॥

न मांसचक्षुषा निष्ठा ब्रह्मविज्ञानिनामियम् । द्रष्टुं शक्या स्वतःसिद्धा विदुषां सैव केशव ॥ ३९ ॥ यत्र सुप्ता जना नित्यं प्रबुद्धस्तत्र संयमी । प्रबुद्धा यत्र ते विद्वान्सुषुप्तस्तत्र केशव ॥ ४० ॥ एवमात्मानमद्वंद्वं निराकारं निरञ्जनम् । नित्यशुद्धं निराभासं सच्चिन्मात्रं परामृतम् ॥ ४१ ॥ यो विजानाति वेदान्तैः स्वानुभूत्या च निश्चितम् । सोऽतिवर्णाश्रमी प्रोक्तः स एव गुरुरुत्तमः ॥ ४२ ॥ 'इति ।

तदेवम् 'विमुक्तश्च विमुच्यते' इत्यादिश्रुतयो जीवन्मुक्तस्थितप्रज्ञ-भगवद्भक्तगुणातीतब्राह्मणातिवर्णाश्रमिप्रतिपादकस्मृतिवाक्यानि च जीवन्मुक्ति-सद्भावे प्रमाणानीति स्थितम् । इति जीवन्मुक्तिप्रमाणानि ॥ ५ ॥

इति श्रीमद्विद्यारण्यप्रणीते जीवन्मुक्तिविवेके प्रथमं जीवन्मुक्ति-प्रमाणप्रकरणम् ॥ १ ॥

# द्वितीयं वासनाक्षयप्रकरणम् ।

अथ जीवन्मुक्तिसाधनं निरूपयामः । तत्त्वज्ञानमनोनाशवासनाक्ष-यास्तत्साधनम् । अत एव वासिष्ठरामायण उपशमप्रकरणस्यावसाने 'जीवन्मुक्तशरीराणाम् ' (ल० २८.१.) इत्येतस्मिन्प्रस्तावे वसिष्ठ आह—

- 'वासनाक्षयविज्ञानमनोनाशा महामते । समकालं चिराभ्यस्ता भवन्ति फलदायिनः ॥११६॥ ' इति । अन्वयमुक्त्वा व्यतिरेकमाह—
- 'त्रय एते समं यावन्न स्वभ्यस्ता मुहुर्मुहुः। तावन्न पदसंप्राप्तिर्भवत्यपि समाशतैः॥ ११५॥ 'इति। समकालाभ्यासाभावे बाधकमाह—
- 'एकैकशो निषेव्यन्ते यद्येते चिरयत्नतः ।
  तन्न सिद्धिं प्रयच्छन्ति मन्ताः संकल्ति इव ॥११०॥ 'इति ।
  यथा संध्यावन्दनमार्जने सहविनियुक्तानाम 'आपो हि ष्ठा ' इत्यादीनां
  तिस्रणामृचां मध्ये प्रतिदिनमेकैकस्या ऋचः पाठे शास्त्रीयानुष्ठानं न
  सिध्यति, यथा वा षडङ्गमन्त्राणामेकैकमन्त्रेण न सिद्धिः, यथा वा लोके
  शाकस्पौदनादीनामेकैकेन न भोजनसिद्धिः, तद्वत् । चिराभ्यासस्य
  प्रयोजनमाह—

- 'त्रिभिरेतैश्चिराभ्यस्तैर्ह्हदयग्रन्थयो दृढाः । निःशङ्कमेव त्रुट्यन्ति विसच्छेदाद्भुणा इव ॥ ११८ ॥ ' इति । तस्यैव व्यतिरेकमाह—
- 'जन्मान्तरशताभ्यस्ता राम संसारसंस्थितिः । सा चिराभ्यासयोगेन विना न क्षीयते कचित् ॥११९॥ ' इति । न केवलमेकैकाभ्यासे फलाभावः, किं तु तत्स्वरूपमि न सिध्यतीत्याह—
- 'तत्त्वज्ञानं मनोनाशो वासनाक्षय एव च ।
  मिथः कारणतां गत्वा दुःसाधानि स्थितानि हि ॥११३॥ 'इति ।
  त्रयाणामेतेषां मध्ये द्वयोर्द्वयोर्मेलने त्रीणि द्वंद्वानि भवन्ति । तत्र
  मनोनाशवासनाक्षयद्वंद्वस्यान्योन्यकारणत्वं व्यतिरेकमुखेणाह—
  - 'यावद्विलीनं न मनो न तावद्वासनाक्षयः । न क्षीणा वासना यावत्तावच्चित्तं न शाम्यति ॥ ११० ॥ '

प्रदीपज्वालासंतानवद्वृत्तिसंतानरूपेण परिणममानमन्तःकरणद्रव्यं मननात्म-कत्वान्मन इत्युच्यते । तस्य नाशो नाम वृत्तिरूपं परिणामं परित्यज्य निरुद्धत्वाकारेण परिणामः । तथा च पतञ्जलियोंगशास्त्रे सूत्रयामास— 'व्युत्थाननिरोधसंस्कारयोरिभभवपादुर्भावौ निरोधक्षणिचत्तान्वयो निरोध-परिणामः ' (३. ९.) इति । व्युत्थानसंस्कारा अभिभूयन्ते ; निरोध-संस्काराः प्रादुर्भवन्ति ; निरोधयुक्तः क्षणिश्चत्तेनान्वीयते ; सोऽयं मनोनाश इत्यवगन्तव्यम् । पूर्वापरपरामर्शमन्तरेण सहसोत्पद्यमानस्य कोधादिवृत्तिविशेषस्य हेतुश्चित्तगतः संस्कारो वासना, पूर्वपूर्वाभ्यासेन चित्ते वास्यमानत्वात् । तस्याश्च वासनायाः क्षयो नाम विवेकजन्यायां

शान्तिदान्त्यादिशुद्धवासनायां दृढायां सत्यपि बाह्यनिमित्ते क्रोधाद्यनुत्पत्तिः। तत्र मनोनाशाभावे वृत्तिषूत्पद्यमानासु कदाचिद्वाह्यनिमित्तेन क्रोधाद्युत्पत्ते-र्नास्ति वासनाक्षयः। अक्षीणायां तु वासनायां तथैव वृत्त्युत्पादनान्नास्ति मनोनाशः। तत्त्वज्ञानमनोनाशयोः परस्परकारणत्वं व्यतिरेकमुखेणाह—

> 'यावन्न तत्त्वविज्ञानं तावचित्तशमः कुतः । यावन्न चित्तोपशमो न तावत्तत्त्ववेदनम् ॥ १११ ॥ ' इति ।

'इदं सर्वमात्मैव, प्रतीयमानं तु रूपरसादिकं जगन्मायामयम्, न त्वेतद्वस्तुतोऽस्ति ' इति निश्चयस्तत्त्वज्ञानम् । तस्यानुत्पत्तौ रूपरसादि-विषयाणां सद्भावे सति तद्भोचराश्चित्तवृत्तयो न निवारियतुं शक्यन्ते, यथा प्रक्षिप्यमाणेष्विन्धनादिषु विह्नज्वाला न निवार्यते तद्वत्। असति चित्तोपशमे वृत्तिभिर्गृह्यमाणेषु रूपादिषु 'नेह नानाऽस्ति किंचन ' (कठ० ४. ११.) इति श्रुतेः 'यजमानः प्रस्तरः ' इत्यादेखि प्रत्यक्षविरोधशङ्कया 'ब्रह्माद्वि-तीयम् ' इत्येतादशस्तत्त्वनिश्चयो नोदियात । वासनाक्षयतत्त्वज्ञानयोः परस्परकारणत्वं व्यतिरेकमुखेणाह—

'यावन्न वासनानाशस्तावत्तत्त्वागमः कुतः । यावन्न तत्त्वसंप्राप्तिर्न तावद्वासनाक्षयः ॥ ११२ ॥ ' इति ।

कोधादिवासनास्वनष्टासु शमदमादिसाधनाभावात्र तत्त्वज्ञानमुदेति । अज्ञाते चाद्वितीयब्रह्मतत्त्वे कोधादिनिमित्तस्य सत्यत्वश्रमानपायात्र वासना क्षीयते । यथोक्तानां त्रयाणां द्वंद्वानामन्योन्यकारणत्वमन्वयमुखेन वयमुदाहरामः । मनिस नष्टे सित संस्कारोद्घोधकस्य बाह्यनिमित्तस्याप्रतीतो वासना क्षीयते ; क्षीणायां च वासनायां हेत्वभावेन कोधादिवृत्त्यनुदयान्मनो नश्यति । तदिदं मनोनाशवासनाक्षयद्वंद्वम् । 'दृश्यते त्वम्यया बुद्ध्या ' इति श्रुतेरात्मेक्याभिमुखवृत्तेर्दर्शनहेतुत्वादितरकृत्स्ववृत्तिनाशस्य तत्त्वज्ञानहेतुत्वमव-गम्यते । सति च तत्त्वज्ञाने, मिथ्याभूते जगति नरविषाणादाविव धीवृत्त्यनुदयादात्मनश्च दृष्टत्वेन पुनर्वृत्त्यनुपयोगान्निरिन्धनामिवन्मनो नश्यति । तदिदं मनोनाशतत्त्वज्ञानयोद्वेद्वम् । तत्त्वज्ञानस्य क्रोधादिवासना-क्षयहेतुतां वार्त्तिककार आह—

> 'रिपो बन्धो स्वदेहे च समैकात्म्यं प्रपश्यतः । विवेकिनः कुतः कोपः स्वदेहावयवेष्विव ॥ ' (नै० २. १८.) इति ।

क्रोधादिवासनाक्षयरूपस्य शमादेर्ज्ञानहेतुत्वं प्रसिद्धम् । वसिष्ठोऽपि-—

'गुणाः शमादयो ज्ञानाच्छमादिभ्यस्तथा ज्ञता । परस्परं विवर्धेते द्वे पद्मसरसी इव ॥ ' (४. १०७.) इति । तदिदं वासनाक्षयतत्त्वज्ञानयोर्द्वेद्वम् । तत्त्वज्ञानादीनां त्रयाणां संपादने साधनमाह—

> 'तस्माद्राघव यत्नेन पौरुषेण विवेकिना । भोगेच्छां दूरतस्त्यक्त्वा त्रयमेतत्समाश्रयेत् ॥ ११४ ॥ ' इति ।

पौरुषो यत्नः केनाप्युपायेनावश्यं संपादियिष्यामीत्येवंविधोत्साहरूपो निर्वन्धः । विवेको नाम विभज्यनिश्चयः—तत्त्वज्ञानस्य श्रवणादिकं साधनम् , मनोनाशस्य योगः, वासनाक्षयस्य प्रतिकूलवासनोत्पादनमिति । भोगेच्छायाः स्वल्पाया अप्यभ्युपगमे 'हविषा कृष्णवत्भेव भूय एवाभिवर्धते ' (मनु० २. ९४.) इति न्यायेनातिप्रसङ्गस्य दुर्वारत्वाद् दूरत इत्युक्तम् । ननु पूर्वत्र विविदिषासंन्यासस्य तत्त्वज्ञानं फलम् , विद्वत्संन्यासस्य जीवन्मुक्तिरिति

व्यवस्था वर्णिता; तथा च सित प्रथमतस्तत्त्वज्ञानं संपाद्य पश्चाद्विद्वरसं-न्यासं कृत्वा जीवतः स्वस्य बन्धरूपयोर्वासनामनोवृत्त्योर्विनाशः संपादनीय इति प्रतिभाति; अत्र तु तत्त्वज्ञानादीनां सहैवाभ्यासो नियम्यते; अतः पूर्वोत्तरिवरोध इति चेत्। नायं दोषः, प्रधानोपसर्जनभावेन व्यवस्थोपपत्तेः। विविदिषासंन्यासिनस्तत्त्वज्ञानं प्रधानम्, मनोनाशवासनाक्षयावुपसर्जनी-भृतौ; विद्वरसंन्यासिनस्तु तद्वैपरीत्यम्; अतः सहाभ्यास उभयत्राप्यविरुद्धः। न च तत्त्वज्ञानोत्पत्तिमात्रेण कृतार्थस्य किमुत्तरकालीनेनाभ्यासप्रयासेनेति शङ्कनीयम्, जीवन्मुक्तिप्रयोजनिक्षपणेन परिहरिष्यमाणत्वात्। ननु विद्वरसंन्यासिनो वेदनसाधनश्रवणाद्यनुष्ठानवेष्ठत्याद्वेदनस्य च स्वरूपेण कर्तुमकर्तुमन्यथा वा कर्तुमशक्यस्याननुष्ठेयत्वादुपसर्जनत्वेऽप्युत्तरकालीनो-ऽभ्यासः कीदृश इति चेत्, केनापि द्वारेण पुनः पुनस्तत्त्वानुस्मरणमिति श्रृमः। तादृश्चाभ्यासो लीलोपाख्याने (ल० ६.) दिशेतः—

> 'तिचिन्तनं तत्कथनमन्योन्यं तत्प्रबोधनम् । एतदेकपरत्वं च ज्ञानाभ्यासं विदुर्बुधाः ॥ १०८ ॥ सर्गादावेव नोत्पन्नं दृश्यं नास्त्येव तत्सदा । इदं जगदहं चेति बोधाभ्यासं विदुः परे ॥ १११ ॥ '

मनोनाशवासनाक्षयाभ्यासावपि तत्रैव दर्शितौ--

' अत्यन्ताभावसंपत्तौ ज्ञातुर्ज्ञेयस्य वस्तुनः । युक्त्या शास्त्रैर्यतन्ते ये ते तत्राभ्यासिनः स्थिताः ॥ ११०॥ ' इति ।

ज्ञातृज्ञेययोर्मिथ्यात्वधीरभावसंपत्तिः । स्वरूपेणाप्यप्रतीतिरत्यन्ताभावसंपत्तिः । युक्तिर्योगः । सोऽयं मनोनाशाभ्यासः ।

' दृश्यासंभवशोधेन रागद्वेषादितानवे । रतिर्नवोदिता याऽसौ ब्रह्माभ्यासः स उच्यते ॥ ११२ ॥ ' इति ।

सोऽयं वासनाक्षयाभ्यासः । तेष्वेतेषु त्रिष्वभ्यासेषु सामान्येन प्रतीयमानेषु प्रधानोपसर्जनभावो न विवेक्तुं शक्यत इति चेत् । मैवम् , प्रयोजनानु-सारेण विवेक्तुं शक्यत्वात् । मुमुक्षोः पुरुषस्य जीवन्मुक्तिर्विदेहमुक्तिश्चेति प्रयोजनद्वयम् । अत एव 'विमुक्तश्च विमुच्यते ' (कठ० २.१.१.) इति श्रूयते । तत्र जीवतः पुरुषस्य दैवसंपदा मोक्षः, आसुरसंपदा बन्धः । एतच्च षोडशाध्याये भगवताऽभिहितम्—

- 'दैवी संपद्धिमोक्षाय निबन्धायासुरी मता ॥ ५ ॥ ' इति । ते च संपदौ तत्रैवाभिहिते-—
  - 'अभयं सत्त्वसंग्रुद्धिर्ज्ञानयोगव्यवस्थितिः । दानं दमश्च यज्ञश्च स्वाध्यायस्तप आर्जवम् ॥ १ ॥ अहिंसा सत्यमकोधस्त्यागः शान्तिरपेशुनम् । दया भूतेष्वलोलुप्त्वं मार्दवं हीरचापलम् ॥ २ ॥ तेजः क्षमा धृतिः शौचमद्रोहो नातिमानिता । भवन्ति संपदं दैवीमभिजातस्य भारत ॥ ३ ॥ दम्भो दपींऽभिमानश्च क्रोधः पारुष्यमेव च । अज्ञानं चाभिजातस्य पार्थ संपदमासुरीम् ॥ ४ ॥' इति ।

पुनरप्याऽध्यायपरिसमाप्तेरासुरसंपत्प्रपश्चिता । तत्राशास्त्रीयायाः स्वभाव-सिद्धाया आसुरसंपदो दुर्वासनायाः शास्त्रीयया पुरुषप्रयत्नसाध्यया दैवसंपदा सद्वासनया क्षये सति जीवन्मुक्तिर्भवति । वासनाक्षयवन्मनोनाशस्यापि जीवन्मुक्तिहेतुत्वं श्रूयते ---

'मन एव मनुष्याणां कारणं बन्धमोक्षयोः । बन्धाय विषयासक्तं मुक्त्ये निर्विषयं स्मृतम् ॥ २ ॥ यतो निर्विषयस्यास्य मनसो मुक्तिरिष्यते । अतो निर्विषयं नित्यं मनः कार्यं मुमुक्षुणा ॥ ३ ॥ निरस्तविषयासङ्गं संनिरुद्धं मनो हृदि । यदा यात्युन्मनीभावं तदा तत्परमं पदम् ॥ ४ ॥ तावदेव निरोद्धव्यं यावद् हृदि गतं क्षयम् । एतज्ज्ञानं च मोक्षं च शेषो प्रन्थस्य विस्तरः ॥ १५ ॥ ' इति ।

बन्धो द्विविधः, तीत्रो मृदुश्च । तत्राऽऽसुरसंपत्साक्षादेव क्वेशहेतुत्वात्तीत्रो बन्धः । द्वैतमात्रप्रतीतिस्तु स्वयमक्वेशरूपत्वादासुरसंपदुत्पादकत्वाच्च मृदु-र्बन्धः । तत्र वासनाक्षयेण तीत्रबन्ध एव निवर्तते, मनोनाशेन तूभयम् । तिह मनोनाशेनैवालम् , वासनाक्षयस्तु निरर्थक इति चेन्नः भोगहेतुना प्रबलेन प्रारब्धेन व्युत्थापिते मनिस वासनाक्षयस्य तीत्रबन्धनिवारणार्थत्वात् , भोगस्य मृदुबन्धेनाप्युपपत्तेः । तामसवृत्तयस्तीत्रबन्धः । सात्त्विकराजस-वृत्तिद्वयं मृदुबन्धः । एतच्च—

'दुःखेप्वनुद्विममनाः सुखेषु विगतस्पृहः ।' (भ० २. ५६.) इत्यत्र स्पष्टीकृतम् । एवं च सित मृदुबन्धस्याभ्युपेयत्वात्तीत्रबन्धस्य वासनाक्षयेणैव निवृत्तेरनर्थको मनोनाश इति चेन्न, दुर्बलपारब्धापादितानाम-वश्यंभाविभोगानां प्रतीकारार्थत्वात् । तादृग्भोगस्य प्रतीकारनिवर्त्यत्वमभि-प्रेत्येदमाहुः—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> अमृतबिन्द्पनिषदि । । 5

' अवश्यंभाविभोगानां प्रतीकारो भवेद्यदि । तदा दुःखैर्न लिप्येरन्नलरामयुधिष्ठिराः ॥ '

(पञ्च० ७. १५६.) इति ।

तदेवं जीवन्मुक्तिं प्रति वासनाक्षयमनोनाशयोः साक्षात्साधनत्वात्प्राधान्यम् ; तत्त्वज्ञानं तूभयोत्पादनेन व्यवहितत्वादुपसर्जनम् । तत्त्वज्ञानस्य वासनाक्षय-हेतुत्वं बहुशः श्रुतौ श्रूयते—

'ज्ञात्वा देवं सर्वपाशापहानिः ' (श्वे० १. १. ११.) 'अध्या-त्मयोगाधिगमेन देवं मत्वा धीरो हर्षशोकौ जहाति ' (कठ० १. १. १२.) 'तरित शोकमात्मवित् ' (छा० ७. १. ३.) 'तत्र को मोहः कः शोक एकत्वमनुपश्यतः ' (ईश० ७.) 'ज्ञात्वा देवं मुच्यते सर्वपाशैः ' (श्वे० १. १. ८.) इति । मनोनाशहेतुत्वं च तत्त्वज्ञानस्य श्रुतिसिद्धम् । विद्यादशामिभेत्येदं श्रूयते—'यत्र त्वस्य सर्वमात्मैवाभूत्तत्केन कं पञ्येत्केन कं जिन्नेत् ' (बृ० २. ४. १४.) इत्यादि ।

## गौडपादाचार्याश्चाहुः—

' आत्मतत्त्वानुबोधेन न संकल्पयते यदा । अमनस्तां तदा याति याह्याभावे तदग्रहः ॥ ' (३.३२.) इति । जीवन्मुक्तेर्वासनाक्षयमनोनाशाविव विदेहमुक्तेः साक्षात्साधनत्वाज्ज्ञानं प्रधानम्,

' ज्ञानादेव तु कैवल्यं प्राप्यते येन मुच्यते ' इति स्मृतेः । केवलस्यात्मनो भावः कैवल्यं देहादिरहितत्वम् । तच्च ज्ञानादेव प्राप्यते, सदेहत्वस्याज्ञानकल्पितत्वेन ज्ञानैकनिवर्त्यत्वात् । ज्ञानादेवेत्येवकारेण कर्म-व्यावृत्तिः, 'न कर्मणा न प्रजया धनेन ' (तै० ४. १२. ३.) इति श्रुतेः । यस्तु ज्ञानशास्त्रमनभ्यस्य यथासंभवं वासनाक्षयमनोनाशावभ्यस्य सगुणं ब्रह्मो-पास्ते न तस्य कैवल्यमस्ति, लिङ्गदेहस्यानपायात् । अत एवकारेण ताविप व्यावत्येते । येन मुच्यत इत्यस्यायमर्थः— येन ज्ञानप्रापित-केवलत्वेन कृत्स्वबन्धाद्विमुच्यत इति । बन्धश्चानेकविधः—अविद्याप्रन्थिः, अब्रह्मत्वम् , हृदयप्रन्थिः, संशयः, कर्माणि, असर्वकामत्वम् , मृत्युः, पुनर्जन्मेत्यादिशब्दैस्तत्र तत्र व्यवहारात् । त एते बन्धाः सर्वेऽिप ज्ञाननिवर्त्याः । तथा च श्रुतयः—'एतद्यो वेद निहितं गुहायां सोऽविद्याप्रन्थि विकिरतीह सोम्य' (मुण्ड० २. २. १०.) । 'ब्रह्म वेद ब्रह्मैव भवति' (मुण्ड० ३. २. ९.)

> 'भिद्यते हृदयग्रन्थिश्चिद्यन्ते सर्वसंशयाः । क्षीयन्ते चास्य कर्माणि तस्मिन्दृष्टे परावरे ॥ '

> > (मुण्ड० २. २. ८.)

'यो वेद निहितं गुहायां परमे व्योमन्, सोऽइनुते सर्वान्कामान्सह' (तै० २. २. १.) तमेव विदित्वाऽति मृत्युमेति' (श्वे० ३. ८.)

> 'यस्तु विज्ञानवान्भवति समनस्कः सदा शुचिः। स तु तत्पदमामोति यस्माद्भूयो न जायते॥'

> > (कठ० १. ३. ८.)

'य एवं वेदाहं ब्रह्मास्मीति स इदं सर्व भवति' (बृ० १. ४. १०.) इत्यादीन्यसर्वज्ञत्वादिबन्धनिवृत्तिपराणि वाक्यान्यत्रोदाहरणीयानि । सेयं विदेहमुक्तिर्ज्ञानोत्पत्तिसमकालीना ज्ञेया, ब्रह्मण्यविद्यारोपितानामेतेषां बन्धानां विद्यया विनाशे सति पुनरुत्पत्त्यसंभवादननुभवाच । तदेतद्विद्यासमकालीनत्वं भाष्यकारः समन्वयस्त्रे (ब्र० १. १. ४.) प्रपञ्चयामास, 'तद्धिगम उत्तर- पूर्वीघयोरश्लेषविनाशो तद्वचपदेशात् ' (४. १. १३.) इत्यत्र च । ननु वर्तमानदेहपातानन्तरभाविनी विदेहमुक्तिरिति बहवो वर्णयन्ति । तथा च श्रुति:— ' तस्य तावदेव चिरं यावन्न विमोक्ष्येऽथ संपत्स्य ' (छा० ६. १४. २.) इति ।

#### वाक्यवृत्तावप्युक्तम्---

'प्रारब्धकर्मवेगेण जीवन्मुक्तो यदा भवेत् । कंचित्कालमथारब्धकर्मबन्धस्य संक्षये ॥ ५२ ॥ निरस्तातिशयानन्दं वैष्णवं परमं पदम् । पुनरावृत्तिरहितं कैवल्यं प्रतिपद्यते ॥ ५३ ॥ ' इति ।

सूत्रकारोऽप्याह—'भोगेन त्वितरे क्षपियत्वा संपद्यते' (४.१.१९.) इति । इतरे प्रारब्धपुण्यपापे ।

### वसिष्ठोऽप्याह---

' जीवन्मुक्तपदं त्यक्त्वा स्वदेहे कालसात्कृते । विशत्यदेहमुक्तत्वं पवनोऽस्पन्दतामिव ॥ ' (ल० ५.९८.) इति ।

नायं दोषः, विवक्षाविशेषेण मतद्वयस्याविरोधात् । विदेहमुक्तिरित्यत्रत्येन देहशब्देन कृत्स्तं देहजातं विविक्षित्वा बहुभिर्विणितम् । अस्माभिस्तु भाविदेहमात्रविवक्षयोच्यते, तदनारम्भायैव ज्ञानसंपादनात् । अयं तु देहः पूर्वमेवारब्धः, अतो ज्ञानेनापि नास्यारम्भो वारियतुं शक्यते । एतद्देहनिः वृत्तिरपि न ज्ञानफलम्, अज्ञानिनामप्यारब्धकर्मक्षये तिन्नवृत्तेः । तिर्हि वर्तमानलिङ्गदेहिनिवृत्तिर्ज्ञानफलमस्तु, ज्ञानमन्तरेण तदनिवृत्तेरिति चेन्न;

सत्यपि ज्ञाने जीवन्मुक्तेस्तन्निवृत्त्यभावात् । ननु ज्ञानस्य कंचित्कालं प्रारब्धेन कर्मणा प्रतिबन्धेनानिवर्तकत्वेऽपि प्रतिबन्धक्षये लिङ्गदेहनिवर्तकत्वं भविष्यतीति चेन्न, पञ्चपादिकाचार्येण 'यतो ज्ञानमज्ञानस्यैव निवर्तकम् ' इत्युपपादितत्वात् । तर्हि लिङ्गदेहनिवृत्तेः किं साधनमिति चेत्, साम-**य्रीनिवृत्तिरिति** ब्र्मः । द्विविधं हि कार्यनिवर्तकम्, विरोधिसद्भावः सामग्रीनिवृत्तिश्चेति । तद्यथा विरोधिना वायुना तैलवर्त्तिसामग्रीनिवृत्त्या वा दीपो निवर्तते । लिङ्गदेहस्य साक्षाद्विरोधिनं न पश्यामः । सामग्री हि द्विविधा प्रारब्धमनारब्धं चेति । ताभ्यामुभाभ्यामज्ञानिनां लिङ्गदेह इहामुत्र चावतिष्ठते । ज्ञानिनां त्वनारब्धस्य ज्ञानेन निवृत्तेः प्रारब्धस्य च भोगेन निवृत्तेः, तैलवर्त्तिरहितदीपवत्सामग्रीनिवृत्त्या लिङ्गदेहो निवर्तते । अतो न तन्निवृत्तिर्ज्ञानफलम् । नन्वनेन न्यायेन भाविदेहानारम्भोऽपि न ज्ञानफलम् । तथा हि---किमनारम्भ एव फलम्, किं वा तत्परिपालनम्? नाद्यः, तस्य प्रागभावरूपत्वेनानादिसिद्धत्वात् । न द्वितीयः, अनारव्धकर्म-रूपसामग्रीनिवृत्त्यैव भाविदेहारम्भश्रागभावपरिपालनसिद्धेः । न च तन्निवृत्तिः फलम् , अविद्यानिवृत्तेरेव विद्याफलत्वात् । नैष दोषः, भाविजन्मानारम्भादीनां विद्याफलत्वस्य प्रामाणिकत्वात् । 'यस्माद्भूयो न जायते ' (कठ. १. ३. ८.) इत्याद्यदाहृताः श्रुतयस्तत्र प्रमाणम् । न च ज्ञानमज्ञानस्यैव निवर्तकमिति विरोधः । अज्ञानसहभावनियतानामब्रह्मत्वादीनामज्ञानशब्देन पञ्चपादिकाचार्यैर्विवक्षितत्वात् । अन्यथाऽनुभवविरोधः । अनुभूयते ह्यज्ञा-ननिवृत्तिवदब्रह्मत्वादिनिवृत्तिरि । तस्माद्भाविदेहराहित्यलक्षणा विदेहमुक्ति-र्ज्ञानसमकालीना । तथा च याज्ञवल्क्यवचनं श्रूयते — 'अभयं वै जनक प्राप्तोऽसि ' (बृ० ४. २. ४.) इति, 'एतावदरे खल्वमृतत्वम् ' (बृ० ४. ५. १५.) इति च । श्रुत्यन्तरेऽपि 'तमेवं विद्वानमृत इह

भवति ' (पु० सू०) इति । यद्युत्पन्नेऽपि तत्त्वज्ञाने तत्फलभूता विदेह-मुक्तिस्तदानीं न भवेत्, कालान्तरे च भवेत्, तदा ज्योतिष्टोमादाविव ज्ञानजन्यमपूर्व किंचित्करुप्येत ; तथा च कर्मशास्त्र एव ज्ञानशास्त्र-मन्तर्भवेत् । अथोच्यते मन्त्रादिप्रतिबद्धामिवत्प्रारब्धप्रतिबद्धं ज्ञानं कालान्तरे विदेहमुक्तिं दास्यतीति । मैवम् , अविरोधात् । न ह्यस्मद्भिमता भाविदेहात्यन्ताभावलक्षणा विदेहमुक्तिर्वर्तमानदेहमात्रस्थाप-केन प्रारब्धेन विरुध्यते, येन प्रतिबध्येत। किं च क्षणिकत्वेन कालान्तरे स्वयमविद्यमानं ज्ञानं कथं मुक्तिं दद्यात् ? ज्ञानान्तरं चरमसाक्षात्कारलक्षणमुत्पत्स्यत इति चेन्न; साधनाभावात्। प्रतिबन्धक-प्रारब्धनिवृत्त्यैव सह गुरुशास्त्रदेहेन्द्रियाद्यशेषजगत्प्रतिभासनिवृत्तेः किं तत्साधनं स्यात् ? तर्हि 'भूयश्चान्ते विश्वमायानिवृत्तिः ' (श्वे० १. १०.) इत्यस्याः श्रुतेः कोऽर्थ इति चेत् ; आरब्धान्ते निमित्ता-भावाद्देहेन्द्रियाद्यशेषनैमित्तिकनिवृत्तिरित्येवार्थः । ततो भवदभिमता वर्तमान-देहराहित्यलक्षणा विदेहमुक्तिः पश्चादस्तु देहपातानन्तरम् ; अस्मदभिमता तु ज्ञानसमकालीनैव । एतदेवाभिप्रेत्य भगवाञ्शेष आह—

> 'तीर्थे श्वपचगृहे वा नष्टस्मृतिरिप परित्यजन्देहम् । ज्ञानसमकालमुक्तः कैवल्यं याति हतशोकः ॥ ८१ ॥ ' इति ।

तस्माद्विदेहमुक्तौ साक्षात्साधनस्य तत्त्वज्ञानस्य प्रधानत्वमुपपन्नम् । वासना-क्षयमनोनाशयोर्ज्ञानसाधनत्वेन व्यवहितत्वादुपसर्जनत्वम् । आसुरवासनाक्षय-कारिण्या दैववासनाया ज्ञानसाधनत्वं श्रुतिस्मृत्योरुपलभ्यते—'शान्तो दान्त उपरतस्तितिक्षुः समाहितो भूत्वाऽऽत्मन्येवात्मानं पश्येत् १ (बृ० ४. ४. २३.) इति श्रुतिः ।

#### स्मृतिरपि---

'अमानित्वमदिग्मित्वमिहंसा क्षान्तिरार्जवम् । आचार्योपासनं शौचं स्थैर्यमात्मविनिग्रहः ॥ इन्द्रियार्थेषु वैराग्यमनहंकार एव च । जन्ममृत्युजराव्याधिदुःखदोषानुदर्शनम् ॥ असक्तिरनभिष्वङ्गः पुत्रदारगृहादिषु । नित्यं च समचित्तत्विमष्टानिष्टोपपत्तिषु ॥ मिय चानन्ययोगेन भक्तिरव्यभिचारिणी । विविक्तदेशसेवित्वमरतिर्जनसंसदि ॥ अध्यात्मज्ञाननित्यत्वं तत्त्वज्ञानार्थदर्शनम् । एतज्ज्ञानमिति शोक्तमज्ञानं यदतोऽन्यथा ॥ '

(भ० १३. ८-१२.) इति ।

अन्यस्मिन्नहंबुद्धिरभिष्वङ्गः । ज्ञायतेऽनेनेति व्युत्पत्त्या ज्ञानसाधनमित्यर्थः । मनोनाशस्यापि ज्ञानसाधनत्वं श्रुतिस्मृतिप्रसिद्धम्—'ततस्तु तं पश्यित निष्कलं ध्यायमानः ' (मुण्ड० ३. १. ८.) इति श्रुतिः । 'अध्यात्म-योगाधिगमेन देवं मत्वा धीरो हर्षशोको जहाति ' (कठ० २. १२.) इति च । प्रत्यगात्मसमाधिप्राप्त्या देवं ज्ञात्वेत्यर्थः ।

'यं विनिद्रा जितश्वासाः संतुष्टाः संयतेन्द्रियाः । ज्योतिः पश्यन्ति युङ्गानास्तस्मै विद्यात्मने नमः ॥'

(भा० शा० ४६. ५५.)

इति स्मृतिः ।

तदेवं तत्त्वज्ञानादीनां त्रयाणां विदेहमुक्तिजीवनमुक्तिवशादुण-प्रधानभावव्यवस्था सिद्धा। ननु विविदिषासंन्यासिना संपादिताना-मेतेषां किं विद्वत्संन्यासादृध्वमनुवृत्तिमात्रम् , किं वा पुनरपि संपादन-प्रयत्नोऽपेक्षितः । नाद्यः, तत्त्वज्ञानस्येवान्ययोरप्ययत्नसिद्धत्वे प्राधान्य-प्रयुक्तादराभावप्रसङ्गात् । न द्वितीयः, इतरयोरिव ज्ञानस्यापि प्रयत्नसापेक्षत्वे सत्युपसर्जनत्वप्रयुक्तौदासीन्याभावप्रसङ्गात् । नायं दोषः, ज्ञानस्यानु-वृत्तिमात्रमितरयोर्येत्रसाध्यत्वमित्यङ्गीकारात् । तथा हि--विद्याधिकारी द्विविधः, कृतोपास्तिरकृतोपास्तिश्चेति । तत्रोपास्यसाक्षात्कारपर्यन्तामुपास्ति कृत्वा यदि ज्ञाने प्रवर्तेत तदा वासनाक्षयमनोनाशयोर्देढतरत्वेन ज्ञानादूर्ध्वे विद्वत्संन्यासजीवन्मुक्ती स्वत एव सिध्यतः। तादृश शास्त्राभिमतो मुख्यो विद्याधिकारी। ततस्तं प्रति शास्त्रेपु सहोपन्यासात् , स्वरूपेण विविक्तावपि विद्वत्संन्यासविविदिषासंन्यासौ संकीर्णाविव प्रतिभासेते । इदानींतनास्तु प्रायेणाकृतोपास्तय एवौत्सुक्य-मात्रात्सहसा विद्यायां प्रवर्तन्ते । वासनाक्षयमनोनाशौ च तात्कालिकौ संपादयन्ति । तावता श्रवणमनननिदिध्यासनानि निष्पाद्यन्ते । तैश्च दृढाभ्यस्तैरज्ञानसंशयविपर्ययनिरासात्तत्त्वज्ञानं सम्यगुदेति । उदितस्य ज्ञानस्य बाधकप्रमाणाभावान्निवृत्ताया अविद्यायाः पुनरुत्पत्तिकारणाभावाच नास्ति तस्य शैथिल्यम् । वासनाक्षयमनोनाशौ तु दढाभ्यासाभावाद्भोगप्रदेन प्रारब्धेन तदा तदा बाध्यमानत्वाच सवातप्रदेशदीपवत्सहसा निवर्तेते । तथा च वसिष्ठ: —

> ' पूर्वेभ्यस्तु प्रयत्नेभ्यो विषमोऽयं हि संमतः । दुःसाधो वासनात्यागः सुमेरून्मूलनादिष ॥ ' (ल० २८. १०९.) इति ।

## अर्जुनोऽपि---

'चञ्चलं हि मनः कृष्ण प्रमाथि बलवद् दृढम् । तस्याहं निम्रहं मन्ये वायोरिव सुदुष्करम् ॥'

(भ० ६. ३४.) इति।

तस्मादिदानींतनानां विद्वत्संन्यासिनां ज्ञानस्यानुवृत्तिमात्रम् । वासनाक्षय-मनोनाशो तु प्रयत्नसंपाद्याविति स्थितम् । ननु केयं वासना यस्याः क्षयाय प्रयतितव्यमिति चेत्तत्त्वरूपमाह वसिष्ठः—

> ' दृढभावनया त्यक्तपूर्वापरिवचारणम् । यदादानं पदार्थस्य वासना सा प्रकीर्तिता ॥ भावितं तीत्रसंवेगादात्मना यत्तदेव सः । भवत्याशु महाबाहो विगतेतरसंस्मृतिः ॥ तादृशूपो हि पुरुषो वासनाविवशीकृतः । संपद्यति यदेवैतत्सद्वस्त्विति विमुद्धति ॥ वासनावेगवैवश्यात्स्वरूपं प्रजहाति तत् । श्रान्तं पश्यति दुईष्टिः सर्वं मदवशादिव ॥'

> > (ल० २८. ४८-५१.) इति ।

अत्र च स्वस्वदेशाचारकुलधर्मभाषाभेदतद्गतापशब्दसुशब्दादिषु प्राणिना-मभिनिवेशः सामान्यत उदाहरणम् । विशेषतस्तु भेदानुक्त्वा पश्चादुदाह-रामः । यथोक्तां वासनामभिषेत्य बृहदारण्यके श्रूयते — 'स यथाकामो भवति तत्कतुर्भवति यत्कतुर्भवति तत्कर्म कुरुते यत्कर्म कुरुते तदभिसंपद्यते ' (बृ० ४. ४. ५.) इति ।

#### वासनाभेदो वाल्मीकिना दर्शितः—

'वासना द्विविधा प्रोक्ता ग्रुद्धा च मिलना तथा। मिलना जन्महेतुः स्याच्छुद्धा जन्मविनाशिनी॥ अज्ञानसुधनाकारा घनाहंकारशालिनी। पुनर्जन्मकरी प्रोक्ता मिलना वासना बुधैः॥ पुनर्जन्माङ्कुरं त्यक्त्वा स्थिता संभृष्टबीजवत्। देहार्थं ध्रियते ज्ञातज्ञेया ग्रुद्धेति चोच्यते॥'

(ल० १. १०–१२.) इति ।

देहादीनां पञ्चकोशानां तत्साक्षिणश्चिदात्मनश्च भेदावरकमज्ञानम्, तेन सुष्ठु घनीभूत आकारो यस्याः सेयमज्ञानसुघनाकारा । यथा क्षीरं तक्रमेलनेन घनीभवति, यथा वा विलीनं घृतमत्यन्तशीतलप्रदेशे चिरमवस्थापितं सुघनीभवति तथा वासना द्रष्टव्या । घनीभावश्चात्र भ्रान्तिपरम्परा । तां चासुरसंपद्विवरणे भगवानाह—-

'प्रवृत्तिं च निवृत्तिं च जना न विदुरासुराः । न शौचं नापि चाचारो न सत्यं तेषु विद्यते ॥ असत्यमप्रतिष्ठं ते जगदाहुरनीश्वरम् । अपरस्परसंभूतं किमन्यत्कामहैतुकम् ॥ एतां दृष्टिमवष्टभ्य नष्टात्मानोऽल्पबुद्धयः । प्रभवन्त्युप्रकर्माणः क्षयाय जगतोऽहिताः ॥ काममाश्रित्य दृष्पूरं दम्भमानमदान्विताः । मोहाद्गृहीत्वाऽसद्ग्राहान्प्रवर्तन्तेऽशुचित्रताः ॥ चिन्तामपरिमेयां च प्रलयान्तामुपाश्रिताः । कामोपभोगपरमा एतावदिति निश्चिताः ॥ आशापाशशतैर्वद्धाः कामकोधपरायणाः । ईहन्ते कामभोगार्थमन्यायेनार्थसंचयान् ॥ '

(भ० १६. ८-१२.) इति।

त आसुरा जगदसत्यमाहुः । नास्ति सत्यं वेदपुराणादिप्रमाणं यस्मिस्तादृशं जगदाहुः । वेदानां प्रामाण्यं न मन्यन्त इत्यर्थः । अत एव नास्तिश्वरः कर्ता व्यवस्थापकश्च यस्मिस्तादृशं जगदाहुः । ति कृतोऽस्य जगत उत्पत्तिं वदन्तीत्यत्राह — अपरस्परेति । अपरश्च परश्चेत्यपरस्परम् । अपरस्परतोऽन्योन्यतः स्त्रीपुरुषमिथुनात्संभूतं जगत् । किमन्यत्कारणमस्य ! नास्त्यन्यत्किचितः कि तु कामहैतुकम्, स्त्रीपुरुषयोः काम एव प्रवाहरूपेण हेतुरस्यत्याहुरित्यर्थः । घनाहंकारश्च तत्रैवोदाहृतः—

'इदमद्य मया लब्धिममं प्राप्स्ये मनोरथम् । इदमस्तीदमपि मे भविष्यति पुनर्धनम् ॥ असौ मया हतः शत्रुर्हनिष्ये चापरानिष । ईश्वरोऽहमहं भोगी सिद्धोऽहं बलवान्सुखी ॥ आद्योऽभिजनवानिसम कोऽन्योऽस्ति सदृशो मया । यक्ष्ये दास्यामि मोदिष्य इत्यज्ञानिवमोहिताः ॥ अनेकचित्तविश्रान्ता मोहजालसमावृताः । प्रसक्ताः कामभोगेषु पतन्ति नरकेऽशुचौ ॥'

(भ० १६. १३-१६.) इति ।

एतेन पुनर्जन्मकारणत्वमुदाहृतं भवति, तच पुनः प्रपश्चितम्-

'आत्मसंभाविताः स्तब्धा धनमानमदान्विताः । यजन्ते नामयज्ञैस्ते दम्भेनाविधिपूर्वकम् ॥ अहंकारं बलं दंपे कामं क्रोधं च संश्रिताः । मामात्मपरदेहेषु प्रद्विषन्तोऽभ्यसूयकाः ॥ तानहं द्विषतः कूरान्संसारेषु नराधमान । क्षिपाम्यजस्रमशुभानासुरीष्वेव योनिषु ॥ आसुरीं योनिमापन्ना मूढा जन्मनि जन्मनि । मामप्राप्येव कौन्तेय ततो यान्त्यधमां गतिम् ॥ ' (भ० १६. १७-२०) इति ।

शुद्धवासना तु ज्ञातज्ञेया । ज्ञेयस्वरूपं त्रयोदशाध्याये भगवानाह---

' ज्ञेयं यत्तत्प्रवक्ष्यामि यज्ज्ञात्वाऽमृतमञ्जुते । अनादिमत्परं ब्रह्म न सत्तन्नासदुच्यते ॥ सर्वतःपाणिपादं तत्सर्वतोक्षिशिरोमुखम् । सर्वतःश्रुतिमल्लोके सर्वमानृत्य तिष्ठति ॥ सर्वेन्द्रियगुणाभासं सर्वेन्द्रियविवर्जितम् । असक्तं सर्वभृच्चैव निर्गुणं गुणभोक्तृ च ॥ बहिरन्तश्च भूतानामचरं चरमेव च । सूक्ष्मत्वात्तद्विज्ञेयं दूरस्थं चान्तिके च तत् ॥ अविभक्तं च भूतेषु विभक्तमिव च स्थितम् । भूतभर्तृ च तज्ज्ञेयं प्रसिष्णु प्रभविष्णु च ॥ ज्योतिषामपि तज्ज्योतिस्तमसः परमुच्यते । ज्ञानं ज्ञेयं ज्ञानगम्यं हृदि सर्वस्य विष्ठितम् ॥ '

(१३-१८) इति।

अत्र तटस्थलक्षणस्वरूपलक्षणाभ्यामवगन्तुं सोपाधिकनिरुपाधिकस्वरूपद्वय-कदाचित्संबन्धि सद्यल्लक्षयति तत्तरस्थलक्षणम् । यथा मुपन्यस्तम् । 'काकवद् देवदत्तगृहम् ' इति । तथा कालत्रयसंबन्धि सद्य स्थलक्षयति तस्व-रूपलक्षणम् । यथा 'प्रकृष्टप्रकाशश्चन्द्रः ' इति । ननु त्यक्तपूर्वापरविचारत्वं वासनालक्षणमुक्तम् ; ज्ञेयज्ञानं च विचारजन्यम् ; अतो न शुद्धायां तल्रक्षणमस्ति । मैवम् , लक्षणे दृढभावनयेत्युक्तत्वात् । यथा बहुषु जन्मस् दृढभावितत्वेनास्मिञ्जन्मनि विनैव परोपदेशमहंकारममकारकाम-क्रोधादयो मलिनवासना उत्पद्यन्ते, तथा प्राथमिकस्य बोधस्य विचारजन्यत्वेऽपि दीर्घकालनैरन्तर्यसत्कारैर्भाविते तत्त्वे पश्चाद्वाक्ययुक्ति-परामर्शमन्तरेणेव पुरोवर्तिघटादिवत्सहसा तत्त्वं परिस्फुरति ; तादृश्या बोधानुवृत्त्या सहित इन्द्रियव्यवहारः शुद्धवासना । सा च देहजीवन-मात्रायोपयुज्यते, न तु दम्भदर्पाद्यासुरसंपदुत्पादनाय, नापि जन्मान्तर-हेतुधर्माधर्मोत्पादनाय । यथा भृष्टानि त्रीह्यादिबीजानि कुसूलपूरणमात्रा-योपयुक्तानि, न तु रुचिरान्नाय नापि सस्यनिष्पत्तये, तद्वत् । मलिना च वासना त्रिविधा, लोकवासना शास्त्रवासना देहवासना चेति । सर्वे जना यथा मां न निन्दन्ति यथा वा स्तुवन्ति तथैव सर्वदाऽऽचरिष्यामीत्यभिनिवेशो लोकवासना । तस्याश्च संपादयितुमशक्यत्वान्मलिनत्वम् । तथा हि---

' को न्वस्मिन्सांप्रतं लोके गुणवान्कश्च वीर्यवान् । ' (रा० १.१.)

इत्यादिना बहुधा वाल्मीकिः पप्रच्छ ।

'इक्ष्वाकुवंशप्रभवो रामो नाम जनैः श्रुतः ।' रा० १. १.)

इत्यादिना प्रत्युत्तरं नारदो ददौ । तादृशस्यापि रामस्य पतित्रताशिरोमणिभूताया जगन्मातुः सीतायाश्च श्रोतुमशक्यो जनापवादः संप्रवृत्तः ; किमु
वक्तव्यमन्येषाम् ? तथा हि देशविशेषेण परस्परं निन्दाबाहुल्यमुपलभ्यते ।
दाक्षिणात्यैर्विपेरौत्तराहा वेदविदो विप्रा मांसभिक्षणो निन्धन्ते । औत्तराहैश्च
मातुलस्रतोद्वाहिनो यात्रासु मृद्धाण्डवाहिनो दाक्षिणात्या निन्धन्ते । बह्वृचा
आश्वलायनशाखां काण्वशाखायाः प्रशस्तां मन्यन्ते । वाजसनेयिनस्तु वैपरीत्येन । एवं स्वस्वकुलगोत्रबन्धुवर्गेष्टदेवतादिप्रशंसा परकीयनिन्दा च,
आविद्वदङ्गनागोपालं सर्वत्र प्रसिद्धा । एतदेवाभिष्रेत्योक्तम्—

' ग्रुचिः पिशाचो विचलो विचक्षणः क्षमोऽप्यशक्तो बलवांश्च दुष्टः । निश्चित्तचोरः सुभगोऽपि कामी को लोकमाराधयितुं समर्थः ॥ ' इति, ' विद्यते न खल्ल कश्चिदुपायः सर्वलोकपरितोषकरो यः । सर्वथा स्वहितमाचरणीयं किं करिष्यित जनो बहुजल्पः ॥ ' इति च ।

अतो लोकवासनाया मिलनित्वमिभिष्नेत्य योगीश्वरस्य तुल्यनिन्दास्तुतित्वं मोक्षशास्त्रेषु वर्णितम् । शास्त्रवासना त्रिविधा, पाठव्यसनं बहुशास्त्र-व्यसनमनुष्ठानव्यसनं चेति । पाठव्यसनं भरद्वाजेऽवगम्यते । स हि पुरुषायुषत्रयेण बहून्वेदानधीत्येन्द्रेण चतुर्थायुषि प्रलोभितस्तत्रापि परिशिष्ट-वेदाध्ययनायोद्यमं चकार । तस्यापि पाठस्याशक्यत्वान्मिलनवासनात्वम् । तां चाशक्यतामिन्द्रः प्रतिबोध्य पाठान्निवर्त्यं ततोऽप्यधिकाय पुरुषार्थाय सगुणब्रह्मविद्यामुपदिदेश । तदेतत्यर्वे तैत्तिरीयब्राह्मणे द्रष्टव्यम् । तथैवात्यन्तिकपुरुषार्थाभावाद्वहुशास्रव्यसनस्य मालिन्यं कावषेयगीतायामुपलभ्यते—'कश्चिन्मुनिर्दुर्वासा बहुविधशास्त्रपुस्तकभारैः सह महादेवं
नमस्कर्तुमागतस्तत्सभायां मुनिना नारदेन भारवाहिगर्दभसाम्यमापादितः
कोपात्पुस्तकानि लवणाणेवे परित्यज्य महादेवेनात्मविद्यायां प्रवर्तितः'
इति । आत्मविद्या चानन्तर्मुखस्य गुरुकारुण्यरहितस्य न वेदशास्त्रमात्रेणोत्पद्यते । तथा च श्रुतिः—'नायमात्मा प्रवचनेन लभ्यो न
मेधया न बहुना श्रुतेन' (कठ० १. २. २२०) इति । अन्यत्राप्युक्तम्—

- 'बहुशास्त्रकथाकन्थारोमन्थेन वृथैव किम् । अन्वेष्टव्यं प्रयत्नेन तत्त्वज्ञैज्योंतिरान्तरम् ॥ ' इति,
- ' अधीत्य चतुरो वेदान्धर्मशास्त्राण्यनेकशः । ब्रह्मतत्त्वं न जानाति दवीं पाकरसं यथा ॥ ' इति च ।

'नारदश्चतुःषष्टिकलाकुशलोऽप्यनात्मवित्त्वेनानुतप्तः सनत्कुमारमुपससाद ' (छा० ७.) इति च्छन्दोगा अधीयते । अनुष्ठानव्यसनं विष्णुपुराणे निदाघस्योपलभ्यते । वासिष्ठरामायणे दाशूरस्य । निदाघो हि ऋभुणा पुनः पुनः प्रबोध्यमानोऽपि कर्मश्रद्धाजाडचं चिरं न जहौ । दाशूरश्चात्यन्त-श्रद्धाजाडचेनानुष्ठानाय शुद्धप्रदेशं भूमो न काप्युपलेभे । अस्याश्च कर्मवासनायाः पुनर्जन्महेतुत्वान्मलिनत्वम् । तथा चाथर्वणिका अधीयते—

> ' प्रवा ह्येते अदृढा यज्ञरूपा अष्टादशोक्तमवरं येषु कर्म । एतच्छ्रेयो येऽभिनन्दन्ति मूढा जरामृत्युं ते पुनरेवापि यन्ति ॥ अविद्यायामन्तरे वर्तमानाः स्वयं धीराः पण्डितंमन्यमानाः । जङ्घन्यमानाः परियन्ति मूढा अन्धेनैव नीयमाना यथाऽन्धाः ॥

अविद्यायां बहुधा वर्तमाना वयं कृतार्था इत्यभिमन्यन्ति बालाः। यत्कर्मिणो न प्रवेदयन्ति रागात्तेनातुराः क्षीणलोकाश्चयवन्ते ॥ इष्टापूर्ते मन्यमाना वरिष्ठं नान्यच्छ्रेयो वेदयन्ते प्रमूढाः । नाकस्य पृष्ठे ते सुकृतेऽनुभूत्वेमं लोकं हीनतरं वा विश्चन्ति ॥ ' (मुण्ड० १.२.७–१०.)

#### भगवताऽप्युक्तम् ---

'यामिमां पुष्पितां वाचं प्रवदन्त्यविपश्चितः । वेदवादरताः पार्थ नान्यदस्तीति वादिनः ॥ कामात्मानः स्वर्गपरा जन्मकर्मफलप्रदाम् । क्रियाविशेषबहुलां भोगैश्वर्यगतिं प्रति ॥ भोगैश्वर्यप्रसक्तानां तयाऽपहृतचेतसाम् । व्यवसायात्मिका बुद्धिः समाधौ न विधीयते ॥ त्रैगुण्यविषया वेदा निस्त्रैगुण्यो भवार्जुन । निर्द्वेद्वो नित्यसत्त्वस्थो निर्योगक्षेम आत्मवान् ॥ यावानर्थ उदपाने सर्वतःसंप्लुतोदके । तावान्सर्वेषु वेदेषु ब्राह्मणस्य विजानतः॥'

(भ० २. ४२-४६.) इति।

दर्पहेतुत्वाच शास्त्रवासनाया मिलनत्वम् । श्वेतकेतुरल्पेनैव कालेन सर्वान्वेदान-धीत्य दर्पेण पितुरपि पुरतो विनयं न चकारेति च्छन्दोगाः षष्ठाध्याये पठन्ति । तथा बालािकः कािनिचिदुपासनान्यवगत्य दृप्त उशीन-रादिषु बहुषु देशेषु दिग्विजयेन बहूिन्विपानवज्ञाय काश्यामजातशत्रुं ब्रह्मविच्छिरोमणिमनुशासितुं धाष्ट्यं चकारेति कौषीतिकनो वाजसने-

यिनश्चाधीयते । देहवासनाऽप्यात्मत्वगुणाधानदोषापनयनभ्रान्तिभेदात्त्र-विधा । तत्रात्मत्वं भाष्यकार उदाजहार—' देहमात्रं चैतन्यविशिष्टमात्मेति प्राकृता जना लौकायतिकाश्च प्रतिपन्नाः ' (ब्रंट भा० १. १. १.) इति । 'स वा एष पुरुषोऽन्नरसमयः ' इत्यारभ्य ' तस्मादन्नं तदुच्यते ' (तै० २. १. २.) इत्यन्तेन प्रन्थेन तामेव प्राकृतप्रतिपत्तिं तैत्तिरीयाः स्पष्टीकुर्वन्ति । ' विरोचनः प्रजापतिनाऽनुशिष्टोऽपि स्व-चित्तदोषेण देहात्मबुद्धिं दढीकृत्यासुरान्सर्वाननुशशास ' इति च्छन्दोगा अष्टमाध्याये समामनन्ति। गुणाधानं द्विविधं लौकिकं शास्त्रीयं चेति । समीचीनशब्दादिसंपादनं लौकिकम् । कोमलध्विनना गातु-मध्येतुं वा तैलपानमरीचभक्षणादिषु लोकाः प्रयतन्ते । मृदुस्पर्शीय लोकाः पुष्टिकरावौषधाहारावुपयुञ्जते । लावण्यायाभ्यङ्गोद्वर्तनदुकूलालं-कारानुपसेवन्ते । सौगन्ध्याय स्नगालेपने धारयन्ति । शास्त्रीयं गुणमाधातुं गङ्गास्नानसालग्रामतीर्थादिकं संपादयन्ति । दोषापनयनं च चिकित्सकोक्तै-रोषधेर्मुखादिपक्षालनेन च लोकिकम् , शोचाचमनादिभिवैदिकमित्यु-भयविधम् । अस्याश्च देहवासनाया मालिन्यं वक्ष्यते । देहस्यात्मत्वं तावदप्रामाणिकत्वादशेषदु:खहेतुत्वाच मलिनम् । अस्मिश्चार्थे पूर्वाचार्यै: सर्वेरिप पराक्रान्तम् । गुणाधानं च प्रायेण न पश्यामः । प्रसिद्धा एव गायका अध्यापकाश्च प्रयतमाना अपि बहवो ध्वनिसौष्ठवं न लभन्ते । मृदुस्पर्शोऽङ्गपृष्टिश्च न नियतौ । लावण्यसौगन्ध्ये अपि दुकूलस्रगादिनिष्ठे न तु देहनिष्ठे । अत एव विष्णुपुराणेऽभिहितम्—

> ' मांसासृक्पूयविण्मूत्रस्नायुमज्जास्थिसंहतौ । देहे चेत्प्रीतिमान्मूढो भविता नरकेऽपि सः ॥

स्वदेहाशुचिगन्धेन न विरज्येत यः पुमान् । वैराग्यकारणं तस्य किमन्यदुपदिश्यते ॥ ' इति ।

शास्त्रीयं च गुणाधानं प्रबलेन शास्त्रान्तरेणापोद्यते, यथा ' न हिंस्यात्सर्वा भूतानि ' इत्यस्य 'अग्नीषोमीयं पशुमालभेत ' इत्यनेनापवादस्तद्वत् । प्रबलतरं शास्त्रमेतदन्यशास्त्रापेक्षया ।

'यस्यात्मबुद्धिः कुणपे त्रिधातुके
स्वधीः कलत्रादिषु भौम इज्यधीः ।
यस्तीर्थबुद्धिः सिलले न किहीचिज्जनेप्वभिज्ञेषु स एव गोखरः ॥ (भा०)
अत्यन्तमिलनो देहो देही चात्यन्तनिर्मलः ।
उभयोरन्तरं ज्ञात्वा कस्य शौचं विधीयते ॥ ' इत्यादि ।

यद्यप्यनेन शास्त्रेण दोषापनयनं प्रतिषिध्यते न तु गुणाधानम्, तथाऽपि सित विरोधिनि प्रबल्दोषे गुण आधातुमशक्य इत्यर्थादुणाधानस्य प्रतिषेधः । अत्यन्तमालिन्यं चात्र मैत्रायणीयशाखायां श्रूयते—'भगवन्न-स्थिचर्मस्वायुमज्जामांसशुकशोणिताश्रुदृषिकादृषिते विण्मूत्रवातिपत्तकपसंघाते दुर्गन्ये निःसारेऽस्मिञ्शरीरे किं कामोपभोगैः' (१.१.२.) इति, 'शरीरिमदं मैथुनादेवोद्भूतं संविद्वच्येतं निरय इव मूत्रद्वारेण निष्कान्त-मस्थिभिश्चितं मांसेनानुलिसं चर्मणाऽवनद्धं विण्मूत्रिपत्तकपमज्जामेदो वसाभिरन्येश्च मलैर्बहुभिः परिपूर्णं कोश इव वस्नुना' इति च । चिकित्सया च रोगशान्तिर्न नियता । शान्तोऽपि रोगः कदाचित्पुनरुदेति । नवभिद्दिछद्दैनिरन्तरं स्रवत्सु मलेषु रोमकूपैरसंख्यातैः स्विन्ने गात्रे को नाम खेदेन प्रक्षालियतुं शक्नुयात् ? तदुक्तं पूर्वाचार्यः—

'नवच्छिद्रयुता देहाः स्रवन्ति घटिका इव । बाह्यशौचैर्न ग्रुध्यन्ति नान्तःशौचं च विद्यते ॥ '

अतो देहवासना मलिना । तदेतन्मालिन्यमभिषेत्य वसिष्ठ आह—

'आपादमस्तकमहं मातापितृविनिर्मितः । इत्येको निश्चयो राम बन्धायासद्विलोकनात् ॥'

(छ० २०. ४२.)

'सा कालस्त्रपदवी सा महावीचिवागुरा । साऽसिपत्रवनश्रेणी या देहेऽहमिति स्थितिः ॥ सा त्याज्या सर्वयन्नेन सर्वनाशेऽप्युपस्थिते । स्प्रष्टव्या सा न भव्यन सश्वमांसेव पुरुकसी ॥ '

(ल० १८. १६, १७.) इति ।

तदेतल्लोकशास्त्रदेहवासनात्रयमविवेकिनामुपादेयत्वेन प्रतिभासमानमपि विवि-दिषोर्वेदनोत्पत्तिविरोधित्वाद्विदुषो ज्ञानप्रतिष्ठाविरोधित्वाच विवेकिभिर्हेयम् ।

अत एव स्मर्यते---

' लोकवासनया जन्तोः शास्त्रवासनयाऽपि च । देहवासनया ज्ञानं यथावन्नैव जायते ॥ ' (सू० सं०) इति ।

या तु दम्भदर्पाद्यासुरसंपद्भूषा मानसवासना, तस्या नरक-हेतुत्वान्मालिन्यमतिप्रसिद्धम् । अतः केनाप्युपायेन वासनाचतुष्टयस्य क्षयः संपादनीयः। यथा वासनायाः क्षयः संपादनीयस्तथा मन-सोऽपि । न च तार्किकवन्नित्यद्रव्यमणुपरिमाणं मनो वैदिका अभ्युपगच्छन्ति येन मनोनाशो दुःसंपादः स्यात् । किं तर्हि सावयवमनित्यं सर्वदा जतुसुवर्णादिवद्बहुविधपरिणामार्हे द्रव्यं मनः। तस्य लक्षणं प्रमाणं च वाजसनेयिनः समामनन्ति—'कामः संकल्पो विचिकित्सा श्रद्धाऽश्रद्धा धृतिरधृतिर्द्धीर्धीर्भीरित्येतत्सर्वे मन (बृ. १. ५. ३.) इत्येतल्रक्षणम् । याः कामादिवृत्तयः क्रमेणोत्पद्यमाना-श्चाञ्जषप्रत्यक्षघटादिवत्साक्षिप्रत्यक्षेणातिस्पष्टं भासन्ते, तदुपादानं मन इत्यर्थः। ' अन्यत्रमना अभूवं नादर्शमन्यत्रमना अभूवं नाश्रोषम् ' (बृ० १. ५. ३.) इति 'मनसा ह्येष पश्यति मनसा शृणोति' (बृ० १. ५. ३.) इत्येतत्प्रमाणम् । चक्षुःसंनिकृष्टः स्फीतालोकमध्यवर्ती घटः श्रोत्रसंनिकृष्ट उचैः पठितो वेदश्च यस्यानवधाने सति न प्रतीयते, अवधाने तु प्रतीयते, तादृशं सर्वविषयोपलिब्धसाधारणकारणमन्वयव्यतिरेकाभ्यां प्रतीयत इत्यर्थः । 'तस्मादपि पृष्ठत उपस्पृष्टो मनसा विजानाति' (बृ० १. ५. ३.) इत्येतदुदाहरणम् । यस्माच लक्षणप्रमाणाभ्यां सिद्धं मनस्तस्मात्तदेव-मुदाहरणीयम् । पृष्ठभागेऽप्यन्येनोपस्पृष्टो देवदत्तो विशेषेण जानाति हस्तस्पर्शोऽयमङ्गुलिस्पर्शोऽयमिति । न हि तत्र चक्षुः प्रसरति, त्विगिन्द्रियं तु मार्दवकाठिन्यमात्रग्रहण उपक्षीणम् । तस्मान्मन एव विशेषज्ञानकारणं परिशिष्यते । तच मननान्मन इति चेतनाचित्तमिति चाभिधीयते । तच चित्तं सत्त्वरजस्तमोगुणात्मकम् , प्रकाशप्रवृत्तिमोहानां सत्त्वादिकार्याणां तत्र दर्शनात् । प्रकाशादीनां च गुणकार्यत्वं गुणातीतलक्षणेऽवगम्यते —

'प्रकाशं च प्रवृत्तिं च मोहमेव च पाण्डव '। (भ० १४. २२.)

इत्यभिधानात् । सांख्यशास्त्रेऽपि—' प्रकाशप्रवृत्तिमोहा नियमार्थाः ' इत्यु-क्तम् । प्रकाशो नाम नात्र सितभास्वररूपं किं तु ज्ञानम् । 'सत्त्वात्संजायते ज्ञानं रजसो लोभ एव च । प्रमादमोहौ तमसो भवतोऽज्ञानमेव च ॥' (भ० १४. १७.)

इत्युक्तत्वात् । ज्ञानवत्सुखमपि सत्त्वकार्यम् । तदप्युक्तम्----

'सत्त्वं सुखे सञ्जयित रजः कर्मणि भारत । ज्ञानमावृत्य तु तमः प्रमादे सञ्जयत्युत ॥'

(भ० १४. ९.) इति ।

समुद्रतरङ्गवन्निरन्तरं परिणममानेषु गुणेषु कदाचित्कश्चिदुद्भवित । इत-रावभिभूयेते । तदुक्तम्—

> 'रजस्तमश्चाभिभूय सत्त्वं भवति भारत । रजः सत्त्वं तमश्चैव तमः सत्त्वं रजस्तथा ॥ '

> > (भ० १४. १०.) इति,

'बाध्यबाधकतां यान्ति कल्लोला इव सागरे।'

(यो० वा०) इति च।

तत्र तमस उद्भवे सत्यासुरसंपदुदेति । रजस उद्भवे सित लोकादिवासना-स्तिस्रो भवन्ति । सत्त्वस्योद्भवे सित दैवी संपदुपजायते । एतदेवाभि-प्रेत्योक्तम्—

> 'सर्वद्वारेषु देहेऽस्मिन्प्रकाश उपजायते । ज्ञानं यदा तदा विद्याद्विवृद्धं सत्त्वमित्युत ॥ '

(भ० १४. ११.) इति ।

यद्यप्यन्तः करणं त्रिगुणात्मकं भासते, तथाऽपि सत्त्वमेवास्य मुख्य-मुपादानकारणम् । रजस्तमसी तृपष्टम्भके । उपादानसहकारिभूता अवयवा उपष्टम्भकाः । अत एव ज्ञानिनो योगाभ्यासेन रजस्तमसोरपनीतयोः सत्त्वमेव स्वरूपं परिशिष्यते । एतदेवाभिष्रेत्योक्तम्—

' ज्ञस्य चित्तमचित्तं स्याज्ज्ञचित्तं सत्त्वमुच्यते । ' इति ।

तच सत्त्वं चाञ्चल्यहेतुरजोगुणशून्यत्वादेकाम्रम् । भ्रान्तिकल्पितानात्मस्वरूप-स्थूलपदार्थाकारहेतुतमोगुणशून्यत्वात्सूक्ष्मम् । तत आत्मदर्शनयोग्यम् । अत एव श्रुतिः—

> ' दृश्यते त्वम्यया बुद्धचा स्क्ष्मया स्क्ष्मदिशिभिः।' (कठ० १. ३. १२.) इति।

न खळु वायुना दोधूयमानेन प्रदीपेन मणिमुक्तादिलक्षणानि निर्धारियतुं शक्यन्ते । नापि स्थूलेन खनित्रेण सूच्येव सूक्ष्मपटस्यूतिः संभवति । तदेतदीदृशं सत्त्वमेवायोगिषु तमोगुणगिभतेन रजोगुणेनोपस्पृष्टं बहुविध-द्वैतसंकल्पेन चेतयमानं चित्तं भवति । तिचत्तं तमोगुणाधिक्ये सत्यासुरीं संपद्मुपचिन्वत्पीनं भवति । तथाऽऽह वसिष्ठः—

> ' अनात्मन्यात्मभावेन देहभावनया तथा । पुत्रदारैः कुटुम्बैश्च चेतो गच्छति पीनताम् ॥ अहंकारविकारेण ममतामल्लील्या । इदं ममेति भावेन चेतो गच्छति पीनताम् ॥ आधिव्याधिविलासेन समाश्वासेन संस्रतौ । हेयादेयविभागेन चेतो गच्छति पीनताम् ॥ स्नेहेन धनलोभेन लाभेन मणियोषिताम् । आपातरमणीयेन चेतो गच्छति पीनताम् ॥

दुराशाक्षीरपानेन भोगानिलबलेन च । आस्थादानेन चारेण चित्ताहिर्याति पीनताम् ॥ ' (ल० २४. १७–२१.) इति ।

आस्था नाम प्रपञ्चे सत्यत्वबुद्धिः, तस्या आदानमङ्गीकारः, स एव चारो गमनागमनिकया तेनेति । तदेवं विनाशनीययोर्वासनामनसोः स्वरूपं निरूपितम् ।

अथ वासनाक्षयमनोनाशो क्रमेण निरूप्यते, तत्र वासनाक्षय-प्रकारमाह वसिष्ठ:----

> 'बन्धो हि वासनाबन्धो मोक्षः स्याद्वासनाक्षयः । वासनास्त्वं परित्यज्य मोक्षार्थित्वमि त्यज ॥ मानसीर्वासनाः पूर्वे त्यक्त्वा विषयवासनाः । मैत्र्यादिभावनानाम्नीर्गृहाणामलवासनाः ॥ ता अप्यन्तः परित्यज्य ताभिर्व्यवहरत्नि । अन्तः शान्ततमस्नेहो भव चिन्मात्रवासनः ॥ तामप्यन्तः परित्यज्य मनोबुद्धिसमन्विताम् । शेषे स्थिरसमासीनो येन त्यजसि तत्त्यज ॥'

> > (ल० १८. २०-२३.) इति ।

अत्र मानसवासनाशब्देन पूर्वोक्तास्तिस्रो लोकशास्त्रदेहवासना विविक्षताः । विषयवासनाशब्देन दम्भदर्पाद्यासुरसंपद्विविक्षिता । मृदुतीत्रत्वे तद्विवक्षा-भेदकारणे । यद्वा शब्दस्पर्शरूपरसगन्धा विषयाः, तेषां काम्यमानत्व-दशाजन्यः संस्कारो मानसवासना । भुज्यमानत्वदशाजन्यः संस्कारो विषय-वासना । अस्मिन्पक्षे पूर्वोक्तानां चतसृणामनयोरेवान्तर्भावः, अन्तर्बाद्य-

व्यतिरेकेण वासनान्तरासंभवात् । ननु वासनायाः परित्यागः कथं घटते ? न हि तासां मूर्तिरस्ति येन संमार्जनीसमूहितधूलितृणवद्धस्तेनोद्धृत्य बहिस्त्यक्ष्यामः । मैवम् ; उपवासजागरणवत्तदुपपत्तेः । स्वभावप्राप्तयोभुजि-कियानिद्रयोरमूर्तत्वेऽपि तत्परित्यागरूपे उपवासजागरणे सर्वेरप्यनुष्ठीयते ; तद्वदत्राप्यस्तु । 'अद्य स्थित्वा निराहारम् ' इत्यादिमन्त्रेण संकल्पं कृत्वा सावधानत्वेनावस्थानं तत्र त्याग इति चेत , अत्रापि न तद्दण्डनि-वारितम् , प्रैषमन्त्रेण संकल्प्याप्रमत्तत्वेनावस्थातुं शक्यत्वात् । वैदिकमन्त्रा-निधकारिणां तु भाषया संकल्पोऽस्तु। यदि तत्र शाकस्पौदनादि-संनिधित्यागस्तर्ह्यत्रापि स्रक्चन्दनवनितासंनिधिपरित्यागोऽस्तु । अथ तत्र बुभुक्षानिद्रालस्यादिविस्मारकैः पुराणश्रवणदेवपृजानृत्यगीतवादित्रादिभिश्चित्त-मुपलाल्येत, तर्ह्यत्रापि मैञ्यादिभिस्तदुपलालयेत् । मैञ्यादयश्च पतञ्जलिना सूत्रिता:--- ' मैत्रीकरुणामुदितोपेक्षाणां सुखदु:खपुण्यापुण्यविषयाणां भाव-नातश्चित्तप्रसादनम् ' (१.३३.) इति । चित्तं हि रागद्वेषपुण्यपापैः कळुषीकियते । रागद्वेषो च पतञ्जलिः सूत्रयामास---' सुखानुशयी रागः' (२.७.), 'दु:खानुशयी द्वेषः (२.८.)' इति । स्वेनानुभूयमानं सुखमनुशेते कश्चिद्धीवृत्तिविशेषः 'सुखजातीयं मे सर्वे भूयात् ' इति । तच दृष्टादृष्टसामम्यभावान्न संपादियतुं शक्यम् । अतः स रागश्चित्तं कलुषी-करोति । यदा सुखिप्वयं मैत्रीं भावयेत् 'सर्वेऽप्येते सुखिनो मदीयाः ' इति, तदा तत्सुखं स्वकीयमेव संपन्नमिति भावयतस्तत्र रागो निवर्तते, यथा स्वस्य राज्याभावेऽपि पुत्रादिराज्यमेव स्वकीयं राज्यं तद्वत् । निवृत्ते च रागे वर्षास्वतीतासु शरत्सरिदिव चित्तं प्रसीदति । तथा दुःखमनुशेते कश्चित्प्रत्ययः, 'ईदृशं सर्वे दुःखं सर्वेदा मे मा भूत्' इति । तच रोगशत्रु-व्याघ्रादिषु सत्सु न निवारियतुं शक्यम्। न च सर्वे दुःखहेतवो हन्तुं

शक्यन्ते । ततः स द्वेषः सदा हृदयं दहति । यदा स्वस्येव परेषां सर्वेषां प्रतिकूलं दुःखं मा भूदित्यनेन प्रकारेण करुणां दुःखिषु प्राणिषु भावयेत्तदा वैर्यादिद्वेषनिवृत्तौ चित्तं प्रसीदति । अत एव स्मर्यते----

' प्राणा यथाऽऽत्मनोऽभीष्टा भृतानामि ते तथा । आत्मोपम्येन भूतानां दयां कुर्वन्ति साधवः ॥ ' इति ।

तत्प्रकारं च महान्तो दर्शयन्ति—

'सर्वेऽत्र सुखिनः सन्तु सर्वे सन्तु निरामयाः । सर्वे भद्राणि पश्यन्तु मा कश्चिद् दुःखमामुयात् ॥ ' इति । तथा हि प्राणिनः स्वभावत एव पुण्यं नानुतिष्ठन्ति, पापं त्वनुतिष्ठन्ति । तदाहुः—

> 'पुण्यस्य फलमिच्छन्ति पुण्यं नेच्छन्ति मानवाः । न पापफलमिच्छन्ति पापं कुर्वन्ति यत्नतः ॥' इति ।

ते च पुण्यपापे पश्चात्तापं जनयतः । स च तापः श्रुत्याऽनूद्यते—' किमहं साधु नाकरवम् , किमहं पापमकरवम् ' (तै० २. ९.) इति । यद्यसौ पुण्यपुरुषेषु मुदितां भावयेत्तदा तद्वासनया स्वयमेवाप्रमत्तः पुण्येषु प्रवर्तेत । तथा पापिष्र्पेक्षां भावयन्स्वयमपि पापान्तिवर्तेत । अतः पश्चात्तापस्याभावेन चित्तं प्रसीदित । सुखिषु मैत्रीं भावयतो न केवलं रागनिवृत्तिः किं त्वस्येष्यीद्योऽपि निवर्तन्ते । गुणेषु दोषाविष्करणमस्या, परगुणानामसहनमीष्या । यदा मैत्रीवशात्परकीयं सुखं स्वकीयमेव संपद्यते, तदा परगुणेषु कथमस्यादिकं संभवेत् ? एवं दोषान्तरिकरिप यथायोगमुन्नेया । दुःखिषु करुणां भावयतः शत्रुवधादिकरो द्वेषो यदा

निवर्तते, तदा दुःखित्वप्रतियोगिकस्वसुखित्वप्रयुक्तो दर्पोऽपि निवर्तते । स च दर्प आसुरसंपद्यहंकारप्रस्तावे पूर्वं निरूपितः——

> ' ईश्वरोऽहमहं भोगी सिद्धोऽहं बलवान्सुखी ॥ ' 'अढचोऽभिजनवानस्मि कोऽन्योऽस्ति सदृशो मया । ' (भ० १६. १४, १५.) इत्यादि ।

ननु पुण्यात्मसु मुदितां भावयतः पुण्यप्रवृत्तिः फलत्वेनोक्ता, सा च योगिनो न युक्ता, मिलनायां शास्त्रवासनायां पुण्यमन्तर्भात्र्य पूर्वमुदाहतत्वात् । मैवम् , पुनर्जन्मकारणस्य काम्येष्टापूर्तादेस्तत्र मिलनत्वेनोदाहरणात् । इह तु योगाभ्यासजन्यमशुक्ककृष्णत्वेन जन्मानापादकं
पुण्यं विविक्षितम् । अशुक्ककृष्णत्वं पतञ्जलिः सूत्रयामास— 'कर्माशुक्काकृष्णं योगिनस्त्रिविधमितरेषाम् ' (४. ७.) इति । काम्यं कर्म
विहितत्वाच्छुक्कम् , निषिद्धं कृष्णम् , मिश्रं शुक्ककृष्णम् । तदेतत्त्तयमितरेषामयोगिनां संपद्यते । तच्च त्रिविधं जन्म प्रयच्छति । तदाहुर्विश्वरूपाचार्याः—

' शुभैः प्रामोति देवत्वं निषिद्धैर्नारकीं गतिम् । उभाभ्यां पुण्यपापाभ्यां मानुष्यं लभतेऽवशः ॥ ' (नै० १. ४१.) इति ।

ननु योगस्यानिषिद्धत्वादकृष्णत्वेऽिष विहितत्वाच्छुक्कत्विमिति चेन्मैवम् , अकाम्यत्वाभिप्रायेणाशुक्कत्वाभिधानात् । अतोऽशुक्ककृष्णे पुण्ये प्रवृत्तियोगि-नोऽपेक्षिता । ननु योगिनोऽप्यनेन न्यायेन यथोचितं पुण्यात्मसु मुदितां भावियत्वा पुण्येष्वेव प्रवर्तेरित्रिति चेत्प्रवर्तन्तां नाम, य मैत्र्यादिभिश्चित्तं प्रसादयन्ति तेषामेव योगित्वात् । मैत्र्यादिचतुष्ट्यमुपलक्षणम् । तेन

'अभयं सत्त्वसंग्रुद्धिः ' इत्यादिदैवसंपत् ' अमानित्वमदिम्भित्वम् ' इत्यादिज्ञानसाधनानि जीवन्मुक्तिस्थितप्रज्ञादिवचनोक्ता धर्माश्चोपलक्ष्यन्ते, सर्वेषामेतेषां ग्रुभवासनारूपत्वेन मिलनवासनानिवर्तकत्वात् । ननु सन्त्यनन्ताः
ग्रुभवासनाः, न चैकेन ताः सर्वा अभ्यसितुं शक्यन्ते ; निरर्थकश्च
तदभ्यासप्रयास इति चेन्न ; तिन्नवर्त्यानामनन्तानां मिलनवासनानामेकस्य
मनस्यसंभवात् । न ह्यायुर्वेदोक्तानि सर्वाण्योषधान्येकेन सेवितुं शक्यन्ते ।
नापि तिन्नवर्त्याः सर्वे रोगा एकस्य देहे संभवन्ति । एवं तिर्हे
स्वचित्तं प्रथमतः परीक्ष्य तत्र यदा यावत्यो मिलनवासनास्तदा
तावतीर्विरोधिनीः ग्रुभवासना अभ्यस्येत् । यथा पुत्रमित्रकलत्रादिभिः
पीड्यमानस्ततो विरक्तस्तिन्नवर्तकं पारित्राज्यं गृह्णति, तथा विद्यामदधनमदकुलाचारमदादिमिलनवासनाभिः पीड्यमानस्तद्विरोधिनं विवेकमभ्यस्येत् । स च विवेको जनकेन दिश्तः——

' अद्य ये महतां मूर्भि ते दिनैर्निपतन्त्यधः । हन्त चित्तमहत्तायाः कैषा विश्वस्तता तव ॥ ' (ल० १९.३९.)

'क धनानि महीपानां ब्रह्मणः क जगन्ति वा । प्राक्तनानि प्रयातानि केयं विश्वस्तता तव ॥ कोटयो ब्रह्मणां याता गताः स्वर्गपरम्पराः । प्रयाताः पांसुवद्भूपाः का धृतिर्मम जीविते ॥ '

(ल॰ १९. ४१, ४२.)

'येषां निमेषणोन्मेषो जगतः प्रलयोदयौ । तादृशाः पुरुषा नष्टा मादृशां गणनैव का ॥ '

(ल० १९. ४९.) इति ।

नन्वयमि विवेकस्तत्त्वज्ञानोदयात्प्राचीनः, नित्यानित्यवस्तुविवेका-दिसाधनव्यतिरेकेण ब्रह्मज्ञानासंभवात् , इह तूत्पन्नब्रह्मसाक्षात्कारस्य जीव-न्मुक्तये वासनाक्षयादिसाधनं वक्तुमुपक्रान्तम् ; अतः किमिदमकाण्डे ताण्डवमिति चेत् । नायं दोषः । साधनचतुष्टयसंपन्नस्य पश्चाद्भृह्मज्ञानमित्येष सर्वपुरुषसाधारणः प्रौढो राजमार्गः। जनकस्य तु पूर्वपुण्यपुञ्जपरिपाकेणा-काशफलपातवदकस्मात्सिद्धगीताश्रवणमात्रेण तत्त्वज्ञानमुत्पन्नम् । ततश्च चित्तविश्रान्तये विवेकोऽयं क्रियत इति काण्ड एवेद्मुचितं ताण्डवम् । नन्वेवमप्यस्य विवेकस्य ज्ञानसमनन्तरभावित्वेन मलिनवासनान्वत्त्य-भावाच्छुद्भवासनाभ्यासो नापेक्षित इति चेन्न, जनकस्य तदनुवृत्त्यभावेऽपि याज्ञवल्क्यभगीरथादेस्तदनुवृत्तिदर्शनात् । अस्ति हि याज्ञवल्क्यस्य तत्प्रति-वादिनामुषस्तकहोलादीनां च भूयान्विद्यामदः, तैः सर्वैरपि विजिगीषुकथायां प्रवृत्तत्वात् । नन् तेषां विद्यान्तरमेवास्ति न तु ब्रह्मविद्येति चेन्न, कथागतयोः प्रश्नोत्तरयोर्बेद्यविषयत्वात् । ननु ब्रह्मविषयत्वेऽपि तेषामापाततो ज्ञानमेव न तु सम्यग्वेदनमिति चेन्न, तथा सत्यस्माकमपि तदीय-वाक्येरुत्पन्नाया ब्रह्मविद्याया असम्यक्त्वप्रसङ्गात् । ननु सम्यक्त्वेऽपि परोक्षज्ञानमेवेति चेन्न, 'यत्साक्षादपरोक्षाद्भस्य ' इति मुख्यापरोक्षविषयतयैव विशेषतः प्रश्नोपलम्भात् । नन्वात्मज्ञानिनो विद्यामद आचार्यैर्नाभ्युपगम्यते ; तथा चोपदेशसाहरूयामभिहितम्-

'ब्रह्मवित्त्वं तथा मुक्त्वा स आत्मज्ञो न चेतरः ।' (१२. १३.) इति । नैप्कर्म्यसिद्धावपि---

> 'न चाध्यात्माभिमानोऽपि विदुषोऽस्त्यासुरत्वतः । विदुषोऽप्यासुरश्चेत्स्यान्निष्फलं ब्रह्मदर्शनम् ॥' इति ।

इति चेत्, नायं दोषः। जीवन्मुक्तिपर्यन्तस्य तत्त्वज्ञानस्य तत्र विवक्षितत्वात्। न खळु वयमपि जीवन्मुक्तानां विद्यामदमभ्युपगच्छामः। ननु विजिगीषोरात्मबोध एव नास्ति,

> 'रागो लिङ्गमबोधस्य चित्तव्यायामभूमिषु । कुतः शाद्वलता तस्य यस्याग्निः कोटरे तरोः ॥ ' (नै० ४. ६७)

### इत्याचार्येरभ्युपगमादिति चेन्न ।

'रागादयः सन्तु कामं न तद्भावोऽपराध्यति । उत्खातदंष्ट्रोरगवदविद्या किं करिष्यति ॥ '

(वा० ४. १७४६.)

इत्यत्र तैरेव रागाद्यभ्युपगमात् । न चात्र परस्परव्याहितः, स्थितप्रज्ञे ज्ञानिमात्रे च वचनद्वयस्य व्यवस्थापनोपयुक्तत्वात् । ननु ज्ञानिनो रागाद्यभ्युपगमे धर्माधर्मद्वारेण जन्मान्तरप्रसङ्ग इति चेन्मैवम्, अदग्धबीजवद-विद्यापूर्वककामादेरेव मुख्यरागादित्वेन पुनर्जन्महेतुत्वात् । ज्ञानिनस्तु दग्ध-बीजवदाभासमात्रा एव रागादयः । एतदेवाभिष्रेत्योक्तम्—

' उत्पद्यमाना रागाद्या विवेकज्ञानवह्निना । तदा तदैव दह्यन्ते कुतस्तेषां प्ररोहणम् ॥ ' इति । (पञ्च० ७.)

तर्हि स्थितप्रज्ञस्यापि ते सन्त्विति चेन्न, तत्काले मुख्यवदेवाभास-मानानां बाधकत्वात् ; रज्जुसर्पोऽपि मुख्यसर्पवदेव तदानीं भीषयन्नुपलभ्यते, तद्वत् । तर्द्धाभासत्वानुसंधानानुवृत्तौ न कोऽपि बाध इति चेचिरं जीवतु भवान् । इयमेवास्मदभिमता जीवन्मुक्तिः । याज्ञवल्क्यस्तु विजिगीषुदशायां न हीद्दशः, चित्तविश्रान्तये विद्वत्संन्यासस्य तेन करिष्यमाणत्वात्। न केवलमस्य विजिगीषा किं तु धनतृष्णाऽपि महती जाता, यतो बहूनां ब्रह्मविदां पुरतः स्थापितं सालंकारं गोसहस्रमपहृत्य स्वयमेवेदमाह—'नमो वयं ब्रह्मिष्ठाय कुर्मो गोकामा एव वयं स्मः' (बृ० ३.१.२.) इति। इतरान्ब्रह्मविदोऽवज्ञातुमियं काचिद्वचोभङ्गीति चेत्। अयमपि तर्द्यपरो दोषः। इतरे च ब्रह्मविदः स्वकीयं धनमनेनापहृतमिति मत्वा चुकुधुः। अयं च क्रोधपरवशः शाकल्यं शापेन मारयामास। न चास्य ब्रह्मशो मोक्षाभावः शङ्कनीयः। यतः कोषीतिकनः समामनन्ति—'नास्य केन च कर्मणा लोको मीयते न मातृवधेन न पितृवधेन न स्तेयेन न भ्रूणहृत्यया' (३.१.) इति। शेषोऽपि स्वकृतायामार्यापञ्चाशीत्यामिदमाह—

' हयमेधशतसहस्राण्यथ कुरुते ब्रह्मघातलक्षाणि । परमार्थवित्र पुण्यैर्न च पापैः स्पृत्रयते विमलः ॥ ७७॥ ' इति ।

तस्मात्किं बहुना, ब्रह्मविदां याज्ञवल्क्यादीनामस्त्येव मिलनवासनानुवृत्तिः, भगीरथस्तु तत्त्वं विदित्वाऽपि राज्यं पालयन्मिलनवासनाभिश्चित्तविश्रान्त्य-भावे सित सर्वे परित्यज्य पश्चाद्विश्रान्तवानिति वसिष्ठेनोपाख्यायते । अतः स्वकीयं वर्तमानं मिलनवासनादोषं परकीयदोषवत्सम्यगुत्पेक्ष्य तत्प्रती-कारमभ्यस्येत् । अनेनैवाभिप्रायेण स्मर्यते—

'यथा सुनिपुणः सम्यक्परदोषेक्षणे रतः । तथा चेन्निपुणः स्वेषु को न मुच्येत बन्धनात् ॥ ' इति ।

नन्वादो तावद्विद्यामदस्य कः प्रतीकार इति चेत् । किं स्विनष्ठस्य परिवष्यस्य मदस्य, किं वा स्वविषयस्य परिनष्ठस्य ? आद्ये भङ्गोऽवश्यं किचिद्भविष्यतीति निरन्तरं भावयेत् । तद्यथा श्वेतकेतुर्विद्यया मत्तः

प्रवाहणस्य राज्ञः सभां गत्वा तेन पश्चामिविद्यायां प्रष्टायां स्वयमजानाने निरुत्तरो राज्ञा बहुधा भर्त्सितः पितुः समीपमागत्य स्वनिर्वेदमुदाजहार । पिता तु निर्मदस्तमेव राजानमनुस्रत्य तां विद्यां लेभे । द्वसबालािकश्चा-जातशत्रुणा राज्ञा भर्त्सितो दर्पं संत्यज्य राजानमुपससाद । उषस्तकहो-लादयश्च मदेन कथां कृत्वा पराजिताः । यदा स्वविषयः परिनष्ठो मदः प्रवर्तेत तदा मत्तः स परो मां निन्दतु, अवमन्यतां वा । सर्वथाऽपि न मे हानिरिति भावयत् । तथा हि—

'आत्मानं यदि निन्दन्ति निन्दन्ति स्वयमेव हि । शरीरं यदि निन्दन्ति सहायास्ते मता मम ॥ निन्दावमानावत्यन्तं भूषणं यस्य योगिनः । धीविक्षेपः कथं तस्य वाचाटैः क्रियतामिह ॥ ' इति ।

### नैष्कर्म्यसिद्धी-

'वर्चस्के संपरित्यक्ते दोषतश्चावधारिते । यदि दोषं वदेत्तस्मे किं तत्रोचरितुर्भवेत् ॥ (२.१६.) तद्वत्स्थूले तथा सूक्ष्मे देहे त्यक्ते विवेकतः । यदि दोषं वदेत्ताभ्यां किं तत्र विदुषो भवेत् ॥ (२.१७.) शोकहर्षभयक्रोधलोभमोहस्पृहादयः । अहंकारस्य दृश्यन्ते जन्म मृत्युश्च नात्मनः ॥ ' इति ।

### निन्दाया भूषणत्वं च ज्ञानाङ्कुशे दर्शितम्—

'मिन्निन्दया यदि जनः परितोषमेति नन्वप्रयत्नसुरुभोऽयमनुग्रहो मे । श्रेयोथिंनो हि पुरुषाः परतिष्टिहेतो-र्दुःखार्जितान्यपि धनानि परित्यजन्ति ॥ सततसुरुभदैन्ये निःसुखे जीवरोके यदि मम परिवादात्प्रीतिमामोति कश्चित् । परिवदतु यथेष्टं मत्समक्षं तिरो वा जगति हि बहुदुःखे दुर्रुभः प्रीतियोगः ॥ ' इति ।

अवमानस्य भूषणत्वं स्मर्यते ---

'तथा चरेत वै योगी सतां धर्ममदूषयन् । जना यथाऽवमन्येरन्गच्छेयुनैंव संगतिम् ॥ ' इति ।

याज्ञवल्क्योषस्तादीनां यो स्वनिष्ठपरिनष्ठो विद्यामदौ तयोर्यथा विवेकेन प्रतीकारस्तथा धनाभिलाषक्रोधयोरप्यवगन्तव्यः ।

> ' अर्थानामर्जने क्केशस्तथैव परिपालने । नाशे दुःखं व्यये दुःखं धिगर्थान्क्केशकारिणः ॥ '

इति धनविषये विवेकः ॥

क्रोधोऽपि द्विविधः--स्विनष्ठः परविषयः, परिनष्ठः स्वविषयश्चेति । तत्र स्विनष्ठं परिवषयं प्रत्येवमुक्तम्--

> 'अपकारिणि कोपश्चेत्कोपे कोपः कथं न ते । धर्मार्थकाममोक्षाणां प्रसद्य परिपन्थिनि ॥ फलार्थिनो धर्मयशोर्थनाशनः

> > स चेदपार्थः स्वशरीरतापनः ।

न चेह नामुत्र हिताय यः सतां मनांसि कोपः समुपाश्रयेत्कथम् '॥ इति ।

### स्वविषयं परनिष्ठं प्रत्येवमीरितम्

'न मेऽपराधः किमकारणे नृणां मदभ्यस्येत्यपि नैव चिन्तयेत् । न यत्कृता प्राग्भवबन्धनिष्कृति-स्ततोऽपराधः परमोऽनुचिन्त्यताम् ॥ नमोऽस्तु कोपदेवाय स्वाश्रयज्वालिने भृशम् । कोप्यस्य मम वैराग्यदायिने दोषबोधिने ॥ ' इति ।

धनाभिलाषकोधवद्योषित्पुत्राभिलाषाविष विवेकेन निवर्तनीयौ । तत्र योषिद्धि-वेको वसिष्ठेन दर्शितः—-

> 'मांसपाञ्चालिकायास्तु यन्तलोलेऽङ्गपञ्जरे । स्नाय्वस्थिमन्थिशालिन्याः स्नियाः किमिव शोभनम् ॥ त्वङ्मांसरक्तवाष्पाम्बु पृथकृत्वा विलोचने । समालोकय रम्ये चेत्किं मुधा परिमुद्धिसि ॥ मेरुशृङ्गतटोल्लासिगङ्गाजलरयोपमा । दृष्टा यस्मिन्स्तने मुक्ताहारस्योल्लासशालिता ॥ इमशानेषु दिगन्तेषु स एव ललनास्तनः । श्वभिरास्वाद्यते काले लघुपिण्ड इवान्धसः ॥ केशकज्जलधारिण्यो दुःस्पर्शा लोचनित्रयाः । दुष्कृतामिशिखा नार्यो दहन्ति तृणवन्नरान् ॥

ज्वलतामतिदूरेऽपि सरसा अपि नीरसाः ।
स्त्रियो हि नरकाग्नीनामिन्धनं चारु दारुणम् ॥
कामनान्ना किरातेन विकीणी मुग्धचेतसाम् ।
नार्यो नरविहङ्गानामङ्गबन्धनवागुराः ॥
जन्मपल्वलमत्स्यानां चित्तकर्दमचारिणाम् ।
पुंसां दुर्वासनारज्जो नारी बिडशपिण्डिका ॥
सर्वेषां दोषरत्नानां सुसमुद्भिकयाऽनया ।
दुःखशृङ्खल्या नित्यमलमस्तु मम स्त्रिया ॥
इतो मांसमितो रक्तमितोऽस्थीनीति वासरैः ।
ब्रह्मन्कतिपयैरेव याति स्त्री विशरारुताम् ॥
यस्य स्त्री तस्य भोगेच्छा निःस्त्रीकस्य क भोगभूः ।
स्त्रियं त्यक्त्वा जगत्त्यक्तं जगत्त्यक्त्वा सुखी भवेत् ॥'
(ल० २. ९०-१००.) इति ।

# पुत्रविवेको ब्रह्मानन्दे दर्शितः--

' अलभ्यमानस्तनयः पितरौ क्केशयेचिरम् । लब्धोऽपि गर्भपातेन प्रसवेन च बाधते ॥ ६५ ॥ जातस्य प्रहरोगादिः कुमारस्य च मूर्खता । उपनीते त्वविद्यत्वमनुद्वाहश्च पण्डिते ॥ ६६ ॥ यूनश्च परदारादिर्दारिद्रचं च कुटुम्बिनः । पित्रोर्दुःखस्य नास्त्यन्तो धनी चेन्ध्रियते तदा ॥३७॥' इति ।

यथा विद्याधनकोधयोषित्पुत्रविषयाणां मिलनवासनानां विवेकेन प्रतीकार-स्तथाऽन्यासामपि वासनानां यथायोगं शास्त्रैः स्वयुक्त्या च दोषं विविच्य प्रतीकारं कुर्यात् । कृते च प्रतीकारे जीवन्मुक्तिलक्षणं परमं पदं लभ्यते । तदाह वसिष्ठः—

> 'वासनानां परित्यागे यदि यत्नं करोष्यलम् । तत्ते शिथिलतां यान्ति सर्वाधिव्याधयः क्षणात् ॥ पौरुषेण प्रयत्नेन बलात्संत्यज्य वासनाः । स्थितिं बध्नासि चेत्तर्हि पदमासादयस्यलम् ॥ ' इति ।

नन्वत्र पौरुषः प्रयत्नो नाम पूर्वोक्तो विषयदोषविवेकः । स च पुनः पुनः कियमाणोऽपि प्रबलेन्द्रियव्यवहारेणाभिभूयते । तदुक्तं भगवता—

- 'यततो ह्यपि कौन्तेय पुरुषस्य विपश्चितः । इन्द्रियाणि प्रमाथीनि हरन्ति प्रसभं मनः ॥ ' (भ० २. ६०.)
  - 'इन्द्रियाणां हि चरतां यन्मनोऽनुविधीयते । तदस्य हरति प्रज्ञां वायुर्नाविमवाम्भसि ॥ '

(भ० २. ६७.) इति।

एवं तह्युंत्पन्नविवेकरक्षार्थमिन्द्रियाणि निरोद्धव्यानि । तदिप तत्रैवोत्तर-स्रोकाभ्यां दिशतम्—-

- 'तानि सर्वाणि संयम्य युक्त आसीत मत्परः। वशे हि यस्येन्द्रियाणि तस्य प्रज्ञा प्रतिष्ठिता॥'(भ०२.६१.)
- 'तस्माद्यस्य महाबाहो निगृहीतानि सर्वशः। इन्द्रियाणीन्द्रियार्थेभ्यस्तस्य प्राज्ञा प्रतिष्ठिता॥'

(भ० २. ६८.) इति।

#### स्मृत्यन्तरेऽपि--

'न पाणिपादचपलो न नेत्रचपलो यतिः । न च वाक्चपलश्चैवमिति शिष्टस्य लक्षणम् ॥ ' इति ।

### एतदेवान्यत्र संप्रहविवरणाभ्यां स्पष्टीकृतम्--

'अजिह्नः षण्डकः पङ्गुरन्धो बधिर एव च । मुग्धश्च मुच्यते भिक्षुः षड्भिरेतैर्न संशयः ॥ इदिमष्टमिदं नेति योऽश्रन्नपि न सज्जते । हितं सत्यं मितं वक्ति तमजिह्नं प्रचक्षते ॥ अद्य जातां यथा नारीं तथा षोडशवार्षिकीम । शतवर्षो च यो दृष्ट्रा निर्विकारः स षण्डकः ॥ भिक्षार्थमटनं यस्य विष्मूत्रकरणाय च । योजनान्न परं याति सर्वथा पङ्गुरेव सः ॥ तिष्ठतो त्रजतो वाऽपि यस्य चक्षुर्न दूरगम् । चतुर्युगां भुवं त्यक्त्वा परित्राट् सोऽन्ध उच्यते ॥ हितं मितं मनोरम्यं वचः शोकापहं च यत् । श्रुत्वा यो न शृणोतीव बिधरः स प्रकीर्तितः ॥ संनिधौ विषयाणां च समर्थोऽविकलेन्द्रिय: । सप्तवद्वर्तते नित्यं भिक्षर्मुग्धः स उच्यते ॥ न निन्दां न स्तुतिं कुर्यान्न कंचिन्मर्मणि स्पृशेत् । नातिवादी भवेत्तद्वत्सर्वत्रैव समो भवेत् ॥ न संभाषेतिस्त्रयं कांचित्पूर्वेदष्टां न च स्मरेत्। कथां च वर्जयेत्तस्या न पश्येल्लिखितामपि ॥ ' इति ।

यथा कश्चिद्वती नक्तैकभुक्तोपवासमीनादिवतं संकरुप्य सावधानो भ्रंशम-कृत्वा सम्यक्पालयति, तथैवाजिह्नत्वादित्रते स्थितः सावधानो विवेकं पालयेत् । तदेवं विवेकेन्द्रियनिरोधाभ्यां दीर्घकालनैरन्तर्यसत्कारसेविताभ्यां मैन्यादिभावनासु प्रतिष्ठितास्वासुरसंपद्रुपा मलिनवासनाः क्षीयन्ते । ततो निःश्वासोच्छ्वासवित्रमेषोन्मेषवच पुरुषप्रयत्नमन्तरेण प्रवर्तमानाभिर्मेत्र्या-दिवासनाभिर्लोके व्यवहरत्रपि तदीयसाकल्यवैकल्यानुसंधानं चित्ते परित्यज्य निद्रातन्द्रामनोराज्यादिरूपाः समस्तचेष्टाः प्रयत्नेन शान्ताः कृत्वा चिन्मात्र-वासनामभ्यस्येत् । स्वतस्तावदिदं जगचिज्जडोभयात्मकं भासते ; यद्यपि शब्दस्पर्शादिजडवस्तुभासनायैवेन्द्रियाणि सृष्टानि 'पराञ्चि खानि व्यतृण-त्स्वयंभूः ' (कठ० २. १. १.) इति श्रुतेः, तथाऽपि चैतन्यस्योपादानतया वर्जियतुमशक्यत्वाचैतन्यपूर्वकमेव जडं जगद्रासते ; 'तमेव भान्तमनु भाति सर्वे तस्य भासा सर्वेमिदं विभाति ' (कठ० २. २. १५.) इति श्रुतेः ; तथा सति पश्चाद्भासमानस्य जडस्य प्रथमतो भासमानमेव चैतन्यं वास्तवं रूपमिति निश्चित्य जडमुपेक्ष्य चिन्मात्रं चित्ते वासयेत् । एतच बलिशुक्रयोः प्रश्नोत्तराभ्यां विस्पष्टमवगम्यते—

> ' किमिहास्तीह किंमात्रमिदं किंमयमेव च । कस्त्वं कोऽहं क एते वा लोका इति वदाशु मे ॥' (ल० २१. ५०.) ' विदिहास्तीह विस्तानितं विस्तानीत च ।

> 'चिदिहास्तीह चिन्मात्रमिदं चिन्मयमेव च। चित्त्वं चिदहमेते च लोकाश्चिदिति संग्रहः॥' (ल० २१. ५२.) इति।

यथा सुवर्णकामः कटकं क्रीणन्नपि वलयाकारस्य गुणदोषावुपेक्ष्य गुरुत्ववर्णयोरेव मनः प्रणिधित्सति, तथा चिन्मात्रे मनः प्रणिधातव्यम्।

यावता कालेन जडं सर्वथैवोपेक्ष्य चिन्मात्रे मनसः प्रवृत्तिर्निःश्वासादि-वस्त्वाभाविकी संपद्यते, तावन्तं कालं चिन्मात्रवासनायां प्रयतेत। नन्वादावेव चिन्मात्रवासनाऽस्तु, तथैव मलिनवासनानिवृत्तेः ; किमने-नान्तर्गडुना मैत्र्याद्यभ्यासेनेति चेन्न, चिद्वासनाया अप्रतिष्ठितत्वप्रसङ्गात् । यथा कुट्टिमदाढर्चव्यतिरेकेण क्रियमाणमपि स्तम्भकुड्यात्मकं गृहं न प्रतितिष्ठति, यथा वा विरेचनेन प्रबलदोषमनिःसार्य सेवितमप्यौषधं नारोग्यकरं तद्वत् । ननु 'तामप्यथ परित्यजेत् ' इति चिन्मात्रवासनाया अपि परित्यागोऽवगम्यते ; तदप्ययुक्तम् , चिन्मात्रं परित्यज्यान्यस्य कस्यचिदुपादेयस्याभावात् । नायं दोषः । द्विविधा चिन्मात्रवासना---मनोबुद्धिसमन्विता तद्रहिता चेति । करणं मनः, कर्तृत्वोपाधिर्बुद्धिः । तथा च सत्यप्रमत्तोऽहमेकाग्रेण मनसा चिन्मात्रं भावयिष्यामीत्येतादृशेन कर्तुकरणानुसंधानेन समन्विता प्राथिमकी या चिन्मात्रवासना ध्यान-शब्दाभिषेया तां परित्यजेन् । या त्वभ्यासपाटवेन कर्तृत्वाद्यनुसंधान-व्यवधानरहिता समाधिशब्दाभिधेया तामुपाददीत । ध्यानसमाध्योस्तु लक्षणं पतञ्जिलिः सूत्रयामास—'तत्र प्रत्ययैकतानता ध्यानम् ' (३. २.) 'तदे-वार्थमात्रनिर्भासं स्वरूपशून्यमिव समाधिः ' (३.३.) इति । तादृशे समाधौ दीर्घकालनैरन्तर्यसत्कारैः सेविते स्थेर्ये लब्ध्वा पश्चात्कर्तकरणानुसंधानपरि-त्यागार्थो यः प्रयत्नस्तमपि परित्यजेत् । नन्वेवं सित तत्त्यागप्रयत्नोऽपि परि-त्याज्य इत्यनवस्था स्यात् । मैवम् । कतकरजोन्यायेन स्वपरनिवर्तकत्वात् । यथा कलुषिते जले प्रक्षिप्तं कतकरज इतररजसा सह स्वात्मानमपि निवर्तयति, तथा त्यागार्थः प्रयतः कर्तृकरणानुसंधानं निवर्तयन्स्वात्मानमपि निवर्तयिष्यति । निवृत्ते च तस्मिन्मलिनवासनावच्छुद्भवासनानामपि क्षीणत्वान्निर्वासनं मनोऽवितष्ठते । एतदेवाभिप्रेत्य वसिष्ठ आह---

'तस्माद्वासनया बद्धं मुक्तं निर्वासनं मनः। राम निर्वासनीभावमाहराशु विवेकतः॥ सम्यगालोचनात्सत्याद्वासना प्रविलीयते। वासनाविलये चेतः शाम्यत्यस्नेहदीपवत्॥' (ल० १६. ४५, ४६.) इति,

'यो जागर्ति सुपुप्तिस्थो यस्य जाग्रन्न विद्यते । यस्य निर्वासनो बोधः स जीवन्मुक्त उच्यते ॥ ' (ल० ५. ९२.) इति च ।

' सुषुप्तवत्प्रशमितभाववृत्तिना स्थितं सदा जाप्रति यस्य चेतसा । कलान्वितो विधुरिव यः सदा बुधै-र्निषेव्यते मुक्त इतीह स स्मृतः ॥ ' इति च ।

' हृदयात्संपरित्यज्य सर्वमेव महामितः । यस्तिष्ठति गतव्यत्रः स मुक्तः परमेश्वरः ॥ समाधिमथ कर्माणि मा करोतु करोतु वा । हृदयेनास्तसर्वाशो मुक्त एवोत्तमाशयः ॥ नैष्कम्येण न तस्यार्थस्तस्यार्थोऽस्ति न कर्मभिः । न समाधानजप्याभ्यां यस्य निर्वासनं मनः ॥ विचारितमलं शास्त्रं चिरमुद्ग्राहितं मिथः । संत्यक्तवासनान्मोनाहते नास्त्युक्तमं पदम् ॥ ' इति च ।

न च निर्वासनमनस्कस्य जीवनहेतुर्व्यवहारो छुप्येतेति शङ्कनीयम् । किं चक्षुरादिव्यवहारस्य लोपः, किं वा मानसव्यवहारस्य १ तत्राद्यमुद्दालको निराचष्टे— ' वासनाहीनमप्येतच्चक्षुरादीन्द्रियं स्वतः । प्रवर्तते बहिः स्वार्थे वासना नात्र कारणम् ॥ ' इति ।

#### द्वितीयं वसिष्ठो निराचष्टे--

' अयन्नोपनतेष्वक्षि दिग्द्रव्येषु यथा पुनः । नीरागमेव पतति तद्वत्कार्येषु धीरधीः ॥ ' इति ।

#### तादृश्या धिया प्रारब्धभोगं स एवोपपादयति—

'परिज्ञायोपभुक्तो हि भोगो भवति तुष्टये । विज्ञाय सेवितश्चोरो मैत्रीमेति न चोरताम् ॥ अशिक्कतोपसंप्राप्ता ग्रामयात्रा यथाऽध्वगैः । प्रेक्ष्यते तद्वदेव ज्ञैभींगश्रीरवलोक्यते ॥ '

(ल० १५. १४, १५.) इति ।

### भोगकालेऽपि सवासनेभ्यो निर्वासनानां विशेषमाह—

'नापित ग्लानिमायान्ति हेमपद्मं यथा निशि । नेहन्ते प्रकृतादन्यद्रमन्ते शिष्टवर्त्मनि ॥ (ल० १८. ४२.) नित्यमापूर्णतामन्तरक्षुब्धामिन्दुसुन्दरीम् । आपद्यपि न मुञ्चन्ति शिशनः शीततामिव ॥ (ल०१.४३.) अब्धिवद्भृतमर्यादा भवन्ति वितताशयाः । नियतिं न विमुञ्चन्ति महान्तो भास्करा इव ॥ '

(ल० १. ४५.) इति ।

### जनकस्यापि समाधिव्युत्थितस्येदृशमेवाचरणं पठ्यते—

'तूष्णीमथ चिरं स्थित्वा जनको जनजीवितम् । च्युत्थितश्चिन्तयामास मनसा शमशालिना ॥ किमुपादेयमस्तीह यन्नात्संसाधयामि किम् । स्वतः स्थितस्य ग्रुद्धस्य चितः का मेऽस्ति कल्पना ॥ नाभिवाञ्छाम्यसंप्राप्तं संप्राप्तं न त्यजाम्यहम् । स्वस्थ आत्मिन तिष्ठामि यन्ममास्ति तदस्तु मे ॥ इति संचिन्त्य जनको यथाप्राप्तिकयामसौ । असक्तः कर्तुमुत्तस्थौ दिनं दिनपतिर्यथा ॥ भविष्यन्नानुसंघत्ते नातीतं चिन्तयत्यसौ । वर्तमाननिमेषं तु हसन्नेवानुवर्तते ॥'

(ल० १९. ६०-६४.) इति।

तदेवं यथोक्तेन वासनाक्ष्यण यथोक्ता जीवन्मुक्तिभीविष्यतीति सुस्थितम् ॥

इति श्रीमद्विद्यारण्यप्रणीते जीवन्मुक्तिविवेके वासनाक्ष्यनिरूपणं नाम द्वितीयं प्रकरणम् ॥ २ ॥

# तृतीयं मनोनाशप्रकरणम्।

अथ जीवन्मुक्तिसाधनं मनोनाशं निरूपयामः। यद्यप्यशेष-वासनाक्षये सति, अर्थान्मनो नश्यत्येव; तथाऽपि स्वातन्त्र्येण मनोनाशे सम्यगभ्यस्ते सति, वासनाक्षयो रिक्षतो भवति। न चाजिह्नत्वषण्डत्वाद्य-भ्यासेनैव तद्रक्षा सिद्धेति वाच्यम्, नष्टे मनस्यजिह्नत्वादीनामर्थ-सिद्धत्वेनाभ्यासप्रयासाभावात्। ननु मनोनाशाभ्यासप्रयासस्तत्राप्यस्तीति चेदस्तु नाम, तस्याविश्यकत्वात्। अन्तरेण मनोनाशमभ्यस्ता अप्य-जिह्नत्वादयो न स्थिरा भवन्ति। अत एव मनसो नाशनीयत्वं जनक आह—

> 'सहस्राङ्कुरशाखात्मफलपछवशालिनः । अस्य संसारवृक्षस्य मनो मूलमिति स्थितम् ॥ संकल्पमेव तन्मन्ये संकल्पोपशमे न तत् । शोषयामि यथा शोषमेति संसारपादपः ॥ प्रबुद्धोऽस्मि प्रबुद्धोऽस्मि दृष्टश्चोरो मयाऽऽत्मनः । मनोनामेह हन्म्येनं मनसाऽस्मि चिरं हतः ॥' (ल० १९. ५३—५५.) इति ।

### वसिष्ठोऽप्याह—

'अस्य संसारवृक्षस्य सर्वोपद्रवदायिनः । उपाय एक एवास्ति मनसः स्वस्य निग्रहः ॥ (रु० १७.१.) मनसोऽभ्युदयो नाशो मनोनाशो महोदयः।
ज्ञमनो नाशमभ्येति मनोऽज्ञस्य हि शृङ्खला॥ (ल०१७.५.)
तावित्रशीथवेताला वल्गन्ति हृदि वासनाः।
एकतत्त्वदृढाभ्यासाद्यावत्र विजितं मनः॥ (ल०१५.२३.)
प्रक्षीणचित्तदर्पस्य निगृहीतेन्द्रियद्विषः।
पिक्रन्य इव हेमन्ते क्षीयन्ते भोगवासनाः॥ (ल०१५.२२.)
हस्तं हस्तेन संपीड्य दन्तैर्दन्तान्विचूर्ण्य च।
अङ्गान्यङ्गैः समाक्रम्य जयेदादौ स्वकं मनः॥
(ल०१५.१८.)

एतावति धरणितले सुभगास्ते साधुचेतसः पुरुषाः । पुरुषकथासु च गण्या न जिता ये चेतसा स्वेन ॥

(ल० १५. १९.)

हृदयबिले कृतकुण्डल उल्बणकलनाविषो मनोभुजगः। यस्योपशान्तिमगमचन्द्रवदुदितं तमव्ययं वन्दे ॥'

(ल० १५. २०.)

'चित्तं नाभिः किलास्येदं मायाचकस्य सर्वतः । स्थीयते चेत्तदाकम्य तन्न किंचित्प्रबाधते ॥ ' (ल०. २३. ९२.) इति ।

# गौडपादाचार्येरप्युक्तम् —

'मनसो निम्रहायत्तमभयं सर्वयोगिणाम् । दुःखक्षयः प्रबोधश्चाप्यक्षया शान्तिरेव च ॥ '

(३. ४०.) इति।

### यत्त्वर्जुनेनोक्तम्--

'चञ्चलं हि मनः कृष्ण प्रमाथि बलवद् दृढम् । तस्याहं निम्नहं मन्ये वायोरिव सुदुष्करम् ॥ ' (भ० ६. ३४.) इति,

तद्वचनं हठयोगविषयम् । अत एव वाल्मीकिराह —

' उपिवश्योपिवश्येकिचित्तकेन मुहुर्मुहुः । न शक्यते मनो जेतुं विना युक्तिमनिन्दिताम् ॥ अङ्कुशेन विना मत्तो यथा दुष्टमतङ्गजः । विजेतुं शक्यते नैव तथा युक्त्या विना मनः ॥ ' (ल० २८. २६, २७.)

मनोविलयहेतूनां युक्तीनां सम्यगीरणम् ।
वसिष्ठेन कृतं तावत्तिष्ठस्य वशे मनः ॥
हठतो युक्तितश्चापि द्विविधो निम्रहो मतः ।
निम्रहो धीक्रियाक्षाणां हठो गोलकिनम्रहात् ॥
कदाचिज्जायते कश्चिन्मनस्तेन विलीयते ।
'अध्यात्मविद्याधिगमः साधुसंगम एव च ।
वासनासंपरित्यागः प्राणस्पन्दिनरोधनम् ।
एतास्तु युक्तयः पुष्टाः सन्ति चित्तजये किल ॥
सतीषु युक्तिष्वेतासु हठान्नियमयन्ति ये ।
चेतस्ते दीपमुत्सुज्य विनिध्नन्ति तमोऽञ्जनैः ॥
विम्रदाः कर्तुमुद्युक्ता ये हठाचेतसो जयम् ।
ते निबध्नन्ति नागेन्द्रमुन्मत्तं विसतन्तुभिः ॥ '
(ल० २८. २८—३१.) इति ।

निम्रहो द्विविधः, हठनिम्रहः क्रमनिम्रहश्चेति । तत्र चक्षुःश्रोत्रादिज्ञानेन्द्रियाणि वाक्पाण्यादिकर्मेन्द्रियाणि च तत्तद्गोलकोपरोधमात्रेण हठानिगृद्धन्ते ; तदृदृष्टान्तेन मनोऽपि तथा निम्रहीव्यामीति मृद्धस्य भ्रान्तिर्भवति ।
न तु तन्निम्रहीतुं शक्यते, तद्गोलकस्य हृद्यकमलस्य निरोद्धुमशक्यत्वात् । अतः क्रमनिम्रह एव योग्यः । क्रमनिम्रहे चाध्यात्मविद्याप्राप्त्यादय एवोपायाः । सा च विद्या दृश्यमिथ्यात्वं दृग्वस्तुनः
स्वप्रकाशत्वं च बोधयति । तथा च सत्येतन्मनः स्वगोचरेषु दृश्येषु
प्रयोजनाभावं प्रयोजनवति दृग्वस्तुन्यगोचरत्वं च बुद्ध्वा निरिन्धनामिवत्स्वयमेवोपशाम्यति । तथा च श्रूयते—

'यथा निरिन्धनो वहिः स्वयोनावुपशाम्यति । तथा वृत्तिक्षयाचित्तं स्वयोनावुपशाम्यति ॥ '

(मै० ४. ४.) इति।

योनिरात्मा। यस्तु बोधितमपि तत्त्वं न सम्यग्बुध्यते, यश्च विस्मरित, तयोरुभयोः साधुसंगम एवोपायः। साधवो हि पुनः पुनर्बोधयन्ति स्मारयन्ति च। यस्तु विद्यामदादिदुर्वासनया पीड्यमानो न साधूननु-वर्तितुमुत्सहते, तस्य पूर्वोक्तविवेकेन वासनापरित्याग उपायः। वासनानां प्रावक्येन त्यक्तुमशक्यत्वे प्राणस्पन्दिनरोधनमुपायः। प्राणस्पन्दवासन-योश्चित्तप्रेरकत्वात्तयोनिरोधे चित्तशान्तिरुपपद्यते। प्रेरकत्वं च वसिष्ठ आह—

' द्वे बीजे चित्तवृक्षस्य वृत्तिव्रतिधारिणः । एकं प्राणपरिस्पन्दो द्वितीयं दृढवासना ॥ (ल० २८. ३८.) सती सर्वगता संवित्प्राणस्पन्देन बोध्यते । संवेदनादनन्तानि ततो दुःखानि चेतसः ॥ '

(ल० २८. ४०.) इति।

यथा भस्मच्छन्नमिं लोहकारा दृतिभ्यां धमन्ति, तत्र च दृत्युत्पन्नेन वायुना सोऽग्निर्ज्वलित, तथा चित्तोपादानेन काष्ठस्थानीयेनाज्ञानेनावृता संवित्प्राणस्पन्देन बोध्यमाना चित्तवृत्तिरूपेण प्रज्वलित । तस्माचित्त-वृत्तिनामकात्संविज्ज्वालारूपात्संवेदनाद् दुःखान्युत्पद्यन्ते । सेयं प्राणस्पन्देन प्रेरिता चित्तोत्पत्तिः । अन्यां च स एवाह—

'भावसंवित्प्रकटितामनुभूतां च राघव । चित्तस्योत्पत्तिमपरां वासनाजनितां शृणु ॥ दृढाभ्यस्तपदार्थेकभावनादितचञ्चलम् । चित्तं संजायते जन्मजरामरणकारणम् ॥ ' इति ।

न केवलं प्राणवासनयोश्चित्तप्रेरकत्वम्, किं तु परस्परप्रेरकत्वमप्यस्ति। तदाह वसिष्ठः—

> 'वासनावशतः प्राणस्पन्दस्तेन च वासना । जायते चित्तवृक्षस्य तेन बीजाङ्कुरक्रमः ॥ '

> > (ल० २८. ६५.) इति।

अत एवान्यतरनाशेनोभयनाशमप्याह--

'द्वे बीजे चित्तवृक्षस्य प्राणस्पन्दनवासने । एकस्मिश्च तयोः क्षीणे क्षिपं द्वे अपि नश्यतः ॥ ' (ल० २८. ३४.) इति ।

तयोर्नाशोपायं नाशफलं चाह--

' प्राणायामद्दबाभ्यासैर्युक्त्या च गुरुदत्तया । आसनाशनयोगेन प्राणस्पन्दो निरुध्यते ॥ (७० २८. १२२.) असङ्गव्यवहारित्वाद्भवभावनवर्जनात् । शरीरनाशदर्शित्वाद्धासना न प्रवर्तते ॥ (ठ०२८.१२३.) वासनासंपरित्यागाचित्तं गच्छत्यचित्तताम् । प्राणस्पन्दनिरोधाच यथेच्छसि तथा कुरु ॥

(छ० २८. १२१.)

एतावन्मात्रकं मन्ये रूपं चित्तस्य राघव । यद्भावनं वस्तुनोऽन्तर्वस्तुत्वेन रसेन च ॥ (छ० २८. ५७.) यदा न भाव्यते किंचिद्धेयोपादेयरूपि यत् । स्थीयते सकलं त्यक्त्वा तदा चित्तं न जायते ॥ (छ० २८. ५४.)

अवासनत्वात्सततं यदा न मनुते मनः । अमनस्ता तदोदेति परमोपशमप्रदा ॥ ' (छ० २८. ५५.) इति ।

## अमनस्तानुदये शान्त्यभावमाह—

' चित्तयक्षदृढाक्रान्तं न मित्राणि न बान्धवाः । शक्नुवन्ति परित्रातुं गुरवो न च मानवाः ॥ ' इति ।

आसनाशनयोगेनेति यदुक्तं तत्रासनस्य लक्षणमुपायं फलं च त्रिभिः सूत्रैः पतञ्जलिः सूत्रयामास——'स्थिरसुखमासनम्' (२.४६.) 'प्रयत्न-शैथिल्यानन्तसमापत्तिभ्याम् ' (२.४७.) 'ततो द्वंद्वानभिघातः ' (२.४८.) इति । पद्मकस्वस्तिकादिना यादृशेन देहस्थापनरूपेण यस्य पुरुषस्यावयवव्यथानुत्पत्तिलक्षणं सुखं स्वदेहचलनराहित्यलक्षणं स्थैर्यं च संपद्यते, तस्य तदेव सुखमासनम् । तस्य च प्रयत्नशैथिल्यं लौिकक

उपायः। गमनगृहकृत्यतीर्थयात्रास्नानहोमादिविषयो यः प्रयन्नो मानस उत्साहस्तस्य शैथिल्यं कर्तव्यम्। अन्यथा स उत्साहो बलाद्देहमुत्थाप्य यत्र कापि प्रेरयति। अलौकिकोपायश्च 'फणासहस्रेण धरणीं धारियत्वा स्थैर्येणावतिष्ठते योऽयमनन्तः स एवाहमिस्म ' इति ध्यानं चित्त-स्यानन्तसमापितः। तया यथोक्तासनसंपादकमदृष्टं निष्पद्यते। सिद्धे चासने शीतोष्णसुखदुःखमानावमानादिद्वंद्वैर्यथापूर्वं नाभिहन्यते। तथा-विधस्य चासनस्य योग्यो देशः श्रूयते——

'विविक्तदेशे च सुखासनस्थः

ग्रुचिः समग्रीवशिरःशरीरः ॥ ' (कै० ४.) इति,

'समे शुचौ शर्करविह्नवालुका-विवर्जिते शब्दजलाशयादिभिः ।

मनोनुकूले न तु चक्षपीडने

गुहानिवाताश्रयणे प्रयोजयेत्॥ ' (श्वे० २.१०.) इति च।

#### सोऽयमासनयोगः ।

अशनयोगस्तु मिताहारत्वम् ,

'अत्याहारमनाहारं नित्यं योगी विवर्जयेत् ।' इति श्रुतेः ।

#### मगवताऽप्युक्तम्—

'नात्यश्चतस्तु योगोऽस्ति न चैकान्तमनश्चतः । न चातिस्वमशीलस्य जाम्रतो नैव चार्जुन ॥ युक्ताहारविहारस्य युक्तचेष्टस्य कर्मस्र । युक्तस्वमावबोधस्य योगो भवति दुःखहा ॥ '

(भ० ६. १६, १७.) इति।

### जितासनस्य प्राणायामेन मनोविनाशः श्वेताश्वतरैराम्नायते-—

'त्रिरुन्नतं स्थाप्य समं शरीरं हृदीन्द्रियाणि मनसा संनिवेश्य । ब्रह्मोडुपेन प्रतरेत विद्वान्स्रोतांसि सर्वाणि भयावहानि ॥ प्राणान्प्रपीडचेह स युक्तचेष्टः क्षीणे प्राणे नासिकयोच्छ्वसीत । दुष्टाश्वयुक्तमिव वाहमेनं विद्वान्मनो धारयेताप्रमत्तः ॥ ' (श्वे० २. ८, ९.) इति ।

योगी द्विविधः, विद्यामदाद्यासुरसंपद्गहितस्तत्सहितश्चेति । तयोराद्यस्य ब्रह्मध्यानेन मनिस निरुद्धे सित, तन्नान्तरीयकतया प्राणो निरुध्यते । तं प्रति 'न्निरुन्नतम्—' इति मन्त्रः पिठतः । द्वितीयस्याभ्यासेन प्राणे निरुद्धे, तन्नान्तरीयकतया मनो निरुध्यते । तं प्रति 'प्राणान्प्रपीड्य—' इति मन्त्रः प्रवृत्तः । प्राणपीडनप्रकारो वक्ष्यते । तेन च पीडनेन युक्तचेष्टो भवति । मनश्चेष्टा विद्यामदादयो निरुध्यन्ते । प्राणनिरोधेन चित्तदोषनिरोधे दृष्टान्तोऽन्यत्र श्रूयते—

'यथा पर्वतघातूनां दह्यन्ते धमनान्मलाः । तथेन्द्रियकृता दोषा दह्यन्ते प्राणनिग्रहात् ॥ ' (अ० ना० ७.) इति ।

### अत्रोपंपत्तिर्वसिष्ठेन दर्शिता--

'यः प्राणपवनस्पन्दश्चित्तस्पन्दः स एव हि । प्राणस्पन्दक्षये यत्नः कर्तन्यो धीमतोच्चकैः ॥ ' (ल० २८. १२५.) इति ।

मनोवाक्चक्षुरादीन्द्रियदेवताः स्वस्वव्यापारं निरन्तरं करिष्याम इति व्रतं धृत्वा श्रमरूपेण मृत्युना ग्रस्ताः। स च मृत्युः प्राणं नाप्नोत्। ततो

निरन्तरमुच्छ्वासनिःश्वासौ कुर्वन्नप्ययं प्राणो न श्राम्यति । तदा विचार्य देवताः प्राणरूपं प्राविशन् । सोऽयमर्थो वाजसनेयिभिराम्नायते — ' अयं वै नः श्रेष्ठो यः संचरंश्चासंचरंश्च न व्यथते, अथो न रिष्यति हन्तास्यैव सर्वे रूपमसामेति । एतस्यैव सर्वे रूपमभवंस्तस्मादेत एतेना-च्यायन्ते प्राणाः ' (बृ० १. ५. २१.) इति । अत इन्द्रियाणां प्राणरूपत्वं नाम प्राणाधीनचेष्टावत्त्वम् । तच्चान्तर्यामिब्राह्मणे सूत्रात्म-प्रस्तावे श्र्यते—-' वायुर्वे गौतम तत्स्त्रं वायुना वे गौतम स्त्रेणायं च लोकः परश्च लोकः सर्वाणि च भूतानि संदृष्ट्यानि भवन्ति । तस्माद्वै गौतम पुरुषं प्रेतमाहुर्व्यसंसिषतास्याङ्गानीति । वायुना हि गौतम स्त्रेण संदब्धानि भवन्ति ' (बृ० ३. ७. २.) इति । अतः प्राणमनःस्पन्दयोः सहभावित्वात्प्राणनिम्रहे मनो निगृह्यते । ननु सह स्पन्दो न युक्तः, सुषुप्तौ चेष्टमानेऽपि प्राणे मनसोऽचेष्टमानत्वात् । न, विलीनत्वेन तदानीं मनसः सत्त्वाभावात् । ननु क्षीणे प्राणे नासिकयोच्छूसीतेति ब्याहतम् । न हि क्षीणप्राणस्य मृतस्य श्वासं कचित्पश्यामः । नापि श्वसतो जीवतः प्राणक्षयो-ऽस्ति । मैवम् , अनुल्बणत्वस्य क्षयत्वेनात्र विवक्षितत्वात् । यथा खननच्छे-दनादिषु व्यापियमाणस्य पर्वतमारोहतः शीघ्रं धावतो वा श्वासवेगो यावान्भवति, न तावान्स्थितस्यासीनस्य निद्रितस्य वा विद्यते । तथा प्राणायामपाटवोपेतस्येतरस्मादल्पः श्वासो भवति । एतदेवाभिपेत्य श्रयते---

'भूत्वा तत्रायतप्राणः शनैरेव समुच्छ्वसेत् ।' इति ।

यथा दुष्टैरश्वैरुपेतो रथो मार्ग त्यक्त्वा यत्र कापि नीयते, स च सारिथना दृढमश्चं रज्जुष्वाकृष्य सुखमार्गे पुनर्घार्यते, तथेन्द्रियैर्वासनादिभिरितस्ततो नीयमानं चित्तं प्राणरज्जो दृढं धृतायां धार्यते । ' प्राणान्प्रपीड्य ' इति यदुक्तं तत्र प्राणपीडनप्रकारोऽन्यत्र श्रूयते-—

'सव्याहृतिं सप्रणवां गायत्त्रीं शिरसा सह । त्रिः पठेदायतप्राणः प्राणायामः स उच्यते ॥ प्राणायामास्त्रयः प्रोक्ता रेचपूरककुम्भकाः । उत्क्षिप्य वायुमाकाशं शून्यं कृत्वा निरात्मकम् ॥ शून्यभावेन युझीत रेचकस्येति लक्षणम् । वक्त्रेणोत्पलनालेन तोयमाकर्षयेत्तरः ॥ एवं वायुर्प्रहीतव्यः पूरकस्येति लक्षणम् । नोच्छ्वसेन्निःश्वसेन्नैव नैव गात्राणि चालयेत् ॥ एवं तावन्नियुझीत कुम्भकस्येति लक्षणम् ॥ '

(अ० ना० ११-१४.) इति ।

अत्र शरीरान्तर्गतं वायुं बिहर्निःसारियतुमुित्किप्य शारीरमाकाशं शून्यं निरात्मकं वायुरिहतं कृत्वा स्वल्पमिप वायुमप्रवेश्य शून्यभावेनैव नियमयेत्। सोऽयं रेचको भवति। कुम्भको द्विविधः, आन्तरो बाह्यश्चेति। तदुभयं च विसष्ठ आह—

ं अपानेऽस्तं गते प्राणो यावन्नाभ्युदितो हृदि । तावत्सा कुम्भकावस्था योगिभिर्याऽनुभूयते ॥

(ल० २९. २११.)

बहिरस्तं गते प्राणे यावन्न।पान उद्गतः । तावत्पूर्णसमावस्थां बहिःष्ठं कुम्भकं विदुः ॥ '

(ल० २९. २१६.) इति ।

तत्रोच्छ्रास आन्तरकुम्भकविरोधी; निःश्वासो बाह्यकुम्भकविरोधी; गात्र-चालनमुभयविरोधि, तस्मिन्सति निःश्वासोच्छ्वासयोरन्यतरस्यावश्यंभावि-त्वात । पतञ्जलिरप्यासनानन्तरभाविनं प्राणायामं सूत्रयामास — - 'तस्मिन्सति निःश्वासोच्छ्रासयोर्गतिविच्छेदः प्राणायामः ' (२. ४९.) इति । ननु कुम्भके गत्यभावेऽपि रेचकपूरकयोरुच्छ्वासनिःश्वासगती विद्येते इति चेन्न, अधिकमात्राभ्यासेन स्वभावसिद्धायाः समप्राणगतेर्विच्छेदात्। तमेवाभ्यासं सूत्रयति — 'बाह्याभ्यन्तरस्तम्भवृत्तिर्देशकालसंख्याभिः परिदृष्टो दीर्घः सूक्ष्मः ' (२. ५०.) इति । रेचको बाह्यवृत्तिः । पूरक आभ्यन्तर-वृत्तिः । कुम्भकः स्तम्भवृत्तिः । तत्रैकैको देशादिभिः परीक्षणीयः । तद्यथा -- स्वभावसिद्धे रेचके हृदयान्निर्गत्य नासाप्रसंभुखे द्वादशाङ्गुलपर्यन्ते श्वासः समाप्यते ; अभ्यासेन तु क्रमेण नाभेराधाराद्वा वायुर्निर्गच्छति ; चतुर्विशत्यङ्गुलपर्यन्ते षट्त्रिंशदङ्गुलपर्यन्ते वा समाप्तिः। अत्र रेचके प्रयत्नातिशये सति नाभ्यादिप्रदेशक्षोभेणान्तर्निश्चेतुं शक्यम् ; बहिश्च सूक्ष्मं तूलं धृत्वा तच्चालनेन निश्चेतव्यम् । सेयं देशपरीक्षा । रेचककाले प्रणवस्यावृत्तयो दश विंशतिस्त्रिंशदित्यादिभिः कालपरीक्षा । अस्मिन्मासे प्रतिदिनं दश रेचकाः, आगामिमासे विंशतिः, उत्तरमासे त्रिंशदित्या-दिभिः संख्यापरीक्षा । यथोक्तदेशकालविशिष्टाः प्राणायामा एकस्मिन्दिने दश विंशतिस्त्रिंशदित्यादिभिः संख्यापरीक्षा । पूरकेऽप्येवं योजनीयम् । यद्यपि कुम्भके देशव्याप्तिविशेषो नावगम्यते, तथाऽपि कालसंख्या-व्याप्तिरवगम्यत एव । यथा घनीभूतस्तूलपिण्डः प्रसार्यमाणो दीर्घो विरलतया सूक्ष्मश्च भवति, तथा प्राणोऽपि देशकालसंख्याधिवयेनाभ्य-स्यमानो दीर्घो दुर्रुक्षतया सूक्ष्मश्च संभवति । रेचकादिभ्यस्त्रिभ्योऽन्यं प्रकारं सूत्रयति — 'बाह्याभ्यन्तरविषयानपेक्षी चतुर्थः ' (२. ५१.) इति ।

यथाशक्ति सर्वे वायुं विरेच्यानन्तरं क्रियमाणो बहिष्कुम्भकः, यथाशक्ति वायुमापूर्यानन्तरं क्रियमाणोऽन्तःकुम्भकः, इति रेचकपूरकावनादृत्य केवलः कुम्भकोऽभ्यस्यमानः पूर्वत्रयापेक्षया चतुर्थो भवति । निद्रातन्द्रादि-प्रबलदोषयुक्तानां रेचकादित्रयम् ; दोषरिहतानां चतुर्थे इति विवेकः । प्राणायामफलं सूत्रयति— 'ततः क्षीयते प्रकाशावरणम्' (२.५२.) इति । प्रकाशस्य सत्त्वस्यावरणं तमो निद्रालस्यादिहेतुः, तस्य क्षयो भवति । क्षये सति फलान्तरं सूत्रयति— 'धारणासु च योग्यता मनसः' (२.५३.) इति । आधारनाभिचकहृदयभूमध्यब्रह्मरन्ध्रादिदेशविशेषे विकृष्य चित्तस्य स्थापनं धारणा, 'देशबन्धश्चित्तस्य धारणा ' (३.१.) इति सूत्रणात् । श्रुतिश्च—

'मनः संकल्पकं ध्यात्वा संक्षिप्यात्मिन बुद्धिमान् । धारियत्वा तथात्मानं धारणा परिकीर्तिता ॥ ' (अ० ना० १५.) इति ।

प्राणायामेन रजोगुणकार्याचाञ्चल्यात्तमोगुणकार्यादालस्यादेश्च निवारितं मनस्तस्यां धारणायां योग्यं भवति ।

> 'प्राणायामद्दाभ्यासैर्युक्त्या च गुरुदत्तया । ' (स्व २८. १२२.)

इत्यत्रत्येन युक्तिशब्देन योगिजनप्रसिद्धं शिरोरूपमेरुचालनम् , जिह्वाग्रेण घण्टिकाश्रमणम् , नाभिचके हृदये च ज्योतिर्ध्यानम् , विस्मृतिप्रदौष्धसेवा चेत्येवमादिकं गृह्यते ।

तदेवमध्यात्मविद्यासाधुसंगमवासनाक्षयप्राणनिरोधाश्चित्तनाशोपाया दर्शिताः । अथ तदुपायभूतं समाधि वक्ष्यामः । पश्चभूम्युपेतस्य

चित्तस्य भूमित्रयत्यागेनावशिष्टं भूमिद्वयं समाधिः । भूमयश्च योगभाष्यकृता दर्शिता:-- 'क्षिप्तं मृढं विक्षिप्तमेकाग्रं निरुद्धमिति पश्च चित्तस्य भूमयः ' (यो. भा. १. १.) इति । आसुरसंपल्लोकशास्त्रदेहवासनासु वर्तमानं चित्तं क्षिप्तम् , निद्रातन्द्रादिग्रस्तं मूढम् , कादाचित्कध्यानयुक्तं क्षिप्ताद्विशिष्टतया विक्षिप्तम् । तत्र क्षिप्तमूढयोः समाधिशङ्कैव नास्ति । विक्षिप्ते तु चेतिस विक्षेपोपसर्जनीभूतः समाधियोगपक्षे न वर्तते । विक्षेपान्तर्गततया दहनान्तर्गतबीजवत्स सद्य एव विनञ्यति । यस्त्वेकाग्रे चेतसि संभूतमर्थ द्योतयति. क्षिणोति च क्वेशान् , कर्मबन्धनानि श्रथयति, निरोधमभिमुखी-करोति. स संप्रज्ञातयोग इत्याख्यायते । सर्ववृत्तिनिरोधे त्वसंप्रज्ञात-समाधिः । तत्र संप्रज्ञातसमाधिभूमिमेकाग्रतां सूत्रयति -- ' शान्तोदितौ तुल्यप्रत्ययो चित्तस्यैकाप्रतापरिणामः ' (३. १२.) इति । शान्तोऽतीतः । उदितो वर्तमानः। प्रत्ययश्चित्तवृत्तिः। अतीतः प्रत्ययो यं पदार्थे गृह्णाति तमेव चेदुदितो गृह्णीयात्तदा तावुभौ तुल्यौ भवतः । तादशश्चित्तस्य परिणाम एकाम्रतेत्युच्यते । एकाम्रताभिवृद्धिलक्षणं समाधिं सूत्रयति — ' सर्वार्थतैकाम्रतयोः क्षयोदयौ चित्तस्य समाधिपरिणामः ' (३. ११.) इति । रजोगुणेन चाल्यमानं चित्तं क्रमेण सर्वान्पदार्थानगृह्णाति । तस्य रजोगुणस्य निरोधाय क्रियमाणेन योगिनः प्रयत्नविशेषेण दिने दिने सर्वार्थता क्षीयते, एकाम्रता चोदेति; तादृशश्चित्तस्य परिणामः समाधिरित्युच्यते । तस्य समाधेरष्टस्वङ्गेषु यमनियमासनप्राणायामप्रत्याहाराः पञ्च बहिरङ्गाणि । तत्र यमान्स्त्रयति—' अहिंसासत्यास्तेयब्रह्मचर्यापरिग्रहा यमाः ' (२. ३०.) इति । हिंसादिभ्यो निषिद्धधर्मेभ्यो योगिनं यमयन्तीति यमाः । नियमान्सूत्रयति—' शौचसंतोषतपःस्वाध्यायेश्वर-प्रणिधानानि नियमाः ' (२. ३२.) इति । जन्महेतोः काम्यधर्मान्निवर्त्य

मोक्षहेतो निष्कामधर्मे नियमयन्ति प्रेरयन्तीति नियमाः । यमनियमयो-रनुष्ठानवैलक्षण्यं स्मर्यते—

> 'यमान्कुर्वीत सततं न कुर्यान्नियमान्बुधः । यमान्पतत्यकुर्वाणो नियमान्केवलान्भजन् ॥' 'पतित नियमवान्यमेष्वसक्तो न तु यमवान्नियमालसोऽवसीदेत् । इति यमनियमो समीक्ष्य बुद्धचा यमबहुलेष्वनुसंद्धीत बुद्धिम् ॥' इति ।

यमनियमफलानि स्त्रयति—'तत्संनिधी वैरत्यागः, क्रियाफलाश्रय-त्वम्, सर्वरत्नोपस्थानम्, वीर्यलाभः, जन्मकथंतासंबोधः—जननादिभयाभावः, 'शौचात्स्वाङ्गजुगुप्सा परेरसंसर्गः,' 'सत्त्वशुद्धिसोमनस्यैकाष्रचेन्द्रियजयात्म-दर्शनयोग्यत्वानि च' संभवन्ति । 'संतोषादनुत्तमः सुखलाभः', 'काय-न्द्रियबुद्धिशुद्धिरशुद्धिक्षयात्तपसः', 'स्वाध्यायादिष्टदेवतासंप्रयोगः', 'समा-धिसिद्धिरीश्वरप्रणिधानात् '(२.३५-४५.) इति । आसनप्राणायामौ व्या-ख्यातौ । प्रत्याहारं स्त्रयति—'स्वविषयासंप्रयोगे चित्तस्वरूपानुकार इवेन्द्रि-याणां प्रत्याहारः '(२.५४.) इति । शब्दस्पर्शरूपरसगन्धा विषयाः, तेभ्यो निवर्तिताः श्रोत्रादयश्चित्तस्वरूपमनुकुर्वन्त इवावतिष्ठन्ते । श्रुतिश्च भवति—

' शब्दादिविषयान्पञ्च मनश्चैवातिचञ्चलम् । चिन्तयेदात्मनो रश्मीन्प्रत्याहारः स उच्यते ॥ ' (अ० ना० ५.) इति ।

शब्दादयो विषया येषां श्रोत्रादीनां ते श्रोत्रादयः पञ्च; मनःषष्ठानामेते-षामनात्मरूपेभ्यः शब्दादिभ्यो निवर्तनमात्मरिश्मत्वेन चिन्तनम्; प्रत्याहारः स इत्यर्थः । प्रत्याहारफलं स्त्रयित—'ततः परमा वश्यतेन्द्रियाणाम् ' (२. ५५.) इति । धारणाध्यानसमाधीन्स्त्रेस्त्रिभिः स्त्रयित—'देश-बन्धित्तस्य धारणा ' (३. १.) 'तत्र प्रत्ययैकतानता ध्यानम् ' (३. २.) 'तदेवार्थमात्रनिर्भासं स्वरूपशून्यिमव समाधिः' (३. ३.) इति । आधा-रादिदेशाः पूर्वमुक्ताः । देशान्तरं श्रूयते—

'मनः संकल्पकं ध्यात्वा संक्षिप्यात्मनि बुद्धिमान् । धारियत्वा तथात्मानं धारणा परिकीर्तिता ॥ '

(अ० ना० १५.) इति ।

'यत्सर्ववस्तुसंकल्पकं मनः, तदात्मानमेव संकल्पयतु न त्वन्यत् ' इत्येवंविधः प्रयत्न आत्मिन संक्षेपः । प्रत्ययस्यैकतानता तत्त्वैकविषयः प्रवाहः । स च द्विविधः—विच्छिद्य विच्छिद्य जायमानः, संततश्चेति । तावुभौ क्रमेण ध्यानसमाधी भवतः । तदुभयं सर्वानुभवयोगिना दर्शितम्—

' चित्तैकाप्र्याद्यतो ज्ञानमुक्तं समुपजायते । तत्साधनमतो ध्यानं यथावदुपदिश्यते ॥ विलाप्य विकृतिं कृत्स्नां संभवव्यत्ययकमात् । परिशिष्टं च सन्मात्रं चिदानन्दं विचिन्तयेत् ॥ ' इति, 'ब्रह्माकारमनोवृत्तिप्रवाहोऽहंकृतिं विना । संप्रज्ञातसमाधिः स्याद्धचानाभ्यासप्रकर्षजः ॥ ' इति च ।

# तं च भगवत्पादा उदाजहुः---

' दृशिस्वरूपं गगनोपमं परं सकृद्विभातं त्वजमेकमक्षरम् । अलेपकं सर्वगतं यदद्वयं तदेव चाहं सततं विमुक्त ओम् ॥ दशिस्तु शुद्धोऽहमविक्रियात्मको

न मेऽस्ति कश्चिद्विषयः स्वभावतः ।

पुरस्तिरश्चोर्ध्वमधश्च सर्वतः

संपूर्णभूमा त्वज आत्मनि स्थितः ॥

अजोऽमरश्चैव तथाऽजरोऽमृतः

स्वयंप्रभः सर्वगतोऽहमद्रयः ।

न कारणं कार्यमतीव निर्मलः

सदैव तृप्तश्च ततो विमुक्त ओम् ॥ '

(उ० १०. १-३.) इति ।

ननु संप्रज्ञातसमाधिरङ्गी; स कथं ध्यानानन्तरभाविनोऽष्टमाङ्गस्य समाधेः स्थान उदाहियते ? नायं दोषः, अत्यन्तभेदाभावात् । यथा वेदमधीयानो माणवकः पदे पदे स्वलन्पुनः पुनः समादधाति, अधीतवेदस्तु सावधानो न स्वलित, अध्यापको निरवधानस्तन्द्रां कुर्वन्निप न स्वलित; तथा विषयैनयेऽपि परिपाकतारतम्येन ध्यान-समाधिसंप्रज्ञातानामवान्तरभेदोऽवगन्तव्यः । धारणादित्रयं मनोविषय-त्वासंप्रज्ञातसमाधेरन्तरङ्गम् । यमादिपञ्चकं तु बहिरङ्गम् । तदेतत्स्त्रयति--- 'त्रयमन्तरङ्गं पूर्वेभ्यः ' (३. ७.) इति । ततः केनापि पुण्येनान्तरङ्गे प्रथमं लब्धे बहिरङ्गलाभाय नातिप्रयासः कर्तव्यः । यद्यपि पतञ्जलिना भौतिकभ्ततन्मात्रेन्द्रियाहंकारादिविषयाः संप्रज्ञातसविकल्पसमाधयो बहुधा प्रपश्चिताः, तथाऽपि तेषामन्तर्धानादिसिद्धिहेतुत्या मुक्तिहेतुसमाधिविरोधि-त्वान्नास्माभिस्तत्रादरः कियते । तथा च स्त्रितम्—-'ते समाधावुपसर्गा व्युत्थाने सिद्धयः' (३. ३८.) इति, 'स्थान्युपनिमन्त्रणे सङ्गस्मयाकरणं

पुनरनिष्टप्रसङ्गात् ' (३. ५२.) इति च। स्थानिनो देवाः। उदालको देवैरामन्त्रितोऽप्यवज्ञाय तान्देवान्निर्विकल्पसमाधिमेव चकारेत्युपाख्यायते। प्रश्लोत्तराभ्यामप्येवमेवावगम्यते—

#### श्रीरामः---

'जीवन्मुक्तशरीराणां कथमात्मविदां वर । शक्तयो नेह दृश्यन्त आकाशगमनादिकाः ॥'(ल०२८.१.)

#### वसिष्ठः----

- 'अनात्मविदमुक्तोऽपि नभोविहरणादिकम् । द्रव्यमन्त्रिक्रयाकालशक्त्याऽऽमोत्येव राघव ॥ नात्मज्ञस्येष विषय आत्मज्ञो ह्यात्ममात्रदृक् । आत्मनाऽऽत्मिन संतृप्तो नाविद्यामनुधावति ॥ (ल० २८.२,३.) ये केचन जगद्भावास्तानविद्यामयान्विदुः । कथं तेषु किलात्मज्ञस्त्यक्ताविद्यो निमज्जति ॥ (ल० २८.५.) द्रव्यमन्त्रिक्रयाकालशक्तयः साधु सिद्धिदाः । परमात्मपदपाप्तौ नोपकुर्वन्ति काश्चन ॥ (ल० २८.७.) सर्वेच्छाजालसंशान्तावात्मलाभोदयो हि यः । स कथं सिद्धिवाञ्छायां ममचित्तेन लभ्यते ॥ '
- 'न केचन जगद्भावास्तत्त्वज्ञं रञ्जयन्त्यमी । नागरं नागरीकान्तं कुयामललना इव ॥ ' इति,
- ' अपि शीतरुचावर्के सुतीक्ष्णेऽपीन्दुमण्डले । अप्यधः प्रसरत्यमौ जीवन्मुक्तो न विस्मयी ॥ (ल० २७.६६.)

चिदात्मन इमा इत्थं प्रस्फुरन्तीह शक्तयः। इत्यस्याश्चर्यजालेषु नाभ्युदेति कुतृहलम्॥' (ल० २७.६७.) 'यस्तु वा भावितात्माऽपि सिद्धिजालानि वाञ्छति। स सिद्धिसाधकेर्द्रव्येस्तानि साधयति क्रमात्॥' इति च।

आत्मविषयस्तु संप्रज्ञातसमाधिर्वासनाक्षयस्य निरोधसमाधेश्च हेतुः । तस्मा-दत्रादरः कृतः ।

अथ पञ्चमभूमिरूपो निरोधसमाधिर्निरूप्यते । तं च निरोधं सूत्रयति— ' व्युत्थाननिरोधसंस्कारयोरभिभवप्रादुर्भावौ निरोधक्षणचित्तान्वयो निरोधपरिणामः ' (३. ९.) इति । व्युत्थानसंस्काराः समाधिविरोधिनः । ते चोद्दालकस्य समाधावुदाहृताः—

'कदाऽहं त्यक्तमनने पदे परमपावने ।
चिरं विश्रान्तिमेष्यामि मेरुशृङ्ग इवाम्बुदः ॥
इति चिन्तापरवशो बलादुद्दालको द्विजः ।
पुनः पुनस्तूपविश्य ध्यानाभ्यासं चकार ह ॥
विषयैनीयमाने तु चित्ते मर्कटचञ्चले ।
न स लेभे समाधाने प्रतिष्ठां प्रीतिदायिनीम् ॥
कदाचिद्वाह्यसंस्पर्शपरित्यागादनन्तरम् ।
तस्यागच्छच्चित्तकपिरान्तरस्पर्शसंचयान् ॥
कदाचिदान्तरस्पर्शाद्वाह्यं विषयमाददे ।
तस्योड्डीय मनो याति कदाचित्त्वस्तपिक्षवत् ॥
कदाचिद्वितार्काभं तेजः पश्यति विस्तृतम् ।
कदाचित्वेवलं व्योम कदाचिन्निबिडं तमः ॥
(ल० २४. २९, ३५-३९.)

आगच्छतो यथाकामं प्रतिभासान्पुनः पुनः । अच्छिनन्मनसा शूरः खङ्गेनेव रणे रिपून् ॥ विकल्पौघे समाछने सोऽपश्यद्धदयाम्बरे । तमरछन्नविवेकार्के लोलकज्जलमेचकम् ॥ तद्प्यत्साद्यामास सम्यग्ज्ञानविवस्वता । तमस्युपरते स्वान्ते तेजःपुञ्जं ददर्श सः । तल्ललाव स्थलाञ्जानां वनं बाल इव द्विप: ॥ तेजस्यपरते तस्य घूर्णमानं मनो मुनेः । निशाब्जवदगान्निद्रां तामप्याशु लुलाव सः॥ निद्राच्यपगमे तस्य व्योमसंवित्समुद्ययौ । व्योमसंविदि नष्टायां मृढं तस्याभवन्मनः । मोहमप्येष मनसस्तं ममार्ज महाशयः ॥ ततस्तेजस्तमोनिद्रामोहादिपरिवर्जिताम् । कामप्यवस्थामासाद्य विशश्राम मनः क्षणम् ॥ '

(ल० २४. १०९--११४.) इति ।

त एते व्युत्थानसंस्कारा निरोधहेतुना योगिप्रयन्नेन प्रतिदिनं प्रतिक्षणं चाभिभूयन्ते ; तिद्वरोधिनश्च निरोधसंस्काराः प्रादुर्भवन्ति । तथा सित निरोध एकैकस्मिन्क्षणे चित्तमनुगच्छति । सोऽयमीद्दशिश्चत्तस्य निरोधपरिणामो भवति । ननु 'प्रतिक्षणपरिणामिनो हि भावा ऋते चितिशक्तः' इति न्यायेन चित्तस्य सर्वदा परिणामप्रवाहो वक्तव्यः । बाढम् । तत्र व्युत्थितचित्तस्य वृत्तिप्रवाहः स्फुटः ; निरुद्धचित्तस्य तु कथमित्याशङ्कचोत्तरं सूत्रयति—'ततः प्रशान्तवाहिता संस्कारात्'

(३. १०.) इति । यथा समिदाज्याहुतिप्रक्षेपे विह्नरुत्तरात्तरवृद्धचा प्रज्वलित, समिदादिक्षये प्रथमक्षणे किंचिच्छाम्यति, उत्तरोत्तरक्षणे शान्तिर्वर्धते ; तथा निरुद्धचित्तस्योत्तरोत्तराधिकः प्रश्नमः प्रवहति । तत्र पूर्वपूर्वप्रश्नमजनितः संस्कार एवोत्तरोत्तरप्रशमस्य कारणम् । तामेतां प्रशान्तवाहितां भगवान्विस्पष्टमुदाजहार—

'यदा विनियतं चित्तमात्मन्येवावितष्ठते । निःस्पृहः सर्वकामेभ्यो युक्त इत्युच्यते तदा ॥ यथा दीपो निवातस्थो नेक्कते सोपमा स्मृता । योगिनो यतचित्तस्य युक्जतो योगमात्मनः ॥ यत्रोपरमते चित्तं निरुद्धं योगसेवया । यत्र चैवात्मनाऽऽत्मानं पद्यन्नात्मिन तुष्यति ॥ सुखमात्यन्तिकं यत्तद् बुद्धियाद्यमतीन्द्रियम् । वेत्ति यत्र न चैवायं स्थितश्चलति तत्त्वतः ॥ यं लब्ध्वा चापरं लाभं मन्यते नाधिकं ततः । यस्मिन्स्थितो न दुःखेन गुरुणाऽपि विचाल्यते ॥ तं विद्याद् दुःखसंयोगवियोगं योगसंज्ञितम् । स निश्चयेन योक्तव्यो योगोऽनिर्विण्णचेतसा ॥ '

(भ० ६. १८-२३.) इति ।

निरोधसमाधेः साधनं सूत्रयति— 'विरामप्रत्ययाभ्यासपूर्वकः संस्कार-शेषोऽन्यः ' (१.१८.) इति । विरामो वृत्त्युपरमः, तस्य प्रत्ययः कारणं वृत्त्युपरमार्थः पुरुषप्रयत्नः, तस्याभ्यासः पौनःपुन्येन संपादनम् , तत्पूर्वकस्त-ज्जन्यः, अनन्तरातीतसूत्रे संप्रज्ञातसमाधेरुक्तत्वात्तदपेक्षयाऽन्योऽसंप्रज्ञातः समाधिः । तत्र वृत्तिरहितस्य चित्तस्वरूपस्य दुर्लक्षत्वात्संस्काररूपेण चित्तं शिष्यते । विरामप्रत्ययजन्यत्वं भगवान्विस्पष्टमाह—

> 'संकल्पप्रभवान्कामांस्त्यक्त्वा सर्वानशेषतः । मनसैवेन्द्रियग्रामं विनियम्य समन्ततः ॥ शनैः शनैरुपरमेद् बुद्धचा धृतिगृहीतया । आत्मसंस्थं मनः कृत्वा न किंचिदपि चिन्तयेत् ॥ यतो यतो निश्चरति मनश्चञ्चलमस्थिरम् । ततस्ततो नियम्यैतदात्मन्येव वशं नयेत् ॥'

> > (भ० ६. २४-२६.) इति।

काम्यमानाः स्रक्चन्दनवनितापुत्रमित्रगृहक्षेत्रादयो मोक्षशास्त्रकुशलविवेकि-जनप्रसिद्धैर्बहुभिर्दोषैरुपेता अप्यनाद्यविद्यावशात्तान्दोषानाच्छाद्य तेषु विषयेषु सम्यक्त्वं मनः कल्पयति । तस्माच संकल्पादिदं मे स्यादित्येवंरूपाः कामाः प्रभवन्ति । तथा च स्मर्यते—

> 'संकल्पमूलः कामो वै यज्ञाः संकल्पसंभवाः । काम जानामि ते मूलं संकल्पात्किल जायसे । न त्वां संकल्पयिष्यामि समूलस्त्वं विनङ्क्ष्यसि ॥' इति ।

तत्र विवेकेन विषयदोषेषु साक्षात्कृतेषु ग्रुना वान्ते पायस इव कामास्त्य-ज्यन्ते । स्रक्चन्दनादिष्विव ब्रह्मलोकादिष्वणिमाद्यष्टेश्वर्येषु च कामास्त्याज्या इत्यभिन्नेत्य सर्वानित्युक्तम् । मासोपवासत्रतिना तस्मिन्मासेऽन्ने त्यक्तेऽपि कामः पुनः पुनरुदेति ; तद्वन्मा भूदित्यशेषत इत्युक्तम् । कामत्यागे मनःपूर्वकप्रवृत्त्यभावेऽपि चक्षुरादीनां रूपादिषु या स्वभावसिद्धा प्रवृत्तिः साऽपि प्रयत्नयुक्तेन मनसैव नियन्तव्या । देवतादर्शनादिष्वप्यननुसरणाय समन्तत इत्युक्तम् । भूमिकाजयक्रमेणोपरमस्य विवक्षितत्वाच्छनैः शनै-रित्युक्तम् । ताश्च भूमिकाश्चतस्रः कठवल्लीषु श्रूयन्ते—

'यच्छेद्वाङ् मनसी प्राज्ञस्तद्यच्छेज्ज्ञान आत्मिन । ज्ञानमात्मिन महति नियच्छेत्तद्यच्छेच्छान्त आत्मिन ॥ ' (१. ३. १३.) इति ।

वाग्व्यापारो द्विविधः — लौकिको वैदिकश्च । जल्पादिरूपो लौकिकः, जपादिरूपो वैदिकः । तत्र लौकिकस्य बहुविक्षेपकरत्वाद् व्युत्थानकालेऽपि योगी तं परित्यजेत् । अत एव स्मर्यते-—

' मौनं योगासनं योगस्तितिक्षैकान्तशीलता । िनःस्पृहत्वं समत्वं च सप्तैतान्येकदण्डिनः ॥ ' इति ।

जपादिकं निरोधसमाधौ परित्यजेत्। सेयं वाग्भूमिः प्रथमा। तां भूमिं प्रयत्नमात्रेण कितपयैर्दिनैर्मासैर्वत्सरैर्वा दृढं विजित्य पश्चाद्वितीयायां मनोभूमौ प्रयतेत ; अन्यथा बहुभूमिकाप्रासादवत्प्रथमभूमिपातेनैवोपरितन-योगभूमयः सर्वा विनश्येयुः। यद्यपि चक्षुरादयो निरोद्धव्याः, तथाऽपि तेषां वाग्भूमौ मनोभूमौ वाऽन्तर्भावो द्रष्टव्यः। ननु बाचं मनिस नियच्छेदित्यनुपपन्नम् ; न हीन्द्रियस्येन्द्रियान्तरे प्रवेशोऽस्ति। मैवम् , प्रवेशस्याविवक्षितत्वात्। नानाविक्षेपकारिणोर्वाङ्मनसयोर्मध्ये प्रथमतो वाग्व्यापारित्यमनेन मनोव्यापारमात्रपरिशेष इह विवक्षितः। गोमहिषाश्वादीनामिव वाङ्नियमे स्वाभाविके संपन्ने, ततो ज्ञानात्मिन मनो नियच्छेत्। आत्मा त्रिविधः, ज्ञानात्मा महात्मा शान्तात्मा चेति।

जानात्यत्र स्थित आत्मेति ज्ञातृत्वोपाधिरहंकारोऽत्र ज्ञानशब्देन विवक्षितः, करणस्य मनसो नियम्यत्वेन पृथगुपात्तत्वात् । अहंकारो द्विविधः—विशेषरूपः सामान्यरूपश्चेति । 'अयमहमेतस्य पुत्रः ' इत्येवं व्यक्तमभिमन्यमानो विशेषरूपः । 'अस्मि 'इत्येतावन्मात्रमभिमन्यमानः सामान्यरूपः । स च सर्वव्यक्तिषु व्याप्तत्वान्महानित्युच्यते । ताभ्यामहंकाराभ्यां द्वाभ्यामुपहितौ द्वावात्मानौ । निरुपाधिकः शान्तात्मा । तदेतत्सर्वमन्तर्बहिर्भावेन वर्तते । शान्त आत्मा सर्वान्तरश्चिदेकरसः । तस्मिन्नाश्चितं जडशक्तिरूपमव्यक्तं मूलप्रकृतिः । सा च प्रथमं सामान्याहंकाररूपेण महत्तत्त्वनाङ्गा व्यक्तीभवति । ततो बहिर्विशेषाहंकाररूपेण, ततो बहिर्मनोरूपेण, ततो बहिर्मनोरूपेण, ततो बहिर्मनोरूपेण, ततो बहिर्मनोरूपेण । तदेतदभिषेत्योत्तरोत्तरमान्तरत्वं विविनक्ति श्चितः—

'इन्द्रियेभ्यः परा ह्यर्था अर्थेभ्यश्च परं मनः । मनसस्तु परा बुद्धिर्बुद्धेरात्मा महान्परः ॥ महतः परमव्यक्तमव्यक्तात्पुरुषः परः । पुरुषान्न परं किंचित्सा काष्टा सा परा गतिः ॥ ' (कठ० १. ३. १०, ११.) इति ।

एवं सत्यत्र नानाविधसंकल्पविकल्पसाधनं करणरूपं मनोऽहंकर्तिरि नियच्छेत् । मनोव्यापारान्परित्यज्याहंकारमात्रं शेषयेत् । न चैतदशक्यमिति वाच्यम् ,

'तस्याहं निम्रहं मन्ये वायोरिव सुदुष्करम् ॥'(भ०६.३४.) इति वदन्तमर्जुनं प्रति भगवतोत्तराभिधानात्—

> ' असंशयं महाबाहो मनो दुर्निग्रहं चलम् । अभ्यासेन तु कौन्तेय वैराग्येण च गृह्यते ॥

असंयतात्मना योगो दुष्प्राप इति मे मतिः । वस्यात्मना तु यतता शक्योऽवासुमुपायतः ॥ '

(भ० ६. ३५, ३६.) इति।

अभ्यासवैराग्यं पतञ्जिलिस्त्रोदाहरणेन व्याख्यास्येते । पूर्वपूर्वभूमिदाढर्च-रहितोऽसंयतात्मा । तत्सहितो वश्यात्मा । उपायतः प्राप्तिं गौडपादाचार्याः सदृष्टान्तमाहुः—

> ' उत्सेक उदर्धर्यद्वत्कुशाग्रेणैकिबन्दुना । मनसो निग्रहस्तद्वद्भवेदपरिखेदतः ॥ ' (३. ४१.) इति । ' बहुभिर्न विरोद्धव्यमेकेनापि बलीयसा । स पराभवमामोति समुद्र इव टिट्टिभात् ॥ '

अत्र संप्रदायविद आख्यायिकामाचक्षते—'कस्यचित्किल पिक्ष-णोऽण्डानि तीरस्थान्युद्धिरुत्सेकेनापजहार । तं च समुद्रं शोषयामीति प्रवृत्तः स च पक्षी स्वमुखाग्रेणैकैकं जलबिन्दुं बिहः प्रक्षिपित स्म । तदा बहुिभः पिक्षिभिर्बन्धुवर्गैर्वार्यमाणोऽप्यनुपरतः प्रत्युत तानिप सहकारिणो वत्रे । तांश्च पतनोत्पतनाभ्यां बहुधा क्किश्यतः सर्वानवलोक्य कृपालुर्नारदो गरुडं समीपे प्रेषयामास । ततो गरुडपक्षवातेन शुप्यन्समुद्रो भीत-स्तान्यण्डान्यानीय पिक्षणे ददौ ।' एवमखेदेन मनोनिरोधे परमधर्मे प्रवर्तमानं योगिनमीश्चरोऽनुगृह्णाति । अखेदश्च मध्ये मध्ये तदनुकूल-व्यापारिमश्रणेन संपद्यते, यथोदनं मुङ्जानस्तत्तद्श्रासान्तरे चोष्यलेह्यादीना-स्वादयित तद्वत् । इदमेवाभिष्रेत्य विसष्ठ आह—

> ' चित्तस्य भोगैद्वीं भागौ शास्त्रेणैकं प्रपूरयेत् । गुरुशुश्रृषया भागमन्युत्पन्नस्य सत्कमे ॥

किंचिद्वचुत्पत्तियुक्तस्य भागं भोगैः प्रपूरयेत् । गुरुशुश्रूषया भागौ भागं शास्त्रार्थिचन्तया ॥ व्युत्पत्तिमनुयातस्य पूरयेचेतसोऽन्वहम् । द्वौ भागौ शास्त्रवैराग्येद्वौ ध्यानगुरुपूजया ॥ '

(ल० २१. ३६-३८.) इति ।

भोगशब्देनात्र जीवनहेतुर्भिक्षाटनादिव्यापारो वर्णाश्रमोचितव्यापारश्चोच्यते । घटिकामात्रं मुहूर्ते वा यथाशक्ति योगमभ्यस्य ततो मुहूर्ते शास्त्रश्रवणेन परिचर्यया वा गुरूननुगम्य मुहूर्तं स्वदेहमनुस्रत्य मुहूर्तं योगशास्त्रं पर्यालोच्य पुनर्मुहूर्ते योगमभ्यस्येत् । एवं योगप्राधान्येन व्यापारान्तराणि मेलयंस्तानि द्रागभ्यस्य शयनकाले तद्दिनगतान्योगमुहूर्तान्गणयेत् । ततः परेद्युर्वा परपक्षे वा परमासे वा योगमुह्रर्तान्वर्धयत् । तथा चैकैकस्मिन्मुह्र्त एकैकक्षणयोगेऽपि संवत्सरमात्रेण भूयान्योगकालो भवति । न चैवं योगैकशरणत्वे व्या-पारान्तराणि छुप्येरन्निति शङ्कनीयम् , छप्तेतरकृत्स्वव्यापारस्यैव योगेऽधि-कारात् । अत एव विद्वत्संन्यासोऽपेक्ष्यते । तस्मात्तदेकनिष्ठः पुमानध्ये-तुवणिगादिवत्क्रमेण योगारूढो भवति । यथाऽध्येता माणवकः पादांशं पादमर्धर्चमृचमृग्द्वयं वर्ग च कमेण पठन्दशद्वादशवर्षेरध्यापको भवति, यथा च वाणिज्यं कुर्वन्नेकनिष्कद्विनिष्कादिक्रमेण लक्षपतिः कोटिपतिर्वा तथा ताभ्यां वणिगध्येतभ्यां सहैवोपक्रम्य मत्सर्यस्त इव कालेन कुतो न योगमारोहेत् ! तस्मात्पुनः पुनः युञ्जानस्तावता प्राप्यमाणान्संकल्पविकल्पानुद्दालकवत्पौरुषप्रयत्नेन परित्यज्याहंकर्तरि ज्ञाना-मनो नियच्छेत्। तामेतां द्वितीयभूमिकां विजित्य मूकादिवन्निर्मनस्त्वे स्वाभाविके सति, ततो विशेषाहंकाररूपं विस्पष्टं ज्ञानात्मानमस्पष्टे सामान्याहंकारे महत्तत्त्वे नियच्छेत्। यथा स्वल्पां तन्द्रां प्राप्तवतो विशेषाहंकारः स्वत एव संकुचित, विनैव तन्द्रां तथा विस्मरणे प्रयतमानस्याहंकारसंकोचो भवति। सेयं लोकप्रसिद्धया तन्द्रया तार्किकाभिमतनिर्विकल्पकज्ञानेन च समाना महत्तत्त्वमात्रपरिशेषावस्था तृतीया भूमिः। अस्यां चाभ्यासपाटवेन वशीकृतायां तमेतं सामान्याहंकार-रूपं महान्तमात्मानं निरुपाधितया शान्ते चिदेकरसस्वभावे नियच्छेत्।

'महत्तत्त्वं तिरस्कृत्य चिन्मात्रं परिशेषयेत् ।'

अत्रापि पूर्वोक्तिविस्मृतिप्रयत्न एव ततोऽप्यतिशयेनोपायतामापद्यते । यथा शास्त्राभ्यासप्रवृत्तस्य व्युत्पत्तेः प्रावप्रतिग्रन्थव्याख्यानापेक्षायामपि व्युत्पन्नस्य स्वत एवोत्तरग्रन्थार्थः प्रतिभाति, तथा सम्यग्वशीकृतपूर्वभूमेर्योगिन उत्तरभूम्युपायः स्वत एव प्रतिभाति । तदाह योगभाष्यकारः—

'योगेन योगो ज्ञातव्यो योगो योगात्प्रवर्तते । योऽप्रमत्तस्तु योगेन स योगी रमते चिरम् ॥' इति ।

ननु महत्तत्त्वशान्तात्मनोर्मध्ये महत्तत्त्वोपादानमव्यक्ताख्यं तत्त्वं श्रुत्यो-दाहृतम् । तत्र कृतो नियमनं नाभिधीयत इति चेन्न ; लयप्रसङ्गादिति ब्रूमः । यथा घटोऽनुपादाने जले निरुध्यमानो न लीयते, उपादानभूतायां तु मृदि लीयते ; तथा महत्तत्त्वमात्मिनि न लीयते, अव्यक्ते तु लीयते । न च स्वरूपलयः पुरुषार्थः, आत्मदर्शनानुपयोगात । 'दृश्यते त्वम्यया बुद्धचा सूक्ष्मया सूक्ष्मदर्शिभिः ' इति पूर्ववाक्ये आत्मदर्शनं विधाय सूक्ष्मत्वसिद्धये निरोधस्याभिधानात् , लयस्य प्रतिदिनं सुषुप्तौ स्वतः सिद्धत्वेन प्रयत्नवैयर्थ्याच्च । ननु धारणाध्यानसमाधिभिः साध्यस्य संप्रज्ञातस्यैकाम्यवृत्तिरूपत्वेन दर्शनहेतुत्वेऽपि शान्तात्मन्यवरुद्धस्यासंप्रज्ञात- समाधिमापन्नस्य चित्तस्य वृत्तिरहितत्वेन सुषुप्तिवन्न दर्शनहेतुत्विमिति चेन्न, स्वतः सिद्धस्य दर्शनस्य निवारियतुमशक्यत्वात्। अत एव श्रेयो-मार्गेऽभिहितम्—

> 'आत्मानात्माकारं स्वभावतोऽवस्थितं सदा चित्तम् । आत्मैकाकारतया तिरस्कृतानात्मदृष्टि विद्धीत ॥ ' इति ।

यथा घट उत्पद्यमानः स्वतो वियत्पूर्ण एवोत्पद्यते, जलतण्डुलादिपूरणं तृत्पन्ने घटे पश्चात्पुरुषप्रयन्नेन भवति ; यथा तत्र जलादौ निःसारितेऽपि न वियन्निःसारियेतुं शक्यते, मुखपिधानेऽप्यन्तर्वियदविष्ठत एव ; तथा चित्तमुत्पद्यमानमात्मचैतन्यपूर्णमेवोत्पद्यते । उत्पन्ने चित्ते पश्चान्मूषा-निषिक्तद्भुतताम्रवद्धटपटरूपरससुखदुःखादिवृत्तिरूपत्वं भोगहेतुधर्माधर्मादि-वशाद्भवति । तत्र रूपरसाद्यनात्माकारे निवारितेऽपि निर्निमित्तश्चिदाकारो न निवारियेतुं शक्यते । ततो निरोधसमाधिना निर्वृत्तिकेन संस्कारमात्र-शेषतया सूक्ष्मेण चिदात्ममात्राभिमुखत्वादेकाभ्रेण चित्तेन निर्विन्नमात्मा-ऽनुभूयते । अनेनैवाभिप्रायेण वार्त्तिककारसर्वानुभवयोगिनावाहतुः—

'सुखदुःखादिरूपित्वं धियो धर्मादिहेतुतः । निर्हेतु त्वात्मसंबोधरूपत्वं वस्तुवृत्तितः ॥ प्रशान्तवृत्तिकं चित्तं परमानन्ददीपकम् । असंप्रज्ञातनामाऽयं समाधियोगिनां प्रियः ॥' इति ।

आत्मदर्शनस्य स्वतःसिद्धत्वेऽप्यनात्मदर्शनवारणाय निरोधाभ्यासः। अत एवोक्तम्—

> 'आत्मसंस्थं मनः कृत्वा न किंचिदपि चिन्तयेत्।' (भ० ६. २५.) इति ।

योगशास्त्रस्य चित्तचिकित्सकसमाधिमात्रे प्रवृत्तत्वात्तिरोधसमाधा-वात्मदर्शनं तत्र न साक्षादुक्तम् । भङ्गचन्तरेण त्वभ्युपगम्यते, 'योगश्चित्त-वृत्तिनिरोधः' (१. २.) इति सूत्रयित्वा 'तदा द्रष्टुः स्वरूपेऽवस्थानम् ' (१. ३.) इति सूत्रणात् । यद्यपि निर्विकारो द्रष्टा सदा स्वरूप एवावतिष्ठते, तथाऽपि वृत्तिषूत्पद्यमानासु तत्र चिच्छायायां प्रतिविग्वितायां तद्विवेकादस्वस्थ इव द्रष्टा भवति । तद्प्यनन्तरसूत्रेणोक्तम्—'वृत्तिसा-रूप्यमितरत्र' (१. ४.) इति । अन्यत्रापि सूत्रितम्—'सत्त्वपुरुष-योरत्यन्तासंकीर्णयोः प्रत्ययाविशेषो भोगः परार्थत्वात्—'(३. ३६.) इति, 'चितेरप्रतिसंक्रमायास्तदाकारापत्तो स्वबुद्धिसंवेदनम्' (४. २२.) इति च । निरोधसमाधिना शोधिते त्वंपदार्थे साक्षात्कृतेऽपि तस्य ब्रह्मत्वं गोचरयितुं महावाक्येन ब्रह्मविद्यानामकं वृत्त्यन्तरमुत्पद्यते ; न च शुद्धत्वंपदार्थ-साक्षात्कारे निरोधसमाधिरेक एवोपायः ; किं तु चिज्जडविवेकेनापि पृथक्कृते तत्र साक्षात्कारसंभवात् । अत एव वसिष्ठ आह—

> 'द्वी क्रमी चित्तनाशस्य योगो ज्ञानं च राघव । योगस्तद्वृत्तिरोधो हि ज्ञानं सम्यगवेक्षणम् ॥ '

> > (ल० २७. ७२.) इति,

' असाध्यः कस्यचिद्योगः कस्यचिज्ज्ञाननिश्चयः । प्रकारौ द्वौ ततो देवो जगाद परमेश्वरः ॥ '

(ल० २९. ६०.) इति च।

ननु विवेकोऽपि योगे पर्यवस्यति, दर्शनवेलायामात्ममात्रगोचराया एकाप्रवृत्तेः क्षणिकसंप्रज्ञातरूपत्वात् । बाढम् । तथाऽपि संप्रज्ञाता-संप्रज्ञातयोः स्वरूपतः साधनतश्चास्त्येव महद्वैलक्षण्यम् । वृत्त्यवृत्तिभ्यां स्फुटः स्वरूपभेदः। साधनं तु संप्रज्ञातस्य सजातीयत्वाद्धारणादि-त्रयमन्तरङ्गम्, असंप्रज्ञातस्य त्ववृत्तिकस्य विजातीयत्वाद्धहिरङ्गम्। तथा च सूत्रम्—'तदिष बहिरङ्गं निर्वीजस्य' (३.८.) इति। विजा-तीयत्वेऽिष बहुविधानात्मवृत्तिनिवारणेनोपकारितया बहिरङ्गत्वमविरुद्धम्। तदेवोपकारित्वं विशदियतुं सूत्रयति—'श्रद्धावीर्यस्मृतिसमाधिप्रज्ञापूर्वक इतरेषाम्' (१.१०.) इति। केषांचिद्देवादीनां पूर्वसूत्रे जन्मनैव समाधि-मुक्त्वा मनुष्यान्त्रत्येतदुच्यते। ममायं योग एव परमपुरुषार्थसाधनिमिति प्रत्ययः श्रद्धा। सा चोत्कर्षश्रवणेनोपजायते। तदुत्कर्षश्च स्मर्यते—

> 'तपस्विभ्योऽधिको योगी ज्ञानिभ्योऽपि मतोऽधिकः। कर्मिभ्यश्चाधिको योगी तस्माद्योगी भवार्जुन ॥' (भ० ६. ४६.) इति।

उत्तमलोकसाधनत्वात्कृच्छूचान्द्रायणादितपसो ज्योतिष्टोमादिकर्मणश्च योगोऽधिकः । ज्ञानं प्रत्यन्तरङ्गत्वाचित्तविश्रान्तिहेतुतया च ज्ञानाद्प्यधिकः ।
एवं जानतो योगे श्रद्धा जायते । तस्यां च श्रद्धायां वासितायां
वीर्यमुत्साहो भवति— सर्वथा योगं संपादियप्यामीति । एतादृशेनोत्साहेन
तदा तदाऽनुष्टेयानि योगाङ्गानि स्मर्यन्ते । तया च स्मृत्या सम्यगनुष्ठितसमाधेरध्यात्मप्रसादे सत्यृतंभरा प्रज्ञोदेति । तत्प्रज्ञापूर्वकस्तत्प्रज्ञाकारणकोऽसंप्रज्ञातसमाधिरितरेषां देवादिभ्योऽर्वाचीनानां मनुष्याणां सिध्यति ।
तां च प्रज्ञां सूत्रयति— 'ऋतंभरा तत्र प्रज्ञा ' (१. ४८.) इति ।
ऋतं सत्यं वस्तुयाथात्म्यं विभित्ते प्रकाशयतीति ऋतंभरा । तत्र
तिस्मन्समाध्युत्कर्षजन्येऽध्यात्मप्रसादे सतीत्यर्थः । ऋतंभरत्वोपपत्तिं सूत्रयति— 'श्रुतानुमानप्रज्ञाभ्यामन्यविषया विशेषार्थत्वात् ' (१. ४९.) इति ।

स्क्ष्मव्यवहितिवप्रकृष्टवस्तुष्वयोगिप्रत्यक्षं न प्रवर्तते । आगमानुमानाभ्यां तानि वस्तून्ययोगिभिर्ज्ञायन्ते । ते च शास्त्रानुमानजन्ये प्रज्ञे वस्तुसामान्य-मेव गोचरयतः । इदं तु योगिप्रत्यक्षं वस्तुविशेषगोचरत्वादृतंभरम् । तस्य च योगिप्रत्यक्षस्यासंप्रज्ञातसमाधौ बहिरङ्गत्वसिद्धचर्थमुपकारित्वं स्त्र-यित—'तज्जः संस्कारोऽन्यसंस्कारप्रतिबन्धी ' (१. ५०.) इति । असंप्रज्ञातसमाधेबहिरङ्गसाधनमुक्त्वा तिन्नरोधप्रयत्नस्यान्तरङ्गसाधनतां सूत्र-यति—'तस्यापि निरोधे सर्वनिरोधान्निर्वीजः समाधिः' (१. ५१.) इति । सोऽयं समाधिः सुषुप्तिसमानः साक्षिचैतन्येनानुभिवतुं शक्यः । न चासौ सर्वधीवृत्तिराहित्यात्सुषुप्तिरेवेति शङ्कनीयम् , मनःस्वरूपस्य सद-सत्त्वाभ्यां विशेषात् । तदुक्तं गौडपादाचार्येः—

'निगृहीतस्य मनसो निर्विकल्पस्य धीमतः । प्रचारः स तु विज्ञेयः सुपुप्तेऽन्यो न तत्समः ॥ लीयते हि सुषुप्तौ तन्निगृहीतं न लीयते । तदेव निर्भयं ब्रह्म ज्ञानालोकं समन्ततः ॥ '

(३. ३४, ३५.) इति,

'द्वैतस्याग्रहणं तुल्यमुभयोः प्राज्ञतुर्ययोः । बीजनिद्रायुतः प्राज्ञः सा च तुर्ये न विद्यते ॥ स्वप्ननिद्रायुतावाद्यौ प्राज्ञस्त्वस्वप्ननिद्रया । न निद्रां नैव च स्वग्नं तुर्ये पश्यन्ति निश्चिताः ॥ अन्यथा गृह्वतः स्वग्नो निद्रा तत्त्वमजानतः । विपर्यासे तयोः क्षीणे तुरीयं पदमश्नुते ॥'

(१. १३-१५.) इति च।

आद्यौ विश्वतैजसौ । अद्वैतस्य वस्तुनोऽन्यथाप्रहणं नाम द्वैतरूपेण प्रति-भासः । स च विश्वतैजसयोर्वर्तमानः स्वम्न इत्युच्यते । तत्त्वस्याज्ञानं निद्रा। सा च विश्वतैजसपाज्ञेषु वर्तते। तयोः स्वमनिद्रयोः स्वरूप-भूतयोर्विपर्यासो मिथ्याज्ञानम् । तस्मिन्विद्यया क्षीणे सति तुरीयं पदमद्वैतं वस्त्वश्नुतेऽनुभवतीत्यर्थः । नन्वस्त्वेवमसंप्रज्ञातसमाधिसुषुप्त्योर्महान्भेदः ; तत्र तत्त्वदिदृशोर्दर्शनसाधनत्वेन समाध्यपेक्षायामपि दृष्टतत्त्वस्य जीवन्मुक्तये नास्ति तदपेक्षा, रागद्वेषादिक्केशबन्धस्य सुषुप्त्याऽपि निवृत्तेः । मैवम् । किं प्रतिदिनं स्वतः प्राप्ता कादाचित्की सुषुप्तिर्बन्धनिवर्तिका, किं वाऽभ्यासेन निरन्तर-वर्तिनी ? आद्येऽपि किं सुषुप्तिकालीनस्य क्लेशबन्धस्य निवृत्तिः, किं वा काला-न्तरवर्तिनः १ नाद्यः, अप्रसक्तेः । न हि मृढानामि सुषुप्तौ क्वेशबन्धः ; अन्य-थाऽऽयासः प्रसज्येत । न द्वितीयः, असंभवात् । न ह्यन्यकालीनया सुषुप्त्या कालान्तरवर्तिनः क्वेशस्य क्षयः संभवति ; अन्यथा मृढानामपि जागरणस्वमयोः क्केशस्य क्षयः प्रसज्येत । नापि सुषुप्तौ नैरन्तर्यमभ्यसितुं शक्यम् , तस्याः कर्मक्षयनिमित्तत्वात् । तस्मात्तत्त्वविदोऽपि क्वेशक्षयायास्त्येवासंप्रज्ञातसमा-ध्यपेक्षा । तस्य च समाधेर्गवाश्वादिष्विव वाङ्निरोधः प्रथमा भूमिः । बालमूढादिष्विव निर्मनस्त्वं द्वितीया । तन्द्रायामिवाहंकारराहित्यं तृतीया । सुषुप्ताविव महत्तत्त्वराहित्यं चतुर्थी । तदेतद्भूमिचतुष्टयमभिप्रेत्य ' शनैः शनैरुपरमेत् ' इत्युक्तम् । अत्र चोपरमे धृतिगृहीता बुद्धिः साधनम् । मह-दहंकारमनोवागादीनां स्वत एव तीत्रवेगेण बहिः प्रवहतां कूलंकषाया नद्या इव निरोधे धैर्य महदपेक्षितम् । बुद्धिर्विवेकः । पूर्वा भूमिर्जिता वा न वेति परीक्ष्य जितायामुत्तरभूम्युपक्रमः ; अजितायां तु सैव पुनरभ्यसनीयेति तदा तदा विविष्टयात् । 'आत्मसंस्थम्—' इत्यादिना सार्धश्लोकेन चतुर्थ-भूम्यभ्यासोऽपि स्मृतः । गौडपादाचार्या आहुः ---

'उपायेन निगृह्णीयाद्विक्षिप्तं कामभोगयोः ।
सुप्रसन्नं लये चैव यथा कामो लयस्तथा ॥
दुःखं सर्वमनुस्मृत्य कामभोगान्निवर्तयेत् ।
अजं सर्वमनुस्मृत्य जातं नैव तु पश्यति ॥
लये संबोधयेचित्तं विक्षिप्तं शमयेत्पुनः ।
सकषायं विजानीयात्समप्राप्तं न चालयेत् ॥
नास्वादयेत्सुखं तत्र निःसङ्गः प्रज्ञया भवेत् ।
निश्चलं निश्चरचित्तमेकीकुर्यात्प्रयत्नतः ॥
यदा न लीयते चित्तं न च विक्षिप्यते पुनः ।
अनिङ्गनमनाभासं निष्पन्नं ब्रह्म तत्तदा ॥ '

(३. ४२-४६.) इति ।

लयविक्षेपकषायसमप्राप्तयश्चतस्रश्चित्तस्यावस्थाः । तत्र निरुध्यमानं चित्तं विषयेभ्यो व्यावृत्तं सत्पूर्वाभ्यासवशाद्यदि लयाय सुषुप्तयेऽभिमुखं भवेत् , तदानीमुत्थापनप्रयत्नेन लयकारणनिवारणेन वा तिचत्तं सम्यवप्रबोधयेत् । लयहेतवो निद्राशेषाजीर्णबह्दशनश्रमाः । अत एवाहुः—

'समापय्य निद्रां सुजीर्णाल्पभोजी श्रमत्याग्यबाधे विविक्ते प्रदेशे । सदाऽऽसीत निस्तृष्ण एवाप्रयत्नो-ऽथ वा प्राणरोधी निजाभ्यासमार्गात् ॥ ' इति ।

लयादुत्थापितं चित्तं दैनंदिनप्रबोधाभ्यासवशाद्यदि कामभोग-योर्विक्षिप्येत, तदा विवेकिजनप्रसिद्धभोग्यवस्तुगतसर्वदुःखानुस्मरणेन शास्त्र-प्रसिद्धजन्मादिरहिताद्वितीयब्रह्मतत्त्वानुस्मरणपूर्वकेण भोग्यवस्तुदर्शनेन च पुनः पुनर्विक्षेपाचित्तं शमयेत् । कषायस्तीत्रश्चित्तदोषः । तीत्ररागद्वेषादिवास-नया प्रस्तं चित्तं कदाचित्समाहितमिव लयविक्षेपरहितं दुःखैकाप्रमवतिष्ठते ; तादृशं तचित्तं विजानीयात् , समाहितचित्ताद्विवेकेनावगच्छेत् । असमा-हितमेतदित्यवगम्य लयविक्षेपवत्कषायस्य प्रतीकारं कुर्यात् । समशब्देन त्रह्माभिधीयते ।

> 'समं सर्वेषु भूतेषु तिष्ठन्तं परमेश्वरम् ।' (भ० १३. १७.) इति स्मृतेः ।

लयविक्षेपकषायेषु परिह्नतेषु परिशेषाचित्तेन समं ब्रह्म प्राप्यते । तच समप्राप्तं चित्तं लयकषायभ्रान्त्या न चालयेत् । सूक्ष्मया बुद्धचा लयकषायप्राप्ती विविच्य तस्यां समप्राप्तावितप्रयत्नेन चिरं स्थापयेत् । स्थापिते तस्मिन्ब्रह्मस्वरूपभूतः परमानन्दः सम्यगाविर्भवति । तथा चोदाहृतम्—

' सुखमात्यन्तिकं यत्तद् बुद्धिग्राह्यमतीन्द्रियम् । ' (भ० ६. २१.) इति ।

श्रुतिश्च भवति--

'समाधिनिर्धूतमलस्य चेतसो निवेशितस्यात्मनि यत्सुखं भवेत् । न शक्यते वर्णयितुं गिरा तदा स्वयं तदन्तःकरणेन गृह्यते ॥ ' (मै० ४. ९.) इति ।

ननु समाध्याविर्भूतस्य ब्रह्मानन्दस्य बुद्धिप्राह्मत्वं श्रुतिस्मृतिभ्यामभि-हितम् ; आचार्येस्तु—' नास्वादयेत्सुखं तत्र ' इति बुद्धिप्राह्मत्वं प्रतिषिध्यत

इति चेत् । नायं दोषः । तत्र निरोधसुखं बुद्धिग्राह्यं न प्रतिषिध्यते, तु समाधिविरोधिनो व्युत्थानरूपस्य परामर्शस्यैव प्रतिषेधात्। यथा निदाघदिवसेषु मध्याहे जाह्नवीहदनिममेनानुभूयमानमपि शैत्यसुखं तदा वक्तुमशक्यं पश्चादुन्ममेनाभिधीयते ; यथा वा सुषुप्तावविद्या-वृत्तिभिरतिसूक्ष्माभिरनुभूयमानमपि स्वरूपसुखं तदानीं सविकल्पकेनान्त:-करणवृत्तिज्ञानेन प्रहीतुमशक्यम् , प्रबोधकाले तु स्मृत्या विस्पष्टं परामृहयते ; तथा समाधौ वृत्तिरहितेन संस्कारमात्रशेषतया सूक्ष्मेण वा चित्तेन सुखानुभवः श्रुतिस्मृत्योर्विवक्षितः । 'महदिदं समाधिसुख-मन्वभूवम् ' इत्येतादृशो व्युत्थितस्य सविकल्पकः परामर्शोऽत्रास्वादनम् ; तदेवाचार्यैः प्रतिषिध्यते । तमेव स्वाभिप्रायं प्रकटयितुम् 'निःसङ्गः प्रज्ञया भवेत् ' इत्युक्तम् । प्रकृष्टं सविकल्पकं ज्ञानं प्रज्ञा ; तया सह सङ्गं परित्यजेत्। यद्वा पूर्वोक्ता धृतिगृहीता बुद्धिः प्रज्ञा ; तदात्मकेन साधनेन सुखास्वादनतद्वर्णनादिरूपामासक्ति वर्जयेत् । समाधौ ब्रह्मानन्दे निममं चित्तं यदि कदाचित्सुखास्वादनाय वा शीतवातम-शकाद्यपद्रवेण वा निश्चरेत्तदा निश्चरत्तचित्तं पुनः पुनर्निश्चलं यथा भवति तथा परब्रह्मणा सहैकीकुर्यात्। तत्र च निरोधप्रयत एव साधनम् । एकीभाव एव 'यदा न लीयते चित्तम्' इत्यनेन स्रोकेन स्पष्टीकियते । 'अनिङ्गनमनाभासम् ' इत्याभ्यां पदाभ्यां कषायसुखास्वादौ द्वौ च प्रतिषिध्येते । लयविक्षेपकषायसुखास्वादेभ्यो विनिर्मुक्तं चित्तमवि-च्छेदेन ब्रह्मण्यवस्थितं भवति । एतदेवाभिप्रेत्य कठवछीषु पठ्यते—

> 'यदा पञ्चावतिष्ठन्ते ज्ञानानि मनसा सह । बुद्धिश्च न विचेष्टति तामाहुः परमां गतिम् ॥

# तां योगमिति मन्यन्ते स्थिरामिन्द्रियधारणाम् । अप्रमत्तदा भवति योगो हि प्रभवाप्ययौ ॥ '

(कठ० २. ३. १०, ११.) इति ।

उपेक्षितो योग इन्द्रियवृत्तीनां प्रभवं करोति । अनुष्ठितस्तु तासां लयहेतुः । अत एव योगस्य स्वरूपलक्षणं सूत्रयति—'योगश्चित्तवृत्तिनिरोधः ' (१. २.) इति । वृत्तीनामानन्त्यान्निरोघोऽशक्य इति शङ्कां वारयितु-मियत्तां सूत्रयति— 'वृत्तयः पञ्चतय्यः क्किष्टाः अक्किष्टाः ' (१. ५.) इति । रागद्वेषादिक्केशरूपा आसुरवृत्तयः क्किष्टाः । रागादिरहिता दैव-वृत्तयोऽक्किष्टाः । यद्यपि पश्चस्वेव क्किष्टानामक्किष्टानां चान्तर्भावः, तथाऽपि क्रिष्टा एव निरोद्धव्या इति मन्दबुद्धि वारियतुं ताभिः सहाक्रिष्टा अप्युदाहृताः । नामधेयलक्षणाभ्यां ता वृत्तीर्विशदयितुं सूत्रषट्कमाह— ' प्रमाणविपर्ययविकल्पनिद्रास्मृतयः ' (१. ६.) ' प्रत्यक्षानुमानागमाः प्रमाणानि ' (१. ७.), 'विपर्ययो मिथ्याज्ञानमतद्रूपप्रतिष्ठम् ' (१. ८.), 'शब्दज्ञानानुपाती वस्तुशून्यो विकल्पः' (१.९.), 'अभावप्रत्यया-लम्बना वृत्तिर्निद्रा ' (१. १०.), 'अनुभूतविषयासंप्रमोषः स्मृतिः ' (१. ११.), इति । वस्त्वभावः प्रतीयते यस्मिस्तमस्यावरके सति तत्तमोऽभावप्रत्ययः । तमोगुणं विषयीकुर्वती वृत्तिर्निद्रेत्युच्यते । अनुभूत-विषयस्यासंप्रमोषस्तदनुभवजन्यमनुसंधानम् । पश्चविधवृत्तिनिरोधसाधनं सूत्र-यति—'अभ्यासवैराग्याभ्यां तन्निरोधः' (१.१२.) इति । यथा तीववेगोपेतं नदीप्रवाहं सेतुबन्धनेन निवार्य कुल्याप्रणयनेन क्षेत्राभिमुखं तिर्यक्प्रवाहान्तरमुत्पाद्यते, तथा वैराग्येण चित्तनद्या विषयप्रवाहं निवार्य समाध्यभ्यासेन प्रशान्तः प्रवाहः संपाद्यते । मन्त्रजपदेवताध्यानादीनां

क्रियारूपत्वेनावृत्तिलक्षणोऽभ्यासः संभाव्यते । सर्वव्यापारोपरमरूपस्य समाधेः साधकः को नामाभ्यास इति शक्कां वारियतुं सूत्रयति—'तत्र स्थितौ यत्नोऽभ्यासः' (१.१३.) इति । स्थितिनैश्चल्यं निरोधः । यत्नो मानस उत्साहः । स्वत एव बहिष्प्रवाहशीलं चित्तं सर्वथा निरोध- यिष्यामीत्येवंविध उत्साह आवर्त्यमानोऽभ्यास इत्युच्यते । अयमभ्यास इदानीं प्रवृत्तः स्वयमदृदः सन्ननादिप्रवृत्ता व्युत्थानवासनाः कथमभिभवेदित्याशक्कामपविदतुं सूत्रयति—'स तु दीर्घकालनैरन्तर्यसत्कारसेवितो दृदभूमिः' (१.१४.) इति । लोका हि मृदस्य वचनमुदाहरन्ति 'विद्यमानाश्चत्वार एव वेदाः, तानध्येतुं गतस्य माणवकस्य पश्च दिवसा अतीताः, अद्याप्यसौ नागतः' इति । तादृश एवायं योगी तदा स्याद्यदा दिवसौर्वा मासैर्वा योगसिद्धि वाञ्छेत् । तस्मात्संवत्सरैर्जन्मभिर्वा दीर्घकालं योग आसेवितव्यः । तथा च स्मर्यते—

'अनेकजन्मसंसिद्धस्ततो याति परां गतिम् ॥ ' (भ० ६. ४५.) इति ।

चिरमासेव्यमानोऽपि यदि विच्छिद्य विच्छिद्य सेव्येत, तर्द्युत्पद्यमानानां योग-संस्काराणां समनन्तरभाविभिर्विच्छेदकारिभिर्व्युत्थानकालीनैः संस्कारैरिभभवे सित खण्डनकारोक्तन्याय आपतेत — ' ¹अम्रे धावन्पश्चाल्छप्यमानो विस्म-रणशीलश्रुतविकमालम्बेत ' इति । तस्मान्निरन्तरमासेवितव्यः । सत्कार आदरः । अनादरेण सेव्यमाने वसिष्ठोक्तन्याय आपतेत्—

> 'अकर्तृ कुर्वदप्येतचेतश्चेत्क्षीणवासनम् । दूरं गतमना जन्तुः कथासंश्रवणे यथा ॥ ' इति ।

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> पृ० २०५. चौखम्बामुद्रिते खण्डनखण्डखाद्ये ।

अनादरो लयविक्षेपकषायसुखास्वादानामपरिहारः । तस्मादादरेण सेवितव्यः । दीर्घकालादित्रैविध्येन सेवितस्य समाधेर्दढभूमित्वं नाम विषयसुखवासनया दुःखवासनया वा चालयितुमशक्यत्वम् । तच्च भगवता दर्शितम्—

> 'यं लब्ध्वा चापरं लाभं मन्यते नाधिकं ततः । यस्मिन्स्थितो न दुःखेन गुरुणाऽपि विचाल्यते ॥' (भ० ६. २२.) इति ।

अपरलाभस्यानाधिक्यं कचवृत्तान्तेन वसिष्ठ उदाजहार---

'कचः कदाचिदुत्थाय समाधेः प्रीतमानसः ।
एकान्ते समुवाचेदमेवं गद्भदया गिरा ॥
किं करोमि क गच्छामि किं गृह्णामि त्यजामि किम् ।
आत्मना पूरितं विश्वं महाकल्पाम्बुना यथा ॥
सबाह्याभ्यन्तरे देहे ह्यध ऊर्ध्वं च दिश्च च ।
इत आत्मा तथेहात्मा नास्त्यनात्ममयं कचित् ॥
न तदिस्त न यत्राहं न तदिस्त न यन्मिय ।
किमन्यदिभवाञ्छामि सर्वं संविन्मयं ततम् ॥
(ल० १८. ३७-४०.)

स्फारब्रह्मामलाम्भोधेः फेनाः सर्वे कुलाचलाः । चिदादित्यमहातेजोमृगतृष्णा जगच्छ्रियः ॥ ' (ल० १८. ३५.) इति ।

<sup>1</sup>गुरुदुःखेनाप्यविचाल्यत्वं शिखिध्वजस्य वत्सरत्रयसमाधिवृत्तान्तेनोदा-जहार—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ल• यो॰ सर्गः ३७।

'निर्विकल्पसमाधिस्थं तत्रापश्यन्महीपतिम् । राजानं तावदेतस्माद्घोधयामि परात्पदात् ॥ १२२ ॥ इति संचिन्त्य चूडाला सिंहनादं चकार सा । भूयो भूयः प्रभोरमे वनेचरभयप्रदम् ॥ १२३ ॥ न चचाल तदा राम यदा नादेन तेन सः । भूयो भूयः कृतेनापि तदा सा तं व्यचालयत् ॥ १२४ ॥ चालितः पातितोऽप्येष तदा नो बुबुधे बुधः ॥१२५॥ ' इति ।

## प्रह्वादवृत्तान्तेनाप्येतदेवोदाजहार---

'इति संचिन्तयन्नेव प्रह्लादः परवीरहा । निर्विकल्पपरानन्दसमाधि समुपाययो ॥ (ल० २२. ९२.) निर्विकल्पसमाधिस्थश्चित्रापित इवाबमौ । पञ्च वर्षसहस्राणि पीनाङ्गोऽतिष्ठदेकद्दक् ॥ (ल० २२. ९३.) महात्मन्संप्रबुध्यस्वेत्येवं विष्णुरुदाहरत् । पाञ्चजन्यं प्रदध्मौ च ध्वनयन्ककुभां गणम् ॥ (ल० २२. १०६.)

महता तेन शब्देन वैष्णवप्राणजन्मना । बभूव संप्रबुद्धात्मा दानवेशः शनैः शनैः ॥' (ल० २२. १०७.) इति ।

एवं वीतहव्यादीनामपि समाधिरुदाहरणीयः । वैराग्यं द्विविधम्, अपरं परं चेति । यतमानव्यतिरेकैकेन्द्रियवशीकारभेदैरपरं चतुर्विधम् । तत्राद्यं त्रयमर्थात्स्त्रयन्साक्षाचतुर्थं सूत्रयति—-' दृष्टानुश्रविकविषयवितृष्णस्य वशी-कारसंज्ञा वैराग्यम् ' (१. १५.) इति । स्वन्चन्दनवनितापुत्रमित्रक्षेत्रधनादयो

दृष्टाः । वेदोक्ताः स्वर्गाद्य आनुश्रविकाः । तत्रोभयत्र सत्यामपि तृष्णायां विवेकतारतम्येन यतमानादिवैराग्यत्रयं भवति । अस्मिञ्जगति किं सारं किमसारमिति गुरुशास्त्राभ्यां ज्ञास्यामीत्युद्योगो यतमानत्वम् (१), स्वचित्ते पूर्वे विद्यमानानां दोषाणां मध्येऽभ्यस्यमानेन विवेकेनैतावन्तः पका एतावन्तोऽवशिष्टा इति विवेचनं व्यतिरेकः (२), दृष्टानुश्रविक-विषयप्रवृत्तेर्दुःखात्मत्वबोधेन तां प्रवृत्तिं परित्यज्य मनसश्चीत्सुक्यमात्रेण वितृष्णावस्थानमेकेन्द्रियत्वम् (३), वितृष्णत्वं वशीकारः (४), तदिदमपरं वैराग्यमष्टाङ्गयोगप्रवर्तकत्वेन संप्रज्ञातस्यान्तरङ्गम् , असंप्रज्ञातस्य तु बहि-रङ्गम् । तत्रान्तरङ्गं परं वैराग्यं सूत्रयति— 'तत्परं पुरुषख्यातेर्गुणवै-तृष्ण्यम् ' (१. १६.) इति । संप्रज्ञातसमाधिपाटवेन गुणत्रयात्मकात्प्रधा-नाद्विरक्तस्य पुरुषस्य ख्यातिः साक्षात्कार उत्पद्यते । साक्षात्कारादशेषगुणत्रयव्यवहारे यद्वैतृष्ण्यं तत्परं वैराग्यम् । तस्य तारतम्येन समार्थः शीघ्रत्वतारतम्यं सूत्रयति—' तीव्रसंवेगानामासन्नः समाधिलाभः ' (१.२०.) इति । संवेगो वैराग्यम् । तद्भेदाद्योगिनस्त्रि-विधा:--मृदुसंवेगा मध्यसंवेगास्तीत्रसंवेगाश्चेति । आसन्नोऽरूपेनैव कालेन समाधिर्रुभ्यत इत्यर्थः । तीत्रसंवेगेष्वेव समाधितारतम्यं सूत्रयति— 'मृदुमध्याधिमात्रत्वात्ततोऽपि विशेषः' (१. २१.) इति । मृदुतीत्रो मध्यतीत्रोऽधिमात्रतीत्र इति । तेष्वप्युत्तरोत्तरस्य त्वरया सिद्धिर्द्रष्टव्या । उत्तमोत्तमा जनकप्रह्वादादयोऽधिमात्रतीत्राः, मुहूर्तमात्रविचारेण दढसमाधि-लाभात् । अधमाधमा उद्दालकादयो मृदुसंवेगाः, चिरप्रयासेन तल्लाभात् । एवमन्येऽपि यथायोगमुन्नेयाः । तदेवमधिमात्रतीत्रस्य दृढभूमावसंप्रज्ञात-समाधौ लब्धे सति पुनर्व्युत्थातुमशक्तं सन्मनो नश्यति । मनोनाशेन च वासनाक्षये रक्षिते सति जीवन्मुक्तिः सुप्रतिष्ठिता भवति । न च मनोनाशेन विदेहमुक्तिरेव न तु जीवन्मुक्तिरिति शङ्कनीयम् , प्रश्नोत्तरा-भ्यां तित्रर्णयात् ।

## श्रीरामः---

' विवेकाभ्युदयाचित्तस्वरूपेऽन्तर्हिते मुने । मैत्र्यादयो गुणाः कुत्र जायन्ते योगिनां वद ॥ ' (छ० २८. १५.)

## वसिष्ठः---

' द्विविधश्चित्तनाशोऽस्ति सरूपोऽरूप एव च । जीवन्मुक्तौ सरूपः स्यादरूपोऽदेहमुक्तिगः ॥ (ल० १८.१६.) प्राकृतं गुणसंभारं ममेति बहु मन्यते । सुखदु:खाद्यवष्टभ्य विद्यमानं मनो विदुः ॥ (ल० २८. ९८.) चेतसः कथिता सत्ता मया रघुकुलोद्वह । अस्य नाशमिदानीं त्वं शृणु प्रश्नविदां वर ॥ (ल० २८. २०.) सुखदु:खदशा धीरं साम्यात्र प्रोद्धरन्ति यम् । निःश्वासा इव शैलेन्द्रं तस्य चित्तं मृतं विदुः ॥ (ल० २८.२१.) आपत्कार्पण्यमुत्साहो भदो मान्द्यं महोत्सवः । यं नयन्ति न वैरूप्यं तस्य नष्टं मनो विदः॥ (ल० २८.२२.) चित्तमाशानिधानं हि यदा नश्यति राघव । मैत्र्यादिभिर्गुणैर्युक्तं तदा सत्त्वमुदेत्यलम् । भूयोजन्मविनिर्मुक्तं जीवन्मुक्तस्य तन्मनः ॥ (ल०२८.२४.) सह्रपोऽसौ मनोनाशो जीवनमुक्तस्य विद्यते । (ल० २८.२५.) अरूपस्तु मनोनाशो यो मयोक्तो रघूद्रह । ेविदेहमुक्तावेवासौ विद्यते निष्कलात्मकः ॥ (ल० २८. २६.)

समग्राज्यगुणाधारमि सत्त्वं प्रलीयते । विदेहमुक्तावमले पदे परमपावने ॥ (ल० २८. २७.) संशान्तदुःखमजडात्मकमेकरूप-मानन्दमन्थरमपेतरजस्तमो यत् । आकाशकोशतनवोऽतनवो महान्त-स्तिस्मिन्पदे गलितचित्तलवा वसन्ति ॥ '

(ल० २८. ३२.) इति ।

'जीवन्मुक्ता न मुद्धन्ति सुखदुःखरसस्थितौ । प्राकृतेनार्थकारेण किंचित्कुर्वन्ति वा न वा ॥'

तस्मात्सरूपो मनोनाशो जीवन्मुक्तिसाधनमिति स्थितम् ॥

इति श्रीमद्विद्यारण्यप्रणीते जीवन्मुक्तिविवेके मनोनाशनिरूपणं नाम तृतीयं प्रकरणम् ॥ ३ ॥

## चतुर्थ स्वरूपसिद्धिप्रयोजनप्रकरणम् ।

केयं जीवन्मुक्तिः, किं वा तत्र प्रमाणम्, कथं वा तत्सिद्धिः, इत्येतस्य प्रश्नत्रयस्योत्तरं निरूपितम्। सिद्धचा वा किं प्रयोजनमित्यस्य चतुर्थप्रश्नस्योत्तरमिदानीमभिधीयते—ज्ञानरक्षातपोविसंवादाभावदुःखनाशसु-खाविर्भावाः सन्ति पञ्च प्रयोजनानि। ननु प्रमाणोत्पन्नस्य तत्त्वज्ञानस्य को नाम बाधप्रसङ्गो येन रक्षाऽपेक्ष्यत इति चेदुच्यते। चित्तविश्रान्त्यभावे संशयविपर्ययौ प्रसज्येयाताम् । तथा हि---तत्त्वविदो राघवस्य विश्रान्तेः पूर्वे संशयं विश्वामित्र उदाजहार---

'न राघव तवास्त्यन्यज्ज्ञेयं ज्ञानवतां वर । स्वयैव सूक्ष्मया बुद्धचा सर्वे विज्ञातवानिस ॥ भगवद्वचासपुत्रस्य शुकस्येव मतिस्तव । विश्रान्तिमात्रमेवात्र ज्ञातज्ञेयाऽप्यपेक्षते ॥ ' (७० ३. १७, १८.) इति ।

शुकस्तु स्वयमेवादौ तत्त्वं विदित्वा तत्र संशयानः पितरं पृष्टा पित्राऽपि तथैवानुशिष्टस्तत्रापि संशयानो जनकमुपसद्य तेनापि तथैवानुशिष्टस्तं प्रत्येवमुवाच,

## श्रीशुक:---

'स्वयमेव मया पूर्वमेतज्ज्ञातं विवेकतः।

एतदेव हि पृष्टेन पित्रा मे समुदाहृतम्॥

भवताऽप्येष एवार्थः कथितो वाग्विदां वर।

एष एव च वाक्यार्थः शास्त्रेषु परिदृश्यते॥

यथाऽयं स्विकल्पोत्थः स्विकल्पपरिक्षयात्।

क्षीयते दग्धसंसारो निःसार इति निश्चयः॥

तिक्कमेतन्महाबाहो सत्यं ब्रूहि ममाचलम्।

त्वत्तो विश्रान्तिमामोमि चेतसा श्रामितं जगत्॥'

(ल० ३. ४३–४६.)

#### जनकः---

'नातः परतरः कश्चिन्निश्चयोऽस्त्यपरो मुने । स्वयमेव त्वया ज्ञातं गुरुतश्च पुनः श्रुतम् ॥ अव्युच्छिन्नश्चिदात्मैकः पुमानस्तीह नेतरः ।
स्वसंकल्पवशाद्धद्धो निःसंकल्पस्तु मुच्यते ॥
मुने त्वया स्फुटं ज्ञातं ज्ञेयं स्वस्य महात्मनः ।
भोगेभ्यो विरतिर्जाता दृश्याद्धा सकलादिह ॥
प्राप्तं प्राप्तव्यमस्वलं भवता पूर्णचेतसा ।
न दृश्ये यतसे ब्रह्मन्मुक्तस्त्वं भ्रान्तिमृत्सृज ॥
अनुशिष्टः स दृत्येवं जनकेन महात्मना ।
विशश्राम शुकस्तूष्णीं स्वस्थे परमवस्तुनि ॥
वीतशोकभयायासो निरीहिश्छिन्नसंशयः ।
जगाम शिखरं मेरोः समाध्यर्थमनिन्दितम् ॥
तत्र वर्षसहस्राणि निर्विकल्पसमाधिना ।
दश स्थित्वा शशामासावात्मन्यस्नेहृदीपवत् ॥ '

(ल० ३. ४७-५३.) इति ।

तस्माद्विदितेऽपि तत्त्वे विश्रान्तिरहितस्य शुकराघवयोरिव संशय उत्पद्यते । स चाज्ञानमिव मोक्षस्य प्रतिबन्धकः । अत एव भगवतोक्तम्—

> ' अज्ञश्चाश्रद्दधानश्च संशयात्मा विनश्यति । नायं लोकोऽस्ति न परो न सुखं संशयात्मनः ॥ ' (भ० ४. ४०.) इति ।

अश्रद्धा विपर्ययः । स चोत्तरत्रोदाहरिष्यते । अज्ञानविपर्ययौ मोक्षमात्र-विरोधिनौ ; संशयस्तु भोगमोक्षयोरुभयोरि विरोधी, तस्य प्ररस्पर-विरुद्धकोटिद्वयावलम्बित्वात् । यदा संसारसुखाय प्रवृत्तिस्तदा मोक्षमार्गे बुद्धिस्तां निरुणद्धि । यदा च मोक्षमार्गे प्रवृत्तिस्तदा संसारबुद्धिस्तां प्रतिबद्गाति । तस्मात्संशयात्मनो न किंचित्सुखमस्तीति मुमुश्लुणा सर्वथा संशयश्छेत्तव्यः । अत एव श्रूयते—'छिचन्ते सर्वसंशयाः' (मुण्ड० २. २. ८.) इति । विपर्ययस्यापि निदाघ उदाहरणम् । ऋभुः परमक्रणया निदाघस्य गृहमेत्य बहुधा तं बोधयित्वा निर्जगाम । बुद्धेऽपि तदुपदिष्टे वस्तुन्यश्रद्दधानो निदाघः कर्माण्येव परमपुरुषार्थहेतुरिति विपर्ययं प्राप्य कर्मानुष्ठाने यथापूर्वे प्रवृत्तः । सोऽपि शिष्यस्य परमपुरुषार्थश्रंशो मा भूदिति कृपया गुरुः पुनरागत्य बोधयामास । तदाऽपि विपर्ययं न जहो । तृतीयेन तु बोधनेन विपर्ययं परित्यज्य विश्रान्तिमलभत । संशयविपर्ययाभ्यामसंभावनाविपरीतभावनारूपाभ्यां तत्त्वज्ञानस्य फलं प्रति-बध्यते । तदुक्तं पराशरेण—

'मिणमन्त्रोषधैर्विह्नः सुदीप्तोऽपि यथेन्धनम् । प्रदग्धुं नैव शक्तः स्यात्प्रतिबद्धस्तथैव च ॥ ज्ञानाग्निरिष संजातः प्रदीप्तः सुदृढोऽपि च । प्रदग्धुं नैव शक्तः स्यात्प्रतिबद्धस्तु कल्मषम् ॥ भावना विपरीता या या चासंभावना शुक । कुरुते प्रतिबन्धं सा तत्त्वज्ञानस्य नापरम् ॥ '

(प० उ० अ० १४.) इति।

तस्मादिबश्रान्तिचित्तस्य संशयिवपर्ययप्रसङ्गेन तत्त्वज्ञानस्य फलप्रतिबन्ध-लक्षणाद्धाधादक्षाऽपेक्ष्यते । विश्रान्तिचित्तस्य तु मनोनाशेन यदा जगदेव प्रविलीयते तदा संशयविपर्यययोः कः प्रसङ्गः ? जगत्प्रतिभासरिहतस्य ब्रह्मविदो देहव्यवहारोऽपि विनैव स्वप्रयत्नं परमेश्वरपेरितेन प्राणवायुना निष्पाद्यते । अत एव च्छन्दोगा आमनन्ति—'नोपजनं स्मरिन्नदं शरीरं स यथा प्रयोग्य आचरणे युक्त एवमेवायमस्मिञ्शरीरे प्राणो युक्तः ' (छा० ८. १२. ३.) इति । उपजनं जनानां समीपे वर्तमानिमदं शरीरं न स्मरन्त्रक्षविद्वर्तते । पार्श्वस्था जना एव तत्त्वविदः शरीरं पश्यन्ति । स्वयं तु निर्मनस्कत्वान्मदीयमिदं शरीरमिति न स्मरित । प्रयोग्यो रथशकटादिवहने प्रयोक्तुमर्हः शिक्षितोऽश्वबलीवर्दादिः ; स यथा सारिथना मार्गस्याचरणे प्रेरितः पुनः पुनः सारिथप्रयत्नमनपेक्ष्य स्वयमेव रथशकटादिकं पुरोवर्तिमामं नयति, एवमेवायं प्राणवायुः परमेश्वरेणास्मि-ञ्शरीरे नियुक्तः सत्यसित वा जीवप्रयत्ने व्यवहारं निर्वाहयित । भागवतेऽपि स्मर्यते—

'देहं विनश्वरमवस्थितमुत्थितं वा सिद्धो न पश्यित यतोऽध्यगमत्स्वरूपम् । दैवादुपेतमथ दैववशादपेतं वासो यथा परिकृतं मदिरामदान्धः ॥' (११. १३. ३६.) इति ।

वसिष्ठोऽप्याह—

'पार्श्वस्थबोधिताः सन्तः पूर्वाचारक्रमागतम् । आचारमाचरन्त्येव सुप्तबुद्धवदक्षताः ॥ ' (ल० १३. १२७.) इति ।

सिद्धो न पश्यत्याचारमाचरतीत्युभयोः परस्परिवरोध इति चेन्न । विश्रान्ति-तारतम्येन व्यवस्थोपपत्तेः । तदेव तारतम्यमिभेन्नेत्य श्रूयते— 'आत्मकीड आत्मरितः क्रियावानेष ब्रह्मविदां वरिष्ठः ' (मुण्ड० ३. १. ४.) इति । अत्र चत्वारः प्रतीयन्ते— ब्रह्मविद्यथमः, ब्रह्मविद्वरो द्वितीयः, ब्रह्मविद्वरीयांस्तृतीयः, ब्रह्मविद्वरिष्ठश्चतुर्थः । त एते सप्तसु योगभूमिषु चतुर्थी योगभूमिमारभ्य कमेण भूमिचतुष्टयं प्राप्ता इत्यवगन्तव्यम् । भूमयश्च वसिष्ठेन दिशताः——

'ज्ञानभूमिः शुभेच्छाख्या प्रथमा समुदाहृता । विचारणा द्वितीया स्यातृतीया तनुमानसा ॥ सत्त्वापत्तिश्चतुर्थी स्यात्ततोऽसंसक्तिनामिका । पदार्थाभाविनी षष्ठी सप्तमी तुर्यगा स्मृता ॥ ' (ल० १३. ११३, ११४.) इति ।

'स्थितः किं मृढ एवास्मि प्रेक्षेऽहं शास्त्रसज्जनैः। वैराग्यपूर्वमिच्छेति शुभेच्छेत्युच्यते बुधैः ॥ शास्त्रसज्जनसंपर्कवैराग्याभ्यासपूर्वकम् । सद्विचारप्रवृत्तिर्या प्रोच्यते सा विचारणा ॥ विचारणाशुभेच्छाभ्यामिनिद्रयार्थेप्वसक्तता । यत्र सा तनुतामेति प्रोच्यते तनुमानसा ॥ भूमिकात्रितयाभ्यासाचित्तेऽर्थविरतेर्वशात् । सत्त्वात्मनि स्थितिः शुद्धे सत्त्वापत्तिरुदाहृता ॥ दशाचतुष्टयाभ्यासादसंसर्गफला तु या । रूढसत्त्वचमत्कारा प्रोक्ताऽसंसक्तिनामिका ॥ भूमिकापश्चकाभ्यासात्स्वात्मारामतया भृशम् । आभ्यन्तराणां बाह्यानां पदार्थानामभासनात् ॥ परप्रयुक्तेन चिरं प्रयत्नेनावबोधनम् । पदार्थाभाविनी नाम षष्ठी भवति भूमिका ॥

भूमिषट्कचिराभ्यासाद्भेदस्यानुपलम्भनात् । यत्स्वभावैकनिष्ठत्वं सा ज्ञेया तुर्यगा स्थितिः ॥ '

(ल० १३. ११६-१२३.) इति ।

अत्र भूमिकात्रितयं ब्रह्मविद्यायाः साधनमेव, न तु विद्याकोटावन्तर्भवति । भूमित्रये भेदसत्यत्वबुद्धेरनिवर्तितत्वात् । अत एवैतज्जागरणमिति व्यपदि-इयते । तदुक्तम्—

> 'भूमिकात्रितयं त्वेतद्राम जाम्रदिति स्थितम् । यथावद्भेदबुद्धचेदं जगज्जामति दृश्यते ॥ ' इति ।

ततो वेदान्तवाक्यान्निर्विकल्पको ब्रह्मात्मैक्यसाक्षात्कारश्चतुर्थी भूमिका फलरूपा सत्त्वापत्तिः । चतुर्थभूमो सर्वजगदुपादानस्य ब्रह्मणो वास्तवमद्विती-यसत्तास्वभावं निश्चित्य ब्रह्मण्यारोपितयोर्जगच्छब्दाभिषेययोर्नामरूपयोर्मि-थ्यात्वमवगच्छति । मुमुक्षोः पूर्वोक्तं जागरणमपेक्ष्य सेयं भूमिः स्वप्नः । तदाह—

'अद्वैते स्थैर्यमायाते द्वैते चोपरतिं गते। पर्यन्ति स्वप्नवल्लोकं चतुर्थी भूमिकामिताः॥ चित्तं तु शरदभ्रांशविलयं प्रविलीयते। सत्तावशेष एवास्ते चतुर्थी भूमिकामितः॥'

(ল০ ৪३. ৩০, ৩१.)

सोऽयं चतुर्थी भूमिकां प्राप्तो योगी ब्रह्मविदित्युच्यते । पञ्चम्यादयस्तिस्रो भूमयो जीवन्मुक्तरेवान्तरभेदाः । ते च निर्विकल्पसमाध्यभ्यासकृतेन विश्रान्तितारतम्येन संपद्यन्ते । पञ्चमभूमौ निर्विकल्पकात्स्वयमेव व्युत्तिष्ठते ।

सोऽयं योगी ब्रह्मविद्वरः । षष्ठभूमौ पार्श्वस्थैर्बोधितो व्युत्तिष्ठते । सोऽयं ब्रह्मविद्वरीयान् । तदेतद्भूमिद्वयं सुषुप्तिर्गादसुषुप्तिरिति चाभिधीयते । तदाह—

'पश्चमीं भूमिकामेत्य सुषुप्तिपदनामिकाम् । शान्ताशेषविशेषांशस्तिष्ठत्यद्वैतमात्रके ॥ (ल० ४३. ७३.) अन्तर्मुखतया नित्यं बहिर्वृत्तिपरोऽपि सन् । परिश्रान्ततया नित्यं निद्रालुरिव लक्ष्यते ॥ (ल० ४३. ७५.) कुर्वन्नभ्यासमेतस्यां भूमिकायां विवासनः । षष्ठीं गाढसुषुप्त्याख्यां कमात्पतित भूमिकाम् ॥ (ल० ४३. ७६.)

यत्र नासन्न सद्रूपो नाहं नाप्यनहंकृतिः । केवलं श्लीणमनन आस्ते द्वैतैक्यवर्जितः ॥ (ल० ४३. ७७.) अन्तः शून्यो बहिः शून्यः शून्यः कुम्भ इवाम्बरे । अन्तः पूर्णो बहिः पूर्णः पूर्णः कुम्भ इवार्णवे ॥ ' (ल० ४३. ७९.) इति ।

गाढं निर्विकल्पसमाधिं प्राप्तस्य संस्कारमात्रशेषस्य चित्तस्य मनो-राज्यं कर्तुं बाह्यपदार्थान्यहीतुं वा सामर्थ्याभावादाकाशावस्थितकुम्भ-वदन्तर्बहिःशून्यत्वम् ; स्वयंप्रकाशसचिदानन्दैकरसे ब्रह्मणि निमम्नत्वेन बहिश्च सर्वत्र ब्रह्मदृष्ट्या समुद्रमध्यस्थापितजलपूर्णकुम्भवदन्तर्बहिःपूर्णत्वम् । तुरीयाभिधां सप्तमीं भूमिं प्राप्तस्य योगिनः स्वतः परतो वा व्युत्थानमेव नास्ति । तादृशमेवोद्दिश्य 'देहं विनश्वरमवस्थितमुत्थितं वा ' इत्यादि-भागवतवाक्यं प्रवृत्तम् । असंप्रज्ञातसमाधिप्रतिपादकानि योगशास्त्राण्यत्रैव पर्यवसितानि । सोऽयमीदृशो योगी पूर्वोदाहृतश्रुतौ ब्रह्मविद्वरिष्ठ इत्युच्यते । तदेवं पार्श्वस्थबोधितः सिद्धो न पश्यतीत्यनयोर्भूमिद्वये व्यवस्थितत्वात्र कोऽपि विरोधः । तत्रायं संग्रहः । पश्चम्यादिभूमित्रयरूपायां जीवन्मुक्तौ संपाद्यमानायां द्वैतप्रतिभासाभावेन संशयविपर्ययप्रसङ्गाभावादुत्पन्नं तत्त्वज्ञान-मबाधेन रिक्षतं भवति । सेयं ज्ञानरक्षा जीवन्मुक्तेः प्रथमं प्रयोजनम् । तपो द्वितीयं प्रयोजनम् । योगभूमीनां देवत्वादिप्राप्तिहेतुतया तपस्त्वं द्रष्टव्यम् । तद्वेतुत्वं चार्जुनभगवतोः श्रीरामवसिष्ठयोश्च प्रश्नोत्तराभ्यामवगम्यते ।

## अर्जुन उवाच---

' अयितः श्रद्धयोपेतो योगाच्चिलतमानसः । अप्राप्य योगसंसिद्धिं कां गितं कृष्ण गच्छिति ॥ कच्चित्रोभयविश्रष्टिरिछन्नाश्रमिव नश्यिति । अप्रतिष्ठो महाबाहो विमूढो ब्रह्मणः पिथे ॥ एतं मे संशयं कृष्ण च्छेतुमईस्यशेषतः । त्वदन्यः संशयस्यास्य च्छेत्ता न ह्युपपद्यते ॥ '

(भ० ६. ३७-३९)

#### भगवानुवाच--

'पार्थ नैवेह नामुत्र विनाशस्तस्य विद्यते । न हि कल्याणकृत्कश्चिद् दुर्गतिं तात गच्छति ॥ प्राप्य पुण्यकृतां लोकानुषित्वा शाश्वतीः समाः । शुचीनां श्रीमतां गेहे योगश्रष्टोऽभिजायते ॥ अथ वा योगिनामेव कुले भवति धीमताम् । एतद्धि दुर्लभतरं लोके जन्म यदीदृशम् ॥ तत्र तं बुद्धिसंयोगं लभते पौर्वदेहिकम् । यतते च ततो भ्यः संसिद्धौ कुरुनन्दन ॥ '

(भ० ६. ४०-४३.) इति।

#### श्रीराम उवाच---

' आद्यामथ द्वितीयां वा तृतीयां भूमिकामुत । आरूढस्य मृतस्याथ कीहशी भगवन्गतिः ॥ '

#### वसिष्ठ उवाच---

'योगभूमिकयोत्कान्तजीवितस्य शरीरिणः । भूमिकांशानुसारेण क्षीयते पूर्वदुष्कृतम् ।) ततः सुरविमानेषु लोकपालपुरेषु च । मेरूपवनकुञ्जेषु रमते रमणीसस्यः ॥ ततः सुकृतसंभारे दुष्कृते च पुरा कृते । भोगक्षयपरिक्षीणे जायन्ते योगिनो भुवि ॥ शुचीनां श्रीमतां गेहे गुप्ते गुणवतां सताम् । तत्र प्राग्भावनाभ्यस्तं योगभूमित्रयं बुधः । स्पृष्ट्रोपरि पतत्युचैरुत्तरं भूमिकाक्रमम् ॥ ' इति ।

अस्त्वेवं योगभूमीनां देवलोकप्राप्तिहेतुत्वम्; तावता तपस्त्वं कुत इति चेत्, श्रुतेरिति श्रूमः । तथा च तैत्तिरीया आमनन्ति—'तपसा देवा देवतामग्र आयन् , तपसर्षयः सुवरन्वविन्दन् ' (तै० ४. ७९.) इति । तत्त्वज्ञानात्प्राचीनस्य भूमिकात्रयस्य तपस्त्वे सति, तत्त्वज्ञानस्योत्तर-कालीनस्य निर्विकल्पसमाधिरूपस्य पञ्चम्यादिभूमिकात्रयस्य तपस्त्वं कैमुतिकन्यायसिद्धम् । अत एव स्मर्थते— 'मनसश्चेन्द्रियाणां च ऐकाम्यं परमं तपः । तज्ज्यायः सर्वधर्मेभ्यः स धर्मः पर उच्यते ॥ ' इति ।

यद्यप्यनेन न्यायेन तपसा प्राप्यं जन्मान्तरं नास्ति, तथाऽपि लोकसंग्रहायेदं तपो युज्यते । अत एव भगवानाह—

'लोकसंग्रहमेवापि संपश्यन्कर्तुमर्हिस ॥' (भ०३.२०.) इति । संग्राह्मश्च लोकस्त्रिविधः—शिप्यो भक्तस्तटस्थश्चेति । तत्र शिष्यस्यान्तर्मुखे योगिनि गुरो प्रामाणिकत्वबुद्धचितशयेन तदुपदिष्टे तत्त्वे परमं विश्वासं प्राप्य चित्तं सहसा विश्राम्यति । अत एव श्रुयते—

> 'यस्य देवे परा भक्तिर्यथा देवे तथा गुरौ। तस्यैते कथिता ह्यर्थाः प्रकाशन्ते महात्मनः॥' (श्वे० ६. २३.) इति।

स्मर्यते च---

'श्रद्धावालॅं लभते ज्ञानं तत्परः संयतेन्द्रियः । ज्ञानं लब्ध्वा परां शान्तिमचिरेणाधिगच्छति ॥ ' (भ० ४. ३९.) इति ।

अन्नप्रदाननिवासस्थानकल्पनादिना योगिनं सेवमानो भक्तस्तदीयं तपः स्वयमेवादत्ते। तथा च श्रूयते—'तस्य पुत्रा दायमुपयन्ति सुहृदः साधुकृत्यां द्विषन्तः पापकृत्याम्' इति। तटस्थोऽपि द्विविधः— आस्तिको नास्तिकश्चेति। तत्रास्तिको योगिनः सन्मार्गाचरणं दृष्ट्वा स्वयमपि सन्मार्गे प्रवर्तते। तथा च स्मृतिः—

'यद्यदाचरति श्रेष्ठस्तत्तदेवेतरो जनः । स यत्प्रमाणं कुरुते लोकस्तदनुवर्तते ॥'(भ०३.२१.) इति ।

नास्तिकोऽपि योगिना दृष्टः पापान्मुच्यते । तदुक्तम्---

'यस्यानुभवपर्यन्ता तत्त्वे बुद्धिः प्रवर्तते । तद्दष्टिगोचराः सर्वे मुच्यन्ते सर्वपातकैः ॥ ' (सू० सं० २. २०. ४४.) इति ।

अनेन प्रकारेण सर्वप्राण्यपकारित्वं योगिनो विवक्षित्वा पठ्यते---

'स्नातं तेन समस्ततीर्थसिलले सर्वाऽपि दत्ताऽविन-र्यज्ञानां च सहस्रमिष्टमिखला देवाश्च संपूजिताः। संसाराच्च समुद्धृताः स्विपतरस्त्रेलोक्यपूज्योऽप्यसौ यस्य ब्रह्मविचारणे क्षणमि स्थैर्यं मनः प्राप्नुयात्॥ कुलं पिवत्रं जननी कृतार्था विश्वंभरा पुण्यवती च तेन। अपारसंवित्सुखसागरेऽस्मिल् लीनं परे ब्रह्मणि यस्य चेतः॥' (सू० सं० २. २०. ४५.) इति।

न केवलं योगिनः शास्त्रीयन्यवहारस्येव तपस्त्वम्, किं तु सर्वस्येव लौकिकव्यवहारस्यापि । तथा च तैत्तिरीयाः स्वशास्त्रायां नारायणस्यान्तिमेनानुवाकेन विदुषोऽपि महिमानमामनन्ति । तस्मिश्चानुवाके पूर्वभागे योगिनोऽवयवा यज्ञाङ्गद्रव्यत्वेनाम्नाताः— 'तस्यैवं विदुषो यज्ञस्यात्मा यजमानः श्रद्धा पत्नी शरीरिमध्ममुरो वेदिलोमानि वर्हिवेदः शिखा हृदयं यूपः काम आज्यं मन्युः पशुस्तपोऽिमर्दमः शमियता दक्षिणा वाग्घोता प्राण उद्गाता चक्षुरध्वर्युर्मनो ब्रह्मा श्रोत्रम्ममीत् ' (८०.) इति । अत्र च दानं दक्षिणेति दानपदमध्याहर्तव्यम् ,

'अथ यत्तपो दानमार्जवमिहंसा सत्यवचनमिति ता अस्य दक्षिणाः' इति च्छन्दोगैराम्नातत्वात् । उक्तानुवाके मध्यमभागेन योगिव्यवहारास्तज्जीवन-कालाश्च ज्योतिष्टोमावयविक्रयारूपत्वेन, उत्तरभागेण सर्वयज्ञावयविक्रयारूप-त्वेन चाम्नाताः — ' यावद् घ्रियते सा दीक्षा यदश्चाति तद्धविर्यत्पिबति सोमपानं यद्रमते तदुपसदो यत्संचरत्युपविशत्युत्तिष्ठते च स प्रवर्ग्यो यन्मुखं तदाहवनीयो या व्याहृतिराहुतिर्यदस्य विज्ञानं तज्जुहोति यत्सायं प्रातरत्ति तत्सिमधं यत्प्रातर्मध्यंदिन सायं च तानि सवनानि य अहोरात्रे ते दर्शपूर्णमासौ येऽर्धमासाध्य मासाध्य ते चातुर्मास्यानि य ऋतवस्ते पशुबन्धा ये संवत्सराश्च परिवत्सराश्च तेऽहर्गणाः सर्ववेदसं वा एतत्सत्रं यन्मरणं तदवभृथः ' इति । सर्ववेदसं सर्वस्वदक्षिणाकम् । अत्रैतच्छब्देन प्रकृताहोरात्रादिपरिवत्सरान्तं सर्वकालसमष्टचुपलक्षितं योगिन आयुर्विवक्ष्यते । यदायुस्तत्सर्वस्वदक्षिणोपेतं सत्त्रमित्यर्थः । उक्तानुवाके चरमभागेण सर्वयज्ञात्मकं योगिनमुपासीनस्य क्रममुक्तिरूपं सूर्याचन्द्रमसोः कार्यकारणब्रह्मणोस्तादात्म्यलक्षणं फलमाम्नायते—-' एतद्वै जरामर्यमिमहोत्र ५ सत्त्रं य एवं विद्वानुदगयने प्रमीयते देवानामेव महिमानं गत्वाऽऽदित्यस्य सायुज्यं गच्छत्यथ यो दक्षिणे प्रमीयते पितृणामेव महिमानं गत्वा सायुज्य सलोकतामाप्तोत्येतो वै सूर्याचन्द्रमसोर्महिमानौ ब्राह्मणो विद्वानभिजयति तस्माद्भह्मणो महिमानमाप्नोति तस्माद्भह्मणो महिमानमित्युपनिषत् ' इति । जरामरणावधिकं यद्योगिचरितमस्ति तद्वे-दोक्तामिहोत्रादिसंवत्सरसत्त्रान्तकर्मस्वरूपमित्येवमुपासीनो भावनातिशयेन सू-र्याचन्द्रमसोः सायुज्यं तादात्म्यं प्राप्नोति । भावनामान्धेन समानलोकं प्राप्य तस्मिल् लोके सूर्याचन्द्रमसोर्विभूतिमनुभूय तत ऊर्ध्व सत्यलोके चतुर्भुखस्य ब्रह्मणो महिमानमामोति। तत्रोत्पन्नतत्त्वज्ञानस्तत

सत्यज्ञानानन्दरूपस्य परब्रह्मणो महिमानं कैवल्यं प्राप्तोति । इत्युपनिषदि-त्यनेन यथोक्तिविद्यायास्तत्प्रतिपादकप्रन्थस्य चोपसंहारः क्रियते । तदेवं जीवन्मुक्तेस्तपोरूपं द्वितीयं प्रयोजनं सिद्धम् । विसंवादाभावस्तृतीयं प्रयोजनम् । न खल्वन्तर्मुखं बाह्यव्यापारमपश्यित योगीश्वरे लौकिकस्तैर्थिको वा कश्चिद्विसंवदते । लौकिकविसंवादो द्विविधः—कलहरूपो निन्दारूपश्च । तत्र कोधादिरहितेन योगिना सह कथं नाम लौकिकः कलहायते ? तद्राहित्यं च स्मर्यते—

'क्रुध्यन्तं न प्रतिक्रुध्येदाक्रुष्टः कुशलं वदेत् । अतिवादांस्तितिक्षेत नावमन्येत कंचन ॥' इति । (म० ६. ४८, ४७.)

ननु जीवन्मुक्तेः प्राचीनो विद्वत्संन्यासस्ततोऽपि प्राचीनं तत्त्वज्ञानं तस्मादिष प्राचीनो विविदिषासंन्यासः । तत्रैते कोधादिराहित्यादयो धर्माः स्मृता इति चेत् । बाढम् । अत एव जीवन्मुक्तस्य कोधादयः शिक्कतुमप्यशक्याः । अत्यर्वाचीने पदे विविदिषासंन्यासेऽपि यदा कोधादयो न सन्ति तदोत्तमपदे तत्त्वज्ञाने कुतस्ते स्युः, कुतस्तरां च विद्वत्संन्यासे, कुतस्तमां च जीवन्मुक्तो १ अतो न योगिना सह लौकिकस्य कलहः संभवति । नापि निन्दारूपो विसंवादः शङ्कनीयः । निन्दात्वस्यानिश्चि-तत्वात् । तथा च स्मर्यते—

'यन्न सन्तं न चासन्तं नाश्रुतं न बहुश्रुतम् । न सुवृत्तं न दुर्वृत्तं वेद कश्चित्स वै यतिः ॥ ' इति ।

सदसत्त्वे उत्तमाधमजाती । तैथिंकोऽपि किं शास्त्रप्रमेये विसंवदते किं वा योगिचरिते । आद्ये न तावद्योगी परशास्त्रप्रमेयं दृषयति—'तमेवैकं जानथ आत्मानमन्या वाचो विमुञ्चथ ' (मुण्ड० २. २. ५.) 'नानुध्याया-द्धह्ञञ्जाब्दान्वाचो विग्लापनं हि तत् ' (बृ०४.४.२१.) इत्यादि-श्रुत्यनुरोधात् । नापि स्वशास्त्रप्रमेयं प्रतिवादिनोऽग्रे समर्थयते,

> 'पलालिमव धान्यार्थी त्यजेद् ग्रन्थमशेषतः ।' (ब्र० उ० १८.) 'परमं ब्रह्म विज्ञाय उल्कावत्तान्यथोत्सृजेत् ॥'

इत्यादिश्रुत्यर्थपरत्वात् । यदा योगी प्रतिवादिनमपि स्वात्मतया वीक्षते तदा विजिगीषायाः का कथा ? नापि लोकायतिकव्यतिरिक्तः सर्वोऽपि तैथिको मोक्षमङ्गीकुर्वन्योगिचरितेऽपि विसंवदितुमर्हति, आईतबौद्धवैशेषि-कनैयायिकशैववैष्णवशाक्तसांख्ययोगादिमोक्षशास्त्रेषु प्रतिपाद्यप्रमेयस्य नाना-विधत्वेऽपि मोक्षसाधनस्य यमनियमाद्यष्टाङ्गयोगस्यैकविधत्वात् । तस्माद-विसंवादेन सर्वसंमतो योगीश्वरः । एतदेवाभिष्रेत्य वसिष्ठ आह—

'यस्येदं जन्म पाश्चात्त्यं तमाश्वेव महामते । विश्चान्ति विद्या विमला मुक्ता वेणुमिवोत्तमम् ॥ आर्यता हृद्यता मैत्री सौम्यता मुक्तता ज्ञता । समाश्रयन्ति तं नित्यमन्तःपुरमिवाङ्गनाः ॥ पेशलाचारमधुरं सर्वे वाञ्छन्ति तं जनाः । वेणुं मधुरनिध्वानं वने वनमृगा इव ॥ सुषुप्तवत्प्रशमितभाववृत्तिना

स्थितः सदा जाम्रति येन चेतसा ।
कलान्वितो विधुरिव यः सदा बुधैनिषेव्यते मुक्त इतीह स स्मृतः ॥ ' इति ।

'मातरीव शमं यान्ति विषमाणि मृदूनि च । विश्वासमिह भूतानि सर्वाणि शमशालिनि ॥ (४. ६१.) तपस्विषु बहुज्ञेषु याजकेषु नृपेषु च । बलवत्सु गुणाढचेषु शमवानेव राजते ॥ ' इति । (४. ६६.)

तदेवमबाधं जीवन्मुक्तेर्विसंवादाभावरूपं तृतीयं प्रयोजनं सिद्धम् । दुःखनाशसुखाविर्भावरूपे चतुर्थपञ्चमप्रयोजने विद्यानन्दात्मकेन ब्रह्मानन्द-गतेन चतुर्थाध्यायेन निरूपिते । तदुभयमत्र संक्षिप्योच्यते—

'आत्मानं चेद्विजानीयादयमस्मीति पूरुषः । किमिच्छन्कस्य कामाय शरीरमनु संज्वरेत् ॥ '

(बृ० ४. ४. १२.)

इत्यादिश्रुत्या दुःखस्यैहिकस्य विनाश उक्तः । 'एतः ह वाव न तपति किमहः साधु नाकरवं किमहं पापमकरवम् ' (तै० २. २. ९.) इत्यादिश्रुतय आमुप्मिकहेतुपुण्यपापचिन्तारूपस्य दुःखस्य नाशमाहुः । सुखा-विर्मावस्त्रेधा — सर्वकामावाप्तिः कृतकृत्यत्वं प्राप्तप्राप्तव्यत्वं चेति । सर्वकामावाप्तिः कृतकृत्यत्वं प्राप्तप्राप्तव्यत्वं चेति । सर्वकामावाप्तिः सर्वनाकामहतत्वं सर्वभोक्तृरूपत्वं चेति । 'हिरण्यगर्भादिस्थावरान्तेषु देहेप्वनुगतं साक्षिचैतन्यरूपं यद्धस्य तदेवाहमस्मि ' इति जानतः स्वदेह इव परदेहेप्विप सर्वकामसाक्षित्वमस्ति । तदेतद्मिप्रेत्य श्रूयते — 'सोऽइनुते सर्वान्कामान्सह ब्रह्मणा विपश्चिता ' (तै २. २. १.) इति । लोके मुक्तेषु भोगेप्वकामहतत्वं यत्तत्काम-प्राप्तिरित्युच्यते । तथा च सर्वभोगदोषदर्शिनस्तत्त्वविदः सर्वत्राकामहतत्वं वित्तावादिः । अत एव सार्वभौमोपक्रमेषु हिरण्यगर्भपर्यन्ते-ष्त्तरादस्त सर्वकामावाप्तिः । अत एव सार्वभौमोपक्रमेषु हिरण्यगर्भपर्यन्ते-ष्त्तरातरात्राणेप्वानन्देषु 'श्रोत्रियस्य चाकामहतस्य ' इति श्रुतम् ।

सद्रूपेण चिद्रूपेणानन्दरूपेण च सर्वत्रावस्थितं स्वात्मानमनुसंद्धतः सर्व-भोक्तृत्वमस्तीत्यभिष्रेत्यैवं श्रूयते—'अहमन्नमहमन्नमहमन्नम् । अहमन्ना-दोऽहमन्नादोऽहमन्नादः' (तै० २. ३. १०.) इति । कृतकृत्यत्वं तु स्मर्थते—

> 'ज्ञानामृतेन तृप्तस्य कृतकृत्यस्य योगिनः। नैवास्ति किंचित्कर्तव्यमस्ति चेन्न स तत्त्ववित्॥' 'यस्त्वात्मरतिरेव स्यादात्मतृप्तश्च मानवः। आत्मन्येव च संतुष्टस्तस्य कार्यं न विद्यते॥'

> > (भ० ३. १७.) इति।

प्राप्तपातवारिष श्रूयते—'अभयं वै जनक प्राप्तोऽसि' (बृ० ४. २. २.) इति, 'तस्मात्तत्सर्वमभवत्' (बृ० १. ४. १०.) इति, 'ब्रह्म वेद ब्रह्मैव भवति' (मुण्ड० ३. २. ९.) इति च। नन्वेतौ द्वौ दुःखिवनाशसुखाविर्भावौ तत्त्वज्ञानेनैव सिद्धत्वान्न जीवन्मुक्ति-प्रयोजनतामर्हतः। मैवम्, सुरक्षितयोस्तयोरत्र विविक्षतत्वात्। यथा तत्त्वज्ञानं पूर्वमेवोत्पन्नमि जीवन्मुक्त्या सुरक्षितं भवति, एवमेताविष सुरक्षितौ भवतः। नन्वेवं जीवन्मुक्तः पश्चप्रयोजनत्वे सित समाहितो योगिश्वरो लोकव्यवहारं कुर्वतस्तत्त्वविदोऽपि श्रेष्ठ इति वक्तव्यम् ; तच्च रामवसिष्ठयोः (ल० २५.) प्रश्लोत्तराभ्यां निराकृतम्—

श्रीराम:----

<sup>&#</sup>x27;भगवन्भृतभव्येश कश्चिज्जातु समाहितः । प्रबुद्ध इव विश्रान्तो व्यवहारपरोऽपि सन् ॥ ५ ॥

कश्चिदेकान्तमाश्रित्य समाधिनियमे स्थितः । तयोस्त्र कतरः श्रेयानिति मे भगवन्वद ॥ ६ ॥ '

#### वसिष्ठः ---

'इमं गुणसमाहारमनात्मत्वेन पश्यतः । अन्तःशीतलता याऽसौ समाधिरिति कथ्यते ॥ ७ ॥ दृश्यैर्न मम संबन्ध इति निश्चित्य शीतलः । कश्चित्संव्यवहारस्थः कश्चिद्धचानपरायणः ॥ ८ ॥ द्वावेतौ राम सुसमावन्तश्चेत्परिशीतलौ । अन्तःशीतलता या स्यात्तदनन्ततपःफलम् ॥ ९ ॥ ' इति ।

नैष दोषः । अत्र वासनाक्षयरूपमन्तःशीतल्वमवश्यं संपादनीयमित्येतावदेव प्रतिपाद्यते । न तु तदनन्तरभाविनो मनोनाशस्य श्रेष्ठत्वं निवार्यते । शीतल्वं तृष्णायाः प्रशमनमित्येतादृशीं विवक्षां स्वयमेव स्पष्टीचकार—

> 'अन्तःशीतलतायां तु लब्धायां शीतलं जगत् । अन्तस्तृष्णोपतप्तानां दावदाहमयं जगत् ॥ २४ ॥ ' इति ।

ननु समाधिनिन्दा व्यवहारप्रशंसा चात्रोपलभ्येते---

'समाधिस्थानकस्थस्य चेतश्चेद् वृत्तिचञ्चलम् । तत्तस्य तु समाधानं सममुन्मत्तताण्डवैः ॥ १० ॥ उन्मत्तताण्डवस्थस्य चेतश्चेत्क्षीणवासनम् । तदस्योन्मत्तनृत्यं तु समं ब्रह्मसमाधिना ॥ ११ ॥ ' इति ।

मैवम् । अत्र हि समाधिप्राशस्त्यमेवाङ्गीकृत्य वासना निन्छते । इयमत्र वचनव्यक्तिः — यद्यपि व्यवहारात्समाधिः प्रशस्तः, तथाऽप्यसौ सवासन- श्चेत्तदा निर्वासनाद्वचवहारादधम एवेति स न समाधिः। यदा समाहि-तन्यवहर्तारावुभावप्यतत्त्वज्ञौ सवासनौ च, तदा समाधेरुत्तमलोकप्राप्ति-हेतुपुण्यत्वेन प्राशस्त्यम्। यदा तूभौ ज्ञाननिष्ठौ निर्वासनौ च, तदाऽपि वासनाक्षयरूपां जीवन्मुक्तिं परिपालयन्नयं मनोनाशरूपः समाधिः प्रशस्त एव। तस्माद्योगीश्वरस्य श्रेष्ठत्वात्पञ्चप्रयोजनोपेताया जीवन्मुक्तेनं कोऽपि विन्न इति सिद्धम्॥

इति श्रीमद्विद्यारण्यप्रणीते जीवन्मुक्तिविवेके जीवन्मुक्तिस्वरूप-सिद्धिप्रयोजननिरूपणं नाम चतुर्थे प्रकरणम् ॥ ४ ॥

### पश्चमं विद्वत्संन्यासप्रकरणम् ।

एवं स्वरूपप्रमाणसाधनप्रयोजनैर्जीवन्मुक्तिर्निरूपिता । अथ तदुप-कारिणं विद्वत्संन्यासं निरूपयामः । विद्वत्संन्यासश्च परमहंसोपनिषदि प्रतिपादितः । तां चोपनिषदमनूद्य व्याख्यास्यामः ।

तत्रादौ विद्वत्संन्यासयोग्यं प्रश्नमवतारयति--

# 'अथ योगिनां परमहंसानां कोऽयं मार्गस्तेषां का स्थितिरिति नारदो भगवन्तमुपगत्योवाच,' इति ।

यद्यप्यशब्दापेक्षित आनन्तर्यप्रतियोगी न कोऽप्यत्र प्रतिभाति, तथाऽपि प्रष्टव्यार्थोऽत्र विद्वत्तंन्यासः । तिस्मिश्च विदिततत्त्वो लोकव्यवहारैविक्षिप्यमाणो मनोविश्रान्ति कामयमानोऽधिकारी । ततस्ताद्दगिधिकारसंपत्त्यानन्तर्यमथशब्दार्थः । केवलयोगिनं केवलपरमहंसं च वारियतुं पदद्वयमुक्तम् । केवलयोगी तत्त्वज्ञानाभावेन त्रिकालज्ञानाकाशगमनादिषु योगैश्वर्यचमत्कारव्यवहारेप्वासक्तः संयमविशेषेस्तत्र तत्रोद्युङ्के । ततः परमपुरुषार्थाद्भष्टो भवति । अस्मिन्नर्थे सूत्रं पूर्वमेवोदाहृतम्—'ते समाधावुपसर्गा व्युत्थाने सिद्धयः' (३.३८.) इति । केवलपरमहंसस्तु तत्त्वविवेके नैश्वर्येप्वसारतां बुद्धा विरज्यति । (७०२७.) तद्प्युदाहृतम्—

' चिदात्मन इमा इत्थं प्रस्फुरन्तीह शक्तयः । इत्यस्याश्चर्यजालेषु नाभ्युदेति कुतूहलम् ॥ ६७ ॥ ' इति । विरक्तोऽप्यसौ ब्रह्मविद्याभरेण विधिनिषेधावुल्लङ्घयति । तदुक्तम्—' निस्नै-गुण्ये पथि विचरतां को विधिः को निषेधः' (शु०) इति । तथा च श्रद्धालवः शिष्टास्तमेवं निन्दन्ति-—

> ' सर्वे ब्रह्म वदिप्यन्ति संप्राप्ते तु कली युगे । नानुतिष्ठन्ति मैत्रेय शिक्षोदरपरायणाः ॥ ' (वि० पु०) इति ।

योगिनि तु परमहंसे यथोक्तं दोषद्वयं नास्ति । अन्योऽप्यस्यातिशयः प्रश्नो-त्तराभ्यां (ल० ४२.) दर्शितः—-

#### श्रीरामः---

' एवं स्थितेऽपि भगवञ्जीवन्मुक्तस्य सन्मतेः । अपूर्वोऽतिशयः कोऽसौ भवत्यात्मविदां वर ॥ १ ॥ '

#### वसिष्ठः---

' ज्ञस्य किस्मिश्चिद्येषा भवत्यितशये न धीः । नित्यतृप्तः प्रशान्तात्मा स आत्मन्येव तिष्ठति ॥ २ ॥ मन्त्रसिद्धैस्तपःसिद्धैर्योगसिद्धैश्च भूरिशः । कृतमाकाशयानादि तत्र का स्यादपूर्वता ॥ ३ ॥ एक एव विशेषोऽस्य न समो मूढबुद्धिभिः । सर्वत्रास्थापरित्यागान्त्रीरागममलं मनः ॥ ५ ॥ एतावदेव खळु लिङ्गमलिङ्गमूर्तेः संशान्तसंस्टितिचिरश्रमिनर्वृतस्य । तज्ज्ञस्य यन्मदनकोपविषादमोह-लोभापदामनुदिनं निपुणं तनुत्वम् ॥ ६ ॥ ' इति । एतेनातिशयेनोपेतानां दोषद्वयरहितानां मार्गस्थिती पृच्छचेते । वेषभाषादि-रूपो हि व्यवहारो मार्गः । चित्तोपरमरूप आन्तरो धर्मः स्थितिः । भगवांश्चतुर्भुखो ब्रह्मा ।

यथोक्तं प्रश्नोत्तरमवतारयति—

"तं भगवानाह," इति ।

वक्ष्यमाणमार्गे श्रद्धातिशयमुत्पाद्यितुं तं मार्गे प्रशंसति----

"सोऽयं परमहंसानां मार्गो लोके दुर्लभतरो न तु बाहुल्यः," इति ।

यः पृष्टः सोऽयमिति योजना । अयमित्युत्तरप्रन्थे वक्ष्यमाण आच्छादनादिः स्वशरीरोपभोगेन लोकोपकारेण च निरपेक्षो मुख्यो मार्गः परामृश्यते । तादशस्य परमकाष्ठां प्राप्तस्य वैराग्यस्यादष्टचरत्वात्तस्य मार्गस्य दुर्लभतरत्वम् । न चैतावताऽत्यन्ताभावः शङ्कनीय इत्यभिप्रेत्य बाहुल्यमेव प्रतिषेधति——न त्विति । बाहुल्यमिति वक्तव्ये लिङ्गव्यत्ययञ्छान्दसः ।

नन्वयं मार्गो दुर्लभतरश्चेत्तर्हि तदर्थ प्रयासो न कर्तव्यः, तेन प्रयोजनाभावादित्याशङ्कचाह—

"यद्येकोऽपि भवति स एव नित्यपूतस्थः स एव वेदपुरुष इति विदुषो मन्यन्ते," इति ।

> 'मनुष्याणां सहस्रेषु कश्चिद्यति सिद्धये । यततामि सिद्धानां कश्चिन्मां वेत्ति तत्त्वतः ॥ ' (भ०७.३.)

इति न्यायेन यत्र कापि यदा कदाचिद्योगी परमहंसो यदि कश्चिलभ्यते तर्हि स एव नित्यपूतस्थो भवति । नित्यपूतः परमात्मा, 'य आत्माऽपह-

तपाप्मा ' (छा० ८. ७. १.) इति श्रुतेः । एवकारेण केवलयोगी केवल-परमहंसश्च व्यावत्येते । केवलयोगी नित्यपूतं न जानाति । केवलपरमहंसो जानन्नपि चित्तविश्रान्त्यभावाद्घिर्द्यसे ब्रह्मणि न तिष्ठति । वेदप्रतिपाद्यः पुरुषो वेदपुरुषः । विदुषो विद्वांसो ब्रह्मानुभवचित्तविश्रान्तिप्रतिपादक-शास्त्रपारंगता योगिनः । परमहंसस्य ब्रह्मनिष्ठत्वं सर्वे जना मन्यन्ते । यथोक्ता विद्वांसस्तु तदप्यसहमाना ब्रह्मत्वमेव मन्यन्ते । तथा च स्मर्यते—

> 'दर्शनादर्शने हित्वा स्वयं केवलरूपतः । यस्तिष्ठति स तु ब्रह्मन्ब्रह्म न ब्रह्मवित्स्वयम् ॥' इति ।

अतो न प्रयोजनाभावः शङ्कितुमपि शक्यते ।

नित्यपूतस्थत्वं वेदपुरुषत्वं च मुखतो विशदयन्नर्थात् 'का स्थितिः?' इति प्रश्नस्योत्तरं सूत्रयति—

# " महापुरुषो यचित्तं तत्सर्वदा मय्येवावस्थापयति, तस्मादहं च तस्मिन्नेवावस्थितः" इति ।

वैदिकज्ञानकर्माधिकारिषु पुरुषेषु मध्ये योगिनः परमहंसस्यात्यन्त-मुत्तमत्वान्महापुरुषत्वम् । स च महापुरुषो यचित्तं स्वकीयं तत्सर्ददा मय्येवावस्थापयति, संसारगोचराणां तदीयचित्तवृत्तीनामभ्यासवैराग्याभ्यां निरुद्धत्वात् । अत एव भगवान्प्रजापितः शास्त्रसिद्धं परमात्मानं स्वानुभवेन परामृशन्मयीति व्यपदिशति । यस्माद्योगी मय्येव चित्तं स्थापयित तस्मादहमपि परमात्मस्वरूपत्वेन तस्मिन्नेव योगिन्याविर्भूतोऽवस्थितोऽस्मि नेतरेप्वज्ञानिषु, तेषामविद्यावृतत्वात् । तत्त्वित्स्वप्ययोगिषु बाह्यचित्त-वृत्तिभिरावृतत्वान्नास्त्याविर्भावः । इदानीं कोऽयं मार्ग इति पृष्टं मार्गमुपदिशति---

" असौ स्वपुत्रमित्रकलत्रबन्ध्वादीन्शिखायज्ञोपवीते स्वाध्यायं च सर्वकर्माणि संन्यस्यायं ब्रह्माण्डं च हित्वा कौपीनं दण्डमाच्छादनं च स्वशरीरोपभोगार्थाय लोकस्योपकारार्थाय च परिग्रहेत्," इति ।

यो गृहस्थः पूर्वजन्मसंचितपुण्यपुञ्जे परिपके सित मातृपित्राज्ञादिना निमित्तेन विविदिषासंन्यासरूपं परमहंसाश्रममस्वीकृत्येव श्रवणादिसाधनान्यनुष्ठाय तत्त्वं सम्यगवगच्छित, ततो गृहस्थस्य प्राप्तेलींकिकवैदिकव्यवहारसहस्रैश्चित्ते विक्षिप्ते सित विश्रान्तिसद्धये विद्वत्संन्यासं चिकीर्षति, तं प्रति स्वपुत्रमित्रेत्याद्युपदेशः, पूर्वमेव विविदिषासंन्यासं कृत्वा तत्त्वं विदितवतो विद्वत्संन्यासं चिकीर्षोः पुत्रकलत्रादिप्रसङ्गाभावात् । नन्वयं विद्वत्संन्यासः किमितरसंन्यासवत्प्रैषोच्चारणादिविध्युक्तप्रकारेण संपादनीयः, किं वा जीर्णवस्त्रसोपद्रवप्रामादित्यागवल्लोकिकत्त्यागमात्ररूपः ? नाद्यः, तत्त्व-विदः कर्तृत्वराहित्येन विधिनिषेधानिधकारात् । अत एव स्मर्यते—

'ज्ञानामृतेन तृप्तस्य कृतकृत्यस्य योगिनः। नैवास्ति किंचित्कर्तव्यमस्ति चेन्न स तत्त्ववित्॥' इति।

न द्वितीयः। कौपीनदण्डाद्याश्रमिलङ्गिविधानश्रवणात्। नैष दोषः, प्रतिपत्तिकर्मवदुभयरूपत्वोपपत्तेः। तथा हि—-ज्योतिष्टोमे दीक्षितस्य दीक्षाङ्गिनयमानुष्ठानकाले कण्ड्र्यितुं हस्तं प्रतिषिध्य कृष्णविषाणा विहिता 'यद्धस्तेन कण्ड्र्येत पामानंभावुकाः प्रजाः स्युर्यत्स्मयेत नग्नंभावुकाः' इति, 'कृष्णविषाणया कण्ड्र्यते' इति च। तस्याश्च कृष्णविषाणायाः समाप्ते नियमे प्रयोजनाभावाद्वोद्धमशक्यत्वाच्च त्यागः स्वत एव प्राप्तः। तं च त्यागं सप्रकारं वेदो विदधाति—'नीतासु दक्षिणासु चात्वाले कृष्णविषाणां प्रास्यति ' इति । तदिदं प्रतिपत्तिकर्म लौकिकं वैदिकं चेत्युभयरूपम् । एवं विद्वत्संन्यासोऽप्युभयरूपः । न च तत्त्वविदि कर्तृत्व-स्यात्यन्ताभावः शङ्कनीयः, चिदात्मन्यारोपितस्य कर्तृत्वस्य विद्ययाऽपो-हितत्वेऽपि चिच्छायोपेतेऽन्तःकरणोपाधौ विकियासहस्रयुक्ते स्वतःसिद्धस्य कर्तृत्वस्य यावद्रव्यभावितयाऽनपोहितत्वात् । न च 'ज्ञानामृतेन-' इत्यादि-स्मृतिविरोधः, सत्यिप ज्ञाने विश्रान्तिरहितस्य तृप्त्यभावेन विश्रान्ति-संपादनलक्षणकर्तव्यशेषसद्भावेन कृतकृत्यत्वाभावात् । ननु तत्त्वविदोऽपि विध्यङ्गीकारे सति तेनापूर्वेण देहान्तरमारभ्येत । मैवम् , तस्यापूर्वस्य चित्तविश्रान्तिपतिबन्धनिवारणलक्षणस्य दष्टफलस्य संभवे सत्यदष्टफलकल्प-नाया अन्याय्यत्वात् ; अन्यथा श्रवणादिविधिष्वपि ब्रह्मज्ञानोत्पत्ति-प्रतिबन्धनिवारणरूपं दृष्टफलमुपेक्ष्य जन्मान्तरहेतुत्वं कल्प्येत । तस्मा-द्विध्यङ्गीकारे दोषाभावाद्विविदिषुरिव विद्वानिष गृहस्थो नान्दीमुखश्राद्धो-पवासजागरणादिविधिमनुस्त्यैव संन्यस्येत् । यद्यप्यत्र श्राद्धादिकं नोपदिष्टं तथाऽप्यस्य विद्वत्संन्यासस्य विविदिषासंन्यासविकृतित्वात् 'प्रकृतिवद्विकृतिः कर्तव्या ' इति न्यायेन तदीया धर्माः सर्वेऽप्यत्र प्राप्नुवन्ति, यथाऽिमष्टो-मस्य विकृतिप्वतिरात्रादिषु तदीयधर्मप्राप्तिस्तद्वत् । तस्मादितरसंन्यास-वदत्रापि प्रैषमन्त्रेण पुत्रमित्रादित्यागं संकल्पयेत् । बन्ध्वादीनित्यादिशब्देन भृत्यपशुगृहक्षेत्रादिलौकिकपरिग्रहादिविशेषाः संगृह्यन्ते । स्वाध्यायं चेति चकारेण तदर्थनिर्णयोपयुक्तानि पदवाक्यप्रमाणशास्त्राणि वेदोपबृंहकाणीति-हासपुराणादीनि च समुचिनोति । औत्सुक्यनिवृत्तिमात्रप्रयोजनानां काव्य-नाटकादीनां त्यागः कैमुतिकन्यायसिद्धः। सर्वकर्माणीति सर्वशब्देन लौकिकवैदिकनित्यनैमित्तिकनिषिद्धकाम्यानि संगृह्यन्ते । पुत्रादित्यागेनैहिक-भोगः परिहृतः। सर्वकर्मत्यागेन चामुध्मिक्भोगाज्ञा चित्तविक्षेपकारिणी परिहृता । अयमिति च्छान्दसिवभिक्तिलिङ्गव्यत्ययेनेदं ब्रह्माण्डमिति योजनीयम् । ब्रह्माण्डत्यागो नाम तत्प्राप्तिहेतोविराङुपासनस्य त्यागः । ब्रह्माण्डं चेति चकारेण सूत्रात्मप्राप्तिहेतोहिरण्यगर्भोपासनस्य तत्त्वज्ञानहेतूनां श्रवणादीनां च समुच्चयः । स्वपुत्रादिहिरण्यगर्भोपासनान्तमेहिकमामुष्मिकं च सुखसाधनं सर्वं प्रैषमन्त्रोच्चारणेन परित्यज्य कोपीनादिकं परिगृह्णीयात् । आच्छादनं चेति चकारेण पादुकादीनि समुच्चिनोति । तथा च स्मृतिः---

> 'कौपीनयुगलं वासः कन्थां शीतनिवारिणीम् । पादुके चापि गृह्णीयात्कुर्यान्नान्यस्य संग्रहम् ॥ ' इति ।

स्वशरीरोपभोगो नाम कौपीनेन लज्जाव्यावृत्तिः। दण्डेन गोसर्पाद्यु-पद्रवपरिहारः। आच्छादनेन शीतादिपरिहारः। चकारात्पादुकाभ्या-मुच्छिष्टदेशस्पर्शादिपरिहारं समुच्चिनोति। लोकस्योपकारो नाम दण्डादिलिङ्गे-नैतदीयमुत्तमाश्रमं परिज्ञाय तदुचिताभिवन्दनभिक्षाप्रदानादिप्रवृत्त्या सुकृत-सिद्धिः। चकारेणाश्रममर्यादायाः शिष्टाचारप्राप्तायाः पालनं समुच्चिनोति।

कोपीनादिपरिग्रहस्यानुकूलत्वमभिषेत्य मुख्यत्वं प्रतिषेधति---

# "तच न ग्रुख्योऽस्ति," इति ।

यत्कौपीनादिपरिग्रहणमस्ति तद्प्यस्य योगिनः परमहंसस्य मुख्यः कल्पो न भवति, किं त्वनुकल्प एव । विविदिषासंन्यासिनस्तु दण्डग्रहणं मुख्यमिति कृत्वा दण्डवियोगस्य निषेधः स्मर्यते—

> 'दण्डात्मनोस्तु संयोगः सर्वदैव विधीयते । न दण्डेन विना गच्छेदिषुक्षेपत्रयं बुधः ॥' इति ।

प्रायश्चित्तमपि दण्डनाशे प्राणायामशतं स्मर्थते — 'दण्डत्यागे शतं चरेत् ' इति ।

योगिनः परमहंसस्य मुख्यं कल्पं प्रश्नोत्तराभ्यां दर्शयति---

"कोऽयं मुख्य इति चेदयं मुख्यः, न दण्डं न शिखं न यज्ञोपवीतं नाच्छादनं चरति परमहंसः," इति ।

न शिखमिति च्छान्दसो लिङ्गव्यत्ययोऽनुसंधेयः। यथा विविदिषुः परमहंसः शिखायज्ञोपवीताभ्यां रहितो मुख्यस्तथा योगी दण्डाच्छादनाभ्यां रहितः सन्मुख्यो भवति, दण्डस्य वैणवत्वादिलक्षणमाच्छादनस्य कन्थात्वादिलक्षणं च परीक्षितुं दण्डादिकं संपादियतुं रिक्षतुं च चित्ते व्यापृते सित चित्तवृत्तिनिरोधलक्षणो योगो न सिध्येदिति। तच न युक्तम्, न हि वरिविधाताय कन्योद्वाहः 'इति न्यायात्।

आच्छादनाद्यभावे शीतादिवाधायाः कः प्रतीकार इत्याशङ्कचाह-

'न शीतं न चोष्णं न सुखं न दुःखं न मानावमानों च षडूर्मिवर्जम् ,' इति ।

निरुद्धाशेषचित्तवृत्तेयोंगिनः शीतं नास्ति, तत्प्रतीत्यभावात् । यथा लीलायामासक्तस्य बालस्याच्छादनादिरहितस्यापि हेमन्तशिशिरयोः प्रातःकालेऽपि शीतं नास्ति, तथा परमात्मन्यासक्तस्य योगिनः शीताभावः । धर्मकाल उष्णाभावश्च तथैवावगन्तन्यः । वर्षासु तदभावसमुच्चयार्थश्चकारः । शीतोष्णयोरप्रतीतौ तज्जन्ययोः सुखदुःखयोरभाव उपपन्नः । निदाघे शीतं सुखजनकं हेमन्ते दुःखजनकम् । उक्तविपर्यय उष्णे द्रष्टन्यः । मानः पुरुषान्तरेण संपादितः सत्कारः । अवमानस्तिरस्कारः । यदा योगिनः स्वात्मव्यतिरिक्तं पुरुषान्तरमेव न प्रतीयते तदा मानावमानौ दूरापेतौ । चकारः शत्रुमित्ररागद्वेषादिद्वंद्वाभावं समुचिनोति । षडूर्मयः— क्षुत्पिपासे शोकमोहौ जरामरणे च । तेषां त्रयाणां द्वंद्वानां क्रमेण प्राणमनोदेह- धर्मत्वादात्मतत्त्वाभिमुखस्य योगिनस्तद्वर्जनं युज्यते ।

नन्वस्त्वेवं समाधिदशायां शीताद्यभावः, व्युत्थानदशायां तु निन्दादिक्केशः संसारिणमिवैनं वाधेतैवेत्याशङ्कचाह-—

# "निन्दागर्वमत्सरदम्भदर्पेच्छाद्वेषसुखदुः खकामक्रोधलोभमोहहर्षा-स्र्याहंकारादींश्च हित्वा," इति ।

विविधेः पुरुषेः स्वस्मित्रापादिता दोषोक्तिर्निन्दा। अन्ये-भ्योऽधिकोऽहमिति चित्तवृत्तिर्गर्वः। विद्याधनादिभिरन्यसदृशो भवामीति बुद्धिर्मत्सरः। परेषामग्रे जपध्यानादिभकटनं दम्भः। भर्त्सनादिषु दृद्धबुद्धिर्दर्पः। धनाद्यभिलाष इच्छा। शत्रुवधादिषु बुद्धिर्द्धेषः। अनुकूल-द्रव्यादिलाभेन बुद्धिस्वास्थ्यं सुखम्। तद्धिपर्ययो दुःखम्। योषिदाद्यभिलाषः कामः। कामितार्थविघातजन्यो बुद्धिक्षोभः क्रोधः। लब्धस्य धनस्य त्यागासिहष्णुत्वं लोभः। हितेष्वहितबुद्धिरहितेषु च हितबुद्धिमोहः। चित्तगतसुखाभिन्यञ्जिका मुखविकासादिहेतुर्धीवृत्तिर्हर्षः। परकीयगुणेषु दोषत्वारोपणमस्या। देहेन्द्रियादिसंघातेष्वात्मश्रमोऽहंकारः। आदिशब्देन भोग्यवस्तुषु ममकारसमीचीनत्वादिबुद्धयो गृद्धन्ते। चकारो यथोक्तं निन्दादिविपरीतं स्तुत्यादिकं समुच्चिनोति। एतान्सर्वान्निन्दादीन्हित्वा पूर्वोक्तवासनाक्षयाभ्यासेन परित्यज्याविष्ठेतेति शेषः।

ननु विद्यमाने स्वदेहे तत्परित्यागो न संभवतीत्याशङ्कचाह—

<sup>&</sup>quot;स्ववपुः कुणपमिव दृश्यते यतस्तद्वपुरपध्वस्तम्," इति ।

पूर्व यत्त्वकीयं वपुस्तिदिदानीं योगिना स्वात्मचैतन्यात्पृथग्भूतत्वेन कुणपिनवावलोक्यते । यथा श्रद्धालुः स्पर्शनभीत्या शबदेहं दूरे स्थितोऽव-लोकयित, तथाऽयं योगी तादात्म्यभ्रान्त्युदयभीत्या सावधानो देहं चिदात्मनः सकाशान्त्रिरन्तरं विविनक्ति । यतः कारणात्तद्वपुराचार्योप-देशागमानुभवैरपध्वस्तं चिदात्मनः सकाशान्त्रिराकृतम् । ततश्चैतन्यवि-युक्तस्य देहस्य शवतुल्यतया दृश्यमानत्वात्सत्यिप देहे निन्दादित्यागो घटत इत्यभिप्रायः ।

नन्त्पन्नो दिग्भ्रमः स्योदयदर्शनेन विनष्टोऽपि यथा कदाचिदनु-वर्तते, तथा कदाचिदात्मनि देहात्मत्वसंशयाद्यनुवृत्तौ निन्दादिक्केशः पुनः पुनः प्रसज्येतेत्याशङ्कचाह—

### "संशयविपरीतमिथ्याज्ञानानां यो हेतुस्तेन नित्यनिष्टत्तः," इति।

आत्मा कर्तृत्वादिधमोंपेतस्तद्रहितो वेत्यादिकं संशयज्ञानम् । देहादिरूप एवात्मेति विपरीतज्ञानम् । एतदुभयं भोक्तृविषयम् । मिथ्याज्ञानं तु भोग्यविषयमत्र विवक्षितम् । तच्चानेकविधम् 'संकल्पप्रभवान्कामान् ' (भ०६.२४.) इत्यत्र स्पष्टीकृतम् । तद्धेतुश्चतुर्विधः,
'अनित्याशुचिदुःखानात्मसु नित्यशुचिसुखात्मख्यातिरविद्या' (२.५.) इति
स्त्रणात् । अनित्ये गिरिनदीसमुद्रादौ नित्यत्वभ्रान्तिरेका । अशुचौ पुत्रभार्यादिशरीरे शुचित्वभ्रान्तिर्द्वितीया । दुःखे कृषिवाणिज्यादौ सुखत्वभ्रान्तिस्तृतीया । गौणिमथ्यात्मिन पुत्रभार्यादावन्नमयादिके चानात्मिन मुख्यात्मत्वभ्रान्तिश्चतुर्थी । एतेषां संशयादीनां हेतुरद्वितीयब्रह्मात्मतत्त्वावरकमज्ञानं
तद्वासना च । तच्चाज्ञानं योगिनः परमहंसस्य महावाक्यार्थबोधेन
निवृत्तम् ; वासना तु योगाभ्यासेन निवृत्ता । उदाहृतायां दिग्न्नान्तावज्ञाने

निवृत्तेऽपि वासनायाः सङ्गावाद्यथापूर्वे भ्रान्तिव्यवहारः। योगिनस्तु भ्रान्तिहेतुद्वयराहित्यात्कुतः संशयादीन्यनुवर्तेरन् १ तमेवमनुवृत्त्यभावमभिषेत्य तेन हेतुद्वयेन योगी नित्यनिवृत्त इत्युक्तम्। सत्यामप्यज्ञानतद्वासनानिवृत्तौ तस्या निवृत्तेर्विनाशाभावाक्तित्यत्वं द्रष्टव्यम्।

तन्नित्यत्वे हेतुमाह—

### "तिनत्यबोधः," इति ।

सर्वनामत्वात्प्रसिद्धार्थवाची तच्छब्दोऽत्र सर्वेविदान्तप्रसिद्धं परमा-त्मानमाचष्टे। तिस्मन्परमात्मिन नित्यो बोधो यस्य योगिनः सोऽयं तिन्नत्यबोधः। योगी हि 'तमेव धीरो विज्ञाय प्रज्ञां कुर्वीत ब्राह्मणः' (बृ० ४. ४. २१.) इति श्रुतिमनुस्त्य चित्तविक्षेपान्योगेन परिहृत्य नैरन्तर्येण परमात्मविषयामेव प्रज्ञां करोति। अतो बोधस्य नित्यत्वाद्धोध-विनाइययोरज्ञानतद्वासनयोर्निवृत्तिर्नित्येत्यर्थः।

बुध्यमानस्य परमात्मनस्तार्किकेश्वरवत्तटस्थत्वशङ्कां वारयति---

## "तत्स्वयमेवावस्थितः," इति ।

यद्वेदान्तवेद्यं परं ब्रह्मास्ति तत्स्वयमेव न तु स्वस्मादन्यदित्येवं निश्चित्य योगिनोऽवस्थितिर्भवति ।

तस्य योगिनो ब्रह्मानुभवप्रकारं दर्शयति——

"तं शान्तमचल्रमद्वयानन्दविज्ञानघन एवास्मि तदेव मम परमं धाम," इति ।

तमित्यादिपदत्रये द्वितीया प्रथमार्थे द्रष्टव्या । यः परमात्मा शान्तः कोधादिविक्षेपरहितः, अचलो गमनादिकियारहितः, स्वगतसजातीयविजा- तीयभेदशून्यः सिच्चदानन्दैकरसोऽस्ति स एवाहमिस्म । तदेव ब्रह्मतत्त्वं मम योगिनः परमं धाम वास्तवं स्वरूपम् ; न त्वेतत्कर्तृत्वभोक्तृत्वादि-युक्तम् , एतस्य मायाकिष्पतत्वात् । नन्वात्मनः परब्रह्मत्व आनन्दावाप्ति-रिदानीं कुतो नेत्यत्रानन्दावाप्तिः सदृष्टान्तमुक्ताऽभियुक्तैः—

'गवां सिपः शरीरस्थं न करोत्यक्कपोषणम् । त्रदेव कर्मरिचतं पुनस्तस्यैव भेषजम् ॥ एवं सर्वशरीरस्थः सिपंवित्परमेश्वरः । विना चोपासनां देवो न करोति हितं नृषु ॥ ' इति ।

यदि योगिनः पूर्वाश्रमप्रसिद्धा आचार्यपितृश्रात्रादयः कर्मिणः श्रद्धाजडाः शिखायज्ञोपवीतसंध्यावन्दनादिराहित्येन पाषण्डित्वमारोप्य व्यामोहयेयुस्तदा व्यामोहानुत्पत्तये योगिनो वर्तमानं निश्चयं दर्शयति——

# "तदेव च शिखा तदेवोपवीतं च परमात्मात्मनोरेकत्वज्ञानेन तयोर्भेद एव विभग्नः सा संध्या," इति ।

यद्वेदान्तवेद्यस्य परब्रह्मणो ज्ञानं तदेव कर्माङ्गभूतबाह्यशिखायज्ञोप-वीतस्थानीयम् । अन्ये च मन्त्रद्रव्यलक्षणे कर्माङ्गभूते चकाराभ्यां समुच्चीयते । शिखाद्यङ्गसाध्येः कर्मभिरुत्पन्नं यत्स्वर्गादिसुखं तत्सर्वे ब्रह्मज्ञानेनैव लभ्यते, विषयानन्दस्य सर्वस्य ब्रह्मानन्दलेशत्वात् । 'एत-स्यैवानन्दस्यान्यानि भूतानि मात्रामुपजीवन्ति' (बृ० ४. ३. ३२.) इति श्रुतिः । एतदेवाभिष्रेत्याथर्वणिका ब्रह्मोपनिषद्यामनन्ति—

> 'सिशिखं वपनं कृत्वा बिहःसूत्रं त्यजेद् बुधः । यदक्षरं परं ब्रह्म तत्सूत्रमिति धारयेत् ॥

सूचनात्सूत्रमित्याहुः सूत्रं नाम परं पदम् । तत्सूत्रं विदितं येन स विष्रो वेदपारगः ॥ येन सर्विमिदं प्रोतं सुत्रे मणिगणा इव । तत्सत्रं धारयेद्योगी योगवित्तत्त्वदर्शिवान ॥ बहिःसूत्रं त्यजेद्विद्वान्योगमुत्तममास्थितः । ब्रह्मभाविमदं सूत्रं धारयेद्यः स चेतनः । धारणात्तस्य सत्रस्य नोच्छिष्टो नाश्चिभवेत ॥ स्त्रमन्तर्गतं येषां ज्ञानयज्ञोपवीतिनाम् । ते वै सूत्रविदो लोके ते च यज्ञोपवीतिनः॥ ज्ञानशिखा ज्ञाननिष्ठा ज्ञानयज्ञोपवीतिनः । ज्ञानमेव परं तेषां पवित्रं ज्ञानमच्यते ॥ अग्नेरिव शिखा नान्या यस्य ज्ञानमयी शिखा । स शिखीत्यच्यते विद्वान्नेतरे केशधारिणः ॥ कर्मण्यधिकृता ये त वैदिके ब्राह्मणादयः । तैर्विधार्यमिदं सूत्रं कर्माङ्गं तद्धि वै स्मृतम् ॥ शिखा ज्ञानमयी यस्योपवीतं चापि तन्मयम् । ब्राह्मण्यं सकलं तस्य इति ब्रह्मविदो विदु: ॥ इदं यज्ञोपवीतं च परमं यत्परायणम् । विद्वान्यज्ञोपवीती स्यात्तज्ज्ञास्तं यज्विनं विदः ॥ ' इति ।

तस्माद्योगिनः शिखायज्ञोपवीते यथा विद्येते तथैव संध्याऽपि विद्यते। यः शास्त्रगम्यः परमात्मा यश्चाहंप्रत्ययगम्यो जीवात्मा, तयोरेकत्वज्ञानेन महावाक्यजन्येन भ्रान्तिप्रतीतो भेदो विशेषेण भग्न एव। पुनर्भान्त्यनुदयो भङ्गस्य विशेषः । येयमेकत्वबुद्धिः सेयमुभयोरात्मनोः संधौ जायमान-त्वात्संध्येत्युच्यते । अहोरात्रयोः संधावनुष्टेया किया यथा संध्या तद्वत् । एवं च सित योगी श्रद्धाजडैर्न व्यामोहियतुं शक्यः ।

'कोऽयं मार्गः ?' इति प्रश्नस्य 'असौ स्वपुत्र-' इत्यादिनोत्तरमुक्तम् । 'का स्थितिः ?' इत्येतस्य 'महापुरुषः-' इत्यादिना संक्षिप्योत्तरमुक्त्वा 'संशयविपरीत-' इत्यादिना तदेव प्रपञ्चयेदानीमुपसंहरति—

### 'सर्वान्कामान्परित्यज्य अद्वैते परमा स्थितिः।' इति ।

क्रोधलोभादीनां कामपूर्वकत्वात्कामपरित्यागेन चित्तदोषाः सर्वेऽपि परित्यज्यन्ते । एतदेवाभिषेत्य वाजसनेयिभिराझातम्—'अथो खल्वाहुः काममय एवायं पुरुषः' (बृ० ४.४.५.) इति । अतो निष्कामस्य योगिचित्तस्याद्वैते निर्विन्ना स्थितिरुपपद्यते ।

ननु दण्डग्रहणविधिवासनयोपेता विविदिषासंन्यासिनो योगिनं दण्डरहितं परमहंसं नाभ्युपगच्छन्तीत्याशङ्कचाह—

> 'ज्ञानदण्डो धृतो येन एकदण्डी स उच्यते ॥ काष्टदण्डो धृतो येन सर्वाज्ञी ज्ञानवर्जितः। स याति नरकान्घोरान्महारौरवसंज्ञकान् ॥ तितिक्षाज्ञानवैराग्यशमादिगुणवर्जितः। भिक्षामात्रेण यो जीवेत्स पापी यतिष्टत्तिहा॥ इदमन्तरं ज्ञात्वा स परमहंसः,' इति।

परमहंसस्य योऽयमेकदण्डः स द्विविधः—ज्ञानदण्डः काष्ठदण्डश्चेति । यथा त्रिदण्डिनो वाग्दण्डो मनोदण्डः कर्मदण्डश्चेति त्रैविध्यं तद्वत् । बाग्दण्डादयो मनुना स्मर्यन्ते— 'वाग्दण्डोऽथ मनोदण्डः कर्मदण्डस्तथैव च । यस्यैते नियता बुद्धौ स त्रिदण्डीति चोच्यते ॥ त्रिदण्डमेतन्निक्षिप्य सर्वभूतेषु मानवः । कामकोधौ तु संयम्य ततः सिद्धिं निगच्छति ॥ ' (म० १२. १०, ११.) इति ।

तेषां स्वरूपं दक्षः स्मरति---

'वाग्दण्डोऽथ मनोदण्डः कर्मदण्डस्तथैव च । यस्यैते नियता दण्डास्त्रिदण्डीति स उच्यते ॥ वाग्दण्डे मौनमातिष्ठेत्कर्मदण्डे त्वनीहताम् । मानसस्य तु दण्डस्य प्राणायामो विधीयते ॥ ' इति ।

'कर्मदण्डोऽल्पभोजनम्' इति स्मृत्यन्तरपाठः । ईदृशं त्रिदण्डित्वं परमहंस-स्याप्यस्ति । तदेतदभिष्रेत्य पितामहः स्मरति—

> 'यतिः परमहंसस्तु तुर्याख्यः श्रुतिचोदितः । यमैश्च नियमैर्युक्तो विष्णुरूपी त्रिदण्डभृत् ॥ ' इति ।

एवं सित मौनादीनां वागादिदमनहेतुत्वाद्यथा दण्डत्वं तथैवाज्ञानतत्कार्य-दमनहेतोर्ज्ञानस्य दण्डत्वम् । अयं ज्ञानदण्डो येन परमहंसेन धृतः स एव मुख्य एकदण्डीत्युच्यते । मानसस्य ज्ञानदण्डस्य कदाचिच्चित्त-विक्षेपेण विस्मृतिः प्रसज्येतेति तन्निवारणार्थं स्मारकः काष्ठदण्डो प्रियते । तदेतच्छास्त्रार्थरहस्यमबुद्ध्वा वेषमात्रेण पुरुषार्थसिद्धिमभिप्रेत्य काष्ठदण्डो येन परमहंसेन धृतः स पुरुषो बहुविधयातनोपेतत्वाद्धोरान्महारौरवसंज्ञ-कान्नरकानामोति । तत्र हेतुरुच्यते—परमहंसवेषं दृष्ट्वा ज्ञानित्वभ्रान्त्या सर्वे जनाः स्वस्वगृहे तं भोजयन्ति । अयं च जिह्वारुम्पटो वर्ज्यांवर्ज्य-विवेकमकृत्वा सर्वमन्नमश्चाति । तेन प्रत्यवायं प्राप्नोति । यानि तु 'नान्नदोषेण मस्करी ' 'चातुर्वर्ण्यं चरेद्भैक्षम् ' इत्यादिस्मृतिवचनानि तानि ज्ञानि-विषयाणि । अयं च ज्ञानवर्जित इति युक्तोऽस्य नरकः । अत एव ज्ञानहीनस्य यतेर्भिक्षानियममाह मनुः—

> 'न चोत्पातिनिमित्ताभ्यां न नक्षत्राङ्गविद्यया । नानुशासनवादाभ्यां भिक्षां लिप्सेत किंहिचित् ॥ एककालं चरेद्भैक्षं न प्रसज्येत विस्तरे । भैक्षे प्रसक्तो हि यतिर्विषयेष्विप सज्जति ॥'

> > (म० ६. ५०, ५५.) इति।

ज्ञानाभ्यासिनं प्रति त्वेवं स्मर्यते --

'एकवारं द्विवारं वा भुङ्जीत परहंसकः । येन केन प्रकारेण ज्ञानाभ्यासी भवेत्सदा ॥ ' इति ।

एवं च सित ज्ञानदण्डकाष्ठदण्डयोर्यदन्तरमुत्तमत्वाधमत्वरूपं तिददमवगत्योत्तमं ज्ञानदण्डं यो धारयति स एव मुख्यः परमहंस इत्यभ्युपगन्तव्यम् ।

नन्वस्त्वभिज्ञस्य परमहंसस्य ज्ञानदण्डो मा भूत्काष्ठदण्डनिर्बन्धः, इतरा तु चर्या सर्वा कीदशीत्याशङ्कचाह—

"आशाम्बरो न नमस्कारो न स्वधाकारो न निन्दा न स्तुतिर्या-दृच्छिको भवेद्भिक्षुर्नावाहनं न विसर्जनं न मन्त्रं न ध्यानं नोपासनं न लक्ष्यं नालक्ष्यं न पृथङ् नापृथङ् न चाहं न त्वं न च सर्वे न चानिकेतस्थितिरेव स भिक्षुः सौवर्णादीन्नेव परिग्रहेन्न लोकं नावलोकं च," इति । आशा दिशः, ता एवाम्बरं वस्त्रमाच्छादनं यस्यासावाशाम्बरः। यत्तु स्मृतिवचनम्—

> 'जान्वोरूर्ध्वमधो नाभेः परिधायैकमम्बरम् । द्वितीयमुत्तरं वासः परिधाय गृहानटेत् ॥ '

इति, तदिदमयोगिविषयम् । अत एव पूर्वम् ' तच्च न मुख्योऽस्ति ' इत्युक्तम् । यद्यपि स्मृत्यन्तरम्—

> 'यो भवेत्पूर्वसंन्यासी तुल्यो वै धर्मतो यदि । तस्मै प्रणामः कर्तव्यो नेतराय कदाचन ॥ '

इति, तथाऽपि तस्यायोगिविषयत्वान्नास्य नमस्कारः कर्तव्योऽस्ति । अत एव ब्राह्मणलक्षणे 'निर्नमस्कारमस्तुतिम् ' इत्युदाहृतम् । गयाप्रयागादि-तीर्थेषु श्रद्धाजाड्यात्प्राप्तः स्वधाकारो निषिध्यते । पूर्वत्र 'निन्दागर्व-' इत्यादिवाक्येन परकृतया स्वनिन्दया क्लेशो निवारितः, अत्र तु स्वकर्तृके अन्यविषये निन्दास्तुती निषिध्येते । याद्यच्छिकत्वं निर्वन्धराहित्यम् । न कचिदपि व्यवहारे निर्वन्धं कुर्यात् । यस्तु देवपूजायां निर्वन्धः स्मर्यते—

> 'भिक्षाटनं जपः शौचं स्नानं ध्यानं सुरार्चनम् । कर्तव्यानि षडेतानि सर्वथा नृपदण्डवत् ॥ '

इति, तस्याप्ययोगिविषयत्वमिभेषेत्य नावाहनिमत्याद्याम्नातम् । सकृत्स्मरणं ध्यानम् , नैरन्तर्येणानुस्मरणमुपासनिमिति तयोर्भेदः । यथा योगिनः स्तुति-निन्दादिलौकिकव्यवहाराभावः, यथा वा देवपूजादिधर्मशास्त्रव्यवहाराभावः, तथा लक्ष्यत्वालक्ष्यत्वादिज्ञानशास्त्रव्यवहारोऽपि नास्ति । 'यत्साक्षिचैतन्यमस्ति तदिदम् 'तत्त्वमसि ' इति वाक्ये त्वंपदेन लक्ष्यम् ; देहादिविशिष्टं चैतन्यं लक्ष्यं

न भवति, किं तु वाच्यम्; तच्च वाच्यं तत्पदार्थात्पृथक्, रुक्ष्यं त्वपृथक्; स्वदेहिनिष्ठो वाच्योऽर्थोऽहिमिति व्यवहारार्हः, परदेहिनिष्ठस्त्विमिति व्यवहारार्हः; रुक्ष्यं वाच्यिमत्युभयविधं चैतन्योपेतम्, अन्यज्जडं जगत्सर्विमिति व्यवहारार्हम् दिर्येतादृशो विकल्पो न कोऽपि योगिनोऽस्ति, तदीयचित्तस्य ब्रह्मणि विश्रान्तत्वात् । अत एव स भिक्षुरनिकेतस्थितिरेव । यदि नियतिनवासार्थं कंचिन्मठं संपाद्येत्, तदानीं तिम्मिन्ममत्वे सित तदीयहानि-वृद्धचोश्चित्तं विक्षिप्येत । एतत्सर्वमिभिष्रेत्य गौडपादाचार्या आहुः—

'निःस्तुतिर्निर्नमस्कारो निःस्वधाकार एव च । चलाचलनिकेतश्च यतिर्याद्दच्छिको भवेत् ॥' (२.३७.) इति । यथा मठो न परिग्रहीतव्यस्तथा सौवर्णराजतादीनां भिक्षाचमनादिपात्राणा-मेकमपि न गृह्णीयात् । तदाह यमः—

' हिरण्मयानि पात्राणि कार्प्णायसमयानि च । यतीनां तान्यपात्राणि वर्जयेत्तानि मिक्षुकः ॥ ' इति ।

### मनुरपि---

'अतैजसानि पात्राणि तस्य स्युर्निर्त्रणानि च । तेषां मृद्धिः स्मृतं शौचं चमसानामिवाध्वरे ॥ अलाबु दारुपात्रं वा मृन्मयं वैणवं तथा । एतानि यतिपात्राणि मनुः स्वायंभुवोऽब्रवीत् ॥'

(६. ५३. ५४.) इति ।

### बोधायनोऽपि---

'स्वयमाहृतपर्णेषु स्वयं शीर्णेषु वा पुनः । अञ्जीत न वटाश्वस्थकरञ्जानां च पर्णके ॥ आपद्यपि न कांस्येषु मलाशी कांस्यभोजनः । सौवर्णे राजते ताम्रे मृन्मये त्रपुसीसयोः ॥ १ इति ।

तथा लोकं जनं शिष्यवर्ग न गृह्णीयात् । तदाह मनु:---

' एक एव चरेन्नित्यं सिद्धचर्थमसहायकः । सिद्धिमेकस्य पश्यन्हि तज्जहाति न हीयते ॥ '

(६. ४२.) इति ।

#### मेधातिथिरपि---

'आसनं पात्रलोपश्च संचयः शिष्यसंग्रहः । दिवास्वापो वृथालापो यतेर्बन्धकराणि षट् ॥ एकाहात्परतो यामे पश्चाहात्परतः पुरे । वर्षाभ्योऽन्यत्र यस्थानमासनं तद्दाहृतम् ॥ उक्तालाब्वादिपात्राणामेकस्यापि न संग्रहः । भिक्षोर्भैक्षभुजश्चापि पात्रलोपः स उच्यते ॥ गृहीतस्य तु दण्डादेद्वितीयस्य परिग्रहः । कालान्तरोपभोगार्थं संचयः परिकीर्तितः ॥ शुश्रुषालाभपूजार्थं यशोर्थं वा परिग्रहः । शिष्याणां न तु कारुण्यात्स ज्ञेयः शिष्यसंग्रहः ॥ विद्या दिनं प्रकाशत्वादविद्या रात्रिरुच्यते । विद्याभ्यासे प्रमादो यः स दिवास्वाप उच्यते ॥ आध्यात्मिकीं कथां मुक्त्वा भैक्षचर्यो सरस्त्रतिम् । अनुग्रहः पथिप्रश्नो वृथालापः स उच्यते ॥ ' इति । लोकं शिष्यजनरूपं न गृह्णीयादित्येतावदेव न भवति, किं तु तस्य लोकस्यावलोकं दर्शनमपि न कुर्यात्, तस्य बन्धहेतुत्वात्। न चेत्यने-नान्यदपि स्मृतिनिषिद्धं न कुर्यादित्यभिष्रेतम्। तच्च निषिद्धं मेधा-तिथिर्दर्शयति—

> 'स्थावरं जङ्गमं बीजं तैजसं विषमायुधम् । षडेतानि न गृह्णीयाद्यतिर्मूत्रपुरीषवत् ॥ रसायनं कियावादं ज्योतिषं कयविकयम् । विविधानि च शिल्पानि वर्जयेत्परदारवत् ॥ ' इति ।

योगिनो लौकिकवैदिकव्यवहारगतानि यानि बाधकानि सन्ति तेषां वर्जनमभिहितम् । अथ प्रश्नोत्तराभ्यामत्यन्तबाधकं प्रदर्श्य तद्वर्जनमाह—

" आबाधकः क इति चेदाबाधकोऽस्त्येव। यस्माद्धिश्चिहिरण्यं रसेन दृष्टं चेत्स ब्रह्महा भवेत्। यस्माद्धिश्चिहिरण्यं रसेन स्पृष्टं चेत्स पौल्कसो भवेत्। यस्माद्धिश्चिहिरण्यं रसेन ब्राह्यं चेत्स आत्महा भवेत्। तस्माद्धिश्चिहिरण्यं रसेन न दृष्टं च न स्पृष्टं च न ब्राह्यं च," इति।

आकारोऽभिन्याप्त्यर्थः, 'आङीषदर्थेऽभिन्याप्तौ ' इत्यभिहितत्वाद् । अभिन्याप्तो बाधकोऽत्यन्तबाधकस्तस्य सद्भावं प्रतिज्ञाय हिरण्यस्य तथा-विधवाधकत्वमुच्यते । रसेनाभिलाषयुक्तेनादरेण हिरण्यं यदि दृष्टं स्यात्तदानीं स द्रष्टा भिक्षुर्ब्रह्महा भवेत् । हिरण्यासक्त्या तत्संपादनरक्षणयोः सर्वदा प्रयतमानस्तद्वैयर्थ्यपरिहाराय प्रपञ्चमिथ्यात्वप्रतिपादकान्वेदान्तान्दूषयित्वा तत्सत्यत्वमवलम्बते । ततः शास्त्रसिद्धमद्वितीयं ब्रह्म तेन भिक्षुणा हतमेव भवति । तस्मादसौ ब्रह्महा भवेत् । तथा च स्मर्यते—

'ब्रह्म नास्तीति यो ब्र्याद्वेष्टि ब्रह्मविदं च यः। अभूतब्रह्मवादी च त्रयस्ते ब्रह्मघातकाः॥ 'इति। 'ब्रह्महा स तु विज्ञेयः सर्वधर्मबहिष्कृतः।' इति च।

अभिलाषपूर्वकं हिरण्यं स्पृष्टं चेत्तदा तत्स्प्रष्टा भिक्षुः पतितत्वात्पौल्कसो म्लेच्छसदृशो भवेत् । पातित्यं च स्मर्यते——

'पतत्यसौ ध्रुवं भिक्षुर्यस्य भिक्षोर्द्रयं भवेत् । धीपूर्वे रेतउत्सर्गो द्रव्यसंग्रह एव च ॥' इति ।

अभिलाषपुरःसरं हिरण्यं न प्राह्मम् । गृहीतं चेत्तदा स भिक्षुदेंहेन्द्रियादिसाक्षि-णमसङ्गं चिदात्मानं हतवान्भवेत् , असङ्गत्वमपोद्य स्वात्मनो हिरण्यादिद्रव्यं प्रति भोक्तृत्वेन प्रतिपन्नत्वात् । तस्याश्चान्यथाप्रतिपत्तेः सर्वपापरूपत्वं स्मर्यते——

> 'योऽन्यथा सन्तमात्मानमन्यथा प्रतिपद्यते । किं तेन न कृतं पापं चोरेणात्मापहारिणा ॥' (म० भा० आदि० ९८. ७.) इति ।

किं चात्मघातिनः सुखलेशेनापि रहिता बहुविधदुःखेनावृता लोकाः श्रूयन्ते—

'असुर्या नाम ते लोका अन्धेन तमसाऽऽवृताः। तांस्ते प्रेत्याभिगच्छन्ति ये के चात्महनो जनाः॥'

(ईश० ३.) इति।

दृष्टं चेत्यनेन चकारेण श्रुतं च समुच्चीयते । स्पृष्टं चेत्यनेन कथितस्य समुच्चयः । प्राह्यं चेत्यनेन व्यवहृतं चेति समुच्चीयते । दर्शनस्पर्शनप्रहण-वदिभिलाषपूर्वका हिरण्यवृत्तान्तश्रवणतद्भुणकथनतदीयक्रयादिव्यवहारा अपि प्रत्यवायहेतव इत्यर्थः । यस्मात्साभिलाषहिरण्यदर्शनादयो दोषकारिणस्तस्मा-द्भिक्षुणा हिरण्यदर्शनादयो वर्जनीया इत्यर्थः ।

हिरण्यवर्जनस्य फलमाह---

"सर्वे कामा मनोगता व्यावर्तन्ते दुःखे नोद्विप्रः सुखे निःस्पृह-स्त्यागो रागे सर्वत्र शुभाशुभयोरनभिस्त्रेहो न देष्टि न मोदते च सर्वेषामिन्द्रियाणां गतिरूपरमति य आत्मन्येवावतिष्ठते," इति ।

पुत्रभार्यागृहक्षेत्रादिकामानां सर्वेषां हिरण्यमूलत्वाद्धिरण्ये परित्यक्ते सति ते कामा मनोगता मनस्यवस्थानाद्वचावर्तन्ते व्यावृत्ता भवन्ति । कामनिवृत्तो सत्यां कर्मपाप्तयोर्दुःखसुखयोरुद्धेगस्पृहे न भवतः। एतच स्थितप्रज्ञपस्तावे प्रपश्चितम् । ऐहिकयोः सुखदुःखयोर्विक्षेपकत्वेनामुष्मिक-विषयरागेऽपि त्यागो भवति । ऐहिकसुखस्प्रहायुक्तो हि तद्दष्टान्तेनानु-मित आमुष्मिके सुखे रागवान्भवति । तस्मादैहिके निःस्पृहस्यामुष्मिके रागाभावो युज्यते । एवं सति सर्वत्र लोकद्वयेऽपि यो शुभाशुभावनुकूल-प्रतिकूलविषयौ तयोरनभिस्नेहः । एतच द्वेषराहित्यस्याप्युपलक्षणम् । तादशो विद्वानशुभकारिणं कंचिदपि पुरुषं न द्वेष्टि । शुभकारिणं दृष्ट्वा न च मोदं प्रामोति । द्वेषमोदरहितो यः पुमानात्मन्येव सर्वदाऽवतिष्ठते तस्य सर्वेषामिन्द्रियाणां गतिः प्रवृत्तिरुपरमति । इन्द्रियोपरतौ न कदाचिद्पि निर्विकल्पकसमाधेर्विद्रो भवति । 'तेषां का स्थितिः ?' इति प्रश्रम्य संक्षेपविस्तराभ्यामुत्तरं पूर्वमुक्तम् ; तदेवात्र पुनरपि हिरण्यनिषेधप्रसङ्गेन स्पष्टीकृतम् ।

अथ विद्वत्संन्यासमुपसंहरति——

"यत्पूर्णानन्दैकबोधस्तद् ब्रह्माहस्मीति कृतकृत्यो भवति कृत-कृत्यो भवति," इति । यद्धक्ष वेदान्तेषु पूर्णानन्दैकबोधः परमात्मेति निरूपितं तद्धक्षाह-मस्मीत्येवं सर्वदाऽनुभवन्नयं योगी परमहंसः कृतकृत्यो भवतीति । तथा च स्मर्यते—

> 'ज्ञानामृतेन तृप्तस्य कृतकृत्यस्य योगिनः । नैवास्ति किंचित्कर्तव्यमस्ति चेन्न स तत्त्ववित् ॥ ' इति । जीवन्मुक्तिविवेकेन तमो हार्दै निवारयन् । पुमर्थमखिलं देयाद्विद्यातीर्थमहेश्वरः ॥ १ ॥

इति श्रीमद्विद्यारण्यप्रणीते जीवन्मुक्तिविवेके विद्वत्संन्यासनिरूपणं नाम पश्चमं प्रकरणम् ॥ ५ ॥

संपूर्णीऽयं श्रीमद्विद्यारण्यप्रणीतो जीवन्मुक्तिविवेकः ।

#### ERRATA

PAGE	Line	READ	
· <b>7</b>	1	Knowers	
9	18	Renunciation	
52	1	Pure $v \overline{a} san \overline{a} s$	
<b>54</b>	1 .	the $ar{A}tman$	
61	4	the Brahman	
210	16	duality	
પ્રકે	पक्कौ	शुद्धपाठः	
4	90	पाण्डित्यं	
<b>२</b> 9	فع	•स्द्वेग:	
८२	9•	०पश <b>मेन</b>	
990	Ę	(9. २o.)	
998	•	<b>१</b> ३. २८.	
920	98	9. २9.	
,,	90	٩. २२.	
<b>१३५</b>	95	यं न	
985	16	यथ <del>ोक्त</del> -	
<b>,94</b> 9	२	त <b>मेनमनु</b> •	
948	ч	न जहाति	